

#### **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS DIVISION II**

August 13, 2024

Case Number: 2024-DV2-023

Property Address: 1313 West 86<sup>th</sup> Street (approximate address)

Location: Washington Township, Council District #2

Petitioner: FIF, LLC, by Joseph D. Calderon

Current Zoning: C-4

Variance of Development Standards of the Consolidated Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance to provide for the location of a pylon sign, being

Request: the third freestanding sign along 86th Street (two permitted), and located

within 130 feet of another freestanding sign to the east (300-foot

separation required).

**Current Land Use:** Vacant Commercial

Staff

Recommendations: Staff recommends denial of this petition

Staff Reviewer: Noah Stern, Senior Planner

### **PETITION HISTORY**

### ADDENDUM FOR AUGUST 13, 2024 BZA II HEARING

This petition was continued from the July 9, 2024 BZA Division II hearing to the August 13, 2024 BZA Division II hearing to allow for further review of the proposal.

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends denial of this petition

### **PETITION OVERVIEW**

- This petition would provide for the location of a pylon sign, being the third freestanding sign along 86th Street (two permitted), and located within 130 feet of another freestanding sign to the east (300foot separation required).
- The subject site is improved with an existing vacant commercial building and accessory parking lot.
  The proposed sign would stand 10-feet tall and almost 8-feet wide. Currently, freestanding signs exist
  approximately 130 feet to the east and 395 feet to the west, both along the same frontage as the
  proposed sign.
- The Sign Regulations standard that requires at least 300-feet of separation from existing freestanding signs and the standard that limits the number of freestanding signs to two per frontage, are in place



to promote orderly development, to limit the number of distractions to oncoming motorists, and to maintain visibility of the surrounding area. Staff sees the proposed addition of a third freestanding sign along this frontage to be a detriment to achieving these goals. Additionally, Staff would note that alternative signage options are permitted by the Ordinance, such as building and wall signs, that would not require the requested variances. Staff does not find there to be any practical difficulty related to the site that necessitates the variances and finds that the reasons for the petitioner requesting the variances to be self-imposed.

 Further, this portion of West 86<sup>th</sup> Street is an area that currently contains a substantial number of freestanding signs. Staff believes that future development in this area should conform with the modern sign regulations and should seek to reduce the overall number of freestanding signs along the corridor. Therefore, for all of these reasons, Staff recommends denial of the request.

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Existing Zoning	C-4	
Existing Land Use	Vacant Commercial	
Comprehensive Plan		
•	Community Commercial / Linear Park	
Surrounding Context	Zoning	Surrounding Context
North:		North: Commercial
South:	C-4	South: Commercial
East:	C-4	East: Commercial
West:	C-4	West: Commercial
Thoroughfare Plan		
West 86 <sup>th</sup> Street	Primary Arterial	110 feet of right-of-way existing and 112 feet proposed
Context Area	Metro	
Floodway / Floodway Fringe	No	
Overlay	No	
Wellfield Protection Area	No	
Site Plan	5/10/24	
Site Plan (Amended)	N/A	
Elevations	5/10/24	
Elevations (Amended)	N/A	
Landscape Plan	5/10/24	
Findings of Fact	5/10/24	
Findings of Fact (Amended)	N/A	



### **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ANALYSIS**

### **Comprehensive Plan**

- Marion County Land Use Plan Pattern Book
- Indy Greenways Full Circle Master Plan (2014)

#### Pattern Book / Land Use Plan

 The Marion County Land Use Plan pattern Book recommends the Community Commercial typology as well as Linear Park for this site.

#### Red Line / Blue Line / Purple Line TOD Strategic Plan

Not Applicable to the Site.

### Neighborhood / Area Specific Plan

Not Applicable to the Site.

#### Infill Housing Guidelines

Not Applicable to the Site.

#### **Indy Moves**

(Thoroughfare Plan, Pedestrian Plan, Bicycle Master Plan, Greenways Master Plan)

- The Indy Greenways Full Circle Master Plan from 2014 calls for a multi-use path connector along 86<sup>th</sup>/82<sup>nd</sup> Street, connecting to the existing multi-use path along West 86<sup>th</sup> Street under I-465 to Lafayette Road to the west, and reaching Hague Road and 82<sup>nd</sup> Street on the Northeast side of Marion County.
- The plans for this multi-use path call for using the same side of the street as the subject site of the proposed sign.



