

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



Land Conservation & Stewardship Board

Regular Meeting | 1745 Hoffman Mill Road

December 14, 2022

Members:

Andrea Elson, Chair
Ross Cunniff, Vice Chair
Mike Weber, Member
Denise Culver, Member
Joe Piesman, Member

Vicky McLane, Member
Alycia Crall, Member
Elena Lopez, Member
Cole Kramer, Member

-
1. **CALL TO ORDER:** Meeting was called to order at 5:30 p.m.
 2. **ROLL CALL:** Andrea Elson, Ross Cunniff, Elena Lopez, Cole Kramer, Mike Weber, Joe Piesman, Denise Culver, Vicky McLane
Excused: Alycia Crall
NAD Staff: Katie Donahue, Kristy Bruce, Zoë Shark, AJ Chlebnik, Tawnya Ernst, Alynne Karnes; Charlotte Norville
City Staff: Sylvia Tatman-Burruss, Project Manager, City Manager's Office (CMO) and Ginny Sawyer, Sr. Project Manager, CMO, Dean Klinger, Interim Community Services Director
 3. **GUESTS:** Councilmember Kelly Ohlson, Caitlyn Sheridan, Project Coordinator, Kearns & West; and Morgan Lommele, Director, Kearns & West
 4. **COMMUNITY PARTICIPANTS:** Ellis Carpenter
 5. **AGENDA REVIEW:** Chair Elson amended the agenda to allow for Councilmember Ohlson, and LCSB to thank members whose terms are ending, add time for Councilmember Ohlson to make a statement about the Hughes stakeholder engagement, and a general discussion of the Bicycle Advisory Committee.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Vice Chair Cunniff made a motion to approve the November LCSB meeting minutes. Member Kramer seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved 8-0.

7. DISCUSSION ITEMS:

Thank you to exiting board members.

Councilmember Kelly Ohlson voiced his and Council's appreciation to board members Vicky McLane, Mike Weber and Alycia Crall and stated their work on the board made a difference. He invited Members McLane and Weber to comment about their time on the Land Conservation and Stewardship Board. Member McLane described her time on the board as an amazing education and an opportunity to share her knowledge and LCSB has been an important part of that outreach. Chair Elson voiced with her appreciation of Member McLane's feistiness and

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



Land Conservation & Stewardship Board Regular Meeting

willingness to passionately defend her positions on issues and thanked her for serving.

Member Weber also mentioned the educational aspect of serving on the board and his gratitude for the opportunity. He appreciated learning about the LCSB perspective, process, and history. He also stated the hope that his time on the board, as perhaps more recreation focused than other members, helped to increase an understanding that conservation and recreation are not mutually exclusive.

Members, Kramer and Cuniff stated their appreciation for the diversity of opinions on the LCSB and how diversity enriches discussion and can help members find common ground. Member Kramer reiterated Member Weber's about the value a recreation perspective brings to the board discussions. Vice Chair Cuniff also thanked Member McLane for your effective voice before Council.

Councilmember Ohlson noted there is still one opening on the board, and instructions from the Clerk's Office for filling the vacancy will be coming in the next few weeks. He also stated his intention to attend the January 11, 2023, meeting to welcome incoming members Scott Mason and Holger Kley.

Hughes Stakeholder Engagement

Sylvia Tatman-Burruss, Project Manager made a brief introduction of the Hughes Stakeholder Engagement process being managed by the communications firm Kearns & West. Kearns & West are in the early stage of the engagement process regarding the potential reuse of the former Hughes Stadium property and will be conducting extensive outreach in the next few weeks. They are currently presenting to various advisory boards and commissions to determine board priorities and identify any community groups they might have missed. They anticipate going to Council in late February-early March 2023 with potential use scenarios for the site.

