

Hildale-Colorado City Utility: Water Rate Analysis 7/10/2024

What is RCAC?

- Rural Community Assistance Corporation
- Federally funded to help rural communities like Hildale and Colorado City…
- ...stay in compliance with the rules and regulations and build system capacity



Why do a Rate Study?

- Stay solvent
- Often required for grants and loans
- Prepare for asset replacement
- Ensure system covers debt service
- Maintain system for future generations



Consequences of not raising rates enough

- 1. Increased reliance on loans
- 2. Reduction in reserves
- 3. Inability to pay bills
- 4. Inability to maintain system=Violations



Board Responsibilities

- Fiduciary responsibility to keep the system running...
- .. in the short run and the long run.
- Provide resources for staff to do their job.



Guiding Principles of this Rate Study

- Sustainable
- Equitable
- Justifiable



Rate Model

- AWWA Standards (American Water Works Association)
- Reviewed by staff and board members
- Staff requests direction from Board to proceed with final Rate Study documents



Rate Setting with Water Meters



Reserves

Reserve	Target	Existing
Debt Reserve	\$14,758	\$0
Operating	\$174,360	\$174,360
Emergency	\$20,000	\$20,000
Capital Replacement	To be calculated	\$468,680
Future Capital Assets		\$300,000

- Total existing reserves available based on Water Fund Balance Sheet June 30, 2023
- \$1,167,138 in 81-11900 Cash-Combined Fund, less the \$204,098 in 81-21350 Customer Deposits



Capital Replacement Program

- Inventory of all assets
 - Exclude those that will not be replaced
- Those that will be replaced
 - When
 - How much it will cost
 - How to pay for it (cash, grant or loan)
- Calculate how much we must set aside each year to have enough cash when needed



