

Attachment 1.



FOLSOM CITY CLERK DE
16 FEB '20 PM 5:04

February 10, 2020

Folsom City Council
50 Natoma Street
Folsom, CA 95630

Honorable Mayor Aquino and Members of the Folsom City Council,

The Folsom Area Democratic Club is formally asking that you begin the process to change Folsom City Council elections from at-large to by-district. The more than 80 members of our club and 350 Folsom residents, who signed the attached petition, support such a change in our city's election system.

We believe that Folsom's use of an at-large election system places a significant electoral obstacle before minority groups and other "protected classes" of citizens in Folsom. The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American (Census Data July 2016). Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). Over the past two years, several Northern California cities have transitioned, or are in the process of transitioning, from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA. These cities include Davis, Lincoln, Citrus Heights, Elk Grove, Roseville, Menlo Park, Santa Rosa, Fremont, Concord, Brentwood and Antioch.

Additionally, by-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 for a citywide election. By contrast, if the 2020 City Council election were by-district, the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Campaigning in only one fifth of the city would allow more grassroots candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors,

P.O. Box 6415, Folsom, CA 95763-6415
folsomareademocrats@gmail.com
Fair Political Practice ID 1310888



rather than by having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media, such as mailers.

Finally, by-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him or her. By-district requires candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because that is who will elect them. Once elected, councilmembers can focus on smaller geographic areas and can provide better representation to their constituents, which is not possible under the current system. With any issue it will be much easier to contact one City Councilmember who is directly accountable to his or her constituents.

We look forward to the City Council beginning deliberations on by-district elections and hope to see this process completed by May 1, 2020.

Sincerely,

Vijay Bhaskar Jonnalagadda
President,
Folsom Area Democratic Club

cc:

Indian Association- Sacramento County
Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund – Sacramento County
Muslim Community of Folsom
NAACP – Sacramento County

P.O. Box 6415, Folsom, CA 95763-6415
folsomareademocrats@gmail.com
Fair Political Practice ID 1310888

PETITION

Sept 7, 2019
Farmer's Met

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Clare Wigton	805 Frattis St. Folsom	clare.zeilman@gmail.com	
Sutton Zerlind	805 Frattis St Folsom	S.zerlind@yaho.com	
Marnie Curtis	815 Trans St. Folsom	mscurtis@prodigy.net	
Justin Edlin	141 Whiting Way Folsom	klambert@yahoo.com	
Miriam Landon	123 Goodell Rd Folsom	EBUNDOCK@gmail.com	
Ellen Adams	124 Burnell Dr, Folsom, CA	elishamcortis@gmail.com	
David Durham	2103 Esplanade Folsom		
Nalvendra Sivan	240 RANVINE Dr, Folsom	Nalvendra.pundir@yahoo.com	
Archana SATNAM	240 Burnell Dr, Folsom	archana.sajhani@gmail.com	
Tyler Terry	356 Marsella Drive	mr.tyler.terry@gmail.com	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Margaret Milner	1379 Younglob St	margmilner@att.net	<i>Milner</i>
Mike Harris	190 Fall River Dr	mr.alando@aol.com	<i>Harris</i>
Marguerite Beckford	102 FAITHORNE LANE		<i>Marguerite Beckford</i>
Stephanie Trumm	103 Diamond Peak Drive	nurssteff@yahoo.com	<i>Stephanie Trumm</i>
Rubini Jonnalaga	1737 Langdon Way	chinmayad1@yahoo.com	<i>Rubini J.</i>
Susan Rowlett	945 Carter St	rowesusan@yahoo.com	<i>Susan Rowlett</i>
Christine Smith	885 Hallock Way	christine.n.p.911@gmail.com	<i>Christine Smith</i>
Joseph Reed	976 140 Glenview Dr	Cazidy@att.net	<i>Joseph Reed</i>
Cretia Adams	140 Glenview Dr	Canalogy@att.net	<i>Cretia Adams</i>
Srinivas Mittala	1475 Freswick Dr		<i>Srinivas Mittala</i>

PETITION

Aug 31, 2019

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Kathleen Deem	110 Kilcairn Ct		Kathleen Deem
Janelle Alarid	190 Fall River Dr	jkalarid@gmail.com	Janelle Alarid
Henry Holman	218 S 5th St		Henry Holman
Beverly Wessan	409 1/2 Rowland St	beverlywessan181@gmail.com	Beverly Wessan
Robert Campbell	3502 Esplanade Cir	ROBERTWCAMPBELL@COMCAST.NET	Robert Campbell
Belinda Campbell	3502 Esplanade Cir	BELINDA5@INMART.NET	Belinda Campbell
Tomela Burt	1174 Oak Knoll Ct	tamm@comcast.com	Tomela Burt
Leendert van den Berg	140 View Cir	leendertvd6@gmail.com	Leendert van den Berg
Eleanor Hopkins	140 View Circle	eleanor.hopkins@gmail.com	Eleanor Hopkins
Jennifer Lane	604 Sibley St	lane.jenslucy@gmail.com	Jennifer Lane

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

9/14/19
Farmer's Mkt
9/26 Farmer's Mkt

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Kevin Gilmore	116 Paydirt Ct. Folsom 95630	gilmorek@gmail.com	
Blanca Hurtado	124 Henderson Way 95630	blanca-hurtado21682@yahoo	
Daniela Hackitt	106 Skyward Way F.	stephen.9453@aol.net	
Ken Byrum	436 N. Lee St		
Nicholas Shroyer	608 Cold Creek Drive		
Carla Baker	232 Randall Dr. Folsom 95630	tomcarla@catt.net	
Tony Oliver	1694 Bowen Dr Folsom	tony.soliver.diaz@gmail.com	
Linda Ryan	125 Coedell Road	LKR62@yahoo.com	
Lorie Graber	106 Maderas Ct Folsom	gduchemin@juno.com	
Glenn Graber	166 Maderas Ct Folsom	"	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Kevin Sun		msun3035@gmail.com	[Signature]
Jane Carter	3602 Columbia Circle	dave@aasins.net	[Signature]
Jim Silve	815 Morningside Drive		[Signature]
Patti Silve	" " "		[Signature]
Manthand Eswara	1550 Ironpoint Rd #124		[Signature]
Neil Bergman	219 Pers. Ter St.		[Signature]
Alice Dean	1846 Belmar Ct	myhlock@aol.com	[Signature]
Mae Lock	2064 Canal Ct	"	[Signature]
Blaine Jew	"		[Signature]
Nametha Kew	1204 Souza Way		[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cvalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

9/15/19
Farmer's Mkt.

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Jania Ramirez	PO Box 19440	janiramirez12@Machon	
Shrinidha Jainivahan	1011 Folsom Ranch Dr, 102		
Jeani Walkhard	102 Seergreen Way		
Susan Hamilton	1200 Creekside Dr	janicesgiller23@yahoo.com	
Timothy Dirundo	1226 Folsom Ct	MDA2424@Comcast.net	
Shannon Masbach	719/11 4849 Mosswood Cir.		
Maria K Weber	108 Seergreen Way		
Andrea Stephenson	643 Cullinworth St	andreaLstephenson@gmail.com	
Ankit Jain	1200 Creekside Dr, Apt B28	jain.ankit.r.1111@gmail.com	
Allen Pierce	116 Donaldson Way		

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Deanne Wood	114 Cassman St Folsom		
Lang Lotyon	120 Sunrock Dr Folsom		
KARA WOOD (AKA)	120 SUNROCK DR FOLSOM		
Alexonica Griffin	133 Whiting Way		
1157 Berrica	1400 Moon Circle #1424		
Victoria Trumble	127 Rebecca Way	Victoria.trumble@gmail.com	
Nason Burkhardt	127 Rebecca Way		
Ara Bernard	108 Rebecca Way		
Jayne Stallworth	380 Crestridge Lane		
Jayne E. Byrnes	436 N. Lexington Dr		

