

Subject Photographs





Former Fire Station 2336 Hood Ave. Ellenwood, Georgia

Aerial Photograph



*It is noted that the red highlighted parcel is an approximation by the appraiser and has been included for illustrative purposes only. This drawing is not warranted and/or guaranteed and should not be construed as a survey.



July 10, 2023

Mr. Bruce Abraham Urban Redevelopment Authority 785 Forest Parkway Forest Park, GA 30297

SUBJECT:

Market Value Appraisal

Former Fire Station 2336 Hood Ave.

Ellenwood, DeKalb County, Georgia 30294 IRR - Atlanta File No. 208-2023-0151

Dear Mr. Abraham:

Integra Realty Resources – Atlanta is pleased to submit the accompanying appraisal of the referenced property. The purpose of the appraisal is to develop the following opinions of value:

- The market value as is of the fee simple interest in the subject property as of the effective date of the appraisal, April 27, 2023
- The prospective market value as completed of the fee simple interest in the subject property as of April 1, 2024
- Market rent estimate for the as is improvements as of the effective date of April 27, 2023
- Market rent estimate for the market value as completed of the subject improvements as of April 1, 2024

The client for the assignment is Urban Redevelopment Authority. The intended user of this report is the client. The intended use of the report is for asset management. No other party or parties may use or rely on the information, opinions, and conclusions contained in this report.

Mr. Bruce Abraham Urban Redevelopment Authority July 10, 2023 Page 2

The subject is an existing fire station containing approximately 11,000 square feet of rentable area. The improvements were constructed in 1942 and are 100% owner occupied by the City of Forest Park as of the effective appraisal date. Reportedly, the property is being vacated. The site area is 1.60 acres or 69,696 square feet.

The appraisal conforms to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Practice of the Appraisal Institute and applicable state appraisal regulations.

Standards Rule 2-2 (Content of a Real Property Appraisal Report) contained in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) requires each written real property appraisal report to be prepared as either an Appraisal Report or a Restricted Appraisal Report. This report is prepared as an Appraisal Report as defined by USPAP under Standards Rule 2-2(a), and incorporates practical explanation of the data, reasoning, and analysis that were used to develop the opinion of value.

Based on the valuation analysis in the accompanying report, and subject to the definitions, assumptions, and limiting conditions expressed in the report, the concluded opinions of value are as follows:

| Value Conclusions | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Value Type & Apprais | al Premise | Interest Appraised | Date of Value | Value Conclusion | | |
| Market Value As Is | | Fee Simple | April 27, 2023 | \$1,760,000 to \$2,035,000 | | |
| Prospective Market \ | /alue As Completed | Fee Simple | April 1, 2024 | \$3,540,000 to \$3,930,000 | | |
| INCREASED VALUE W/ IMPROVEMENTS \$ 1,780,000 | | | | | | |

As detailed in the report herein, the residual value of the Prospective Market Value As Completed concluded range is not higher than the As Is Market Value of the subject via the Sales Comparison approach. Therefore, conversion of the existing improvements to retail is not considered to be reasonable due to the lack of profit for the owner.

| Interest Appraised | Date of Value | Value Conclusion |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| N/A | April 27, 2023 | CO ENTO CAN ENTROPE STATE AND NO |
| N/A | April 1, 2024 | \$28.50 to \$31.50/Sq.Ft. 3/3, 500/4e |
| | | 2/3, 2 20/de |
| | N/A | N/A April 27 2022 |

FUCKEASED RENT VALUE W/ FINDROVEWENTS

#1220,000/YR

Mr. Bruce Abraham Urban Redevelopment Authority July 10, 2023 Page 3

Extraordinary Assumptions and Hypothetical Conditions

The value conclusions are subject to the following extraordinary assumptions. An extraordinary assumption is an assignment-specific assumption as of the effective date regarding uncertain information used in an analysis which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions.

- As detailed herein, the appraiser was not provided accurate square footage for the subject improvements.
 Therefore, this appraisal has been performed under the extraordinary assumption that the appraiser's estimated square footage is correct.
- 2. As detailed herein, the owner is considering options to convert the subject improvements into a multi-tenant retail/restaurant property or sell/lease the property as is. Reportedly, there has been no due diligence on renovation scope or work, cost or timeline. The appraiser has estimated scope of work, construction cost and timeline based on a limited visual inspection, our experience with similar properties, development comparables and data published in Marshall Valuation Services. Therefore, this appraisal has been completed under the extraordinary assumption that the proposed cost, scope of work and timeline estimated by the appraiser is correct.
- 3. As detailed herein, the subject site is currently a part of the Gillem District and does not have a separate parcel number from the surrounding Gillem District. Therefore, this appraisal is completed under the extraordinary assumption that the subject site will be recorded separately at the acreage utilized herein.

The value conclusions are based on the following hypothetical conditions. A hypothetical condition is a condition, directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results, but is used for the purpose of analysis.

