



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Street Right of Way Vacation

That part of Simpson Avenue (Second Avenue NE), according to the plat of Grand Rapids First Division, on file and of record in the Office of the Itasca County Recorder, that lies between Blocks 27 and 28 of said plat and northerly of parcel 21 as depicted on the Minnesota Department of Transportation's R.O.W. Plat No. 31-136

December 16, 2024



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
ITS IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Petitioner: City of Grand Rapids Planning Commission (Sept. 2024)


Requested Vacation: That part of Simpson Avenue (Second Avenue NE), according to the plat of Grand Rapids First Division, on file and of record in the Office of the Itasca County Recorder, that lies between Blocks 27 and 28 of said plat and northerly of parcel 21 as depicted on the Minnesota Department of Transportation's R.O.W. Plat No. 31-136

Petitioner's Stated Reason for Request: The dead-end road has no through outlet and is used for county government related operations. The parking areas and snow removal would then be managed by the County



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
ITS IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request





CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request





CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

Staff Review Committee

- **No comments**
- **Staff review committee consists of: Engineering/Public Works Department, Community Development Department, Fire Department and the Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission**



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Public Vacation Request

The Planning Commission reviewed this requested vacation at their December 5th meeting.

Based upon their review and list of considerations, the Planning Commission made findings that supported their recommendation to the city council for approval of the request.

Their findings are incorporated into the draft resolution to be considered following the public hearing.

PLANNING COMMISSION

Considerations

RIGHT-OF-WAY VACATIONS

1. Is the right-of-way needed for traffic purposes?
Why/Why not?
2. Is the right-of-way needed for pedestrian purposes?
Why/Why not?
3. Is the right-of-way needed for utility purposes?
Why/Why not?
4. Would vacating the right-of-way place additional land on the tax rolls?
Why/Why not?
5. Would vacating the right-of-way facilitate economic development in the City?
Why/Why not?



CITY OF
GRAND RAPIDS
IT'S IN MINNESOTA'S NATURE

Questions...