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
John Miller-Wing	1202 Creekside Dr #1224	amwing1588@sbcglobal.net	[Signature]
Maria Miller-Wing	"	"	[Signature]
Evan Sanchez	885 Holiday Way	"	[Signature]
RENLI FERRAZ	1001 Sandwell Way	"	[Signature]
Renee K. K...	103 Lost Lake Ct	"	[Signature]
ANDREA GRASSO	814 MANNING ST	bubblegummer@comcast.net	[Signature]
AURIE FORD HAN	313 FIGUEROA ST	"	[Signature]
Doug Rohls	121 McFarland	"	[Signature]
Duncan Austin	100 Castro Ct.	"	[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cvalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

Aug 31, 2019

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Elsawexler	1101 River Rock Dr.	Elsawexler@gmail.com	
Lynn Barkley-Baskin	2502 Esplanade Cir	L.Baskin@yahoo.com	
JOHN DOUGAN	328 Chan Ct	dougan7@gmail.com	
Candace Dougan	328 Chan Ct	candacedougan@gmail.com	
TAMMY COLLINS	156 BAULINE Circle	TammyCollins4@gmail.com	
Leah Skraft	1505 Froswick Dr.	muskratts@gmail.com	
Julie Kosey	408 Van Dyke Way	julie.kosey@gmail.com	
Carole Lane	156 South Creek Cir	SSimin@sanjuaen.edu	
Brian Powell	151 Fall River Drive	SawofMack2@gmail.com	
Wendy Bellad	180 S. Lexington Dr #817	wdevaney@gmail.com	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

Aug 30, 2019

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Matthew Secur	112 Henderson Way	M. Secur@gmail.com	
Leah Wright	1005 Blue Ravine Rd		
IRREERAM POTHIKURU	468 EBI WAY		
Ashok Neshare	125 Concord Way		
AMIE PABER-FRANK	426 NIVERT Dr. Folsom	gracoste@gmail.com	
Catherine Powell	151 Fall River Dr	cathybpow@hotmail.com	
JEREMY BELMONT	180 LEXINGTON DR #213		
DAVID DASH	110 KILCANNON Ct Folsom		
Archana Sajnani	240 Barnhill dr, Folsom		

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

10
 Aug 10, 2019
 Aug 17, 2019
 Mrs. Market

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
SANERA SHIMARAK	1329 BLACKBERRY CIR.		<i>Sanera Shimarak</i>
ARTIENE SHIMARAK	1229 BLACKBERRY CIR	SHIMARAK@att.net	<i>Artiene Shimarak</i>
ROZANNE ODELL	1522 SPOONY RANGE	ROZODELL@GMAIL.COM	<i>Rozanne Odell</i>
Amber Pedroni	119 Casselman St	pedroni@sbcglobal.net	<i>Amber Pedroni</i>
Harlan White	103 Iron Mt. Ct.	harlanwhite@att.net	<i>Harlan White</i>
David Demille	112 Hender Son Way	dauiddemille@icloud	<i>David Demille</i>
Green Marchi-Wagner	134 Hazelmore Pl.	KMJ700@att.net	<i>Green Marchi-Wagner</i>
Charlotte Bracht	123 Gold Creek Circle	Charlotte@bracht.usa.com	<i>Charlotte Bracht</i>
Stephen Watters	139 Rakeslee Way	swatters@firststepcommuni	<i>Stephen Watters</i>
Judi Alexander	496 Cerro Park Ct.	judaalexander496@	<i>Judi Alexander</i>

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Jeff Kreiser	124 Landrum Cir	Folsom, CA 95630	Jeff Kreiser
Heather Kreiser	124 Landrum Cir	hkreiser@landrum.com	Heather Kreiser
JANEEN Lafreniere	206 Betty Creek	E. Folsom CA	Janeen Lafreniere
Jan Breslow	684 Halidon Way	Folsom CA	Jan Breslow
Torchy Hall	116 Coralie Way	Folsom CA	Torchy Hall
Chelsea Cox	808 Morton Way		Chelsea Cox
Pam Beauvais	70 MorningSide Ct	"	Pam Beauvais
RISTING Bas Hamilton	116 Cassin Ct	Folsom, Ca	Risting Bas Hamilton
ANURADHA SIVAMURTHI	1020 Brock Cir	folsom, CA	Anuradha Sivamurthi
Roberta Ward	2092 Branding Iron Drive	Folsom CA 95630	Roberta Ward

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

10/29/19
 call mtg.

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
inda Holderness	677 Hillswich Circle	lholderness@comcast.net	[Signature]
Adam Lam	128 Yankton St / off Leavys	wedavis.edu	[Signature]
BRAD JOURNAL	1733 Longview Ave		[Signature]
Jayia Ramirez	Folsom	jayiaramirez@gmail.com	[Signature]
Susan R. Helton	645 Willard Dr.		[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyaatoma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Debra Bever	PO Box 164 Folsom	DMB6363@yahoo.com	Debra Bever
Damon Brauner	997 Rathbone Circle	Ajbraun52@earthlink.net	Damon Brauner
MO NAZIR	2309 Argent Ct	MO NAZIR 95707@ohio	MO NAZIR
Julie Bergman	371 Hansen Circle	juliebergman754@hotmail.com	Julie Bergman
Deanna Valencia	2277 Argent Ct.		Deanna Valencia
TIM HARRINGTON	2269 Argent Ct	Timharrington50@yahoo.com	Tim Harrington
Dan Hodge	2270 Argent Ct	Rayonet71@att.net	Dan Hodge
NANCY KUREN	1001 RATHBONE CIR	nancyfolsom@comcast.net	Nancy Kuren
JIM HARRINGTON	1001 RATHBONE		Jim Harrington
Lois Ballard	119 River Ridge Way	loisballard@gmail.com	Lois Ballard

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yaldoma, cyaldoma@yahoo.com

8/24/19
Farmers' Mkt.

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Craig Flousson	1941 Tarbolton Cir	CMEFlousson@shcglobal.net	[Signature]
Jerry Versepunt	244 Luna Cir		[Signature]
W. Brooks	663 [unclear]		
Diane Phillips	125 Cape Cottage Ln	dianaviv@shcglobal.net	[Signature]
Dwakan Tenen	2067 Canuel Ct.	tenan_d-1499@yahoo.com	[Signature]
Mary Becker	166 Big Valley Rd	166becker@gmail.com	[Signature]
Larita Harrison	110 Mormon St	Larita1984@att.net	[Signature]
David L. Phillips	125 Cape Cottage Ln	DAVID.L.PHILLIPS@SHC	[Signature]
Ed Romig	108 Swain Folsom		[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

Colleen Romig 108 Swain Square, Folsom

[Signature]

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Leslie Elmer	1941 Tanager Cir		[Signature]
Kathy Verseput	244 Luna Circle	kjverseput@gmail.com	[Signature]
Sharon Buly	603 Fingert St		[Signature]
Nancy Elder	101 NATISSE LN	lobeyen.marc-lange@gmail.com	[Signature]
CHRIS TUTER	320 Custody Ln.	naris-elder@gmail.com	[Signature]
Kristina Lecina	219 BRIGGS RANCH DR.	orey-12@yahoo.com	[Signature]
Stephanie Lecina	129 Rebecca Way	lecinaoj@gmail.com	[Signature]
Mary Ann Salmoiré	294 Alpine Falls Way	tes00perogir@gmail.com	[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

8/10
Farmer's
Market

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Margaret Kugler	450 Crestridge Ln		[Signature]
Kathy Salmon	1780 Creekside		[Signature]
Jane Lindahl	148 [unclear] Dr		[Signature]
Phil Kvam	105 Gloucester Sq.		[Signature]
JERRY MOORE			[Signature]
M. [unclear]	170 CRESTRIDGE LN FOL		[Signature]
Joanne Duggan	170 Crestridge Ln		[Signature]
[unclear]	107 BURNING DR.		[Signature]
Arlene Boushy	122 Garrett Dr		[Signature]
JOEL ASAY	250 Flower		[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

Not. Str. UNO
8/6/19

PETITION

Aug 3, 2019
Farmer's Mkt
Aug 10, Farmer's
Mkt

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Brian DeWilde	104 Rockbolt Cir	bdewidc@gmail.com	Brian DeWilde
Vali Medlin	603 Hillswick Cir	MedlinVal@gmail.com	Vali Medlin
MELODY HERNANDEZ	159 RIVER RIDGE WAY	denga_18@yahoo.com	Melody Hernandez
Walter van Sacker	318 Turnpike Dr	trishba@att.net	Walter van Sacker
Colene Schaeffer	640 Heron	colenesch@gmail.com	Colene Schaeffer
Fred Schaeffer	640 Heron St Folsom	freds394@gmail.com	Fred Schaeffer
WALTER VAN SACKER	151 CANTON RIM DR	w.vansacker@sbcglobal.net	Walter van Sacker
Archi Lindahl	1780 Creekside Dr	archilindahl@gmail.com	Archi Lindahl
LINDA LINDAHL	148 STONINGTON WAY	lindahl99@gmail.com	Linda Lindahl
JOHN LINDAHL	148 STONINGTON WAY		John Lindahl

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

Aug 10, 2019
Farmer's Mkt.