1. None

The use of any extraordinary assumption or hypothetical condition may have affected the assignment results.

As discussed herein, there is currently economic uncertainty due to recent actions by the Federal Reserve. As a result, forecasts and projections contained herein may change dramatically, or differently than projected under stable market conditions. Therefore, we recommend a more frequent review of this valuation, and advise the intended user to consider the current lack of overall economic stability in evaluating the use and reliability of the opinion(s) expressed herein.

The opinions of value expressed in this report are based on estimates and forecasts which are prospective in nature and subject to considerable risk and uncertainty. Events may occur which could cause the performance of the property to differ materially from the estimates contained herein, such as changes in the economy, interest rates, capitalization rates, financial strength of tenants, and behavior of investors, lenders, and consumers. Additionally, the concluded opinions and forecasts are based partly on data obtained from interviews and third-party sources, which are not always completely reliable. Although the findings are considered reasonable based on available evidence, IRR is not responsible for the effects of future, unforeseen occurrences.



Mr. Bruce Abraham Urban Redevelopment Authority July 10, 2023 Page 4

If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned. Thank you for the opportunity to be of service.

Respectfully submitted,

Integra Realty Resources - Atlanta

Nicklas M. Torrance

Georgia State Trainee Real Estate Appraiser

#427670

Telephone: 678.296.0866 Email: ntorrance@irr.com Matthew V. Albigese, MAI

Georgia State Certified General Real

Property Appraiser #289780 Telephone: 404.418.4358

Email: malbigese@irr.com

Conclusion of Improvements Analysis

In comparison to competitive properties in the market, the subject improvements are rated as follows:

| Improvements Ratings | | |
|-----------------------|---------|--|
| Visibility/Exposure | Average | |
| Design and Appearance | Average | |
| Age/Condition | Average | |
| % Office | Average | |
| Loading Docks | Average | |
| Clear ceiling heights | Average | |
| % Sprinklered | Average | |
| Landscaping | Average | |

Overall, the quality, condition, and functional utility of the improvements are below average for their age and location.

As detailed herein, the owner is considering renovating the existing improvements to a multi-tenant retail/restaurant building. Currently, there are no proposed budgets or expected costs to complete. Therefore, in order to estimate the cost to convert the subject improvements, the appraiser has given consideration to costs for similar properties and Marshall Valuation Services. Given the current condition of the subject, the subject improvements would require significant interior and exterior build-out in order to convert the subject to multi-tenant retail/restaurant.

Currently, as detailed previously in the Improvements Description and Analysis section herein, the subject is in a below average condition due to the historical nature of the subject improvements. The subject currently has old/aging plumbing and electrical, with incomplete HVAC to the entire interior of the subject. Therefore, the subject improvements would require completely new MEPs (Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing) throughout the interior. Additionally, given that the subject is a block frame building including block interior walls, any renovation or interior conversion would occur at a heightened cost. Therefore, considering the owner's proposed conversion to multi-tenant retail/restaurant and the currently nature of the subject improvements, for the purpose of this analysis the appraiser has utilized overall buildout cost for Restaurants provided by Marshall Valuation Services which have been summarized in the following table.



