# PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION STAFF REPORT

ZIGBORODEZ ZONT SAR

101 East Orange Street P.O. Box 429 Hillsborough, NC 27278

**Report Date:** February 5, 2025

Tom King, AICP, CZO - Senior Planner Secretary to the Board of Adjustment

# **BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT**

Agenda Item #: 4.A

CASE NUMBER	CASE NAME	APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER
BA-01-2025	Financial Institution on Paliouras Court	DNB Ventures, LLC c/o Michael D. Kaney/Paliouras Enterprises, LLC
HEARING DATE	PARCEL ID NUMBER	PROPERTY ADDRESS/LOCATION
February 12, 2025	9873-69-2876	Southeast corner of I-85 & NC 86 South intersection

## **BRIEF SUMMARY OF REQUEST**

Appeal from a staff determination that a building mounted, drive-up/drive-through ATM (Automated Teller Machine) is not allowed in association with a bank or financial institution.

EXISTING	EXISTING	SURROUNDING	FUTURE LAND	SIZE OF
ZONING	LAND USE	ZONING/LAND USE	USE CATEGORY	PROPERTY
ESU	Vacant lot containing stormwater control measure	North: R-1 (County)/I-85 South: ESU/Paliouras Court & convenience store/gas station East: ESU/Car dealership West: R-1 (County)/NC 86	Retail Services	2.908 acres

South

# DISCUSSION OF STAFF FINDINGS

**COMPLIANCE** with the UDO (UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE)

Not applicable to appeals.

**COMPATIBILITY** with the COMPREHENSIVE SUSTAINABILITY PLAN

Not applicable to appeals.

## GENERAL STANDARDS/FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

Not applicable to appeals.

**ATTACHMENTS:** 1. Appeal materials 2. Correspondence generating appeal

### **BACKGROUND:**

The appellant contacted Planning and Economic Development Division staff on December 4, 2024, asking if drive-up ATMs are regulated in the same manner as drive-through windows. The question was related to a financial institution interested in building on the subject property. A building-mounted, drive-up ATM is desired. A drive-up teller window isn't proposed.

Senior Planner Tom King investigated the matter on December 5, 2024, and determined that a drive-up/drive-through ATM associated with a bank or financial institution is not permitted under current UDO provisions. The determination was based on the following two definitions found in UDO Section 9 (Definitions), Subsection 9.2 (Definitions):

"Automated Teller Machine (ATM): An unstaffed machine for accessing financial accounts. *These may be attached to a bank branch or independently located for walk up or drive up customers.*" [emphasis added]

"Bank & Financial Institution: An establishment that provides retail banking services, mortgage lending, or similar financial services to individuals and businesses. Financial institutions include those establishments engaged in the on-site circulation of cash money and check-cashing facilities but shall not include bail bond brokers. Financial institutions may also provide Automated Teller Machines (ATM) services, located within a fully enclosed space or building, or along an exterior building wall intended to serve walk-up customers only. Financial institutions may include drive-up windows." [emphasis added]

King based the determination on the following:

- 1. ATMs are treated differently than drive-up windows in the definitions of the two uses.
- 2. The Bank and Financial Institution definition language is more restrictive than the ATM use definition language.

In response, on December 6, 2024, Planning and Economic Development Division Manager Shannan Campbell informed the appellant she would review King's response and investigate the possibility of a different interpretation.

On December 16, 2024, Campbell responded to the appellant concurring with King's determination and advising a freestanding drive-up/drive-through ATM not connected with the building's façade may be an option.

Attachment #2 is the electronic mail correspondence associated with staff's determination.

# **APPELLANT'S POSITION:**

The Appellant's arguments (see Attachment #1) may be broken down into the following points. Staff responses to each argument are provided as noted.

1. Staff erred in the interpretation of the intent of the UDO in their review of the two conflicting definitions, and the fact that drive-up windows associated with banks and financial institutions are allowed, but drive-up ATMs attached to a bank building are not.