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Rich Tancher	1090 Brook Cir	rtrey@sbcglobal.net	[Signature]
NANCY MOORE	100 SILVA CT	jenndan87@aol.net	Nancy D. Moore
Heather Jones	140 Rinnacle Blvd		[Signature]
Judy Seelsa	891 Wittebrand Cir	judydowd@aol.com	[Signature]
Cheryl Asay	250 Flower Drive	shuleather@yahoo.com	[Signature]
VERONICA GATEA	172 John Henry Cir		[Signature]
Diane Carson	622 Buchanan Way	LucyLarson@aol.com	[Signature]
Lynne Sperry	141 Dulverton Circle	lynnksperry@gmail.com	Lynne Sperry
Joel Kreiger	124 Landrum Cir		[Signature]
Margaret Bristol	684 Halloran Way		Margaret Bristol

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

7/20/9

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Jonathan Lee	144 PIONEER		
Lori Lee	144 Pioneer	lorilovescoffee@yahoo	
Linda Hampton	108 Snark	Linda.Hampton@yahoo	
Jodi Jovanbakht	7232 Pine Grove Way		
Jenny Brintzer	9824 Oakplace West	jennybrintzer@gmail	916-545-5523
Dianna Batt	3544 Heron Court	diannabatt@gmail.com	916-983-5705
Katie Wilkins	1101 Canyon Terrace Lane	katiwilkins71@gmail.com	916-821-1047
Judy Leveque	725 Morningside Ct	Judy0222@aol.com	
CHERYL DAVIS	844 HILDEBRAND CIRCLE	CHERYLDAVIS844@GMAIL.COM	
Fred Batt	3544 Heron Ct.	Fredbatt@comcast.net	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Shanna Paulino	1140 Bramble Ln	jppaulino@rocketmail.com	[Signature]
Shwetha	284 Bashful Dr	Shwetha.p.saxena@gmail.com	[Signature]
Jessica Stunk	11 Boxler Ct	john146@gmail.com	[Signature]
William Stunk	111 Boxler Court	jusstunk@hotmail.com	[Signature]
Kalish Cal	1420 Alhambra Dr.	Kral70@hotmail.com	[Signature]
Miguelat Oleric	412 Vessing Cir Fols		[Signature]
Neeraj Oleric			[Signature]
Deepa GM	444 Falls, 1180 Greble drive		[Signature]
Erin N. H.	8024 Folsom - Auburn rd	Kitterhateyou@gmail.com	[Signature]
Debra Young	159 Rae Ford Way		[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
JAG NAGENDRA	577 SUNDHL DR	Jag.Nagendra@gmail.com	[Signature]
TOM XANTHOS	815 VESSONA CIR	ESANSONE@hotmail.com	[Signature]
WAKIO XANTHOS	" " "	" " "	[Signature]
XISAN M. COMSTOCK	138 YANKTON ST.	Shirley.M.Pogantce	[Signature]
JAMES W. COMSTOCK	138 YANKTON ST.	TWCD18-ins@Yankon.com	[Signature]
NICOLE ROMAN	729 HILSWICK	nroman1@gmail.com	[Signature]
ELIZABETH SOTO	457 SLOCUM D	THEBIZZY1@GMAIL	[Signature]
HARI SELUKKA	229 COLIND CIRCLES		[Signature]
DUMNEY MCKENNA	311 RANDALL DR.		[Signature]
RACHEL LAMONT	9830 VALLEY PINES DR	Rachel.Lamont@FAARGOV	[Signature]

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

July 20, 2019
Folsom Farmer's
Market

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

Aug. 3, 2019

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
ER-GEORGIAN	119 BROWN DUVALL LN	henikdg@yahoo.com	
Jon-Martin Lee	144 Pinecrest Dr.	jlee.cnn@comcast.com	
ARA TURNBULL	116 MONWOOD DR.	NINJA27@HOTMAIL.COM	
Shawn English	126 Price Way	Shawnenglish@gmail.com	
Amir Saanbakh	7232 Pine Grove Way	Amir.Saanbakh@yahoo.com	
MARC BRINITZER	9824 OAKPACE W. FOLSOM	mjbbrinitzer@gmail.com	
Jeanette Murphy	365 Seaton Dr. Folsom	jeanette1945@SBCglobal.net	
Lucy Brown	997 Fairbairn	bi0raun2@earthlink.net	
Maddie Korman	380 WALKER CIR	Maddie.korman@gmail.com	
RODAN SWB	457 Sloman Dr	thunder42@me.com	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

Aug. 3, 2019
Farmer's Market

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
MADHU ELANGO	224 COLNER CIR, FOLSOM	MADHUMITHA.ELANGO@GMAIL.COM	
Nathan Fearon	311 Randall Dr	Nate@gmail.com	
Kathleen Pettit	867 Balada Way	760 nanahas1234@gmail.com	
Anshu Bhavsar	235 Skidway St	-	
Shelene BENMUR KISHAN PRASAD	9830 Valley Forest Dr 284 BARNHILL DR	- Kishan.prasad@gmail.com	
DENISE THOMQUEST	130 Pepto Way	-	
Mana Call	142 Oxborough	KCall70@hotmail.com	
Yael Harari	305 Willow Creek Dr	Yimarr@comcast.net	
Perris McCauley	451 Rosewood Place	pmccauley@sonic.net	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
DorAnn Wiebe	171 Elkins Circle		DorAnn Wiebe
Edward Wiebe	171 Elkins Circle		Edward Wiebe
Reena Joseph	121 Democratic Way		Reena Joseph
Luz Valieva	101 Fantasies Way		Luz Valieva
Jan C. Heber	601 Blossom Rock Ln		Jan C. Heber
Muriddin Ikromov	101 Fantasies Way		Muriddin Ikromov
Indy Collado	415 Turn Pike drive		Indy Collado
Mindy Collado	415 Turn Pike Dr		Mindy Collado
Robert Cavens	114 Hopfield Blinn		Robert Cavens
Steve Zill	131 Rebecca Way		Steve Zill

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Becky Kraemer	108 Kenner Wy	Becky.Kraemer@gmail.com	Becky Kraemer
Veronica Garcia	406 S. Lexington Dr	Regietrao@gmail.com	Veronica Garcia
EIT SORENSEN	1526 HARRISON DR	5mtd2009a@gmail.com	EIT SORENSEN
Meghan Thorne	308 MONTROSE DR #B	meghanthorne12@gmail.com	Meghan Thorne
Joan Arthur	132 Rebecca Way, Folsom		Joan Arthur
Justin Arthur	132 Rebecca Way, Folsom		Justin Arthur
Chris Alexistoni - Palm	108 Rebecca Way, Folsom	119090610@gmail.com	Chris Alexistoni
Mike Will-Rahn	102 Rebecca Way, Folsom	rahrc@live.com	Mike Will-Rahn
MARK MOORE	1317 MUIR KIRK CT	MARKMOORE4FOLSOM@gmail.com	Mark Moore
DARLENE ROMAN	"	2020@gmail.com	Darlene Roman

