

CITY OF HENDERSONVILLE AMENDED AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY PLANNING DIVISION



SUBMITTER:	Sam Hayes, Planner II	MEETING DATE:	July 17, 2024
AGENDA SECTION:	New Business	DEPARTMENT:	Community Development
TITLE OF ITEM:	1420 Ridgecrest Drive – Retroactive Windows and Rear Deck (H24-021-COA) – Sam Hayes / Planner II		

SUGGESTED MOTION(S):

1. For Recommending Approval:		5. For Recommending Denial:	
	<u>a</u>	<u></u>	
I move the Commission to find as fact that the		I move the Commission to find as fact that the	
proposed application for a Certificate of		proposed application for a Certificate of	
Approp	priateness, as identified in file # H24-021-COA	Appropriateness, as identified in file # H24-021-COA	
and loc	ated within the Hyman Heights Historic	and located within the Hyman Heights Historic	
	t, if added according to the information	District, if added according to the information	
reviewed at this hearing and, with any representations		reviewed at this hearing and, with any representations	
made by the applicant on record of this hearing, is not		made by the applicant on record of this hearing, is	
incongruous with the character of the Hendersonville		incongruous with the character of the Hendersonville	
Historic Preservation Commission Design Standards		Historic Preservation Commission Design Standards	
(Residential) for the following reasons:		(Residential) for the following reasons:	
Windows:		Windows:	
1.	The replacement windows match the original	1. The retention and preservation of windows	
	design and dimension and utilize a compatible	that contribute to the overall historic character	
	substitute material. [Sec. 3.7.5]	of the building is not prioritized. [Sec. 4.2.6]	
2.	The new window replace the original unit in	2. The property owner should replace only the	
	kind, matching the design and the dimension	deteriorated feature in kind rather than the	
	of the original sash, panel, pane configuration,	entire unit. [Sec. 3.7.5]	
	architectural trim, and detailing. Compatible	3. The replacement windows are not replaced in hind metabing the design and the dimensions	
	substitute material was utilized. [Sec. 3.7.5]	kind, matching the design and the dimensions of the original design. The substitute material	
Deck:		is incompatible with the original material.	
Ъсск. 1.	The location and construction of the deck	[Sec. 3.7.6]	
	does not damage or obscure the character-	4. The vinyl material is not an appropriate	
	defining features and details of the structure.	material for contributing properties. [Sec.	
	[Sec. 4.1.1]	3.12.1]	
2. The deck is located in an inconspicuous		Suggested Remedies:	
	location at the rear of the building and is not	• Replace all windows on the ground floor of	
	visible from the street. [Sec. 4.1.2]	the house with wooden windows.	
3.	The design and detail of the deck, railings,	• Replace the front and southwest windows	
	and steps reflects the materials, scale, and	with wooden windows.	
	proportions of the building. [Sec. 4.1.3]	• Other remedy.	

1 The deale aligne with the height of the	
4. The deck aligns with the height of the	
buildings first-floor level. [Sec. 4.1.4]	Deck:
	1. The location and construction of the deck
[DISCUSS & VOTE]	damages the historic fabric and character-
	defining features and details of the structure.
	[Sec. 4.1.1]
	2. The deck is not located in an inconspicuous
	location and can be seen from the street. [Sec.
	4.1.2]
	-
	3. The design and details of the deck, associated
	railings, and steps do not reflect the materials,
	scale, and proportion of the building. [Sec.
	4.1.3]
	Suggested Remedies:
	• Remove deck and stairs from rear of house.
	• Alter the stairs so they cannot be seen from
	the street.
	• Buffer the stairs with plantings so they are not
	as noticeable from the street.
	• Other remedy.
	[DISCUSS & VOTE]

PROJECT/PETITIONER NUMBER:	H24-021-COA
PETITIONER NAME:	Patsy Stewart (Applicant and Property Owner)
	A. COA Application
EXHIBITS:	B. Staff Report
	C. Warranty Deed