<u>Staff Response:</u> The UDO allows and regulates drive-up windows that are accessory to banks/financial institutions. However, by definition, ATMs are treated separately and distinctly from drive-up windows in the Banks & Financial Institution definition. Based on staff's reading of this definition, it appears the intent of the UDO is that ATMs and drive-up windows be regulated differently.

2. The definition of ATM states they "may be attached to a bank branch...for...drive up customers." Therefore, ATMs should be allowed to be installed on the exterior wall of a bank or financial institution for drive-up customers.

<u>Staff Response:</u> While "attached to a bank branch" can be read to mean physically attached to a bank building, it may also be read to mean the ATM is associated with a specific bank branch (e.g., the State Employees Credit Union ATM in the parking lot of the Hillsborough Commons Shopping Center on Mayo Street). It seems odd that the definition would refer to a bank "branch" to recognize one could be attached to a bank building (i.e., is the "branch" a "building"?).

3. The UDO specifically states that ATMs may be attached to a bank branch for drive-up customers.

<u>Staff Response:</u> The language found in the Bank & Financial Institution definition is more restrictive than that found in the ATM definition. Courts have recognized that where there is conflict among regulation provisions, "the more restrictive provision shall apply" (Westminster Homes, Inc. v. Town of Cary Zoning Bd. of Adjustment, 354 N.C. 298, 554 S.E.2d 634 (2001)).

4. The UDO allows drive-up windows for banks/financial institutions but doesn't differentiate between drive-up windows and drive-up ATMs, and why one is specifically allowed and the other not. Drive-up windows use loudspeakers for communication between tellers and patrons, which is much more intrusive to adjoining properties than a single drive-up ATM attached to a bank's exterior building wall.

<u>Staff Response:</u> It isn't known why the definitions are worded the way they are but agree the land use impacts are different with the exception that drive-up ATMs may be used 24/7, 365 days a year. Banks/financial institutions with drive-up tellers normally only operate during weekday, daytime business hours (i.e., Monday- Friday, 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM).

### **DIRECTIVES FOR HEARING APPEALS:**

- 1. The official who made the decision shall be present at the hearing as a witness.
- 2. The appellant shall not be limited to matters stated in the notice of appeal. The board shall continue the hearing if any party, or the town would be unduly prejudiced by the presentation of matters not presented in the notice of appeal.
- 3. After closing the hearing, the board shall consider the application, relevant support material, and any testimony or evidence given at the hearing and included in the record, and take one of the following actions:
  - (a) Affirm, wholly or partly, the determination being appealed, or
  - (b) Reverse, wholly or partly, the determination being appealed, or
  - (c) Modify the determination being appealed.

- 4. The board shall have all the powers of the official who made the decision being appealed.
- 5. The board's decision shall resolve any contested facts, and be based on competent, material and substantial evidence submitted into the record.
- 6. The board may instruct staff regarding how staff should carry out the board's direction, if necessary.

# **VOTING REQUIREMENT:**

A majority vote of the board members eligible to vote is required to grant an appeal (overturn a determination).

# Tom King

# **ATTACHMENT #1**

From: Tom King

Sent: Monday, January 13, 2025 4:21 PM

To: Michael Kaney

**Subject:** RE: PIN 9873692876 - Appeal to the Board of Adjustments for Interpretation

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up Flag Status: Completed

Will do.



# Tom King, AICP, CZO

Senior Planner

Town of Hillsborough

101 E. Orange St., Hillsborough, North Carolina

Office: 919-296-9475







Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina public records law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Michael Kaney <michael@bellcommercial.net>

Sent: Monday, January 13, 2025 4:20 PM To: Tom King <Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Subject: RE: PIN 9873692876 - Appeal to the Board of Adjustments for Interpretation

Thank you. If upon review there is any additional information required for the Board of Adjustments, please let me know.