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyaatooma@yahoo.com

9/29/19
 FKBC mtg
 9/28/19
 Jarrett's Mtg

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Teresa Garcia	2160 Oreno Cir, Folsom 95630	t.garcia@comcast.net	
Lois Ballard	119 River Ridge Folsom 95630	loisballard@gmail.com	
Jenca Padilla	209 Needles Way	j-y-p@sbccglobal.net	
CLASS FRU	5121 FCA		
Chris Wippinger	303 Coventry Circle Folsom	chriswippinger@gmail.com	
ratt wilda	262 Flower Dr.	mtwilda@yahoo.com	
Juan Barba	5825 Walnut Ave	rafaelbarba462@gmail	
Diana Karolavan	240 Nq Farms St D	y.d.wild@yahoo.com	
FRANK PAROCHIA	2240 N Astoria St Pr	d.govora1975@gmail.com	
Tim Godwin	112 Rawlings Ct.	godwin4tim@gmail.com	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yafooma, cyalochq@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Kennith Masi	204 Briarcliff Dr		
Amy Andersson	162 Bayline Circle		
Ken Rader			
Ken Rader	195 Westbury Circle		
Rebekah			
BDRnemiszal	121 Canyon Rim Dr		
Jackie Jensen	124 Taboron Way		
Rhed Grips	1571 Bonanza Ln		
Kat Gray	231 Cimmeron Circle	k.blackman.gray@gmail.com	
Mats Jansson	1005 Blue Pointe #415	mats.r.jansson@gmail.com	

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

com

PETITION

Oct. 19, 2019
Farmer's Market

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Jennifer Godwin	112 Paulings Ct	same	[Signature]
Wendy Goodman	10441 Dufferin	wendygoodman@gmail.com	[Signature]
Chris Greany	734 Agostini	cmgreany@juno.com	[Signature]
Adrienne Coolidge	2130 E WILKIE	adrienne.cool@att.net	[Signature]
Jarlene Wilcox	102 Diamond Peak Dr	jarlenewilcox53@gmail.com	[Signature]
JARY MAJOR	100 SILVA CT		[Signature]
Amy Allen	715 Ferrier Way, Folsom CA	amyallen1@mac.com	[Signature]
Tyrone Castillo	1780 Creekside		[Signature]
Veronica Villa	1713 DUNKAD LANE		[Signature]
The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yatooma, cyatooma@yahoo.com			
Amy Burgard	104 Thoreau Ln.	amyburgard23@yahoo.com	[Signature]

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Consuelo Streett	856 Farmhouse Way	consuelostt@gmail.com	
Ann L. Murdock	164 Stonington Way		
David Spangher	180 South Lexington		
Carson Pruitt	1920 Fhome Ranch Dr.		
Doreen Nelson	159 Barnhill Ave		
David Spangher	367 Sention Dr		
Michelle Wisk	246 Montrose Dr		
Erin Steffen	197 Thorndike Way		
Reena Joseph	121 Demurray Way		

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Christina Coppola	142 Cobble Ridge Dr	christina.c.fesbcglobal.net	Christina Coppola
Michele Groth	488 Williams St.		Michele Groth
Louise Lopez	172 Rebecca Way	Louise27@yahoo.com	Louise Lopez
Erin K. Han	1575 Ballou Ct.	erinkat@ymail.com	Erin K. Han
Antoinette M Raney	112 Briarcliff Dr	tmspano68@aol.com	Antoinette M Raney
Patrick Raney	112 Briarcliff Dr.	raneyumbrella@yahoo.com	Patrick Raney
Roderick Hunt	903 Ferry Circle	rhunt@51@gmail.com	Roderick Hunt
Adriene Hunt	903 Ferry Circle	adriene.hunt@yahoo.com	Adriene Hunt
A. STAN SHMARAK	1229 BLACKBERRY CIR	shmarak@att.net	A. Stan Shmarak
SANDRA SHMARAK	1229 BLACKBERRY CIR.		Sandra Shmarak

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

PETITION

To Folsom City Council:

We, the undersigned residents of Folsom, petition the Council and ask that it change City Council elections to "by-district" elections replacing the current "at-large" election system. We support by-district elections for the following three reasons:

1. Less Expensive

By-district elections are less expensive for cities to conduct than at-large elections. Cities with by-district elections require fewer ballots resulting in lowered electoral expenses. City elections cost roughly \$1 per registered voter plus other fixed costs. Folsom has 40,000 registered voters, which equals \$40,000 per registered voter for an at-large election. If the 2020 City Council election were by-district (consisting of 5 districts), the cost to elect two Council members would drop to \$16,000, saving the City \$24,000. It is also more affordable for candidates running for City Council. Running in only 1/5th of the city allows more candidates to compete by talking to their neighbors, rather than having to raise a great deal of money from special interests in order to campaign using mass media like mailers.

2. More Democratic

By-district elections honor the principle of "one person, one vote" by ensuring that voters have one representative who is specifically accountable to the voters and neighborhoods that elected him/her. By-district elections require candidates to campaign in their local neighborhoods, talk to their neighbors, and get to know their issues because they are who will elect them.

3. Increases Diversity

The City of Folsom is racially and ethnically diverse. Roughly 13% of the population is Asian, 11% is Hispanic and 5% is African-American. Unfortunately, the City's diversity is not reflected in the current City Council, nor has it been reflected in prior City Councils. Californians have called for the replacement of at-large local election methods with district-based elections in furtherance of the State's commitment to upholding voting rights for minority voters protected by the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The CVRA prohibits at-large election systems from impairing the ability of minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. Numerous cities have been successfully sued under the CVRA (Palmdale, Santa Monica, Modesto). In 2018, several Northern California cities have transitioned or are in the process of transitioning from at-large to by-district voting to avoid running afoul of the CVRA, including Citrus Heights.

NAME	STREET ADDRESS	EMAIL	SIGNATURE
Melanie Waugh	1088 Elsworth Way	melfwaugh@gmail	Melanie Waugh
Tom King	822 Comstock Dr.	jtking@democrat.net	Tom King
ANTHONY PASTI	204 BRIARCLIFF DR		Anthony Pasti
PRASOON SURTI	1408 Kilsenny Ct. Fols	prason@yaho.com	Prasoon Surti
Marcy Buzd	109 Sharon Ct Folsom		Marcy Buzd
Sudipto Roy	1729 Langhdon way	sudipto.roy@yahoo.com	Sudipto Roy
Carole Vargas	1593 Parkway Dr Rk		Carole Vargas
Kevin Vasquez	1741 Langhdon way		Kevin Vasquez
MUDDHY CHANDASEKHAR	1537 FRESWICKWAY DR.		Muddhy Chandasekhara
CHANDRA CHITNENE	1455 FRESWICK DR	muddhy_chitnemi@yahoo.com	Chandra M

The contact for this petition is: Folsom Area Democratic Club, Chris Yalooma, cyalooma@yahoo.com

On-Line Petition Signers.

Folsom City Residents

change.org

Greetings,

City of Folsom By-district Election

Signatures

Refers to IP location, not signers address.

Name	Location	Date
Folsom Area Democratic Club	US	2019-08-08
Vijay Bhaskar Jonnalagadda	Folsom, CA	2019-09-03
SHASHI KATIYAR	Folsom, US	2019-09-03
Hari Shetty	Ontario, US	2019-09-04
David Flory	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
daria stark	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Tressa Cooper	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Karysa Trombley	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Jenifer Jacobs	folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Louise Lopez	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Erika Englund	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Marguerite Storbo	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Daniel Castro	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Robyn Peace	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10
Chris Yatooma	Sacramento, CA	2019-09-11
venugopal acharya	Folsom, CA	2019-09-12
Phyllis Cauley	Folsom, CA	2019-09-13
LYDIA CLARK	Folsom, CA	2019-09-14
Kavita Sood	Folsom, CA	2019-09-20
sunil chebiyam	Folsom, CA	2019-09-23

