

SUMMARY CHECKLIST

ACTIVE DESIGN

1.NEIGHBORHOOD AMENITIES. How well does the project support access to neighborhood amenities (e.g., convenience store, dry cleaning, community center, café, etc.) within reasonable walking distance from residential developments? **N/A**

2.PARKS & OPEN SPACE. How well does the project incorporate a park or open space within reasonable walking distance of all residential development? **Within walking distance to North Shore golf course.**

3.PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT. How well does the project contribute to creating a safe and comfortable pedestrian environment for residents of all ages? **Full sidewalks and adjacent to old highway rd. trail.**

4.SIDEWALKS. How well does the project create or contribute to a network of sidewalks? **Full sidewalks and adjacent to old highway rd. trail.**

5.FRONTAGE DESIGN. How well does the project incorporate attractive, pedestrian-scale exteriors and massing to encourage walkability for people of all ages? **N/A**

6.PHYSICAL ACTIVITY. How well does the project incorporate design features to promote the physical activity of all building occupants? **N/A**

CONNECTIVITY

7.NETWORK. How well does the project leverage public open space, sidewalks, pedestrian amenities, bicycle facilities, and multi-use trails to connect safely and comfortably to surrounding neighborhoods? **Full sidewalks and adjacent to old highway rd. trail.**

8.WALKABILITY. How well does the project enhance walkability by providing a highly connected street network? **Sidewalks planned for subdivision.**

9.BICYCLE CONNECTIVITY. How well does the project provide high levels of bicycle connectivity through a safe, well-marked and complete bicycle network? **Adjacent to old Highway Rd. trail**

PUBLIC SAFETY

10. INJURY PREVENTION. How well does the project foster injury prevention through the use of traffic calming features, such as bulb outs and speed humps, safe pedestrian crossings, and moderate roadway speeds? **Small self-contained subdivision. Slow traffic etc.**

11. SAFE ACCESS TO SCHOOLS. How well does the project incorporate safe access to schools within a reasonable walking distance? **N/A. No schools within safe walking distance**

12. LIGHTING. How well does the project provide adequate neighborhood lighting to prevent crime and increase safety? **Normal Village of Harrison subdivision entrance lighting planned.**

13. POLICE PROTECTION. How well does the project fit into surrounding neighborhoods with similar land use to minimize the need to expand police protection services? **Located adjacent to current residential area.**

14. FIRE PROTECTION. How well does the project minimize the need for additional fire protection or increased response times due to its location within the village? **Located within current fire coverage area.**

COMMUNITY COHESION

15. PASSIVE SPACES. How well does the project incorporate spaces that facilitate social engagement? **N/A**

16. RECREATIONAL SPACES. How well does the project incorporate facilities and access to a variety of recreational opportunities for all users? **N/A**

17. COMMUNITY SPACES. How well does the project incorporate facilities and access to a multi-purpose community space accessible to the public? **N/A**

ACCESS TO FOOD, JOBS, & SERVICES

18. GROCERY. How well does the project integrate access to a full-service grocery store (e.g., sells meat, dairy, fruits & vegetables) within a reasonable walking distance of all residents? **The Village does not have a full service grocery store.**

19. COMMUNITY GARDEN. How well does the project incorporate space for growing food onsite through community gardens, edible landscaping, or small-scale farming within a reasonable walking distance from residential development? **N/A**

20. FARMER'S MARKET. How well does the project designate space or provide access to a farmer's market within a reasonable walking distance? **No**

21. JOBS. How well does the project design promote shorter commutes and better access to jobs? **N/A**

22. CHILDCARE. How well does the project support increased access to affordable and high-quality childcare? **N/A**

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

23. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT. Has the preliminary design been evaluated for stormwater management to ensure compliance with Village and DNR requirements? **Yes**

24. WATER RETENTION DESIGN. Does the project contain the maximum possible stormwater improvements on the project site? **Yes**

25. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PONDS. Are stormwater detention ponds being considered for the project? **Yes**

TRAFFIC

26. TRAFFIC STUDY. Has a traffic study been completed to determine the amount of new traffic generated as a result of the project? **N/A**

27. TRAFFIC IMPACT. How well does the project minimize the impact of traffic on the existing village roads? **N/A**

CONFORMANCE TO VILLAGE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND RESIDENT FEEDBACK

28. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE. Does the project conform to the Village's comprehensive plan? **Yes**

29. RESIDENT VISION AND PREFERENCES. Does the project align with the village's residents and does not create additional concerns from a resident's view? **Yes**

OTHER PUBLIC SERVICES IMPACTED

30. PUBLIC WORKS EXPANSION. Does the project require the addition of the public works staffing/additional equipment to address items such as street maintenance and snow plowing? **No**

31. INCREASED ADMINISTRATION STAFFING. Does the project require the addition of additional administrative staff? **No**

32. NEW TRAFFIC/ROAD COSTS. Does the project require new and future road costs for the village's capital improvements program? **N/A**

REVENUES ANTICIPATED

32. ANNUAL TAX REVENUE CALCULATION: Expected Total Assessed Value
\$28,800,000/\$1,000 x \$3.57 = \$102,816 Annual Tax Revenue

Permits and impact fees: **\$473,135**

33. Are the Annual Tax Revenues sufficient to cover the additional costs the Village may see as a result of the development project? Yes/No **Yes**

OTHER NOTES
