

Administration Professional Services Rating Sheet

Allocation Recipient _____ Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name DEMETRA CONRAD Date of Rating 6/3/21

Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor. Information necessary to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered either from past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting past/current clients of the Respondent. Respondents proposing to offer specific services (environmental or buyout only) will be scored only on those services.

Experience

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Related Experience / Background with federally funded projects	5	<u>5</u>
2. Related Experience / Background with specific project type (infrastructure, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)	5	<u>5</u>
3. Related experience/background with specific services:		
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	5	<u>X</u>
b. Environmental review	5	<u>5</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	5	<u>0</u>
4. References from current/past clients	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Experience	30	<u>25</u>

Work Performance

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Submits requests to client in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
2. Responds to client requests in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
3. Past client projects completed on schedule	5	<u>5</u>
4. Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors	5	<u>4</u>
5. Past client projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns	5	<u>4</u>
6. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Performance	30	<u>28</u>

Capacity to Perform

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Qualifications / Experience of Staff		
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	4	<u>4</u>
b. Environmental review	4	<u>4</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	4	<u>0</u>
2. Present and Projected Workloads	4	<u>4</u>
3. Demonstrated understanding of scope of the CLFRF	4	<u>4</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	<u>16</u>

Proposed Cost

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposed cost is in line with independent estimate and compared with all cost proposals received	20	<u>19</u>
A = Lowest Proposal \$ <u>281,500</u> $A + B \times 20 = \text{Respondent's Score}$	20	
B = Respondent's Proposal \$ <u>30,000</u>	20	

TOTAL SCORE

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Experience	30	<u>25</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	30	<u>28</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	20	<u>16</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposed Cost	20	<u>19</u>
Total Score	100	<u>88</u>

Heath, Foot
(910) 340-4101

Administration Professional Services Rating Sheet

Allocation Recipient _____ Name of Respondent _____
 Evaluator's Name DEMETRA CONRAD Date of Rating 6/3/21

Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor. Information necessary to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered either from past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting past/current clients of the Respondent. Respondents proposing to offer specific services (environmental or buyout only) will be scored only on those services.

Experience

Factors	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Related Experience / Background with federally funded projects	5	4
2. Related Experience / Background with specific project type (infrastructure, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)	5	4
3. Related experience/background with specific services:		
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	5	4
b. Environmental review	5	4
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	5	0
4. References from current/past clients	5	4
Subtotal, Experience	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>

Work Performance

Factors	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Submits requests to client in a timely manner	5	4
2. Responds to client requests in a timely manner	5	4
3. Past client projects completed on schedule	5	5
4. Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors	5	4
5. Past client projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns	5	4
6. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5
Subtotal, Performance	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>

Capacity to Perform

Factors	Max.Pts.	Score
1. Qualifications / Experience of Staff		5
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	4	4
b. Environmental review	4	4
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	4	0
2. Present and Projected Workloads	4	3
3. Demonstrated understanding of scope of the CLFRF	4	3
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>

Proposed Cost

Factors	Max.Pts.	Score
Proposed cost is in line with independent estimate and compared with all cost proposals received	20	20
A = Lowest Proposal \$ <u>28,500</u> $A + B \times 20 = \text{Respondent's Score}$	20	
B = Respondent's Proposal \$ <u>28,500</u>		

TOTAL SCORE

Factors	Max.Pts.	Score
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	30	20
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	30	26
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	20	14
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Cost	20	20
Total Score	<u>100</u>	<u>80</u>

Administration Professional Services Rating Sheet

Allocation Recipient GLENN ROSE Name of Respondent PUBLIC MANAGEMENT
 Evaluator's Name JIM HOLDER Date of Rating 6-3-2021

Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor. Information necessary to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered either from past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting past/current clients of the Respondent. Respondents proposing to offer specific services (**environmental or buyout only**) will be scored only on those services.

Experience

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Related Experience / Background with federally funded projects	5	<u>4</u>
2. Related Experience / Background with specific project type (infrastructure, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)	5	<u>4</u>
3. Related experience/background with specific services:		<u>4</u>
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	5	<u>4</u>
b. Environmental review	5	<u>4</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	5	<u>0</u>
4. References from current/past clients	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Experience	30	<u>25</u>

Work Performance

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Submits requests to client in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
2. Responds to client requests in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
3. Past client projects completed on schedule	5	<u>5</u>
4. Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors	5	<u>5</u>
5. Past client projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns	5	<u>5</u>
6. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Performance	30	<u>30</u>

Capacity to Perform

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Qualifications / Experience of Staff		<u>4</u>
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	4	<u>4</u>
b. Environmental review	4	<u>4</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	4	<u>0</u>
2. Present and Projected Workloads	4	<u>4</u>
3. Demonstrated understanding of scope of the CLFRF	4	<u>4</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	<u>20</u>

Proposed Cost

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposed cost is in line with independent estimate and compared with all cost proposals received	20	<u>19</u>
A = Lowest Proposal \$ <u>28,500.</u> $A \div B \times 20 = \text{Respondent's Score}$	20	<u>19</u>
B = Respondent's Proposal \$ <u>30,000.</u>		

TOTAL SCORE

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	30	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	30	<u>30</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	20	<u>20</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Cost	20	<u>19</u>
Total Score	100	<u>94</u>

Administration Professional Services Rating Sheet

Allocation Recipient GLENN ROSE Name of Respondent TAYLOR & ASSOCIATES
 Evaluator's Name JIM HOLDER Date of Rating 6-3-2021

Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor. Information necessary to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered either from past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting past/current clients of the Respondent. Respondents proposing to offer specific services (environmental or buyout only) will be scored only on those services.

