

Petition for Variance

Community Development Department 420 North Pokegama Ave.
Grand Rapids, MN 55744
Tel. (218) 326-7601 Fax (218) 326-7621
Web Site: www.cityofgrandrapidsmn.com

The undersigned do	hereby respectfully	request the following	be granted by support of the	ne following facts herei	n shown:
Reed and Wendy	Larson				
Name of Applicant*1			Name of Owner (If other than applicant)		
802 SE 5th Stree	et				
Address			Address		
Grand Rapids	MN	55744			
City	State	Zip	City	State	Zip
320-220-3705	reedalarson@	yahoo.com			
Business Telephone/	e-mail address		Business Telepho	one/e-mail address	
*1 76 ann/ica	ent is not the sum o	nlagge describe the	annlicant's interest in the s	hioat	
		•	applicant's interest in the su	ibject	
property					
Parcel Information	n:				
-			Dogwood	y Size: 15,665 Sq. Ft.	
Tax Parcel # <u>91-70</u>			Propert	y Size: 10,000 04.1 ti	
Existing Zoning: SR-	·1 				
Existing Use: Single	Family Reside	ntial House			
Property Address/Loc					
Property Address/Loc	cation: OUL OL OL	11 011 001			
10	TS 10-12 BLK 8: as	and the E $1/2$ of VAC V	ONIES AVE (15th AVE NIM)	IVG AD LTO LOT 12 F	SI K S
LegalDescription:	(attach addit	ional sheet if necessar	ONES AVE (15th AVE NW)	LIGADJ TO LOT 12 L	
	(attach addit	ional sheet ii necessai	Y)		
I(we) certify that, to	the best of my(our) knowledge, informat	ion, and belief, all of the in	formation presented in	this
			information and submittals		
		mployees, and agents deciding upon this ap	of the City of Grand Rapids	s wishing to view the si	te for
	ng, evaluating, and	acciding apon the ap	pheadom		
Signature(s) of Applie	cant(s)		Date		
Signature of Owner (If other than the A	nnlicant)	 Date		-
Signature of Owner (ir other than the A	pplicality	Date		
		Office Use C	Only		
Date Received	Certified Comp		Fee Paid		
Planning Commission R	ecommendation:	Approve	d Denied	Meeting Date_	
Summary of Special Cor	nditions of Approval:				

Page 1 of 4

<u>Requir</u>	ed Submittals:
□ Appli	cation Fee - \$252.50 * ²
	Map- Drawn to scale, showing the property dimensions, existing and proposed, building(s)/addition(s) and their size(s) g: square footage, curb cuts, driveways, access roads, parking spaces, sidewalks and wells & septic systems.
	* ² The application fees charged are used for postage to mail the required notices to adjacent properties, publication of the public hearing notice in the Grand Rapids Herald Review, and for a small portion of staff time for case review and preparation of documents. It is the policy of the City of Grand Rapids to require applicants for land use approvals to reimburse the City for costs incurred by the City in reviewing and acting upon applications, so that these costs are not borne by the taxpayers of the City.
<u>Propos</u>	sed Variance:
A.	Please describe in detail the proposed or requested variance: We are applying for a variance for a proposed 20' x 36' addition located directly east of our house. Our proposed
	addition will be located 55' from the Ordinary High Water Line of Forest Lake (and located outside the shore impact
	zone). Forest Lake is considered a recreational development lake with a setback of 75'. When measuring the OHWL
	the closest measurement is located on property owned by the City of Grand Rapids because the shoreline meanders south.
ordinan Plannin	Provide an itemization of the required regulations pertaining to this variance (i.e., setback lines, lot coverage ratios, parking requirements). The property the proposed variance is being requested is approximately 15,665 square feet. It is currently zoned SR-1 and on Forest Lake. The maximum lot coverage is 35 percent or 5,482 square feet. It is currently developed with a 672 square foot house and 864 square foot garage. The new addition would be 780 sq. ft the lot coverage would equal 14.7%. The lakeshore currently has a buffer of 10' outside the shoreland recreation area. As stated above the primary issue is the lakeshore setback of 55' (closest point) located on the City of Grand Rapids property. Cation of Requested Variance: Provide adequate evidence indicating compliance with the following provisions of the ce concerning variances (Section 30-453(e) "Findings for Variances"). Detailed answers are needed because the g Commission shall grant a variation only when they have determined, and recorded in writing, that all of the following ns have been met.
A.	That the requested variance does not allow a use that is otherwise excluded from the particular zoning district in which it is requested.
	Applicant justification (refer to Table of Uses in City Code Section 30-512):
	The variance is asking for an addition to the existing dwelling - which is consistant with the SR-1
	zoning district.

	The variance is asking for an addition that would be located east off the exisiting dwelling, it					
	meets the requirements of the ordinance besides OHWL setback from the property to the east. The addition along					
	with the exisiting buildings will be under the 35 percent building lot coverage (14.7 percent).					
	The plight of the landowner is due to sireumstances unique to the property in question, and not created by the					
•	The plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property in question, and not created by the landowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance.					
	Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:					
	The unique size of the parcel and how it was developed between SE 5th Street and Forrest Lake					
	creates issues not created by the landowner. Also, the closest point to the OHWL is located on City property and					
	not the owners property making this situation unique to the property.					
•	That the variance, if granted, shall be in harmony with purposes and intent of the ordinance, and will not be detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the esser character of the locality.					
	Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:					
	The variance request will be in harmony with the existing neighborhood - currently, the neighborhood is a mix of residential and					
	business. There appears to be one house located between SE 5th Street and Forest Lake. The variance will not alter the essen					
	character of the locality. The 10' foot buffer will remain on the property.					
	That the variance, if granted, shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan.					
	Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:					
	Goal 4: Protect and enhance the character, amenities, varation and livability of residential areas. The variance if					
	granted is consistant with Goal 4 of the comprehensive land use plan by promoting developement of neighborhood					
	and focusing on retention and enhancement of the property within the neighborhood. This preserves the cities					
	cultural identity and strengthens community.					

City Process:

- 1. Applicant submits a completed application to the Grand Rapids Community Development Department by the 15th of the month.
- 2. Review by staff for completeness of application.
- 3. Notification of adjoining property owners.
- 4. Publish Notice of Public Hearing.
- 5. Prepare Staff Report and background information.
- 6. Public Hearing and action at Planning Commission Meeting (First Thursday of each month).

Findings for Approval:

The Planning Commission, in support of its action, will make findings of fact based on their responses to the following list of considerations:

- Is this an "Area" variance rather than a "Use" variance?
- Does the proposal put property to use in a reasonable manner?
- Are there unique circumstances to the property not created by the landowner?
- Is the variance in harmony with the purposes and intent of the ordinance?
- Will the variance, if granted, alter the essential character of the locality?
- Is the variance consistent with the comprehensive plan?

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED

More information may be requested by the City of Grand Rapids Planning Commission, if deemed necessary to properly evaluate your request. The lack of information requested may be in itself sufficient cause to deny an application.