Name	Location	Date
Logan Powell	Jefferson, GA	2019-09-23
Susan Clarke	Grand Rapids, US	2019-09-23
P visvanathan R s Palani samy	Folsom, CA	2019-09-24
PEGGY BLAIR	Folsom, CA	2019-10-02
Gary Williams	Valrico, US	2019-10-02
Merle Kentner	Longview, US	2019-10-02
robert stottlemire	elyria, US	2019-10-03
Joseph Piccoli	US	2019-10-03
Brian Ozdemir	Manchester, NH	2019-10-03
Mark Gullette	Lima, US	2019-10-03
Anthony Peguero	Perth Amboy, US	2019-10-04
Richard Bauer	Winter Garden, FL	2019-10-04
Taina Duran	Providence, US	2019-10-04
Gavincia Wells	St.Thomas, US	2019-10-04
Maye Foster	Boynton Beach, US	2019-10-04
Martha Nash	Mabank, US	2019-10-04
Lisa Griffith	Dallas, GA	2019-10-05
janice levitt	baltimore, US	2019-10-05
Earlene Williams	New Mexico	2019-10-05
Keith Rowley	Lincoln Park, US	2019-10-05
Scott Edmonds	Sebastopol, US	2019-10-05
CINDY SLAIGHT	LAMAR, US	2019-10-05

Name	Location	Date
Srinivas Voleti	Rancho Cordova, CA	2019-10-14
Sanjay Naik	Sacramento, CA	2020-01-25
Shivaprasad Maribasavaiah	Hayward, CA	2020-01-25
Sharadh Shetty	Bengaluru, India	2020-01-26

Comments

— Refers to IP location,
not signers address.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Vijay Bhaskar Jonnalagadda	Folsom, CA	2019-09-03	"By-District model is more democratic and keeps elected council members more responsible. Also, reduces expenses for both candidates and the city. All that nastiness and competition during elections will be cut because you will have to convince only your district's voters that you are fit for the job."
Jenifer Jacobs	folsom, CA	2019-09-10	"I'd like the Folsom City Council to include a more diverse group of people - those who represent a smaller district in particular."
Marguerite Storbo	Folsom, CA	2019-09-10	"I love the idea of election reform! Let's change things up a bit and maybe get some more/different voices at City Hall."
Lois Ballard	Orangevale, CA	2019-09-19	"Lois Ballard Folsom, CA United States"
Kavita Sood	Folsom, CA	2019-09-20	"It shows more accountability.."
Lisa Griffith	Dallas, GA	2019-10-05	"I pay plenty for dish this is ridiculous we should all the channels we signed up for come on !!"

Attachment 2.

SCOTT J. RAFFERTY

ATTORNEY AT LAW

1913 WHITECLIFF COURT
WALNUT CREEK CA 94596

(202)-380-5525
RAFFERTY@GMAIL.COM

October 28, 2020

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL 7018068000147053646

Ms. Christa Freemantle
Folsom City Clerk
50 Natoma Street
Folsom CA 95630
cfreemantle@folsom.ca.us

Re: Petition to Comply with the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA)

Dear Ms. Freemantle:

Neighborhood Elections Now, representing Asian and Latino voters in Folsom, has asked the Bay Area Voting Rights Initiative to send this notice that the city of Folsom may be in violation of the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA). The at-large method of electing the city council has impaired the ability of Folsom's Latino and Asian minorities, who often vote as a coalition, to influence the outcome of city council elections. My clients do not intend to challenge the outcome of the November 2020 election, but ask the city to begin the process to create single-member districts for the next general election and for any election resulting from a vacancy.

The prospective plaintiffs can show racially polarized voting, which is the predicate for demonstrating that at-large elections are illegal, but race and ethnicity should not be the predominant factor in designing districts. The council should also ensure that every community of interest within the city has a dedicated voice in the choices made by the council.

Creating districts will guarantee that the neediest areas always have a dedicated voice in city governance. Perhaps most critically, district elections will ensure that whenever a difficult choice must be made, the council has a representative who is committed and accountable to each affected neighborhood. Even when there is contention over limited resources, each district will entrust their member to negotiate on behalf of their particular needs and values. District elections impose some increased electoral risks on incumbents accustomed to the at-large system, but they benefit voters of all races. Elections will be more competitive and engage more voters in every part of the city.

I. TIMING AND PROPOSED RESOLUTION OF THIS PETITION

Several individual electors contacted me after the Folsom Area Democratic Club received a response from the city manager to their formal petition to transition to district elections. On March 2, 2020. Ms. Andersen indicated that the petition was

Petition to Comply with California Voting Rights Act, page 2

“shared with the council members,” but her response does not purport to reflect their direction, nor does she indicate when any action would ever be taken on the petition. Instead, she offers to meet with the Club to answer “any questions you may have with respect to our city government.” The Democratic Club did not receive any response from the city council.

In early March, I declined to send this notice because the statute allows up to 135 days to complete the hearing process to develop maps. As such, the City could not be compelled to submit a map of districts to the registrar before July 1, 2020, which is normally the last day for implementation of boundary changes in this year’s general election. San Juan USD, which was timely notified, used COVID as a pretext to suspend compliance indefinitely. It now faces state and federal civil rights claims in Sacramento Superior Court.¹ By contrast, Folsom-Cordova USD held its first hearing on March 26, 2020 and completed the process on June 9, 2020. The process for cities is significantly simpler since they do not have to seek approval from the county and state boards of education.

Other voting rights attorneys have continued to send letters and insisted that jurisdictions draw maps using 2010 data without any extension of the statutory deadlines. These maps can be used for vacancy elections, but maps for the next general election must use 2020 census data. Therefore, these maps will have to be revised. California is one of four states that normally receive the relevant data in February.² The Census Bureau has asked Congress to delay the deadline until July 31, 2021.³ Elections Code, Section 10010 precludes any extension of the safe harbor beyond 90 days, which would start the 90 day hearing period in mid-March 2021. If the 2020 data are significantly delayed, it might be difficult to complete the required five meetings by mid-June 2021. In this event, I would be willing to work with the city attorney to defer completion of the map.

A.B. 849 (2019) creates the following priorities when charter cities create councilmanic districts: (1) contiguity, (2) minimizing the division of communities of interest, (3) use of understandable natural or artificial barriers as boundaries, (4) compactness. Elections Code, Section 21621(c). Boundaries may not favor or disfavor any political party, and cannot be gerrymandered because relationships with political parties, incumbents, and candidates do not constitute a “community of interest.”

¹ Kincaid et al. v. SJUSD, 34-2020-00286475 (Sacto. Cty. Sup. Ct., filed Oct. 5, 2020).

² 13 U.S.C. 141(c) requires the Census to provide a tabulation for redistricting to all states by March 31, 2021. New Jersey and Virginia have legislative elections in November 2021, and Maine has a June 2021 deadline. Article XXI, Section 2 of our state constitution requires that legislative redistricting be complete by August 1, 2021.

³ <https://www.ncsl.org/research/redistricting/2020-census-delays-and-the-impact-on-redistricting-637261879.aspx>

Petition to Comply with California Voting Rights Act, page 3

Section 21261(d) & (c)(2).

Race and ethnicity are important communities of interest, because ethnic groups have shared experiences, values, needs, and electoral preferences. Until the nativist movement of the 1910s, America integrated immigrants by giving them opportunities to elect representatives from their neighborhoods to local elected positions (from which some progressed to state and national office). Since 1965, most cities and states have recognized that single-member constituencies are necessary to give Black neighborhoods equal opportunities. Neither federal law nor the CVRA assume that any racial group is entitled to proportional representation. Rather, their purpose is to give these citizens an opportunity to aggregate their votes as effectively as the rest of the electorate. Given the dynamic, mobile and pluralistic nature of politics in California, this means forming coalitions among ethnic groups and appealing to like-minded crossover voters.

Folsom will face some unusual factors as it implements district elections. According to the 2010 census, 9.3% of the population of Folsom lives in block 1000 of tract 988300, which is the state prison. Prior to AB 849, this complicated any attempt to elect by district, because any district in which the prison was located had only half as many non-incarcerated constituents as the other districts. The new law excludes the prison population, so the ideal population (using 2010 census) of each district is 14,400. It is generally accepted that the 14th amendment requires local jurisdictions to keep the population of the largest and smallest district within 10 percent, so no district can be smaller than 13,248.

Except to the extent that residents moved in before April 1, 2020, the 2020 census will not report population in most of Folsom Ranch. All growth after that date will overpopulate the district to which Folsom Ranch is attached. AB 849 generally prohibits mid-decade redistricting, but Elections Code, Section 21625(c) permits Folsom to do so if it amends its charter. The 2019 Budget Act allows cities to conduct their own census, provided it is validated by the Department of Finance. Government Code, Section 40200. Therefore, Folsom can and should adjust the boundaries if Folsom Ranch development causes the districts to have significant differences in population.

