## **Texas Community Development Block Grant**

## Phase Two Solicitation for Administrative/Planning Services

## **Evaluation of Proposals**

Applicant Community:	City of Glen Rose							
<b>Evaluation Team:</b>	Name of Evaluator						Title	
(at least three persons required,	Jim	Holde	r <i>(</i> )	m/	poli	du	Public Works Director – Glen Rose	
including one local official)			7					
Program: (list ONLY one	2023/2024 TxCDBG – Community Development Fund							
program per form, create a								
separate A508 for each additional								
program)								
Description of Anticipated	Eligible activities under the 2023/2024 Regional Priorities including							
Project:	water, sewer, street, and drainage improvements.							
<b>Date Solicitation Sent:</b>	October 19, 2022							
Responses received:	Name of Firm						<b>Date Response Received</b>	
	Langford Community						October 19, 2022	
	Management Services, Inc.							
	Public Management						October 24, 2022	
	Traylor & Associates, Inc.						October 28, 2022	
i								
<b>Evaluation of Proposals:</b>	<ul> <li>Enter for each criterion &amp; proposal: (criteria listed on A506)</li> <li>Points awarded, or</li> <li>Evaluation such as Highly Advantageous (H), Advantageous (A), Not Advantageous (N), or Unacceptable (U).</li> </ul>							
(revise/add/delete services in this								
section as appropriate)								
A 1								
(11), 110t Advantageous (11), of Onacceptable (0).								
Name of Firm		<u> </u>	Ι		<u> </u>		Notes	
Name of Pilm		(1)		st			Notes	
	မွ	논	ي ا	ŏ				
	Experience	Prior Work Performance	Capacity to Perform	Proposed Cost				
	eri	r V	Capacity Perform	800	l H	15		
	άx	erf	ap		Other	Other		
	国	4 4	O M	<u>A</u>	0	0		
Langford Community	25	28	16	16			Total - 85	
Management Services, Inc.								
Public Management	25	27	16	20			Total - 88	
Traylor & Associates, Inc.	25	26	16	19			Total - 86	
							-7-	
10.00 200.00								
	11181		ensus en	THE PERSON		12/14/10		
Firm Recommended:						-		
Firm Selected:			-		-		100	
1 mm bolottou.	* If Firm Selected differs from Firm recommended by Evaluators, provide explanation							
Conflict of Interest Evaluated by:	☐ Conflict exists, ☐ No conflict							
Commet of interest Evaluated by.		firm disqualified exists						
Date Awarded by Governing Body:		1111	ii uisqu	anne	<u>u</u>		CAIGO	
Signature of Lead Evaluator:						-		
Loignature of Lead Evaluator.					(7)			