#### **ZONING HISTORY**

**ZONING HISTORY - SITE** 

N/A

**ZONING HISTORY - VICINITY** 

**2013DV2001**; **1275 W** 86<sup>th</sup> **Street (south of site)**, Variance of development standards of the Commercial Zoning Ordinance to provide for a maneuvering area for a parking lot, with a zero-foot east side transitional setback (20-foot transitional setback), **approved**.

**2010DV2013**; **1375 W 86**<sup>th</sup> **Street (west of site)**, Variance of development standards of the Sign Regulations to legally establish a) a 30.42-foot tall, 85.14-square foot free-standing sign along 86th Street, containing a 32.45-square foot electronic variable message sign, being within approximately 360 feet of the D-7 zoned protected district to the north (600-foot separation from protected districts required), and b) to legally establish a 24-foot tall, 93.14-square foot freestanding sign along Ditch Road, with a 32.45-square foot electronic variable message sign, being within approximately 475 feet of the D-7 zoned protected district to the north (600-foot separation from protected districts required), **denied.** 

**2009DV3014**; **1318 W** 86<sup>th</sup> Street (north of site), VARIANCE OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS of the Sign Regulations to provide for a 25-foot tall, 130-square foot pole sign: a) being the fourth freestanding sign along 918 feet of street frontage (maximum three signs permitted), b)being within 130, 220 and 230 feet, respectively, of three other freestanding signs within the same integrated center (minimum 300-foot separation required), with the lowest point of the sign face being less than nine feet above grade (minimum nine-foot clearance required), **approved.** 

2005UV1025; 1210 W 86<sup>th</sup> Street (north of site), variance of use of the Commercial Zoning Ordinance to legally establish belly dancing within an existing restaurant, (live entertainment not permitted), approved, subject to commitments.

**2004DV3054**; **1225 W 86**<sup>th</sup> **Street (east of site),** variance of development standards of the Sign Regulations to provide for a 7-foot tall, 53.9-square foot ground sign, located 157 feet from an existing freestanding integrated center sign in C-4, **denied.** 

**2003DV1045**; **1225 W 86**<sup>th</sup> **Street (east of site)**, variance of development standards of the Sign Regulations to provide for a 55.10-square foot on premise pylon advertising sign, with a 13.75-square foot electronic variable message component within 247 feet of an existing integrated center sign, and within 70 feet of a protected district in C-4, **dismissed**.

**2001DV2060**; **1225 W 86**<sup>th</sup> **Street (east of site),** variance of development standards of the Sign Regulations to legally establish a 287-66-square foot, 13.25-foot tall pole sign, located 247 feet from an existing integrated center sign in C-4, **denied.** 




**95-UV3-48**; **1289 W 86**<sup>th</sup> **Street**; **(south of site,** variance of use and development standards of the Commercial Zoning Ordinance to provide for the placement of a monopole antenna and an equipment building, with a 90-foot tall monopole antenna with a pre-existing parking area 15 feet from the east and south property lines, **granted with conditions**.

88-Z-12; 1410 W 86<sup>th</sup> Street (west of site), rezoning from the SU-1 classification, to the C-3 district, approved.



## **EXHIBITS**














Exhibit "A" including the Building, the Lot and the Demised Premises)

(Site Plan of the Shopping Center



Proposed location of Monument Sign







Petition Number		
METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION HEARING EXAMINER METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS, Division OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA		
PETITION FOR VARIANCE OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS		
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The grant will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community because:  the proposed sign does not impede with any clear sign triangle or otherwise interfere with vehicular or pedestrian traffic on 86th Street.		
2. The use or value of the area adjacent to the property included in the variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner because: the sign only fronts on the subject property and does not interfere with access to or visibility of any adjacent property.		
3. The strict application of the terms of the zoning ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property because: the integrated center sign located on the subject property is designed to provide panels for the shopping locating south of the subject property and has been located on the subject property for many years. The proposed sign on the subject property is replacing a free-standing sign that identified the prior owner/user.		
DECISION		
T IS THEREFORE the decision of this body that this VARIANCE petition is APPROVED.		
Adopted this day of , 20		

















