

Facade Grant Application Review

Applicant ORIEN FIANDER / CLUB 24

Review Date Dec 11, 2024

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	9
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	9
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	10
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	9
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
Total	47

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER DOHERTY

Facade Grant Application Review

Applicant ~~Hammer~~ Club 24

Review Date Dec 11, 2024

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	9
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	7
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	8
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	9
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	9
Total	42

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER HAMM

Facade Grant Application Review

Applicant Club 24

Review Date 12/11/24

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	10
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
Total	50

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER CAPLINGER

Facade Grant Application Review

Applicant CLUB 24

Review Date 12/11/24

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	9
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	5
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	8
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	7
Total	39

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER SAYLOR

Facade Grant Application Review

Applicant Alub 29

Review Date 12/11/24

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	5
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
Total	45

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER GUERRERO

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Orien Fiander - Club 24

Review Date 12/11/24

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	9
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	9
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	9
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
Total	47

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER COLLINS

Facade Grant Application Review

Applicant Orien Flander Club 24

Review Date 11 Dec 2024

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	9
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	6
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	7
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	9
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	8
Total	39

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

CHAIRMAN FIALKA

Façade Grant Application Review

Applicant Club 24
Review Date 12-11-24

Scoring 0 pts to 10 pts

0 points = Does not meet the criteria

5 points = Meets most of the criteria

10 points = Fully meets the criteria

Criteria	Score
Are the proposed improvements designed to contribute to the long-term health of the site and district? For example, landscape improvements are not as durable as masonry.	10
Does the proposal add new aesthetic elements beyond the existing site conditions?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the economic well-being of the downtown as well as the site?	5
Are the proposed elements scaled properly for the site and of compatible color and materials for properties within 300 feet?	10
Will the proposed improvements enhance the value of the property and provide a good return on investment for the district?	10
Total	45

The maximum score possible for a grant is 50 points. An application meeting all of the review criteria would be eligible for a full 50% match. An application meeting a portion of the criteria would be eligible for a lesser match percentage. Grants are considered for up to 50% of the project budget up to a maximum award of \$20,000. For example, a \$50,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$20,000 match. A \$15,000 project would be eligible for a maximum of \$7,500 match.

Based on the scoring by the committee, grants are awarded using the following percentages:

41-50 points: 50% match

31-40 points: 40% match

21-30 points: 30% match

11-20 points: 20% match

1-10 points: 10% match

COMMISSIONER MISNER