

Appeals Procedure - Draft Code Update

Kim Meyer

Interim CDNS Director

Heather Jarvis

Assistant City Attorney



High Level Concerns with Current Process



- Appeals can be complex and confusing.
- Certain elements of appeal hearings can be unpredictable.
- Appeals can create an unrealistic expectation of different outcomes.

- Grounds for appeal are frequently found to lack merit at hearing.
- Processing and preparing for appeals is burdensome on Council and other City resources – as well as the Appellant and Respondent.

Questions for Council



 What feedback do Councilmembers have on the proposed updated appeals processes?

 What additional issues or solutions that Councilmembers interested in developing further?

Summary of Primary Process Changes



- Clarify Eligibility to Appeal
- Require Participation in Original Hearing
- Separate Processes for Fair Hearing vs Failure to Apply/Interpret

- Completeness Review & Screening
- Prehearing Registration for Participants & Prehearing Conference
- Clarify timeframes, submittals, communication and "the record"
- Eliminate the organized site visit

Non-code Process Improvements



Improve communication and clarity of the overall process for projects at Neighborhood Meetings, in Notices, and on the Planning & Historic Preservation webpages.

Review existing appeals guides and templates for revisions – focus on simplicity and clarity.

Work to better communicate the need to participate in Hearings with the original decision-makers to maintain ability to appeal a decision.

Enhance technical assistance to support community members for more impactful participation at *all* levels of decisions.

Questions for Council



 What feedback do Councilmembers have on the proposed updated appeals processes?

 What additional issues or solutions that Councilmembers interested in developing further?

