

What to do with the Old Police Station?

Sell the property

| Pro | Con |
|--|--|
| Consistent with Resolution 13-091(A), Dedicating any Potential Future Sale of the Fire Hall and Police Station Property to a Special Fund Earmarked for Financing and Construction of the Proposed New Public Safety Building | Property is valuable for a public building given its central location Reso 13-091(A) was passed when it was envisioned that the entire public safety campus would be relocated |
| If sold to an organization that is not tax exempt, would put the property back on the property tax rolls | Currently HVFD and HPD are on the same lot and share utilities. Any sale would require subdividing the lot and installing new utilities |
| City would not have to maintain and decide what to do with a derelict building | It could take years to sell the building, which would require budgeting to maintain it in warm status in the interim |
| \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ | |

Convert the building into a home for PW Building Maintenance

| Pro | Con |
|--|---|
| With enough investment, it could solve a future problem of what to do with Building Maintenance, depending on what happens with the HERC property | There is more building there than Building Maintenance needs and retrofitting it to a maintenance shop would require significant expense |
| | A prefabricated metal shop structure would be a better fit for the needs of Building Maintenance and likely cost less than a retro fit |
| | It does not remove the expense of maintaining an old and inefficient building from the City operating budget |

Preserve for a community use

| Pro | Con |
|---|--|
| Ideas abound on how the building could meet a number of community needs including a homeless shelter, teen center, etc. | A Pandora's box of questions regarding operational costs, reasonability and programing would need to be ironed out |

[Type here]

[Type here]

September 5, 2018 DRAFT

| | |
|--|---|
| | The cost of bringing the building up to code or retrofiting it could be prohibitively expensive |
|--|---|

Demo the facility ASAP

| Pro | Con |
|---|---|
| Eliminates the need to appropriate any operational dollars to keeping a facility with an unknown future | Cost of demolition |
| Keeps options open for the land, including but not limited to future fire hall expansion | Any existing asset in the facility would be no more |
| Landscaping and parking at this lot could be an easy short term use that would keep the area aesthetically pleasing | |

Put the Building in 'Cold Status' and allow HVFD to use it

| Pro | Con |
|---|---|
| Minimal expense is incurred if heat is turned off (or greatly reduced) and grounds maintenance is done by HVFD volunteers (which is the case at the current station) | Putting it in cold status means demolition is likely the only option in the future |
| HVFD could use the building for scenario based fire and EMS trainings such as search and recovery, laddering, ventilation and fire attack for the fire aspects and patient scenarios with extrication for EMS. The lot could be used for much needed parking at the Fire Hall | There will be an eventual capital expense in the future to make the property useful to the City |
| Preserving the property in City ownership allows for the future expansion of HVFD facilities (an equipment bay for a ladder truck, for example) without the need for a new Fire Hall | Committing to keeping the space limits the future growth options of the Fire Hall to that particular lot, which may not be ideal |
| | Unless clearly designated for a specific future use with a plan to get there, will be open to lots of speculation about potential uses, which could complicate things |
| | What is the liability associated with keeping a vacant building unused? (an eyesore and potential attractive nuisance, for example) |