Finally, a clear residency ordinance is an important part of guaranteeing the integrity of electoral districts. Under the general law, a council member must reside and be a registered voter in the city (and any district). Government Code, Section 34882. He must continue to be an "inhabitant" during his term. Government Code, Section 1770(d). Since Section 349(c) of the Elections Code allows persons to have multiple residences, and Sections 2020, et seq., provide multiple tests that govern the establishment of a new domicile, the ordinance should establish clear procedures to determine residency on a uniform and predictable basis.

II. A BRIEF HISTORY OF DISTRICT ELECTIONS

If there is one matter for which democracy requires a city manager to be impartial and to defer to the council and its constituents, it is the method by which the city's legislative body is elected. It is a matter of concern that Ms. Andersen dismissed the February 2020 petition declaring that the at-large method of election "prevents factions and frictions between different neighborhoods or 'districts.'" If the council, not just the city manager, has arrived at this peculiar perspective, it should take responsibility for doing so. To assert that at-large election equips each of five members to discern the right answer for every community on every question before the council is in logical conflict with the city manager's contention that "the city values ... the diversity of our communities." While Ms. Andersen describes the council as "inclusive," in reality, each incumbent is a white Anglo who lives on the same side of town, as does every former incumbent who still resides in Folsom.

Ms. Andersen's notion that single-member districts lead to factionalism is belied by 350 years of American history. Ensuring that ethnic neighborhoods are represented in local government has been an important way in which we have integrated generations of immigrants. For that reason, multi-member constituencies have been an anomaly in American democracy. When Madison and Hamilton proposed that the House of Representatives should include delegates elected individually from districts, their arguments relied on almost a century of experience in local government.⁴ Hamilton attributed New York's success in integrating Irish and German immigrants during the 1600s to the fact that each ethnic neighborhood had democratic representation on the city council. New York not only provided the model for Congress, but by the time of the Revolution, most colonial legislatures, as well as the legislative bodies for cities and counties, adopted single member constituencies. As local executive positions, such as mayor, surveyor, assessor, auditor, and tax collector, emerged, they were elected at large.

A century ago, California's Republican Governor Hiram Johnson, began promoting at-large election for cities and even counties.⁵ During the first decade of the

⁴ In The Federalist Papers, both Madison and Hamilton advocate for single-member constituencies based on the system of city and county government prevalent in New York other mid-Atlantic states. In their view, representative democracy required as diverse group of delegates as possible to represent "so many parts, interests, and classes" of citizens. *e.g.*, Federalist No. 51 (diversity of interests); Federalist No. 10 (each representative chosen by constituents); Federalist No. 6. New York City's charter of 1683 established a council of six aldermen, one per ward. New York's counties adopted supervisorial districts in 1691, a practice that other mid-Atlantic states followed decades before the revolution.

City government itself had been an American innovation. Until the Great Reform Act of 1832, unelected county officials provided most local government in Britain.

⁵ Most states require counties to have districted supervisors, but Johnson's 1914 inaugural address committed to leave this to each county to decide. Until the CVRA, counties as large as San Mateo elected

Petition to Comply with California Voting Rights Act, page 5

20th Century, California's population grew by 60%, fueled largely by European immigration into the state's cities. The Republican Party dominated the state, holding all congressional seats until 1910. In contrast to the colonial New York, at-large elections sought to limit the influence of the newcomers by eliminating single-member constituencies. Their motives were profoundly nativist; the 1914 Progressive platform decried the "delinquency and criminality of the second-generation aliens," *i.e.*, those American citizens one of whose parents was born in Europe.⁶ After he was elected to the Senate in 1916, one of Johnson's first acts was to sponsor legislation banning immigration from India.

The elimination of single-member districts became the centerpiece of his nationwide program to "reform" municipal government. Johnson's "Progressive" movement attempted to make local elections nonpartisan, limiting the ability of political parties to vet the qualifications of executive city officers. They implemented what they called the "short ballot," in which at-large councilmembers appointed professionals to positions that elected officials had previously performed. Finally, the Progressives encouraged a practice they called "electoral preemption." When a councilmember decided not to seek reelection, he was expected to resign in time for his colleagues to appoint a member of the local establishment, who usually succeeded in defending the seat.⁷

In California, the combined effect of these practices was to allow Republican majorities installed early in the 20th century to survive decades of demographic change and political realignment. When the state began recording party registration in 1922, Republicans outnumbered Democrats three-to-one. By 1954, 60% of registered voters were Democrats. Yet, at the time of the 1955 municipal elections, 68 percent of councilmembers in California's 28 largest cities were still registered Republicans, as were 80 percent of large city mayors.⁸

While some of Governor Johnson's reforms have shown enduring merit, his objective was to perpetuate a power structure that was almost exclusively native white men. (Women were not yet entitled to vote.) His movement never intended to empower immigrants or minorities. He successfully campaigned to eliminate voting by noncitizens in the eight states that still permitted it.⁹ (California never permitted non-citizens to vote.) Japanese comprised 15% of the population in 1890, but Johnson ran for Senate on a platform that supported Japanese exclusion. As Senator, he was the leading

supervisors at-large.

⁶ CALIFORNIA PROGRESSIVE CAMPAIGN BOOK FOR 1914, at 25.

⁷ Blair and Flournoy, Legislative Bodies in California at 74 (1967).

⁸ Lee, Politics of Nonpartisanship at 56-57 (1960).

⁹ Walton, et al. The African-American Electorate: A Statistical History (2012), Table 2-18, Statistical Review of the Black Electorate. Eleven additional states had allowed non-citizens to vote, but abolished it between 1895 and the Progressive initiative of 1910.

advocate for the Immigration Acts of 1917, which barred immigration from India, and of 1922, which placed strict quotas on immigration from all countries outside Western Europe.

The 1965 Voting Rights Act recognized how these Progressive-era reforms entrenched incumbents and marginalized minority influence. Bay Area congressman Don Edwards was one of the Act's leading advocates. In 1975, he convinced Congress to expand its protection to language minorities, including Asians and Latinos in California, New York, Massachusetts, and other states outside the South. Congressman Edwards also complained that southern jurisdictions were using at-large voting to dilute the influence of newly enfranchised African-Americans.¹⁰ Congress responded in 1982 by amending Section 2 to require federal and state courts to strike down any device, including at-large elections, that was discriminatory in its effects on voters belonged to "protected groups," *i.e.*, racial or language minorities.

After the Voting Rights Act, most major American cities restored district elections without litigation. Of the 100 cities with populations over 250,000, only Cincinnati and Columbus still elect at-large. Sixty-three of these cities were at-large in 1965. In California, however, at-large city councils continued to be the rule, rather than the exception.

Federal courts often require plaintiffs to show that a single minority has a majority of eligible voters within a potential district before prohibiting at-large elections. This can make the federal Voting Rights Act difficult to apply in California. Because Asians, Latinos, and African-Americans often live in the same areas, it is common that none of these protected groups can demonstrate a majority district, even though the ability of any of these groups to elect candidates of its choice was still diluted by the at-large system. In 2002, the Legislature responded by enacting the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA), which dispensed with any requirement of a majority-minority district. The dilution of minority influence, as demonstrated by "racially polarized voting," is sufficient under state law to require a jurisdiction to create districts.

Last week, the California Supreme Court depublished the decision of an appeals court that, for the first time ever, reversed a trial court order requiring district elections. The city, Santa Monica, could not be more different than Folsom. Since 1990, Santa Monica's population has grown only 8%, compared to 50% for Folsom. Santa Monica's minority population was also stable; the Latino share increased from 14% to 15% over 30 years. By contrast, Folsom's Asian population alone increased from 3.5% to 20%.

¹⁰ [The Shameful Blight: The Survival of Racial Discrimination in Voting](#), (1975) After the 1965 Act enabled African-Americans to register and gain majority control in a legislative district, the district would be consolidated into a larger area controlled by whites, which would elect multiple legislators on a winner-take-all basis.

