

Council member _____ introduced the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 23-__

A RESOLUTION FOR THE VACATING OF THE N/S ALLEY IN BLOCK 5 OF GRAND RAPIDS SECOND DIVISION AND TWO UTILITY EASEMENTS RECORDED AS DOCUMENTS 183430 AND 375506

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission, at their regular meeting on April 6, 2023 reviewed the vacation request for an alley and two public utility easements described as:

N/S alley in Block 5, Grand Rapids Second Division, Itasca County, Minnesota and public easements for utilities established in Itasca County Records #183430 and #375506.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission found the vacation to be in the best interest of the public's health, safety, and general welfare; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission forwarded a recommendation for approval of the requested vacation; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk's affidavit of publication of Notice of Public Hearing and of mailing notices to area residents were provided; and

WHEREAS, the Grand Rapids City Council conducted a public hearing on April 24, 2023, to consider the vacation of retained public utility easements described above; and

WHEREAS, all persons who wished to voice their opinion in regard to the above mentioned vacation were allowed to be heard; and

WHEREAS, it appears that the vacation will be in the best interest of the City to approve such petition;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA: that the City Council does concur with the Planning Commission's findings that this vacation is in the best interest of the public's health, safety, and general welfare, and hereby vacates the above described alley right of way and public utility easements based on the following findings of fact:

- The easements are not needed for traffic purposes, as they were designated for utility purposes.
- The easements are not needed for pedestrian purposes.
- The easements are not needed for utility purposes, as replacement easements will be dedicated within the proposed plat of Forest Lake Addition.
- Vacating the easements will not put additional land on the tax rolls, as new easements will be established within the proposed subdivision of Forest Lake Addition.
- Vacating the easements would facilitate economic development in the City, by allowing for additional development to take place on the property after its vacation.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that;

1. The City Council instructs the City Clerk to submit a copy of this resolution to the Itasca County Assessor, Itasca County Recorder, and the Itasca County Auditor upon approval of the final plat of Forest Lake Addition.

Adopted by the City Council this 24th day of April 2023.

Dale Christy, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kim Gibeau, City Clerk

Council member seconded the foregoing resolution and the following voted in favor thereof: ; and the following voted against same: ; whereby the resolution was declared duly passed and adopted.

This document was drafted by:
Rob Mattei, Director of Community Development
City of Grand Rapids
420 North Pokegama Avenue
Grand Rapids, MN 55744