Thank you, Michael



120 Wind Chime Ct Raleigh, NC 27615 0: 919-917-7298 C: 919-610-2583

Michael@BellCommercial.net

From: Tom King < Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov >

Sent: Monday, January 13, 2025 4:12 PM

To: Michael Kaney < michael@bellcommercial.net >

Subject: RE: PIN 9873692876 - Appeal to the Board of Adjustments for Interpretation

Good afternoon. I've received the appeal. Thank you.



### Tom King, AICP, CZO

Senior Planner

Town of Hillsborough

101 E. Orange St., Hillsborough, North Carolina

Office: 919-296-9475

(f)(n)(p)(e)

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina public records law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Michael Kaney < michael@bellcommercial.net >

**Sent:** Monday, January 13, 2025 4:06 PM **To:** Tom King < Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Subject: PIN 9873692876 - Appeal to the Board of Adjustments for Interpretation

#### Tom:

Good afternoon. Please receive this email as a request to please file an appeal to the Board of Adjustments associated with an interpretation by staff of the UDO and proposed development of the parcel identified as PIN 9873692876. Please see the receipt for payment of the \$300 fee. Attached please find the BOA General Application form, the BOA Appeal Supplemental Form and the BOA Owner Authorization Form.

Please review the attached information and if you require any additional information, please let me know.

Thank you, Michael



120 Wind Chime Ct Raleigh, NC 27615 O: 919-917-7298 C: 919-610-2583

Michael@BellCommercial.net

From: noreply@municipalonlinepayments.com <noreply@municipalonlinepayments.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 13, 2025 3:59 PM

To: Michael Kaney <michael@bellcommercial.net>

Subject: Payment Receipt - Routine Planning Fee (plan reviews, zoning and sign permits, including historic)



# Town of Hillsborough

This is your payment receipt.

Confirmation Number DWQR6N53KK

Payer Contact Info michael@bellcommercial.net

Payment Method \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*8665

# Routine Planning Fee (plan reviews, zoning and sign permits, including historic)

Please tell us what you are paying for (provide name or address of project, permit number, or type of review):

Appeal to Board of Adjustments associated with the property at the north east corner of NC Highway 86 South and Paliouras Court, adjacent to Sheetz, Orange County PIN

9873692876

Base Price \$300.00

Total \$300.00

**Municipal Online Services** 

<u>Login</u>



# **GENERAL APPLICATION**

# Special Use Permit, Variance or Appeal **Board of Adjustment Hearing**

Planning and Economic Development Division 101 E. Orange St., PO Box 429, Hillsborough, NC 27278 919-296-9475 | Fax: 919-644-2390 www.hillsboroughnc.gov

This application is for a special use permit (including modifications), variance or appeal. Incomplete applications will not be accepted or processed.

FLUM Designation: Ratail Services  Permit or Relief Requested: Appeal	Zoning Distric	t: <i>ESU</i>	Overlay Zone: Select One	
Permit or Relief Requested: Appeal				
DECEMBION				
PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	rt Project Type:	Business/Co	mmercial	
Project Name: Financial Institution on Paliouras Cour	rt Project Type:	Busiliess/ Co		
Property Address/Location: Paliouras Court	-			
PIN(s): 9873692876	Size of Prope	Size of Property (Acres/Sq. Ft.): 2.91 Acres		
Current Use of Property: Vacant		Proposed Use of Property: Commercial		
Use Class (from UDO Sections 5.1.7 and 5.1.8): Proposed	Use - Bank & Finan	cial Institutio	n	
	Number Prop	osed Building	gs: 1	
Number Existing Buildings to Remain: 0			Buildings: 3500 sf	
Gross Floor Area Existing Buildings: 0 sf				
Number Lots Proposed: 1	Number Dwe	elling Units Pr	oposed: U	

CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURES  Applications will not be accepted without signature	of legal property owner or official agent.
time managed by me in this	application and all accompanying documents and of this and belief; and I acknowledge that the processing of this for state permits, approvals and associated fees.
Applicant: DNB Ventures LLC	Legal Property Owner: Pallouras Effer prises Lee
Mailing Address: 120 Wind Chime Court	Mailing Address: PO Box 715
City, State, ZIP Code: Raleigh, NC, 27615	City, State, ZIP Code: Chapel Hill, NC 27514
Telephone: 919-610-2583	Telephone: 919-942-5336
Email: michael@bellcommercial.net	Email: Globaltravelnce yahoo.com
Signature: Mind D. 16	Signature: John Palionn  Date: 01/13/25
Date: 01/13/25	Date: 01/13/25
Legal Relationship of Applicant to Property Owner:	Acquiring Entity/Developer



# **AUTHORIZATION FORM**

# Owner's Authorization for Agent Board of Adjustment Hearing

Planning and Economic Development Division 101 E. Orange St., PO Box 429, Hillsborough, NC 27278 919-296-9475 | Fax: 919-644-2390 www.hillsboroughnc.gov

This form must accompany any application to go before the Board of Adjustment in which the application will not be represented by the legal property owner. Each owner shown on the property owner's deed must sign this authorization form.

/We Paliouras Enterprise	s LLC	
	(print names of legal prop	perty owners)
nereby authorize DNB	Ventures LLC	
	(print name of a	gent)
o represent me/us in prod	cessing an application for Appeal	
		s, I/we as owner/owners attest that:
<ul> <li>All information co</li> <li>The agent is author</li> </ul>	rty owner(s) am/are bound by any d	te and complete. ns that may be placed on the approval. Hecision of the board, including any and all conditions
Signature of	Owner -	Signature of Owner
James Paliau Print Named		Print Name of Owner
NORTH CAROLINA		Grange COUNTY
Sworn to and subscribed b	before me on this $13$ day of $3$	2025 by
James Pal	iouras	
Print Name of Person	n Making Statement	21/15/2021
Durhan	HARY STEINER Notary, Public n Co., North Carolina ssion Expires May 8, 2027	Signature of Notary Public  ZACHARY SSEINER  Print Commissioned Name of Notary Public  MAY SIDOAN
		Commission Expiration Date
		· ·



# SUPPLEMENTAL FORM Appeal

Planning and Economic Development Division 101 E. Orange St., PO Box 429, Hillsborough, NC 27278 919-296-9475 | Fax: 919-644-2390

www.hillsboroughnc.gov

To the Hillsborough Bo	pard of Adjustment:	
ı ıvııcılael D. Kanev on	Denail OF DIND Ventures LLC &	ereby appeal to the Board of Adjustment from the following
	Planning and Economic Develo	
Interpretation that dri	ve-up ATMs are not permitted	on the exterior walls of bank branches.
This adverse decision w 12/16/2024	vith respect to property describ	ped in the attached General Application was made on
STATEMENT BY APPE	HANT	
Below or on a separate sh reasons for believing you of Adjustment that should	neet, present your reasons for belie r interpretation is the correct one.	eving the decision, determination or order is erroneous and your In addition, state the facts you are prepared to prove to the Board ecision of the Planning and Economic Development Division staff peal:
Please refer to attache	ed Statement by Appellant.	
I certify that all the info	-	nis application is true and accurate to the best of my
Michael D. Kaney	Digitally signed by Michael D. Kaney Date: 2025.01.13 15:55:11-05'00'	01/13/2025
Signature of Applicant		Date

#### STATEMENT BY APPELLANT

This appeal to the Hillsborough Board of Adjustments is being submitted to appeal an interpretation staff has made regarding Automated Teller Machines and Bank & Financial Institution, and the determination an ATM attached to the exterior wall of a Bank & Financial Institution are not allowed for drive-up customers, while multi-lane drive-up windows are permitted.

