Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2022-06(b)(Y) An Ordinance Transferring \$60,000 from Eaglecrest's Fleet and Equipment Reserve Account to the Eaglecrest Gondola Capital Improvement Project. Introduced: 12/12/2022 Public Hearing Date: 01/09/2023 SRRC Review Date: 12/13/2022 Drafted By: Finance Presented By: Manager Department/Division: <u>Eaglecrest</u> Lead Staff Contact: <u>David Scanlan</u> Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent): Transportation of Eaglecrest's Gondola exceeded cost estimates by \$261,608 as a result of steep fuel surcharges and detention fees on global shipping containers transported to Juneau. This expense will be covered by the following funding source: Eaglecrest Deferred Maintenance CIP (D28-101) \$161,608 Eaglecrest Fleet/Equipment Reserve Account \$ 60,000 Eaglecrest Gondola CIP (E28-102) \$ 40,000 This ordinance provides for the \$60,000 transfer from Eaglecrest's fleet and equipment reserve account. Goldbelt loan proceeds may reimburse this account once the loan agreement is effectuated. Connection to existing legislation: Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(Z)(am) appropriated \$2M for the purchase of the gondola. Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(AP) appropriated \$500K for the shipping/transport of the gondola. Connection to adopted planning documents: Eaglecrest Capital Improvement Plan, Eaglecrest Summer Development Plan Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation? YES NO Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular a. racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? *If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:* b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

a. What are potential unintended consequences?

b.	What	benefits	may	resul	t?
----	------	----------	-----	-------	----

С.	What is the	potential long	term impac	t of the pro	posed le	gislation?
C.	VVIIGLIS CITC	poteritial long	LCIIII IIII DUL	יום טווט וט זי		5131461011

	tail	ı.
Det	aı	18

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee reviewed this request at the November 28, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be heard on January 9, 2023.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

_	14/1		م ما ـــ	:				٠,	-
a.	VVIIO	are	me	шп	oacted	grou	D(:	>)	ŗ

\square White \square Black or African American	☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Is	slander □Two or more races □Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

		Race	e Considerati	ions - Total C	ommuni	ty is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Min	ority				omic erations
Census 1	Tract/Block Gr	oups	Minority	Census Ti	ract/Block G	Groups	Minority	Census Ti	ract/Block	Groups	Minority	Elementary Sch	ool Boundarie
			Pop.				Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Aul	ke Bay/Out th	e Road		CT 3: Mer	ndenhall Va	lley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dow	ntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the	road	11.9%		BG1: N. of	Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	hlands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena are	ea	15.5%		BG 2: Glac	ier Valley	5 39.8%		BG2: DT/5	Starr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall Riv	ver
	BG3: Montan	na Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airp	ort	40.8%		BG 3: Flat	s/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Co	ve area	10.1%		BG 4: Rado	cliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	endenhall Vall	ey withn	the Loop	CT 4: Saln	non Creek/	Lemon Cre	eek					Lower Income	Housing Areas
	BG1: Mender	nhall Takı	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/F	reds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	glas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper R	Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davi	s	45.0%		BG 1: Nor	th Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Are	a
	BG 3: Portage	e/McGinn	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	rdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	st Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park	Area
	BG 4: Long Ru	un	19.6%		BG 4: Twir	n Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Cro	w Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacien	wood/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
			_									Douglas Hwy C	orridor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

d.	Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?	
	If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?	
Details		

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings,
assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?