Morgan Lommele and Caitlin Sheridan led LCSB through a brief review of the project milestones, ballot language, public engagement work to date, and the next steps needed in preparing site use scenarios for Council. The ballot language specifies permitted uses of the property which guided Kearns & West in determining which members of the community to contact. The ballot language also frames the questions posed to the community regarding priorities and potential uses. Kearns and West communicated there is some flexibility in the site development within the constraints of both the ballot language and existing uses (disc golf course and water detention area are likely to remain).

Morgan stated that Kearns & West are specialists in determining appropriate ways to engage with a variety of community members, and they employ an assortment of tools to gather feedback. Morgan then listed several of the outreach efforts to date: Online survey available on the City's engagement hub [Our City](#), three focus groups discussions (wildlife restoration, recreation, and raptor rescue and recovery), conversations with indigenous community members and city staff working with the indigenous community, and informational mailers sent to 700 households adjacent to the site. Input from the three focus groups included creating a regional destination for wildlife recovery or bike recreation and capitalizing on the open land to attract visitors to Fort Collins. Kearns & West recently met with the Natural Areas Department

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



Land Conservation & Stewardship Board Regular Meeting

and learned of the site characteristics and potential for restoration; this information will also be included in the scenarios.

In the next several weeks of continued engagement activities, Kearns & West will deepen outreach to indigenous peoples and community members who represent the interests of indigenous peoples, pursue conversations with the Parks and Recreation Departments, and increase community awareness of the online survey. They will also be presenting to other boards and commissions.

The culmination of community feedback collected will be distilled in to 4 or 5 different scenarios. They will likely seek additional input from the community prior to finalizing possible use scenarios for presentation to Council.

Discussion

Councilmember Ohlson opened the discussion emphasizing he was speaking for himself, not on behalf of Council. He first stated his objection to the use of “stakeholder” as it has come to represent special interest groups rather than people who have and continue to work for and support open space for its intrinsic value. He is not aware of the City nor Kearns & West having met with the leaders of Planning Action to Transform Hughes Sustainably (PATHS) or leaders of any other citizen-led open space initiatives and asked why that had not occurred.

Councilmember Ohlson voiced opposition to reuse scenarios that would provide a regional draw or function as tourist attractions. He is interested in providing open space, wildlife habitat and recreation for the people that live in the area. Sylvia Tatman-Burrus reiterated they are in the early stage of the engagement process and part of the feedback they are seeking from boards and commission is to identify additional groups for engagement. Councilmember Ohlson also stressed the need to communicate with the community the Natural Areas funding constraints and budget transparency for the project.

Chair Elson asked if Kearns & West planned to come back to LCSB to present the scenarios before taking them to Council, with Sylvia Tatman-Burrus replying yes they could share scenarios or groupings of uses, but would not yet have fully developed plans. Ginny Sawyer, Sr. Project Manager, reminded everyone there is no funding for implementation, this is likely a long-term plan. City staff will want to accurately assess what community wants and be able to outline some rough costs and the processes to realize the proposed scenarios.

Vice Chair Cuniff asked if K & W included fiscal information in the community engagement; is the public being informed about funding sources and associated constraints. Natural Areas expenditures are specifically defined by the ballot that funds Natural Areas. Morgan replied it is Kearns & West’s intention to provide Council with a clear view of the scenario options including rough costs estimates, and to be very clear during community outreach in conveying there is no budget. In response to member Culver, Sylvia Tatman-Burrus stated funding sources outside of the City budget process are being explored. Katie Donahue, Director explained that because several city departments utilize Great Outdoors Colorado (GOCO) grants there are ongoing conversations, especially among Community Services, in prioritizing requests. Ginny restated everyone is communicating there is no budget but also recognized a responsibility to be prepared to respond to community expectations and having ideas for funding mechanisms.