Experience

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Related Experience / Background with federally funded projects	5	<u>4</u>
2. Related Experience / Background with specific project type (infrastructure, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)	5	<u>4</u>
3. Related experience/background with specific services:		<u>4</u>
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	5	<u>4</u>
b. Environmental review	5	<u>5</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	5	<u>0</u>
4. References from current/past clients	5	<u>3</u>
Subtotal, Experience	30	<u>24</u>

Work Performance

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Submits requests to client in a timely manner	5	<u>4</u>
2. Responds to client requests in a timely manner	5	<u>4</u>
3. Past client projects completed on schedule	5	<u>5</u>
4. Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors	5	<u>5</u>
5. Past client projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns	5	<u>4</u>
6. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Performance	30	<u>27</u>

Capacity to Perform

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Qualifications / Experience of Staff		<u>4</u>
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	4	<u>4</u>
b. Environmental review	4	<u>4</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	4	<u>0</u>
2. Present and Projected Workloads	4	<u>3</u>
3. Demonstrated understanding of scope of the CLFRF	4	<u>3</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	<u>18</u>

Proposed Cost

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposed cost is in line with independent estimate and compared with all cost proposals received	20	<u>20</u>
A = Lowest Proposal \$ <u>28,500</u> A ÷ B X 20 = Respondent's Score	20	<u>20</u>
B = Respondent's Proposal \$ <u>28,500</u>		

TOTAL SCORE

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	30	<u>24</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	30	
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	20	<u>18</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Cost	20	<u>20</u>
Total Score	100	<u>84</u>

Administration Professional Services Rating Sheet

Allocation Recipient GLEN ROSE Name of Respondent TRAYLOR & ASSOCIATES
 Evaluator's Name MICHAEL LEAMONS Date of Rating 6-3-21

Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor. Information necessary to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered either from past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting past/current clients of the Respondent. Respondents proposing to offer specific services (**environmental or buyout only**) will be scored only on those services.

Experience

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Related Experience / Background with federally funded projects	5	<u>5</u>
2. Related Experience / Background with specific project type (infrastructure, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)	5	<u>5</u>
3. Related experience/background with specific services:		
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	5	<u>5</u>
b. Environmental review	5	<u>5</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	5	<u>0</u>
4. References from current/past clients	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Experience	30	<u>25</u>

Work Performance

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Submits requests to client in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
2. Responds to client requests in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
3. Past client projects completed on schedule	5	<u>5</u>
4. Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors	5	<u>5</u>
5. Past client projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns	5	<u>4</u>
6. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>4</u>
Subtotal, Performance	30	<u>28</u>

Capacity to Perform

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Qualifications / Experience of Staff		
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	4	<u>3</u>
b. Environmental review	4	<u>3</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	4	<u>0</u>
2. Present and Projected Workloads	4	<u>4</u>
3. Demonstrated understanding of scope of the CLFRF	4	<u>4</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	<u>14</u>

Proposed Cost

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposed cost is in line with independent estimate and compared with all cost proposals received	20	<u>20</u>
A = Lowest Proposal \$ <u>28500</u> $A \div B \times 20 = \text{Respondent's Score}$	20	
B = Respondent's Proposal \$ <u>28500</u>		

TOTAL SCORE

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	30	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	30	<u>28</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	20	<u>14</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Cost	20	<u>20</u>
Total Score	100	<u>87</u>

Administration Professional Services Rating Sheet

Allocation Recipient GLEN ROSE

Name of Respondent PUBLIC MANAGEMENT

Evaluator's Name _____

Date of Rating 6-3-21

Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding points up to the maximum listed for each factor. Information necessary to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered either from past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting past/current clients of the Respondent. Respondents proposing to offer specific services (**environmental or buyout only**) will be scored only on those services.

Experience

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Related Experience / Background with federally funded projects	5	<u>5</u>
2. Related Experience / Background with specific project type (infrastructure, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)	5	<u>5</u>
3. Related experience/background with specific services:		
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	5	<u>5</u>
b. Environmental review	5	<u>5</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	5	<u>0</u>
4. References from current/past clients	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Experience	30	<u>25</u>

Work Performance

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Submits requests to client in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
2. Responds to client requests in a timely manner	5	<u>5</u>
3. Past client projects completed on schedule	5	<u>5</u>
4. Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors	5	<u>5</u>
5. Past client projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns	5	<u>5</u>
6. Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	<u>5</u>
Subtotal, Performance	30	<u>30</u>

Capacity to Perform

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Qualifications / Experience of Staff		
a. Administrative, construction management, and related acquisition	4	<u>4</u>
b. Environmental review	4	<u>4</u>
c. Buyout management (if not applicable score '0')	4	<u>0</u>
2. Present and Projected Workloads	4	<u>4</u>
3. Demonstrated understanding of scope of the CLFRF	4	<u>4</u>
Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	<u>16</u>

Proposed Cost

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
Proposed cost is in line with independent estimate and compared with all cost proposals received	20	<u>19</u>
A = Lowest Proposal \$ <u>28500</u> $A \div B \times 20 = \text{Respondent's Score}$	20	
B = Respondent's Proposal \$ <u>30000</u>		

TOTAL SCORE

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Max.Pts.</u>	<u>Score</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Experience	30	<u>25</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Work Performance	30	<u>30</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Capacity to Perform	20	<u>16</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Cost	20	<u>19</u>
Total Score	100	<u>90</u>