As the applicant to this appeal, it is our belief that staff has erred in their interpretation of the intent of the UDO in their review of the definition of "Bank & Financial Institution" per Section 9.2 of the UDO, which is in conflict with the definition of an ATM in this same section of the UDO, as well as in conflict with the general concept that multi-bay drive-up windows are clearly permitted per the UDO for Banks and Financial Institutions, but drive-up ATMs attached to the building are not permitted per the interpretation.

Per the UDO Section 9.2, an "Automated Teller Machine (ATM)" is defined as "An unstaffed machine for accessing financial accounts. **These may be attached to a bank branch** or independently located for walk up or **drive up customers.**"

Section 5.5.2 of the UDO that provides Use-Specific Standards for "Bank and Financial Institutions" identifies that drive-up windows are permitted and outlines the requirements and quantity for multiple drive-up bays and drive-up windows.

The UDO specifically states that ATMs may be attached to a bank branch for drive-up customers. Therefore, an ATM should be allowed to be installed onto the exterior wall of a bank branch for drive-up customers.

Furthermore, Banks & Financial Institutions are permitted to have multiple drive-up bays and drive-up window. The UDO does not provide differentiation between a drive-up window versus a drive-up ATM and why one is specifically permitted for Bank & Financial Institutions, but another would not be allowed per staff's interpretation. Also, please note that multi-bay drive-up windows use loudspeakers for communications between the tellers and patrons, which is much more intrusive to adjoining properties than a single drive-up ATM attached to an exterior building wall.

Financial Institutions prefer ATMs mounted onto the building exterior walls versus free-standing, as these ATMs mounted on the exterior wall of bank branches but may be loaded and maintained internally for safety reasons. In addition, a person in their car accessing an ATM is safer and significantly less exposed than parking their vehicle in an assigned parking space, walking to an ATM, accessing their funds, and then returning back to their vehicle

Due to the changes in technology and mobile banking, bank branches have been moving away from traditional Tellers and drive-up windows manned by tellers, and certain financial institutions do not use drive-up windows and prefer for convenience and safety the use of drive-up ATMs, which as noted above, are less intrusive than the permitted, multi-bay drive-up windows.

## **ATTACHMENT #2**

# **Tom King**

From: Shannan Campbell

**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2024 11:42 AM **To:** Michael Kaney; Tom King; Molly Boyle

**Subject:** Re: Drive-Up ATM - Proposed Development of PIN 9873692876 in Hillsborough

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

Hi Michael,

I had a chance to look over what you had sent and what Tom had analyzed and I believe he was correct in answering your questions as posed:

"Are drive-up ATMs subject to the same rules and provisions in the UDO as drive-throughs?" Yes, that is the way the intent of the ordinance reads, that ATMs served by cars are treated similarly.

"I know, drive-through lanes cannot face the primary street, NC-86 in our case, per the UDO, but can we have an ATM mounted on the side of the building facing NC-86 that cars can pull up to?" No, there cannot be drive-throughs oriented to the street AND per the definition ATMs attached to the building should serve walk-up customers only.

I've read and re-read the definitions and requirements for drive-throughs (and bank teller windows) and I believe what the UDO envisions based on these regulations is the possibility of a walk-up ATM on the front or side and drive through services like a teller window on the back with an optional detached drive through ATM lane. The UDO does not envision a scenario where the teller window is an ATM instead of a person in the window.

One work around could be that the ATM could be a drive through service that is not connected to the building facade, though I recognize that the client may want it as part of the facade so that it can be serviced from the interior. Detaching it a few feet from the building and not having a teller window may be the easiest option.