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



Land Conservation & Stewardship Board Regular Meeting

In response to Councilmember Ohlson's question as to why the PATHS organizers were not consulted at the beginning of the outreach, Ginny Sawyer reiterated the city does want to make sure they connect with those voices and asked board members share contact information. She also pointed to the Parks and Recreation Master plan which included a statistically valid survey identifying community needs and the City's plan to utilize the data to help inform the priorities for reuse scenarios. Sylvia Tatman-Burrus said they have not yet conducted Indigenous outreach and are coordinating with the City's Equity Office before starting that conversation. Member Lopez responded that the PATHS group is still active and looking forward to participating in outreach. They would love an opportunity to convey the importance of the spirit of law with the priority to protect it for wildlife habitat. She also noted the three pioneering members of the group are indigenous people and yet no one has reached out to them.

At Chair Elson's request, Katie Donahue summarized the conversation between the Natural Areas Department and Kearns & West. Topics covered included the limitations on how NAD funds can be spent, the department's framework for land conservation prioritization, and very rough costs estimates for habitat restoration and mitigation (many unknowns about stadium demolition) on the Hughes site. They also discussed the purchase fee arrangement which is subject to change depending on the final acreage to be managed by Natural Areas. Additionally, Katie explained no formal resource assessment of the site will be done until after acquisition: an inventory of species, habit restoration opportunities, trail connectivity, etc. Several board members expressed concern for hazardous waste on the site with Member Kramer asking if the seller can be held liable for any remediation not addressed prior to closing. Member Lopez followed by stating there are many assumptions about contamination that may or may not exist and suggested putting those assumptions aside until a formal resource assessment has been conducted. She added the PATHS group has some information to share in that regard. Vice-Chair Cuniff agreed it's best to make zero assumptions and look for best restoration opportunities on the site.

Morgan confirmed that Kearns & West will reach out to those who helped pass the ballot measure. She then invited board members to share any perspectives or opinions not yet captured. Several board members were adamant that Natural Areas funds be used only for land conservation and restoration. Recreation uses should not be funded with Natural Areas dollars nor should the construction of wildlife or raptor recovery buildings. Member Piesman stated these other items might be candidates for public-private partnerships. Vice Chair Cuniff and Member Kramer stressed any aspect of the site not managed by natural areas should still strive for low maintenance features to control costs and consider native landscaping (low water use). Katie Donahue added there has been some discussion about potential Nature in the City projects and funding at the site. Member Lopez asked again for clarification around GOCO funding for land acquisition. Katie Donahue replied that GOCO has specific goals for awarding grants and perhaps this site did not align. Zoë Shark, Public Engagement Program Manager added GOCO only funds acquisitions for properties selling at appraised value.

Member Piesman stated the property should not be dismissed as a parking lot and described the habitat on the west side as pretty rich. He reported seeing a juvenile moose on the west side about four years ago, and more recently a bobcat crossed in front of his bike near to the former stadium site.

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



Land Conservation & Stewardship Board Regular Meeting

Member Piesman suggested connecting with the frequent users of Maxwell perhaps via trailhead tabling. Member Kramer asked if informational signage could be installed to raise public awareness of the survey. Katie Donahue explained Natural Areas is not managing the public engagement for this project but offered to check with the City Manager's Office regarding signage. Charlotte Norville, Public Engagement Specialist offered to include the survey information in the department's January newsletter. Vice Chair Cuniff pointed out the survey information and link are not on the City's website home page. Sylvia Tatman-Burrus explained the survey has been shared with groups they've connected with, and the link will go out to general public on January 1st. Katie Donahue shared that she recommended to K & W to present to LCSB early in the process. Some of the future outreach mechanisms and groups to contact identified during this meeting are in fact on their list for the coming weeks.

Chair Elson stated it would have been helpful if the presentation outlined the broader scope of the process as much of the conversation was focused on planning and use concepts. Member Kramer stated the LCSB has not seen the survey or any other materials. He asked if the survey and other engagement mechanisms are offered in both English and Spanish, and if underserved communities are being engaged.

