

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant HERMISTON MASONIC LODGE #138
200 W ORCHARD AVE

Review Date 02/12/2025

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	5
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	5
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	6
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	8
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	8
Total	42 34

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

39 points Avg
40%.

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant HERMISTON MASONIC LODGE #138
200 W ORCHARD AVE

Review Date 02/12/2025

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	5
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	5
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	10
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	5
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	5
Total	30

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER CAPLINGER

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant HERMISTON MASONIC LODGE #138
200 W ORCHARD AVE

Review Date 02/12/2025

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	6
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	4
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	7
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	5
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	6
Total	28

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

CHAIRMAN FIALKA

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant HERMISTON MASONIC LODGE #138
200 W ORCHARD AVE

Review Date 02/12/2025

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	8
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	10
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	7
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
Total	45

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER GUERRERO

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant HERMISTON MASONIC LODGE #138
200 W ORCHARD AVE

Review Date 02/12/2025

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	10
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
Total	50

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER COLLINS

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant HERMISTON MASONIC LODGE #138
200 W ORCHARD AVE

Review Date 02/12/2025

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	8
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	7
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	8
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	7
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	8
Total	46

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER MISNER

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant HERMISTON MASONIC LODGE #138
200 W ORCHARD AVE

Review Date 02/12/2025

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	5
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	5/6
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	5/10
Total	41

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK