# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: 2022-64 An Ordinance Reorganizing and Consolidating the Aquatics Board, the Treadwell Arena Advisory Board, the Jensen-Olson Arboretum Advisory Board, and the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee. Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022 Presented By: <u>The Manager</u> Drafted By: R. Palmer III Department/Division: Parks & Recreation Lead Staff Contact: George Schaaf, Director Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent): This ordinance reorganizes and consolidates several boards and committees related to programs and facilities managed by the Parks & Recreation Department. The current structure consists of five boards and committees requiring 43 volunteer members. With more than one-third of these positions consistently vacant, meetings are often cancelled due to lack of quorum. This ordinance would reduce the number of boards to two (the Parks & Recreation Advisory Committee (PRAC) and the Youth Activities Board), consisting of 18 members total. This ordinance also re-establishes the PRAC and expands its review and oversight of specific actions affecting public use of parks and recreation facilities and services. The current structure reduces the influence and effectiveness of Parks & Recreation boards and committees, and members typically come from very narrow interest groups that are not very representative of the larger community. This ordinance will create a more robust PRAC that should attract a wider range of community members representing more diverse interests and backgrounds. Connection to existing legislation: This ordinance repeals Resolution 2377, Resolution 2646, Ordinance 2019-04(b), and Ordinance 2019-<u>03(b)</u>. Appointments to advisory boards are governed by <u>Resolution 2686</u>. Connection to adopted planning documents: The Parks & Recreation Master Plan (2019-2029) suggests consolidating the functions of other Parks & Recreation boards and committees into the PRAC (Section 8.4.1, p. 119).

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?
If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

NO

b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism

If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

## Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:	
----------	--

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

#### Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

#### Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

_			• 1		
- 11	$\Delta$	ta	11	c	•
ப		Lа	ш	ъ	

#### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

$\square$ White $\square$ Black or African American	$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific I	slander □Two or more races □Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	Rac	e Considerati	ions - Total Co	ommunity is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Mii	nority			Econor Considera	
Concue	Fract/Block Groups	Minority	Consus Tra	nct/Block Groups	Minority	Consus	ract/Block Grou	ınc	Minority	Elementary School	al Boundario
Celisus	пастувноск споирз	Pop.	Celisus III	ict/ Block Gloups	Pop.	Celisus	Tacty Block Glot	•	Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Au	ke Bay/Out the Road	г	CT 3: Meno	denhall Valley Airpo		CT 5: Dov	wntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the road	11.9%		BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: Highland	ds	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena area	15.5%		BG 2: Glacier Valley	\$ 39.8%		BG2: DT/Starr	Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall River	r
	BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flats/Vi	llage	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%		BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Valley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Salmo	on Creek/Lemon Cre	eek					Lower Income Ho	using Areas
	BG1: Mendenhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Doi	uglas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: North D	ouglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Portage/McGini	33.7%		BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: West Ju	neau	28.0%	Gruening Park Are	ea
	BG 4: Long Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crow Hi	II/ DT C	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	r 41.2%								Kodzhoff Area	
										Douglas Hwy Corr	idor

		2	140
c.	Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?		
	If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?		
Details:	:		
d.	Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?		
	If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?		
Details:	:		

VEC NO

# Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

## Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?