Member Lopez thanked everyone for their work and asserted the community wanted the property preserved and it will become a great asset. She also expressed a need to restore trust between the City and the public, including the PATHS group.

Morgan Lommele thanked everyone for their insight, input, and perspective.

1041 Regulations

Vice Chair Cuniff reported on the feedback and discussion of the subcommittee, much of which was whether to limit 1041 to natural areas and parks, or to cover the entire city and growth management area. Several committee members including Member Cuniff advocated for expanding the geographic area subject to regulations. It would be useful in regulating projects that might interact with parks and natural areas, i.e., water flows from these projects into our stream sheds. Vice Chair Cuniff also reported it was Council's decision to limit 1041 regulations to water, wastewater, and transportation and to not include electric and natural gas utility lines. He suggested if LCSB wanted to make a recommendation to Council to expand 1041 regulations to cover the larger geographic area and include utility lines, doing so in January 2023, prior to Council work sessions would be ideal.

Members Lopez and Kramer expressed concern about Council's decision to narrow the geographic scope to a small subset of areas in the city. Member Kramer asked if there was a downside to adding gas and electric utility lines to 1041; could it be as simple as adding appropriate language? It was Vice Chair Cuniff's opinion that adding those two items would likely involve discussions with utility providers and require staff direction from Council. He suggests the LCSB move forward with preparing a memo and making a recommendation to Council to add gas and electric utility lines to be subject to 1041 regulations

Bicycle Advisory Committee

Member Weber stated there was not a lot to share other than the ongoing discussion of Vision

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



NATURAL RESOURCES ADVISORY BOARD

TYPE OF MEETING – REGULAR

December 15, 2022 6:00 – 8:00 pm
[Via Zoom](#)

CALL TO ORDER

6:02pm

ROLL CALL

- List of Board Members Present –
 - Dawson Metcalf (Chair)
 - Kevin Krause (Vice Chair)
 - Danielle Buttke
 - Drew Derderian
 - Lisa Andrews
 - Matt Zoccali
 - Barry Noon
 - Kelly Stewart
- List of Board Members Absent – Excused or Unexcused, if no contact with Chair has been made
 - Avneesh Kumar
 - Victoria McKennan
- List of Staff Members Present
 - Honore Depew, Staff Liaison
 - Sylvia Tatman-Burruss, Senior Project Manager
 - Kirk Longstein, Senior Environmental Planner
 - Katie Collins, Water Conservation Specialist
 - Mariel Miller, Water Conservation Manager
 - Danielle Reimanis, Senior Specialist
- List of Guests
 - Lisa Andrews, Board Member starting in January

1. AGENDA REVIEW

2. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- a. None

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – AUGUST

NATURAL RESOURCES ADVISORY BOARD

TYPE OF MEETING – REGULAR

- a. Dawson moved and Drew seconded a motion to approve the November minutes. Motion passed unanimously. 6-0

4. NEW BUSINESS

- a. **Future of Hughes** – Sylvia Tatman-Burruss (Sr. Policy and Project Manager) gave an update on the engagement process so far and the feedback received through focus group conversations. Staff also engaged the Board in a series of questions regarding potential future uses of the property. (Discussion)
 - Discussion | Q + A
 - Dawson – **Q** – Some of my students were doing some work around this conversation, in doing community engagement with methodology survey with them. A lot of what they were hearing was a notion of co-management practices thinking about indigenous communities and what that looked like. I know you made a mention of that in one of the previous slides. I am curious to know if there has been a little more conversation there and what that conversation looked like in the past. I know there was a website at one point about it and then the website came down so just curious in that space. Sylvia – **A** – Unfortunately I have not been involved in that conversation for a while. We have a new Indigenous Engagement Specialist that has been hired within the City Manager's Office recently. I think she is going to get plugged in on this project. With conversations I had with that team this week is that this may not be the piece of land of interest for an indigenous community center but that there is still interest for one within the community. I think they are looking to have that conversation probably mid-January or so. We would really hope to get that feedback incorporated into the scenarios for Council then.
 - Dawson – **Comment** – The only other piece that is burning in my memory was the notion of people wanting it to be a natural area and I know there are some challenges with that regarding terminology and legal pieces but that was the other conversations we got a lot of feedback on. Sylvia – **Comment** – We have engaged with our Natural Areas staff and the natural area restoration on this site would be pretty costly. Usually sites are purchased that already have some really great features there or something there to preserve. That may take away from their ability to purchase those types of properties in other areas of the community. I think that is something we are grappling through right now.
 - Matt – **Comment** – In a previous slide I think you sort of summarized what you had heard and that is very similar to the things I have heard about this site. I also think it is important, I talked with Honore and Dawson offline about this, but I don't think it impacts too much of tonight's discussion but I want to be open and honest. The company I work for did the environmental