Otherwise, the options that Tom outlined for an appeal or text amendment would be the best route. Staff would support a text amendment to the UDO for this, as it sounds as though moving away from staffed teller windows to only having ATMs is probably something that the banking industry as a whole is heading towards vs. this being a change needed just for this project.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,



# Shannan Campbell | AICP, CZO

Planning and Economic Development Manager

Town of Hillsborough

101 E. Orange St., Hillsborough, North Carolina Office: 919-296-9477 | Mobile: 919-491-9639

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina public records law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Michael Kaney <michael@bellcommercial.net>

Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2024 10:06 AM

To: Shannan Campbell < Shannan.Campbell@hillsboroughnc.gov>; Tom King < Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov>; Molly

Boyle <molly.boyle@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Subject: RE: Drive-Up ATM - Proposed Development of PIN 9873692876 in Hillsborough

#### Shannan:

Good morning. I wanted to touch base with you regarding the drive-up ATM per our correspondence below, and ascertain what you determined after evaluating this matter in more detail. Please let me know. If you prefer we jump on a call to discuss, I am happy to do so.

Thank you, Michael



120 Wind Chime Ct Raleigh, NC 27615 O: 919-917-7298

C: 919-610-2583

Michael@BellCommercial.net

From: Shannan Campbell <Shannan.Campbell@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Sent: Friday, December 6, 2024 9:21 AM

To: Tom King <Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov>; Michael Kaney <michael@bellcommercial.net>; Molly Boyle

<molly.boyle@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Subject: Re: Drive-Up ATM - Proposed Development of PIN 9873692876 in Hillsborough

Hi all,

Let me take a closer look at this today and see if there's any room for a planning director determination given that there are a few different scenarios at play between stand alone vs attached ATMs, drivethroughs, etc.

### Thanks,



## Shannan Campbell | AICP, CZO

Planning and Economic Development Manager

Town of Hillsborough

101 E. Orange St., Hillsborough, North Carolina Office: 919-296-9477 | Mobile: 919-491-9639



Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina public records law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Tom King <Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Sent: Friday, December 6, 2024 9:19 AM

To: Michael Kaney <michael@bellcommercial.net>; Molly Boyle <molly.boyle@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Cc: Shannan Campbell < Shannan.Campbell@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Subject: RE: Drive-Up ATM - Proposed Development of PIN 9873692876 in Hillsborough

You're welcome.

I guess what "drive-up customer" in the ATM definition (pull up to side of building & stay in your car vs. park in parking space & walk up to ATM on the building) is open for debate. Both situations exist & I've used both in my lifetime.

I don't know the reason why the bank/financial institution definition is written the way it is.

There is always the option apply for an amendment to the ordinance (requiring Town Board of Commissioners approval), or an appeal from the determination could be made to the town's Board of Adjustment. I believe a variance request would not be the correct route.



Tom King, AICP, CZO

Senior Planner

Town of Hillsborough

101 E. Orange St., Hillsborough, North Carolina

Office: 919-296-9475

(f)(n)(v)(a)

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina public records law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Michael Kaney < michael@bellcommercial.net >

Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2024 6:15 PM

To: Tom King <Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov>; Molly Boyle <molly.boyle@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Cc: Shannan Campbell <Shannan.Campbell@hillsboroughnc.gov>

Subject: RE: Drive-Up ATM - Proposed Development of PIN 9873692876 in Hillsborough

Tom:

I greatly appreciate the information and your response.

However, as you identified, the definitions in your code are contradicting. Under the ATM section it states they may be attached to a bank branch for drive up customers, but under the Bank & Financial Institutions definition, ATMs can only serve walk up. Do you know the reason behind the Bank & Financial Institution definition as to why the ATMs can only serve walk-up customers, but they can have drive-up windows, which are more invasive to the general public? I agree the Bank & Financial Institution definition is more restrictive and hence will typically govern as you point out, but per the definition for ATMs, they can be attached to a bank branch for drive up customers, but that condition is never permitted per the definition of the Bank & Financial Institution.

Not permitting a drive-up ATM on the building will likely prohibit one of the largest financial institutions in the Country from opening a bank branch on our property, or anywhere else in Hillsborough. Is there a way to amend the UDO definition or request a variance to the requirements for a drive-up ATM since the UDO has conflicting definitions and includes a definition for a situation that is prohibited from ever occurring per a different definition?

