EVALUATION CRITERIA	4 First Victory	2 Frank L. Blum	3 Crowder	1 Harper
a. Reputation, Quality, and Experience (30 Possible Points) Experience in providing similar services of comparable size and complexity to municipal, county, or other entities. Favorable responses from project references.	15.6	21.4	15.2	21.4
<b>b. Experience of Proposed Team (50 Possible Points)</b> Experience and technical qualifications of the team to provide requested services. Recent experience of the project team providing services of similar scope. Organizational structure of the firm with defined line of communication and clearly defined roles of personnel.	36.8	43.2	44.8	46.6
<b>c. Quality Control (10 Possible Points)</b> Ensure that proper technologies are selected and utilized for the projects. Ensure responses/deliverables are accurate, thorough, and delivered on time. Ensure repsonses are within the 30-page response limit. Adequacy of firm's technical review process.	7.8	9	9.4	9.8
d. Approach (10 Possible Points) Proposed approach is innovative and effective. Describes tools and processes used to	5.6	8.4	9	9.8

provide preliminary time and budget estimates.

	SUB-TOTAL	65.8	82	78.4	87.6
	RANK	4	2.2	2.8	1
		4	2	3	1
		First Victory	Frank Blum	Crowder	Harper
Reviewer 1	a	• 14	23	16	23
	b	• 37	48	48	49
Keviewei 1	C.	-	10	10	10
	d.	• 6	10	10	10
	SUB-TOTAL	64	91	84	92
	RANK	4	2	3	1
	a		21	15	22
Reviewer 2	b	• 38	41	44	47
Neviewer Z	C.		8	9	10
	d.	• 5	8	9	10
	SUB-TOTAL	65	78	77	89
	RANK	4	2	3	1
Reviewer 3	a	• 19	22	15	22
	b	• 34	47	43	48
Reviewer 5	C.	• 7	10	10	10
	d.	• 5	9	9	10
	SUB-TOTAL	65	88	77	90
	RANK	4	2	3	1
	a	• 15	21	15	20
Reviewer 4	b	• 36	37	46	46
Keviewei 4	C.	• 9	8	9	10
	d.	• 5	7	8	10
	SUB-TOTAL	65	73	78	86
	RANK	4	3	2	1
	a	• 15	20	15	20
Reviewer 5	b	. 39	43	43	43
Keviewer 5	c.	• 9	9	9	9
	d.	• 7	8	9	9
	SUB-TOTAL	70	80	76	81
	RANK	4	2	3	1