NATURAL RESOURCES ADVISORY BOARD

TYPE OF MEETING – REGULAR

assessment for the City of Fort Collins on this property. I did the site reconnaissance and am familiar with some of the site conditions. If it ever gets to a point where there is some perceived conflict of interest, I want to have an open conversation about that. Then I am going to jump ahead a little bit to my personal view on the site. These areas where we have a transition from the plains to the foothills are really unique. I understand its challenges around it not being a pristine site and I get it. I know there has been a lot of use but there may be an opportunity to sort of preserve that type of corridor. I know it is a small site that is bounded by development, roads, and past use but it does present a really unique opportunity for that transition ecotone area.

- Kevin – Comment – I would agree with that, with the added context being especially that the property is different than natural areas parcels typically have been from my understanding, since it was previously developed and has a footprint/significant remnants of the previous use. This makes it more favorable in considering a conversation to recreation in a nature-oriented setting, rather than the extensive effort required to fully restore the property. It is a great opportunity to take advantage of the transitional area while offering a unique community recreation hub that is outdoor focused-meeting the requests from the community. Specifically, doing so in a manner that is not just putting in large amounts of e.g. non-native turf that would require extensive amounts of water like a traditional park may. As indicated by community members, we have significant lack of recreational bike focused, park type, infrastructure in the city compared to many others. This was highlighted in the parks and recreation master plan community outreach, where two labels of bike-related priorities emerged from community members. One being “mountain bike courses” and the second being “bike parks”. Despite several requests to staff by multiple individuals to combine these items, they were instead perceived in the planning process as separate types of needs, even though the community members did not see it this way. In fact, if you do combine those two priority areas in the planning feedback document, the total is 18.9%, which actually makes it the number one need identified by the community during the parks & recreation master plan outreach. It would have beat out community gardens, dog parks, natural areas and so forth. I am engaged with the bike community but also with parents who are not necessarily in the bike community but have kids who want to bike, young kids who are not in the sport yet with parents who don’t necessarily do that. To have a place close to town with different levels of access without having to get out on significant trails which is often not possible for parents is where the need is. So many facilities exist for other sports and activities but there is a large gap here. As Sylvia mentioned, these activities compliment each other and, particularly, in a unique natural environment/transition space would get kids connected with the outdoors. It is

NATURAL RESOURCES ADVISORY BOARD

TYPE OF MEETING – REGULAR

a great opportunity for our community. I will say that I am almost here in this seat on the Board because of biking. That is what years go got me outside and, ultimately, engaged in sustainability. It is a unique space with great chance for our community for things that have been asked for, for a long time. I think it is important when this is brought to Council to remind them that in 2020 a whole lot of kids, young adults, young women came and spoke directly about what biking has done for them in their lives especially during the pandemic and there being lack of space to do that in Fort Collins. That was so inspirational.