Thirty percent of voters in Santa Monica's minority district were Latino. While it is premature to propose a district map, we believe that minority voter share in a neighborhood-based district including parts of Empire Ranch could substantially exceed 40 percent. While the plaintiffs in Santa Monica proved racially polarized voting over decades, the justification for compliance with the reform in Folsom is even more compelling.

III. THE 2018 ELECTION DEMONSTRATED RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING.


For purposes of the CVRA, racially polarized voting means that members of a protected group (such as Asians, Latinos, Black, or Native Americans) choose different candidates or vote differently on ballot questions that affect their rights and privileges. Elections Code, Section 14028(b). Since these groups have different histories, traditions, life experiences, and (in some cases) different languages, racially polarized voting is almost universal. It is also an essential element of our democracy. Without racially polarized voting, a white Anglo majority could determine every candidate elected to office and the outcome of every ballot initiative. The CVRA prohibits at-large systems wherever there is racially polarized voting precisely to ensure that the voters from minority communities have an equal opportunity to influence elections.

The CVRA reflects a fundamental insight from the current dean of the Yale Law School, Heather Gerkin, who wrote "Understanding the Right to an Undiluted Vote," 114 HARV. L. REV. 1663 (2001). She explained that, whenever a racial group is polarized (which is almost always the case), concentrating some of the voters from that group into a single district, improves the representation of the group's members throughout the larger jurisdiction. It was not necessary to engineer a district with the goal of ensuring that every member was in the district, nor was it necessary to create a majority district in which the group could unilaterally elect "one of its own" to the legislative body. The goal was to equalize the ability of these voters to influence elections by forming coalitions and appealing to crossover voters to favor candidates that they preferred. These are not always candidates that share their ethnicity; Maxine Waters is very clearly the candidate of choice of the Latino community, who constitute the majority of voters in her district. Influence in the election provides a degree of influence over the officials after they are elected.

Giving racial and language minorities an equal opportunity to aggregate their vote with like-minded electors helps integrate them into the political life of our state. Twenty years ago, when the CVRA first became law, less than ½ of one percent of school trustees were Latino; now 6 percent are. (Latinos are the majority of the students that these trustees serve.) But all communities of interests are entitled to some consideration when districts are drawn. Environmentalists, renters, poor communities or religious minorities may properly seek to be placed in a district that brings like-

minded voters together. The new “Fair MAPS” Act requires the council to consider every such community of interest and to avoid dividing them arbitrarily, so that they may also aggregate their votes effectively. A goal of the CVRA is to promote legislative bodies that are more representative of all electors.


The Supreme Court has explained that evidence can rely on a single election “where a minority group has begun to sponsor candidates just recently.” Thornburg v. Gingles (1989) 470 U.S. 30, 58 & fn.25. 2018 featured the first minority candidate since 2010, Y.K. Chalmachura. Mr. Chalmachura declines to state any party affiliation, and statistical examination does not show that he performed better in Democratic or Republican precincts.¹¹ However, Mr. Chalmachura performed very well in Asian and Latino areas. This ecological regression infers an estimate that he received approximately 5% of the non-Asian vote and 68% of the Asian vote. The Supreme Court has held that it is not necessary to explain why a difference exists or to exclude the relationship of other factors; it is sufficient to demonstrate “a correlation that did not happen by chance.” Thornburg, 470 U.S. at 53 & fn.22. One test calculates the probability that this regression coefficient happened by chance as one in 1,703,638,756,676.¹²



A similarly striking result occurs by assuming that Latinos vote in coalition with Asian voters. In this case, the model is refined to weight observations based on slight deviations in the number of votes cast in each precinct. Collectively, 55 percent of Latino and Asian voters supported Mr. Chalamcherla.

¹¹ To implement the Voters Choice Act, the registrar divided Folsom into 26 precincts for reporting purposes, even though these areas are no longer associated with individual polling places.

¹² This is the reciprocal of the P-value for the slope coefficient.



Whether or not Mr. Chalamcherla or other minority candidates eventually prevail in a future election has limited probative value. Elections Code, Section 14028(a). Since the issue of racially polarized voting was raised last February, non-minority voters may be more inclined to support minority candidates. The legislature was concerned that some Latino candidates had argued that white should vote for them in order to refute evidence of racially polarized voting.¹³ In more recent years, white voters have cited less strategic reasons for supporting minority candidates after a CVRA petition has been filed. They agree with district elections in principle and hope that the cadre of elected officials becomes more diverse.

Although I have not spoken to Mr. Chalamcherla, I understand that he has not publicly embraced district elections. Distancing himself from this issue may (or may not) be a political calculation designed to increase his appeal among white voters. His position is also irrelevant. District elections are not about minority candidates; they are about minority voters who want to influence elections by effectively voting for the candidates of their choice.

In performing these analyses, I have relied on the official tabulation of actual voters in the 2018 election by race that has been prepared for the California Legislature by the University of California at Berkeley. There is no similar data for Black and

¹³ Abel Maldonado successfully ran for Santa Maria city council after the city was sued under Section 2, arguing that voters could demonstrate that they were “not racist” by supporting him.


Native American voters, so an analysis can only be based on the number of such voters who were eligible to vote at the time of the election. Petitioners believe that these minorities also act in coalition with the Latino and Asian communities and will conduct this analysis if the council disagrees.

IV. FOLSOM'S COUNCIL NEEDS TO REPRESENT A CHANGED COMMUNITY.

At-large elections are undemocratic because they do not represent the entire city and are exceptionally susceptible to capture by special interests. Folsom incorporated three decades after the nativist movement that promoted the shift away from single-member districts. It is unnecessary to consider whether the city founders had any exclusionary motives; the evils of the at-large system are structural. Homogenized at-large boards resist demographic change and entrench incumbents who self-perpetuate by promoting successors with similar philosophies and social characteristics.

As recent events have demonstrated, the council has become less representative than it was 60 years ago. The average age of the inaugural city council was 31. Today, the average council member is 61 years old. In the 20th century, 16 of 38 council members served only one term. In the 21st century, only one incumbent has been defeated – and only after being arrested for impersonating a policeman. Of the four longest serving members, two are still on the council.


Folsom is no longer a small or homogeneous town. Its population has grown eight-fold since 1980.



Folsom has become more diverse. As late as 1980, only 62 Asian Indians (about ½%) and 628 Latinos lived in the city. By 1990, the Asian population had increased to 3-

½ percent in 1990 and is 20 percent today. The Latino population has grown from 6 percent to 13 percent. Today, 13 percent of Folsom’s eligible voters are Asian; 11 percent are Latino; Black and Native American voters total seven percent of the electorate. If all the Latino voters were located in one district, and all the Asian voters in another, each group would be capable of forming a majority district. Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act would require a majority districts on the basis that this would enable group to elect its own candidate of choice. Of course, Folsom is not so segregated and California politics is not defined by race to the same degree that characterized the Deep South.

Winner-take-all has resulted in the chronic underrepresentation of many neighborhoods, some of which suffer from unemployment or are distinguished by large numbers of children and foreign-born residents. Some have rates of indicators of social need (such as housing vacancy, non-family households and lack of broadband) that are higher than Folsom’s most well-to-do areas.¹⁴




¹⁴ Folsom is one of the most prosperous areas in Sacramento County, does not have considerable segregation, and has few residents on public assistance.

Folsom's minority community is a source of great strength for the city. Empire Ranch has many affluent, well-educated residents in expensive homes, but this community also has distinctive needs, as suggested by the higher-than-average number of school age children. Mayor Aquino lives near this area, but not in a high-Asian block group.

Most renters and much of Folsom's Latino and foreign born populations live in the central city, Parkway, or other areas south or east of Bidwell Street. Additional demographic measures (vacancy rates, unemployment, and lack of high school education) suggest that parts of these neighborhoods also have particular needs. No incumbent or former incumbent lives near these block groups.