Existing Asset Reserve

	Year	Unit Cost (Historic, Current or	Cost Type (H, C,	% Belonging	Estimated Remaining	Estimated	Fund with	Fund with	Fund with	Existing	Annual Reserve
Asset	Acquired	Future)	F)	to Water	Life	Future Cost	Cash	Grant	Loan	Reserves	Required
Replacement of Existing Capital Assets											
Wells				100%			0%	0%	100%	0	0
Well 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm	2021	200,000	Н	100%	49	1,162,194	2%	40%	58%	1,845	357
Well 4B - 140' 6"	1985	200,000	С	100%	13	312,791	10%	40%	50%	8,565	1,641
Jans Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2"	2015	3	С	100%	68	160,153	25%	0%	75%	1,653	429
Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm	1980	100,000	С	100%	58	735,428	5%	40%	55%	2,141	471
Maxwell Canyon Spring Box	1910	10,000	С	100%	20	19,898	100%	0%	0%	4,283	712
800k gallon tank	1998	1550000	С	100%	31	4,502,799	2%	40%	58%	13,276	2,163
600k gallon tank	1970	1150000	С	100%	15	1,926,651	2%	40%	58%	9,850	1,780
Elm Street Tank (Concrete) - 1MG	2000	1825000	С	100%	58	13,421,565	2%	40%	58%	15,632	3,442
Treatment Plant Building	1975	75000	С	100%	3	83,154	25%	0%	75%	8,030	4,201
Pressure Tanks (West Side) (Recoated in 2021)	2001	110000	С	100%	9	449,756	10%	40%	50%	14,133	3,279
Pressure Tanks (East Side) (Recoated in 2004)	1975	110,000	С	100%	15	552,865	5%	40%	55%	7,066	1,277
Treatment Plant Pumps (40hp)	2005	7,500	Н	100%	3	106,347	25%	0%	75%	10,270	5,373
	Asset Replacement of Existing Capital Assets Well S Well 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm Well 4B - 140' 6" Jans Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2" Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm Maxwell Canyon Spring Box 800k gallon tank 600k gallon tank Elm Street Tank (Concrete) - 1MG <i>Treatment Plant Building</i> Pressure Tanks (West Side) (Recoated in 2021) Pressure Tanks (East Side) (Recoated in 2004) Treatment Plant Pumps (40hp)	AssetYear AcquiredReplacement of Existing Capital AssetsWells2021Well 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm2021Well 4B - 140' 6"1985Jans Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2"2015Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm1980Maxwell Canyon Spring Box1910800k gallon tank1998600k gallon tank1970Elm Street Tank (Concrete) - 1MG2000Treatment Plant Building1975Pressure Tanks (West Side) (Recoated in 2021)2001Pressure Tanks (East Side) (Recoated in 2004)1975Treatment Plant Pumps (40hp)2005	AssetYear AcquiredUnit Cost (Historic, Current or Future)Replacement of Existing Capital AssetsWells0Well 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm2021200,000Well 4B - 140' 6"1985200,000Jans Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2"20153Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm1980100,000Maxwell Canyon Spring Box191010,000800k gallon tank19981550000600k gallon tank19701150000Elm Street Tank (Concrete) - 1MG20001825000Pressure Tanks (West Side) (Recoated in 2021)2001110,000Pressure Tanks (East Side) (Recoated in 2004)197575000Treatment Plant Pumps (40hp)20057,500	AssetYear AcquiredUnit Cost (Historic, Current or Future)Cost Type (H, C, F)Replacement of Existing Capital AssetsMellsMellWell 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm2021200,000HWell 4B - 140' 6"1985200,000CJans Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2"20153CMaxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm1980100,000CMaxwell Canyon Spring Box191010,000C800k gallon tank19981550000C600k gallon tank19701150000CElm Street Tank (Concrete) - 1MG20001825000CPressure Tanks (West Side) (Recoated in 2021)2001110,000CPressure Tanks (East Side) (Recoated in 2004)1975110,000CTreatment Plant Pumps (40hp)20057,500H	AssetUnit Cost Year AcquiredCost (Historic, Current or Future)Cost Type (H, C, F)Selonging to WaterReplacement of Existing Capital Assets100%Wells2021200,000H100%Well 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm2021200,000H100%Well 4B - 140' 6"1985200,000C100%Jans Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2"20153C100%Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm1980100,000C100%Maxwell Canyon Spring Box191010,000C100%600k gallon tank19981550000C100%Elm Street Tank (Concrete) - 1MG20001825000C100%Pressure Tanks (West Side) (Recoated in 2021)2001110000C100%Pressure Tanks (East Side) (Recoated in 2004)1975110,000C100%Treatment Plant Pumps (40hp)20057,500H100%	AssetYear AcquiredUnit Cost (Historic, Current or Future)Cost Type (H, C) 	AssetYear AcquiredUnit Cost (Historic, Current or FUture)Cost Type (H, C, F)Selonging Belonging to WaterEstimated Estimated Future CostWells2021200,000H100%491,162,194Well 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm2021200,000H100%491,162,194Well 4 - 140' 6"1985200,000C100%68160,153Maxwell Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2"20153C100%68160,153Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm1980100,000C100%2019,898800k gallon tank19981550000C100%314,502,799600k gallon tank19701115000C100%3331,421,665Treatment Plant Building197575000C100%383,154Pressure Tanks (East Side) (Recoated in 2021)20057,500H100%3106,347	Asset Year Acquired Unit Cost (Historic, Eurrent or Future) Cost (H, C, F) Cost (H, C, F) Estimated Belonging to Water Estimated Future Cost Fund with Cash Replacement of Existing Capital Assets 2021 200,000 H 100% 49 1,162,194 2% Well 4 - 140' 8" 190gpm 2021 200,000 H 100% 49 1,162,194 2% Well 4B - 140' 6" 1985 200,000 C 100% 49 1,162,194 2% Maxwell Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2" 2015 3 C 100% 68 160,153 25% Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm 1980 100,000 C 100% 20 19,88 100% 800k gallon tank 1998 1550000 C 100% 31 4,502,799 2% Elim Street Tank (Concrete) - 1MG 2000 1825000 C 100% 33 83,154 25% Pressure Tanks (West Side) (Recoated in 2021) 2001 110000 C 100% 33	Name Unit Cost (Historic, Acquired Cost (Historic, Acquired Cost (Historic, Future) Solution (H, Future) Estimated (H, Future) Estimated (Historic, Future) Estimated (Historic, Future) Estimated (Historic, Future) Estimated (Historic, Future) Estimated (Historic, Future) Fund with (Fund with Cash Fund with (Fund with Cash Replacement of Existing Capital Assets Mells Image: Cost (Historic, Future) 100% Mes Estimated (Historic, Future) Estimated (Historic, Future) Estimated (Future Cost Fund with Cash Weith Gaint Wells Mells 100% Mes 100% Mes 100% Mes 100% Mes Mes 40% Well 4B - 140' 6" 1900pm 2021 200,000 C 100% 413 312,791 10% 40% Maxwell Canyon Spring Transmission Line - 2" 2015 3 C 100% 58 735,428 5% 40% Maxwell Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm 1980 100,000 C 100% 31 4,502,799 2% 40% Book gallon tank 1970<	Asset Unit Cost (Historic, Future) Cost Type (H, C, Future) Cost Type (H, C, F) Estimated Belongin to Water Estimated Remaining Life Fund with Cash Fund with Grant Fund with Grant Replacement of Existing Capital Assets	Asset Unit Cost (Historic, Acquired Cost (Historic, Current or Acquired Cost (Historic, Future) Estimated (H, C, F) Estimated bit Estimated Future Cost Fund with Cash Fund with Grant Fund with Fund with Fund with Grant Fund with Fund with Fund with Grant Fund with Fund with Fund with Grant Fund with Fund with Fund with Grant Fund with Fund with Fund with Grant Fund with Fund with Fund with Grant Fund Weils Well 4- 140' 8" 190gpm 2021 200,000 H 100% 49 1,162,194 2% 40% 58% 1,845 Well 4B - 140' 6" 190gpm 2021 200,000 C 100% 49 1,162,194 2% 40% 58% 1,845 Well 4B - 140' 6" 91905 200,000 C 100% 13 312,791 10% 40% 5% 4,855 Jans Canyon Spring Collection - 4" 64gpm 1980 100,000 C 100% 58 735,428 5% 40% 58% 1,326 Book gallon tank 1998 155000