- Danielle – Comment – I don't have much experience with this site beyond personally hiking during burrowing season to look for burrowing owls, never successfully unfortunately. I was kind of surprised by the suggestion/recommendation to have a wildlife rehab center built there both because there is quite a bit of existing infrastructure in the city for these purposes and as not mentioned this site is being at this transition ecotone is highly erodible. Additional infrastructure there to me, doesn't seem ecologically like a great fit. That is not a very climate friendly design simply because there isn't mass transit going there, there are not bus systems going there and there are not other ways the employees or other people that would be visiting to get there. Driving more car-based transition to that site goes against what I would envision a natural area's goals and vision would be. The fact that it is degraded to me means that it is even more at risk of being even more erodible and having other impacts there. I also think there is some great prairie dog habitats in that space and prairie dogs continue to be pushed out of other suitable habitats along the front range. It being again, in a transitional ecotone puts it in a really great place to host a higher diversity of predators that would not otherwise be viable in these locations, even if it is a small patchy habitat. So that unique aspect and the close proximity that humans have to observe these species in that particular site is also really fantastic.
- Kelly – Q – I was wondering if you have reached out to any of the groups among the disabled community. I think there was an article a couple of months ago maybe in the Washington Post about these all-terrain wheelchairs that are popping up along state parks across the county. That makes me think that with the uniqueness of this site and the opportunity we have here, that it might be a cool way to give access to the disabled community. That would maybe be my one suggestion if you haven't already.
- Sylvia – A – Ginny Sawyer, we are tag teaming this project and she is presenting to the Disability Advisory Board tonight to really figure out are there types of trails or features that they would specifically like to see on this site. We have heard some issues certainly and the issue of ADA accessibility or just appropriateness or what they really need or want out of recreation to get a better understanding of that.

NATURAL RESOURCES ADVISORY BOARD

TYPE OF MEETING – REGULAR

- Sylvia – Comment – We didn't have the disc golf folks at the recreation conversation. We have reached out to some folks on this kind of older stakeholder outreach list, and I think we are going to be able to get with the disc golf folks. We are going to meet with PATHS (Planning Action To Transform Hughes Sustainably). They are the folks who put together the ballot language, so we are going to meet with them soon. We are really filling in the pieces and parts right now and again, haven't done that big outreach push yet. If you talk to folks and they ask why they haven't heard about this, it is because we just haven't done that yet. You can also feel free to reach out to me with anyone else you think we haven't gotten to.
 - Dawson – Q – What does the engagement around youth look like potentially going forward with this decision making? Sylvia – A – That is a great question and might be a little tricky for us as I don't know if the Youth Board is super active or if that is happening right now. I think that would be an easy place for us to go. I think we will be reaching out to the Nordic skiing folks at PSD, some of the contacts for Bike Fort Collins, and other places like that but if you think of groups that would be helpful that would help us as well. Kevin – Comment – So maybe just clarifying that PSD had a representative at least at one of the focus groups and some of the other youth focus groups were there too at least when I was there. A baseball focused individual was there too. Sylvia – Comment – We may not be doing specific outreach to engaging youths specifically but that may be something we could look at as we get a little more specific about those uses.
 - Sylvia – Comment – I am going to move onto the next slide to give you my contact information. I think that is the best way to reach out to me, by email. You can of course contact Honore as he knows where to find me. Let me know what questions you might have as we start to really develop these scenarios. I think we are looking at March for Council. If we can't come back for a Board meeting before that time, we can certainly share materials with you and get that feedback. That Council meeting will be a work session and will be a very preliminary conversation. Any scenarios we would refine we would certainly come back to this Board and others to get your feedback. I really appreciate the time tonight and look forward to more conversations in the future.
- b. 1041 Regulations** – Kirk Longstein (Senior Environmental Planner) shared what has changed in the proposed revisions to 1041 regulations since NRAB's last discussion of the topic in October. (Action)
- Discussion | Q + A
 - Dawson – Q – I know in October there was potentially discussion around a