| | | | RESTAURAN | ITS (350) | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|
| CLASS | TYPE | EXTERIOR WALLS | INTERIOR FINISH | LIGHTING, PLUMBING AND MECHANICAL | *HEAT | Sq. M. | COST Cu. Ft. | Sq. Ft |
| | Excellent | Stone, face brick, best metal walls, usually part of a building | Best placter and paneling, highly ornemental, carpeted, deluxe quality | Special lighting fixtures and effects, deluxe restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 4090.28 | 31.65 | 380.00 |
| A-B | Good | Concrete, metal/glass or masonry panels, usually part of a building | Plaster with enamel & vinyl, carpet & vinyl flooring, decorated interior | Good lighting and outlets, good plumbing and restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 3056.95 | 23.68 | 284.00 |
| | Average | Brick or concrete, usually part of a building | Plaster or drywell, accustic tile, carpet, ceramic, rubber, or vinyl comp. tile | Adequate lighting outlets, adequate plumbing | Gomplets H.V.A.C. | 2303.47 | 17.83 | 214.00 |
| | Excellent | Individual design, highly omamental exterior | High-quality detail, best acoustics, carpeted, deluxe quality | Special lighting effects, tiled restrooms, good fotures | Complete H.V.A.C. | 3895.53 | 30.15 | 362.00 |
| С | Very good | individual design, brick, good metal and glass, ornementation | Typically best chain restaurants, carpeted lounge and dining room | Good lighting/restrooms with good-quality fedures and tile | Complete H.V.A.C. | 3013.89 | 23.32 | 280.00 |
| | Good | Brick, concrete or metal and glass panels, ornementation | Typical chain restaurant or coffee shop, vinyl and ceramic floors | Good lighting and service outlets, tiled restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 2346.53 | 18.16 | 218.00 |
| | Average | Brick, block, tilt-up, plain building, stock plans | Typical neighborhood restaurant, vinyl composition, small kitchen | Adequate lighting and outlets, small restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 1829.86 | 14.18 | 170.00 |
| | Łów cost | Cheap brick or block, very plain, low-cost front | Low-cost short order cafe, minimum linish, asphalt tile | Minimum lighting and outlets, minimum plumbing | Forced air and ventilation | 1323.96 | 10.25 | 123.00 |
| | Excellent | Individual design, highly omamental exterior, stone veneer | High-quality detail, best acquetics, carpeted, detuse quality | Special lighting effects, tiled restrooms, good fotures | Complete H.V.A.C. | 3799.66 | 29.40 | 353.00 |
| | Very good | Individual design, brick veneer, good metal and glass, omamentation | Typically best chain restaurants, carpeted lounge and dining room | Good fixtures, good restrooms w/good-quality fixtures and tile | Complete H.V.A.C. | 2895,49 | 22.41 | 269,00 |
| D | Good | Stucco or siding, metal and glass, some ornamentation | Typical chain restaurant or coffee shop, vinyl and ceramic floors | Good lighting and service outlets, tiled restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 2228.13 | 17.24 | 207.00 |
| | Average | Stucco or siding, plain building and front, stock plans | Typical neighborhood restaurant, vinyl composition, small litchen | Adequate lighting and outlets, small restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 1722.22 | 13.33 | 160.00 |
| | Low cost | Cheap stucco or siding, very plain | Low-cost short order cafe, min. finish | Minimum lighting and plumbing | Forced air/vent | 1227.08 | 9.50 | 114.00 |
| DPOLE | Low cost | Pole frame, good metal panels, lined and insulated, plain front | Low-cost short order cafe, minimum finish, asphalt tile | Minimum lighting and outlets, minimum plumbing | Forced air and ventilation | 1130.21 | 8.75 | 105.00 |
| | Good | Insulated sandwich panels, metal and glass, some ornementation | Comparable to typical chain coffee shop, vinyl and ceramic floors | Good lighting and service outlets, tiled restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 2228.13 | 17.24 | 207.00 |
| S | Average | Insulated panels, metal and glass, little omamentation | Typical neighborhood coffee shop, vinyl comp., some ceramic or pavers | Adequate lighting and outlets, small restrooms | Complete H.V.A.C. | 1688.40 | 12.91 | 155.00 |
| | Low cost | Finished interior, some front | Low-cost finish, asphalt tile | Minimum lighting and plumbing | Forced sir/vent. | 1151.74 | 8.91 | 107.00 |

Source: Marshall Valuation Service (May 2023)

As indicated in the previous table, construction costs for Class C restaurants range from \$123.00 to \$362.00 per square foot. Given that the subject requires extensive interior and exterior buildout, but does not require structural framing, the appraiser has utilized the low-end range of \$150.00 to \$175.00 per square foot.

Additionally, consideration has been given to development cost comparables of properties which underwent similar interior and exterior buildout for conversion of existing improvements. The development cost comparables have been summarized in the following chart:

| DEVELOPMENT COST CO | OMPARABLES | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|---------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Property: | Two Tena | Two Tenant Retail Two Tenant Office Georgia Georgia | | Two Tenant Office | | Office | |
| Location: | Geor | | | Georgia | | | |
| Size | 15,3 | 79 | 7,210 2023 | | 16,250 | | |
| Year: | 202 | 23 | | | 2023 | | |
| | Amount | \$/Sq.Ft. | Amount | \$/Sq.Ft. | Amount | \$/Sq.Ft. | |
| Construction Costs | \$1,427,062 | \$92.79 | \$886,245 | \$122.92 | \$4,087,009 | \$251.51 | |
| Total | \$1,427,062.22 | \$92.79 | \$886,245 | \$122.92 | \$4,087,009 | \$251.51 | |

Note: Construction costs include direct and indirect costs

As indicated in the previous chart the cost comparables range from \$92.79 to \$251.51 per square foot. It is of note that the cost comparables include both direct and indirect costs. Additionally, cost comparables No. 1 was an industrial building which was purchased for conversion of the existing improvements to a two-tenant retail property consisting of a brewery and a pizza restaurant. Cost comparable Nos. 2 and 3 are former multi-tenant retail properties which were purchased to convert the existing improvements to general office build out. Primary reliance is given to comparable Nos. 1 and 2 due to their similar as is characteristics and build out requirements.



The appraiser's estimated buildout cost range of \$150.00 to \$175.00 per square foot is within the range of the utilized comparables and is considered to be reasonable due to the extensive interior and exterior renovation and build out required to convert the subject to the proposed use. Additionally, we have included a conservative estimate of 10% for contingencies and 20% for profit. Therefore, utilizing the appraiser's estimated cost range of \$150.00 to \$175.00 per square foot, and 15% for contingencies and profit the estimated range for the cost to convert would be \$1,897,500 to \$2,213,750.