• On average, save \$127,240 a year for replacement of existing assets



Funded Asset Replacement

- Fencing, raw water transmission line, and 2 new wells at treatment plan
 - Assume \$1,780,000 to be completed in 2024
 - Assume 30 to 50-year lifespans
 - Save \$3,897 a year for future replacement



Future Asset Reserve

		Year to										
		be	Unit Cost	Cost	%				Fund	Fund		Annual
		Purchase	(Current or	Туре	Belonging	Years to	Estimated	Fund with	with	with	Existing	Reserve
Quantity	Asset	d	Future)	(C, F)	to Water	save	Future Cost	Cash	Grant	Loan	Reserves	Required
	Reserves for Additional Capital Assets											
1	Fire Hydrants	2027	1,785,505	F	100%	3	1,785,505	2%	40%	58%	19,232	5,404
1	Trailhead Well 1	2027	1,700,000	F	100%	3	1,700,000	2%	40%	58%	18,311	5,145
1	Sandhill Tank and Jessop Ave Line	2028	5,236,534	F	100%	4	5,236,534	2%	40%	58%	54,429	12,283
1	Trailhead Well 2, Trailhead Tank (1MG), and Canyon S	2030	2,500,000	F	100%	6	2,500,000	2%	40%	58%	24,198	4,145
1	University Ave Line	2030	406,633	F	100%	6	406,633	5%	40%	55%	9,840	1,686
1	Water Canyon Wells	2032	4,999,729	F	100%	8	4,999,729	2%	40%	58%	45,065	6,542
1	Maxwell Canyon Well	2036	4,872,243	F	100%	12	4,872,243	2%	40%	58%	38,083	4,617
1	Annexation Trunklines	2040	3,930,543	F	100%	16	3,930,543	2%	40%	58%	26,642	2,978
1	New Annexation Area Tank - 1MG	2042	4,169,914	F	100%	18	4,169,914	2%	40%	58%	26,321	2,883
1	SCADA Upgrades	2025	250,000	F	100%	1	250,000	5%	40%	55%	7,229	5,271
1	Well #8 Enhancements	2025	500,000	F	100%	1	500,000	5%	40%	55%	14,458	10,542
1	Booster Station	2025	650,000	F	100%	1	650,000	2%	40%	58%	7,518	5,482
1	Other FY24 Projects (Well rehab, clear well tank, plant	2025	300,000	F	100%	1	300,000	5%	40%	55%	8,675	6,325
1	Backup Generator	2025	175,000	F	100%	1	175,000	10%	0%	90%	10,121	7,379
	Subtotal Reserves for Additional Capital Assets						31,301,101	2%	40%	58%	300,000	80,681