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



Parks and Recreation Boards

TYPE OF MEETING – Regular

January 25th, 2023

413 S Bryan

1. CALL TO ORDER

Ken Christensen called to order at 5:36 PM

2. ROLL CALL

- List of Board Members Present
 - Ken Christensen
 - Bob Kingsbury
 - Mike Novell
 - Nick Armstrong
 - Meghan Willis
 - Joshua Durand
- List of Board Members Absent – Excused or Unexcused; if no contact with Chair has been made
 - Jon Corley
 - Paul Baker
 - Marica Richards (excused)
- List of Staff Members Present
 - LeAnn Williams Recreation Director (remote)
 - Mike Calhoon Parks Director
 - Matt Day Sr. Landscaping Architect
 - Jen Scott Business Support II
- List of Guests
 - Richard Thorp Utilities Lead Specialist, Sciences
 - Jill Oropeza Utilities Director, Sciences
 - Sylvia Tatman-Buruss CMO Sr. Project Manager
 - Morgan Lommele Kearns & West (remote)
 - Caitlin Sheridan Kearns & West (remote)

3. AGENDA REVIEW

- Changes announced at the meeting by the Chair

4. CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

Parks and Recreation Board

Hughes Stadium Presentation Sylvia Tatman-Burruss, Sr. Policy & Project Manager
Kearns & West Morgan Lommele (remote) Caitlin Sheridan (remote)

2016 Hughes stadium closed

2021 Citizens voted to rezone the site for “parks, recreations, open lands, natural areas, wildlife rescue and restoration”. City rezoned the parcel as “Public Open Lands”.

2022-Currently City is developing scenarios for the use of the site

2023 City anticipates acquiring site from CSU

No current funding for scenarios which would be helpful for Council to address how to use the land.

Meeting with multiple boards, neighbors, and Indigenous people for input.

Focus groups have noted the desire for: maintain the connections to nature, feeling of being in open spaces, maintain community character, become a regional destination for biking, wildlife rehabilitation, and opportunity to use the land by Indigenous Peoples.

Continue outreach and discussion of community desires.

Board feels the importance of fully engaging and advocating for the Indigenous People in their interest for this space. Bring Indigenous People in as consultants.

Questions

Tying into the Parks Master Plan- gaps

What is allowed from the Master Plans to fill in the gaps for the space.

What are the steps to include Indigenous community in the process.

Diversity, equity, and inclusion team with the City to engage with Indigenous people. Create a process February is to go over multiple properties.

What is the timeline for the project?

Unsure- funding, what are the needs of the community.

Transparency is key.

Parks and Recreation Board

7. BOARD MEMBER REPORTS

FC Bikes Update Marcia Richards (presented by Ken Christensen)

FC Moves

Parks Forever Card

Active Modes Plan/Policy Approved

Recommend Connecting access to Trails

Bike City Rating

2018 Fort Collins was 1st

2019 Fort Collins was 2nd

2022 Fort Collins is 43rd.

The drop in position due to increase in participation in the Bike City Rating program (International).

8. OTHER BUSINESS

RECREATION Update– LeAnn Williams

Registration system RFP to go out

Record amount of registration

8 New Employees

2 vacancies

ADA Bathroom at the Farm

Northside Program Funded for Renovations this Fall 2023

PARK PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT Update– Matt Day

Dovetail

East Maintenance Facility

In punchlist process

Mail Creek Still working with Engineering and Railroad to connect Trails

I25 and Poudre River Trail

Montava

MINUTES

CITY OF FORT COLLINS • BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS



Parks and Recreation Board

WatersEdge (ongoing)

CAPRA Certification Process is ongoing

David Kemp is starting with us February 6th as the Senior Trails Planner and he will be coordinating closely with FC moves

PARKS Update – Mike Calhoon

Ice Rink Opened at City Park

Every Wednesday Unhoused Encampment cleanup

Restructure Park Planning

9. ADJOURNMENT

Time Ended 8:23 PM