

## Planning Commission Staff Report

| Agenda Item #2      | Community Development<br>Department   | Date: 11/3/2022   |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Statement of Issue: | Conduct a Public Hearing to consider a variance petitic   | n submitted by  |
| Statement of Issue: | Oppidan Investment Company, and the present prope   | •   |
|                     | Rapids Economic Development Authority.  | rty owner, Grana  |
| Background:         | <ul> <li>Oppidan Investment Company, and the present property owner, GREDA have applied for one variance, which if granted, would allow for the construction of a four story, 132-unit multi-family housing community building having an average building height to the mid-point of the roof of up to 48 ft.</li> <li>The subject property is 14.9 acres in area, and is currently located within a SR-4 (Shoreland Multiple-Family Residential- <i>high density</i>) zoning district (which was subject to an expanded Zoning Map Amendment request in February 2020) and legally described as: <i>Lot 1, Block 1, Great River Acres</i></li> </ul> |   |
|                     |   |   |
|                     | Oppidan Investment Company, has requested the Plan<br>consideration of one variance from Section 30-512 Tak<br>Municipal Code, which lists District Development Regu<br>Structures (setbacks/lot coverage/building height) with   | ble 17C-2 of the<br>lations for Principal   |
|                     | The requested variance, if approved, would allow for t<br>four story, 132-unit multi-family housing community b<br>of one-, two, and three-bedroom units. As proposed, t<br>structure would have an average building height to the<br>of 48 ft., exceeding the 45 ft. maximum building height<br>(Shoreland Multiple-Family Residential- <i>high density</i> ) z  | uilding, having a mix<br>he residential<br>e mid-point of the roof<br>t allowed within a SR-4 |
|                     | The applicant, within the variance petition, cites a neer<br>story building in order to maximize site density, while<br>shoreland density/tier requirements, maintaining a co<br>& placement for the proposed development, to help a<br>additional housing options in the area.   | working within the hesive building design   |
|                     | Additionally, the design of the building will create an in<br>that fits the look and feel of the neighborhood, while w<br>unique topography, and accommodating stormwater/<br>parking requirements.   | working with the sites  |
|                     | As some background information, there are a couple or<br>ordinances limit building height: First, to prevent the i<br>access to neighboring properties. Another reason zon<br>typically restrict structure height is tied to firefighting o   | impairment of solar ing ordinances  |

| I | <u> </u>  |
|---|---|
|   | Rapids, our firefighting ladder equipment is capable of fighting fires in tall structures, such as the Blandin Paper Mill which is approximately 100 feet in height, making this is less of a concern.  |
|   | In looking at the question of solar access impairment to neighboring properties, staff compared a 48 ft. tall building setback 35 ft. from the side yard property line (as proposed), with a 45 ft. tall building setback 20 ft. from the side yard property line (this in the min. setback in SR-4/R-4 zoning district), and determined that the AM sun would reach the side yard property line earlier with the 48 ft. building setback at a greater distance, rather than the 45 ft. tall building setback at the minimum distance allowed.  |
|   | <ul> <li>While the Grow Grand Rapids 2040 Comprehensive Plan does not address items such as "building height", it identifies several broad Goals and Objectives related Housing needs in the community:</li> <li>Goal 1: Facilitate the development of a vibrant, varied, and</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li>interconnected housing stock.</li> <li>b. Identify opportunities to incentivize housing development<br/>that encourages developers to pursue an affordable and<br/>varied housing stock that meets community needs.</li> <li>Additionally, find opportunities to promote housing in<br/>needed locations throughout the community beyond the<br/>profitable locations.</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li>Goal 2: Provide a mix of affordability in the City's housing stock.</li> <li>b. Provide for a range of housing typologies that respond to community needs. A sustainable community is one that has housing for people at all phases of life, from young to old, single-person to family, over a variety of incomes. In particular, the City will continue to see a need for more senior housing choices, ranging from independent living to assisted living communities. A related area of focus is "Missing Middle Housing", which includes single-family attached and right-sized multifamily options that can be integrated into existing neighborhoods, supporting goals for interconnected housing and aging in place.</li> </ul> |
|   | The construction of a four story, 132-unit multi-family housing community building, having an average building height to the mid-point of the roof of 48 ft., as proposed, would require the Planning Commission's approval of one variance   |
|   | <ul> <li>variance.</li> <li>1. Section 30-512 Table 17C-2 of the Municipal Code, which lists<br/>District Development Regulations for Principal Structures<br/>(setbacks/lot coverage/building height) within Shoreland Districts,<br/>and establishes a 45 ft. maximum building height for principal<br/>structures within a SR-4 zoning district.</li> <li>Potential condition for variance approval outlined below in<br/>"example motion"</li> </ul>  |

| Considerations:  | When reviewing a request for a variance, the Planning Commission must make findings based on the attached list of considerations.   |
|------------------|---|
| Recommendation:  | Staff recommends that the Planning Commissioners visit the site and look at the situation.  |
|                  | Prior to making a motion to approve or deny the request, the Planning<br>Commission should make specific findings to support its recommendation<br>and reference those specific findings in their motion to either approve or<br>deny the variance(s).  |
| Required Action: | Approve a motion to either: approve, approve with additional conditions, or deny the petitioned variance.   |
|                  | Example Motion:   |
|                  | Motion by, second by that, based on the findings<br>of fact presented here today, and in the public's best interest, the<br>Planning Commission does hereby (grant)(deny) the following<br>variance to Oppidan Investment Company, and the present property<br>owner, Grand Rapids Economic Development Authority for the<br>property legally described above/within Staff Report;  |
|                  | <ul> <li>to allow a one-time waiver of the requirements of Section<br/>30-512 Table 17C-2 of the Municipal Code for the<br/>construction of a four story, 132-unit multi-family housing<br/>community building which would have an average building<br/>height to the mid-point of the roof of 48 ft., exceeding the<br/>45 ft. maximum building height allowed within a SR-4<br/>(Shoreland Multiple-Family Residential- high density) zoned<br/>district by 3 ft., as depicted in the variance application<br/>submitted by Oppidan Investment Company, and the<br/>present property owner, Grand Rapids Economic<br/>Development Authority.</li> </ul> |
|                  | (If the Planning Commission wishes to place conditions upon their approval, the following should be added to the motion:)   |
|                  | <ul> <li>and that the following condition(s) shall apply:</li> <li>The variance approval is contingent on building being setback a minimum of 35 ft. from the west side yard property line, as depicted on the draft site plan.</li> </ul>  |
| Attachments:     | Site Map  |

| <ul> <li>Copy of the variance petition and associated documentation</li> </ul> |
|--|
| Correspondence   |
| Updated Housing Study  |
| List of the Planning Commissions Variance Considerations                       |