

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Stanton/Smith 395 E. Main St  
Review Date Sept 14 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	8
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	10
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	10
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	10
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Burns

**Façade Grant Application Review**

Applicant Stanton Smith 395 E Main St

Review Date Sept 14, 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	4
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	10
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	10
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	5
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>49</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Caplinger

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Stanton / Smith 395 E Main St  
Review Date Sept 14, 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	9
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	10
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	9
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	8
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	9
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Collins

**Façade Grant Application Review**

Applicant Stanton/Smith 395 E Main St

Review Date Sept 14 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	5
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	10
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	8
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	8
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>49</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Doherty

Facade Grant Application Review

Applicant Stanton/Smith 395 E Main St.

Review Date Sept 14 2012

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	8
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	10
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	10
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	9
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	8
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
<b>Total</b>	

55

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Fialka

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Stanton/Smith 395 E Main St  
Review Date Sept 14 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	8
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	9
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	10
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	7
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Hamm

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Stanton/Smith 395 E Main St

Review Date Sept 14 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	7
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	9
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	9
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	9
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Kirkpatrick

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Stanton Smith 395 E Main St  
Review Date Sept 14, 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	7
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	10
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	10
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	9
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>56</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Commissioner Sargent



Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Stanton/Smith 395 E Main St

Review Date Sept 14 2022

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements consistent with type of architectural features found in the existing historical buildings in the district	9
Does the proposed improvement contain features designed to contribute to aesthetic enhancement?	10
Will the proposed improvements contribute not only to the enhancement of the commercial use, but the downtown as a whole?	8
Are the proposed improvements compatible with the overall downtown character?	10
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	9
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>

The maximum score possible for a grant is 60 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$10,000. For example, a \$20,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$10,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

48-60 points: 50% match

36-47 points: 40% match

24-35 points: 30% match

12-23 points: 20% match

1-11 points: 10% match

Chairman Saylor