- On average, save \$46,006 a year for initial costs of future assets
- \$80,681 in first year, decreasing to \$22,850 in later years



Budget

- Based on current budget with planned increases
- Adjustments for inflation
- Includes reserve requirements
- Includes other water revenue
 - Interest Income
 - Connection Fees
 - Planned Impact fees



Budget Considerations

Expenses

- Equipment Supplies and Maintenance costs increase in base year from previous years (\$3,000 to \$50,000)
- Maintenance & Supply System costs increase in base year from previous years (\$90,043 to \$177,700)
- Power costs increase in base year from previous years (\$135,000 to \$200,000)
- Laboratory costs are increasing due to additional sampling needs.
- System construction services expenses are increasing due to planned projects over the next 5 years.

Revenue

- Sales Revenue is calculated from actual usage data, will vary based on proposals.
- Current revenue under existing rates and usage \$955,016
- Additional revenue from new connections based on system growth.
- Interest income increased in base year from previous years (\$4,174 to \$22,000)
 - Increasing interest from PTIF rates



Allocation of Existing Reserves

- With many planned projects in the near future, it is recommended that HCC internally restrict \$300,000 of existing reserves for these planned projects
- This will temper the rate increase needed compared to raising funds needed for these projects only from sales revenue



Fixed vs Variable Expenses

Fixed

- Do not change with the volume of water sold
- Examples
 - Insurance
 - Most personnel
 - Debt service
 - Future capital replacement and purchases
- 89%

Variable

- Vary with the volume of water sold
- Examples
 - Electricity
 - Chemicals
- 11%



Water Rate Components

- Fixed Expenses
- Base Rate
- Variable Expenses
- Usage Charge



Theoretical Base Rate

Meter Size in "	Decimal Size	Number of Meters	AWWA Safe Maximum Operating Cap. (GPM)	Max Demand (GPM)	% of Max Demand by Meter Size	Total Fixed Costs Allocated by Meter Size	Theoretical Base Rate by Meter Size per M
					F= % of	G= % *	
A	В	С	D	E= D * C	total	total	H=G/C/12
5/8"	0.625	0	20				
3/4"	0.750	845	30	25,350	59.38%	\$986,968	\$97.33
1"	1.000	118	50	5,900	13.82%	\$229,709	\$162.22
1.5"	1.500	28	100	2,800	6.56%	\$109,014	\$324.45
2"	2.000	34	160	5,440	12.74%	\$211,799	\$519.12
3"	3.000	10	320	3,200	7.50%	\$124,588	\$1,038.23
Total		1035		42,690	100.00%	\$1,662,078	



Sales Forecast

- Conservation Adjustment
 - Dependent on rate scenario
- Community Growth
 - 2% each year
- Conservation Factor
 - Variable, .5% to 3%
 - As usage rates increase, customers will likely conserve water



