

Council member _____ introduced the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 24-__

A Resolution for the Vacating that part of Simpson Avenue (Second Avenue NE), according to the plat of Grand Rapids First Division, on file and of record in the Office of the Itasca County Recorder, that lies between Blocks 27 and 28 of said plat and northerly of parcel 21 as depicted on the Minnesota Department of Transportation's R.O.W. Plat No. 31-136

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission, at their regular meeting on December 16, 2024, reviewed the vacation request for the public right of way retained by the Village (City) of Grand Rapids described as:

That part of Simpson Avenue (Second Avenue NE), according to the plat of Grand Rapids First Division, on file and of record in the Office of the Itasca County Recorder, that lies between Blocks 27 and 28 of said plat and northerly of parcel 21 as depicted on the Minnesota Department of Transportation's R.O.W. Plat No. 31-136

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission found the vacation to be in the best interest of the public's health, safety, and general welfare; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission forwarded a recommendation for approval of the requested vacation; and

WHEREAS, the City Clerk's affidavit of publication of Notice of Public Hearing and of mailing notices to area residents were provided; and

WHEREAS, the Grand Rapids City Council conducted a public hearing on December 16, 2024, to consider the vacation described above; and

WHEREAS, all persons who wished to voice their opinion in regard to the above mentioned vacation were allowed to be heard; and

WHEREAS, it appears that the vacation will be in the best interest of the City to approve such petition;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA: that the City Council does concur with the Planning Commission's findings that this vacation is in the best interest of the public's health, safety, and general welfare, and hereby vacates the above described right-of way based on the following findings of fact:

- The vacation is not needed for traffic purposes, as it is a public vacation of right-of-way.
- The vacation is not needed for pedestrian purposes, as it is located within a government

- area where sidewalks already exist.
- The vacation is not needed for utility purposes.
 - The vacation will allow the existing area to be managed by county government operations.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that;

1. The City hereby retains a utility easement over the entire portion of right of way to be vacated.
2. The City Council instructs the City Clerk to submit a copy of this resolution to the Itasca County Assessor, Itasca County Recorder, and the Itasca County Auditor.

Adopted by the Council this 16th day of December 2024.

Tasha Connelly, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kim Gibeau, City Clerk

Council member _____ seconded the foregoing resolution and the following voted in favor thereof: ;
and the following voted against same: ; whereby the resolution was declared duly passed and adopted.

This document was drafted by:
Dan Swenson, Assistant Community Development Director
City of Grand Rapids
420 North Pokegama Avenue
Grand Rapids, MN 55744