



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
ITS IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Platted Alley R-O-W and Public Easements

within

***Block 5, Grand Rapids Second Division and
Block 9, Houghton's Second Addition***

April 6, 2023



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

- **Petitioner: Grand Rapids Economic Development Authority**
- **Requested Vacation: The N/S alley in Block 5, Grand Rapids Second Division and two utility easements recorded as document numbers 375506 and 183430 (former Forest Lake School Site)**

Petitioner's Stated Reason for Request: sufficient easements and right of way are being dedicated within the proposed plat of Forest Lake Addition. Existing easements and alley right of way will no longer be needed and should be vacated to clear title to the property.



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Requested Vacations:

Alley and Utility Easement Vacations





CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Grand Rapids Second Division

- Platted 1890
- N/S Alley within Blk. 5

GRAND RAPIDS SECOND DIVISION

in
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and Lots land 6 of Sec. 20 T $\frac{1}{2}$ 55 N R 25 W 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ P.M.
ITASCA CO. MINN.



I, Edwin R. Lewis,
surveyed and plotted the plat
stone monuments at the
are correctly shown; the
plot are correct.

State of Minnesota
County of Itasca ss.
On
Minnesota, personally appeared
certified and acknowledged.

Wm. Jesse B. Chatter
and John C. Rosser and Z.
proctors respectively of the
East quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Lot



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Staff Review Committee:

- **The Engineering Department/Public Works Department have no objections to the petitioned vacations.**
- **Staff review committee consists of: Engineering/Public Works Department, Community Development Department, Fire Department and the Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission.**



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Planning Commission Considerations:

PLANNING COMMISSION

Considerations

RIGHT-OF-WAY VACATIONS

1. Is the right-of-way needed for traffic purposes?
Why/Why not?

2. Is the right-of-way needed for pedestrian purposes?
Why/Why not?

3. Is the right-of-way needed for utility purposes?
Why/Why not?

4. Would vacating the right-of-way place additional land on the tax rolls?
Why/Why not?

5. Would vacating the right-of-way facilitate economic development in the City?
Why/Why not?



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Questions?