No Change

- Do Nothing
- Drawing on reserves to cover expenses
- Not Recommended



With No Rate Changes

Results of the current rates		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	5 Years
TOTAL EXPENSES		\$1,833,173	\$1,835,846	\$1,978,571	\$1,786,673	\$1,925,447	\$9,359,710
ТО	TAL REVENUE	\$1,098,305	\$1,129,748	\$1,177,372	\$1,257,188	\$1,273,192	\$5,935,805
NET LOSS OR GAIN: (Short/Ov	er to Reserves)	-\$734,868	-\$706,098	-\$801,199	-\$529,484	-\$652,256	-\$3,423,905
NET CASH FLOW (Contribution	on to Reserves)	-\$451,261	-\$502,278	-\$613,544	-\$374,812	-\$506,870	-\$2,448,766
Affordability assuming M	HI of \$62857 for						
res	idential meters.	1.15%	1.17%	1.18%	1.19%	1.21%	
Are you putting enough money in reserves?		No	No	No	No	No	
Positive Ann	ual Cash Flow?	No	No	No	No	No	



Alternatives to Consider

- Originally, 3 possible scenarios were presented to HCC staff, of these, scenario 3 was determined to be best option.
- The next two scenarios are those that have already incorporated input from HCC staff. The other scenarios can be seen in the handout.



Alternative 3.1

- Smaller initial base rate increase, tighten tiers, increase usage rates
- Annual increases over five years
- Results in net gain over \$34,000 over five years
 - This is the amount saved above the recommended amount in the model



New Rates – Alternative 3.1

Customer Class	Rate Struct	ure	Base Rate		Usag	ge Rates			
¾" Meter	Tiered Bloc	k	\$38.50		0 - 1 10,0 30,0	.0,000 01-30,000 01+	- \$1.75/1,0 - \$1.90/1,0 - \$2.50/1,0	000 000 000	
1" Meter	Tiered Bloc	k	\$64.00		0 - 1 10,0 30,0	.0,000 01-30,000 01+	- \$1.75/1,0 - \$1.90/1,0 - \$2.50/1,0)00)00)00	
1.5" Meter	Tiered Bloc	k	\$128.50		0 – 3 30,0 80,0	0,000 01-80,000 01+	- \$2.50/1,0 - \$2.80/1,0 - \$3.10/1,0	000 000 000	
2" Meter	Tiered Bloc	k	\$205.50		0 - 3 35,0 90,0 200,	5,000 01 – 90,000 01-200,000 001+	- \$2.50/1,0 - \$2.80/1,0 - \$3.50/1,0 - \$4.50/1,0	000 000 000 000	
Hydrant Meter	Tiered Bloc	k	\$150.00		Any	amount	- \$10.00/1,0	000	
Growth Factor of Rates				Year 2		Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
		Ba	se	15	5 <mark>.00%</mark>	5.00%	2.00%	2	<mark>2.00%</mark>
		Usa	ige	10	0.00%	5.00%	2.00%		2.00%



Impact of New Rates – Alt. 3.1

Growth Factor of Rates			Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
	Base		15.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	
	Usage		10.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	
Results of the new rates		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	5 Years
TOT	AL EXPENSES	\$1,790,316	\$1,827,096	\$1,980,889	\$1,880,722	\$2,067,892	\$9,546,914
TO	TAL REVENUE	\$1,594,343	\$1,795,937	\$1,950,394	\$2,085,999	\$2,154,398	\$9,581,072
NET LOSS OR GAIN: (Short/Ove	er to Reserves)	-\$195,972	-\$31,158	-\$30,495	\$205,277	\$86,505	\$34,157
NET CASH FLOW (Contributio	on to Reserves)	\$44,777	\$162,515	\$158,082	\$350,155	\$219,101	\$934,630
Affordability assuming MI	HI of \$62857 for						
res	idential meters.	1.62%	1.83%	1.96%	2.03%	2.10%	
Are you putting enough mon	ey in reserves?	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	
Positive Ann	ual Cash Flow?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Average Bill Increase – Alt 3.1