Thank you, Michael



120 Wind Chime Ct Raleigh, NC 27615 O: 919-917-7298 C: 919-610-2583

Michael@BellCommercial.net

From: Tom King < <a href="mailto:Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov">Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov</a> Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2024 12:15 PM

To: Michael Kaney < michael@bellcommercial.net >; Molly Boyle < molly.boyle@hillsboroughnc.gov >

Cc: Shannan Campbell < Shannan.Campbell@hillsboroughnc.gov >

Subject: RE: Drive-Up ATM - Proposed Development of PIN 9873692876 in Hillsborough

Good afternoon, Michael:

I've taken a closer look into this question because I often think I know what the UDO says, & usually do for routine questions but, for the non-routine questions such as this, I sometimes read it & find I'm wrong.

Based on my review of the UDO, a drive-up ATM would not be permitted. This is based on the following two definitions found in UDO Section 9 (Definitions), Subsection 9.2 (Definitions):

- 1. "Automated Teller Machine (ATM)" is defined as "An unstaffed machine for accessing financial accounts. <u>These may be attached to a bank branch or independently located for walk up or drive up customers.</u>"
- 2. "Bank & Financial Institution" is defined as "An establishment that provides retail banking services, mortgage lending, or similar financial services to individuals and businesses. Financial institutions include those establishments engaged in the on-site circulation of cash money and check-cashing facilities but shall not include bail bond brokers. Financial institutions may also provide Automated Teller Machines (ATM) services, located within a fully enclosed space or building, or along an exterior building wall intended to serve walk-up customers only. Financial institutions may include drive-up windows."

Based on these two use definitions, it appears ATMs are treated differently than drive-up windows. The bank & financial institution language is more restrictive than the ATM language, so the bank/financial institution provisions govern in this case.

While I'm not a fan of placing regulations within definitions, this is where they lie in this case.

Now for what I know will be the next question: How were the ones that already exist permitted? There haven't been any new banks approved/constructed in the town's zoning jurisdiction since around 2007-9. The last one constructed (2009-2010) was approved under a previous town zoning ordinance. Neither of the two definitions cited above were in that ordinance. They appeared with the adoption of the UDO in late February 2011.

Let me know if you have any other questions.



Tom King, AICP, CZO

Senior Planner
Town of Hillsborough

101 E. Orange St., Hillsborough, North Carolina

Office: 919-296-9475

(f)(n)(p)(p)

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina public records law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Michael Kaney <michael@bellcommercial.net>

Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2024 4:20 PM

To: Molly Boyle < molly.boyle@hillsboroughnc.gov >; Tom King < Tom.King@hillsboroughnc.gov >

Subject: Drive-Up ATM - Proposed Development of PIN 9873692876 in Hillsborough

Tom & Molly:

Good afternoon. We are continuing to work on the proposed development of PIN 9873692876 at the north corner of NC-86 and Paliouras Court, next to the Sheetz, in Hillsborough. As you know, the site has multiple development constraints, and we have continued to work with our potential tenant for a financial institution that satisfies their needs while working within the site constraints.

One layout option that functions for their purposes has their drive-up ATM facing NC-86. This financial institution has no traditional drive-through lanes with drive-up tellers as most financial institutions, but on the building, they have an ATM that vehicles can pull up to. In reviewing your UDO, a drive-up ATM is not defined nor referenced (at least I was unable to find it) and your section regarding drive-throughs makes no reference to drive-up ATMs. My question for you is since a drive-up ATM functions differently than traditional drive-through lanes, does not include menu boards, nor loud speakers for two-way communications, etc., is it subject to the same rules and provisions in the UDO as drive-throughs?

I know drive-through lanes cannot face the primary street, NC-86 in our case, per the UDO, but can we have an ATM mounted on the side of the building facing NC-86 that cars can pull up to?

Thanks, Michael



120 Wind Chime Ct Raleigh, NC 27615 O: 919-917-7298 C: 919-610-2583

Michael@BellCommercial.net