RESOLUTION NO. 2024-81

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRASS VALLEY TO ADOPT THE 2011 ROSENBERG RULES OF ORDER

WHEREAS, the City Council and City commissions, committees, and subsidiary bodies consider matters affecting the interests of the residents of Grass Valley, and it is necessary and desirable to establish rules of procedure for these meetings; and

- WHEREAS, this resolution is intended to facilitate the orderly and efficient conduct of such meetings; and
- WHEREAS, Article VI, section 6 of the City Charter authorizes the City Council to adopt and enforce reasonable rules of procedure; and
- WHEREAS, with respect to counting votes and abstentions, Rosenberg's Rules of Order, dated 2011 distinguishes "those present" from those "present and voting," making the "present and voting" rule the default unless changed; and
- WHEREAS, the Council wishes it and its other subsidiary bodies' vote-counting rule to be the default, "present and voting" rule.
- NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Grass Valley resolves as follows:
- **SECTION 1.** The foregoing recitals are each true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.
- **SECTION 2.** The City Council of the City of Grass Valley adopts the 2011 Rosenberg Rules of Order, a copy of which is on file in the office of the City Clerk, to govern meetings of the Council and all other boards, committees and commissions of the City.
- **SECTION 3.** This resolution is not a project subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3) because it has no potential to effect the physical environment.
 - **SECTION 4.** This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.

378875.2

Taylor Whittingslow, City Clerk	Michael G. Colantuono, City Attorney
ATTEST:	Approved as to Form:
	Jan Arbuckle, Mayor
ABSTAINING:	
ABSENT:	
NAYS:	
AYES:	

ADOPTED as a Resolution of the Council of the City of Grass Valley at a meeting

thereof held on the 26th day of November 2024 by the following vote:

378875.2