Average	Bill Ever	y M by Me	eter Size					
Meter		Meter						
Size	Count	Size	Current	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
0.750	845	3/4"	\$59.10	\$84.01	\$94.61	\$101.37	\$105.18	\$108.80
1.000	118	1"	\$103.25	\$141.69	\$159.52	\$170.91	\$177.31	\$183.40
1.500	28	1.5"	\$144.00	\$261.32	\$294.65	\$315.08	\$326.38	\$337.16
2.000	34	2"	\$244.85	\$537.12	\$603.11	\$648.01	\$673.89	\$698.38



Alternative 3.2

- Compared to 3.1, same base rates, additional usage tiers, more variance in usage rates
- Annual increases over five years
- Results in net gain over \$17,520 over five years



New Rates – Alternative 3.2

Customer Class	Rate Structure	Base Rate	Usage Rates	
¾" Meter	Tiered Block	\$38.50	0 - 15,000	- \$1.50/1,000
			15,001-30,000	-\$1.85/1,000
			30,001-50,000	- \$2.00/1,000
			50,001+	- \$2.75/1,000
1" Meter	Tiered Block	\$64.00	0 - 10,000	- \$1.50/1,000
			10,001-45,000	- \$2.00/1,000
			45,001-100,000	- \$2.75/1,000
			100,001+	- \$3.50/1,000
1.5" Meter	Tiered Block	\$128.50	0 – 35,000	- \$1.50/1,000
			35,001-55,000	- \$2.00/1,000
			55,001-125,000	- \$2.75/1,000
			125,001+	- \$3.50/1,000
2" Meter	Tiered Block	\$205.50	0 – 55,000	- \$2.50/1,000
			55,001-90,000	- \$2.80/1,000
			90,001-200,000	-\$3.50/1,000
			200,001+	- \$5.50/1,000
Hydrant Meter	Tiered Block	\$150.00+\$200.00 Deposit	Any amount	- \$10.00/1,000

Growth Factor of Rates		Yea	ar 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	,
	Base		18.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	
	Usage		10.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	



Impact of New Rates – Alt. 3.2

Growth Factor of Rates			Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
	Base		18.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	
	Usage		10.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	
Results of the new rates		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	5 Years
TOT	AL EXPENSES	\$1,790,316	\$1,827,096	\$1,980,889	\$1,880,722	\$2,067,892	\$9,546,914
TO	TAL REVENUE	\$1,575,789	\$1,794,465	\$1,950,273	\$2,087,162	\$2,156,745	\$9,564,434
NET LOSS OR GAIN: (Short/Ove	er to Reserves)	-\$214,527	-\$32,630	-\$30,616	\$206,440	\$88,853	\$17,520
NET CASH FLOW (Contribution	on to Reserves)	\$26,223	\$161,043	\$157,960	\$351,318	\$221,448	\$917,992
Affordability assuming M	HI of \$62857 for						
res	idential meters.	1.56%	1.78%	1.91%	1.98%	2.05%	
Are you putting enough mon	ey in reserves?	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	
Positive Ann	ual Cash Flow?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Average Bill Increase – Alt 3.2

Average	Bill Ever	y M by Me	eter Size					
Meter		Meter						
Size	Count	Size	Current	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
0.750	845	3/4"	\$59.10	\$80.71	\$92.13	\$98.74	\$102.47	\$106.02
1.000	118	1"	\$103.25	\$148.35	\$168.86	\$181.38	\$188.58	\$195.42
1.500	28	1.5"	\$144.00	\$233.81	\$268.21	\$287.09	\$297.64	\$307.71
2.000	34	2"	\$244.85	\$573.11	\$649.15	\$698.46	\$727.19	\$754.33



Alternative 3.3

- Developed with HCC Utility Board on 3/7/24
- Changes from Alternative 3.2:
 - Increase first usage tier of 1" customers from 10k to 20k
 - Reduce 2nd year base rate increase to reduce customer burden (18% to 13%)
- Annual increases over five years
- Results in slight net loss if \$5,863 over five years



New Rates – Alternative 3.3

Customer Class	Rate Structure	Base Rate		Usage Rates					
¾" Meter	Tiered Block	\$38.50		0 - 1 15,0 30,0 50,0	15,000)01-30,000)01-50,000)01+	- \$1.50, -\$1.85, - \$2.00, - \$2.75,	- \$1.50/1,000 -\$1.85/1,000 - \$2.00/1,000 - \$2.75/1,000		
1" Meter	Tiered Block	\$64.00			20,000)01-45,000)01-100,00 ,001+	- \$1.50, - \$2.00, 0 - \$2.75 - \$3.50,	- \$1.50/1,000 - \$2.00/1,000 - \$2.75/1,000 - \$3.50/1,000		
1.5" Meter	Tiered Block	\$128.50	0 - 3 35,0 55,0 125,	35,000)01-55,000)01-125,00 ,001+	- \$1.50, - \$2.00/ 0 - \$2.75, - \$3.50,	/1,000 /1,000 /1,000 /1,000			
2" Meter	Tiered Block	\$205.50		0 - 9 55,0 90,0 200,	55,000 001-90,000 001-200,00 ,001+	- \$2.50, - \$2.80, 0 -\$3.50, - \$5.50,	- \$2.50/1,000 - \$2.80/1,000 -\$3.50/1,000 - \$5.50/1,000		
Hydrant Meter	Tiered Block	\$150.00+\$200.00	Deposit	Any	amount	- \$10.00	/1,000		
Growth Factor of Rates			Year 2	`	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5		
		Base Usage	<u>13</u> . 10.	.00%	<u>11.00%</u> 5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	C	

Impact of New Rates – Alt. 3.3

Growth Factor of Rates			Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
	Base		13.00%	11.00%	2.00%	2.00%	
	Usage		10.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%	
Results of the new rates		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	5 Years
TOTAL EXPENSES		\$1,790,316	\$1,827,096	\$1,980,889	\$1,880,722	\$2,067,892	\$9,546,914
TO	\$1,572,058	\$1,759,114	\$1,955,451	\$2,092,392	\$2,162,037	\$9,541,051	
NET LOSS OR GAIN: (Short/Ov	-\$218,257	-\$67,982	-\$25,438	\$211,670	\$94,145	-\$5,863	
NET CASH FLOW (Contribution to Reserves)		\$22,492	\$125,691	\$163,138	\$356,548	\$226,740	\$894,609
Affordability assuming MHI of \$62857 for							
residential meters.		1.56%	1.74%	1.92%	1.99%	2.06%	
Are you putting enough money in reserves?		No	No	No	Yes	Yes	
Positive Annual Cash Flow?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	



Average Bill Increase – Alt 3.3

Average								
Meter		Meter						
Size	Count	Size	Current	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
0.750	845	3/4"	\$59.10	\$80.71	\$90.20	\$99.33	\$103.07	\$106.63
1.000	0	1"	\$103.25	\$145.71	\$162.75	\$179.26	\$186.38	\$193.14
1.500	0	1.5"	\$144.00	\$233.81	\$261.79	\$289.05	\$299.64	\$309.75
2.000	0	2"	\$244.85	\$573.11	\$638.87	\$701.60	\$730.40	\$757.60



Recommendations

- Water rates for HCC need to increase substantially to cover the anticipated upcoming expenses, asset replacement, and O&M costs
- HCC can consider delaying capital projects, if possible, to reduce immediate customer burden
- Optimal funding packages should be sought on capital projects to reduce customer burden
- A delay in rate increases ultimately results in a greater rate increase later



Future Considerations

- Rates should be reviewed annually to ensure they are adequate in covering annual expenses
- A more thorough rate analysis should be done when additional debt is taken on and/or every five years