DISTRIBUTION OF CITIZENS
OF VOTING AGE

- = 250 white CVAP
- = 250 Latino CVAP
- = 250 Af-Am CVAP
- = 250 Asian CVAP




Empirical studies suggest that at-large city councils focus on infrastructure development and annexation, but neglect social services.¹⁵ I have also attached a demographic analysis recently performed by the state to identify census tracts that it expected to be "hard-to-count" in the census. The same factors that make these tracts hard-to-count make them less engaged in at-large city government. Candidates do not campaign in their neighborhoods, and incumbents may neglect them due to the resulting low turnout. Despite the warning that the city reach out to the Parkway because of its high foreign-born population, more than 40 percent of its 2000 households did not return census forms.

The state's "hard-to-count" analysis avoided suggesting that cities were not

¹⁵ Langbein, et al. "Rethinking Ward and At-Large Elections in Cities: Total Spending, the number of locations of Selected City Services, and Policy Types," 88 PUBLIC CHOICE 276 (1996); Mehay & Gonzalez, "District Elections and the Power of Municipal Employee Unions," 15 J. LABOR RES. 376 (1994).

engaged with neighborhoods that have racial minorities. The state did not identify prosperous Tract 85.13 in southwest Folsom as hard-to-count, but more than a third of the estimated 3600 residents in this Asian neighborhood failed to respond to the census. To the extent that these areas were not fully counted during the very limited follow-up operations, Folsom and Sacramento County will pay a price in every population-based revenue allocation until 2032.

CENSUS SELF-RESPONSE RATES



The maps on the next page provide demographic information about each block group in Folsom. While Folsom is prosperous as a whole, there are areas that have distinctive needs and require representation by council members who are accessible to these constituents and understand their values and political objectives because they live in or near those neighborhoods.

I attach a letter than Dolores Heurta recently sent to the Justices of the California Supreme Court. As she explains, the disincorporation of minorities from local political life has statewide effects. One of these is depressing minority turnout in statewide elections.

FOLSOM – TURNOUT IN RECENT GENERAL ELECTIONS

	White/Black	Latino	Asian
2018	64%	38%	38%
2016	70%	42%	39%


PER CAPITA INCOME
OVER \$50K
UNDER \$25K



GRAD SCHOOL
>20% OF OVER 25s




MEDIAN HOME VALUES
OVER \$500K
UNDER \$250K



MORE THAN 25% OF
POPULATION
SCHOOL AGE (5-17)
OVER 65




TOTAL FOREIGN BORN
over 20 percent



MORE THAN 15%
MOVED IN LAST YEAR



MORE THAT 10 PERCENT OF
ADULTS DID NOT GRADUATE
HIGH SCHOOL.



MORE RENTERS THAN
HOMEOWNERS



MORE THAN 10% OF HOMES
VACANT



CENSUS OFFICE HARD-
TO-COUNT INDEX
over 50



UNEMPLOYMENT OVER
10 PERCENT
(pre pandemic)



CONCLUSION

District elections will give Folsom an opportunity to make its council more representative, more effective and more accountable to the voters. It will incorporate minority communities into the political life of the city and provide an equal opportunity for them to identify and support candidates of their choice for elective office. My clients look forward to working with the council and city attorney to implement district elections and to comply with the California Voting Rights Act.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Scott J. Rafferty". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "S".

Scott J. Rafferty..

¹⁶ This document reflects corrections and additional demographic charts provided on October 29, 2020.

DOLORES HUERTA FOUNDATION

August 31, 2020

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
In Care of Honorable Jorge E. Navarrete
Clerk, Supreme Court of California
350 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: ***Amicus Curiae Letter in Support of Petition for Review***
Pico Neighborhood Association, et al. v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight,
Case Number B295935

Dear Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the California Supreme Court:

The Dolores Huerta Foundation, a California 501(c)(3) non-profit community based grass roots organization founded by California civil rights and labor leader Dolores Huerta, respectfully submits this *amicus curiae* letter, pursuant to Rule 8.500(g) of the Rules of Court, in support of plaintiffs-respondents' Petition for Review in this matter.

INTEREST OF DOLORES HUERTA FOUNDATION

The Dolores Huerta Foundation recruits, trains, organizes, and empowers local residents in low-income communities to attain social justice through systemic and structural transformation. Throughout California, at-large election methods have historically denied equality of influence to Latino, Asian, black, and Native American communities. Because these structural barriers persist, even in large cities and school districts with substantial minority populations, these communities do not have an equal opportunity to choose their leaders and to elect them to local office.

P.O. Box 2087 ♦ Bakersfield, CA 93303 ♦ P: (661) 322-3033 ♦ F: (661) 322-3171
www.doloreshuerta.org

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -2-

Since the Legislature enacted A.B. 350 in 2016, the Dolores Huerta Foundation has supported petitions that have led school boards and city councils to implement district elections on a no-fault basis. These petitions detail how Latinos vote differently than non-Latinos, often citing ballot questions. Most elected officials (and their constituents) understand and accept that Latino electoral choices reflect different values and needs. The success of this process, which achieves compliance while avoiding litigation cost, is unlikely to continue if petitions must also prove that the very incumbents voting to comply have been elected only because their non-Latino constituents successfully blocked a Latino-preferred candidate.

The Dolores Huerta Foundation is committed to civic engagement. It conducts voter registration drives that seek to close the shocking gap in participation that separates Latinos and Asians from the rest of the electorate. As Congressional Representatives Barbara Jordan and Edward Roybal testified 45 years ago, at-large elections in Texas and California suppress Latino turnout because the chronic inability to elect candidates of choice has discouraged these communities. Even today, when Latinos are the majority of school-age children, only six percent of school board trustees are Latino. Such a dramatic absence of elected officials signals that Latinos have not yet been incorporated into the political life of our state.

Finally, the Dolores Huerta Foundation supports Latino (and Asian) parents in one of their most distinctive characteristics – the passion to ensure that their children receive the best possible education. Four years ago, most non-Latino voters opposed Proposition 51, which authorized \$9 billion in bonds to improve K-12 schools and community colleges statewide. Proposition 51 became law solely because of Latino votes. Few jurisdictions can deny racially polarized voting on this measure, using the test for statistical significance established in *Kaku v. City of Santa Clara*.¹ In Santa Monica, Latinos voted for Prop 51 at almost

¹ The trial court applied a 95% confidence interval to midpoint estimates for minority and non-minority preferences and found racially polarized voting if there was no overlap. The city disputes the use of a 95% confidence interval in the appeal (H046105), pending in the Sixth Appellate District.

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -3-

twice the rate of non-Latino voters.

WHY REVIEW SHOULD BE GRANTED

For over a century, at-large elections have impaired the ability of Latino communities to form coalitions with other minorities and to aggregate their votes with like-minded citizens of all races. As a result, many of our neighborhoods across the state are unrepresented on school boards and city councils. For this reason, the California Voting Rights Act prohibited at-large elections wherever Latinos (or another protected group) vote differently from the rest of the electorate. Prior to the reversal of the trial court judgment in Pico Neighborhood Ass'n v. City of Santa Monica, it was clear that this standard did not allow most jurisdictions to defend the use of at-large elections.

A century ago, California Progressives led a nationwide movement to elect city councils and school boards at-large. State legislatures in the South also adopted at-large voting in the 1960s in order to dilute the votes of newly enfranchised blacks. In recent decades, all but three of the nation's largest cities have recognized the anti-democratic effects of winner-take-all elections and restored district elections.² But until the CVRA, change in California was slow. Unless reviewed, the Court of Appeal's opinion in this case could stop voluntary compliance or even reverse the progress that has been made.

This Court should grant review to permit a more thorough briefing of two erroneous conclusions that were critical to the appellate opinion. First, the panel denied that racially polarized voting is sufficient to condemn at-large voting as a dilution of minority influence, criticizing the plaintiffs for devoting only one sentence to this argument. But The CVRA is not limited to promoting equal opportunity to elect candidates of choice; it also guarantees equality of influence, particularly the ability of voters to aggregate their votes with like-minded

² Columbus, Cincinnati, and Portland are still at-large. Significantly, NAACP LDF has threatened to force Columbus's majority-black city council to abandon at-large, because several black members were elected only after being appointed and are not the black community's authentic candidates of choice.

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -4-

voters.³ Therefore, at-large systems dilute Latino influence whenever Latinos vote differently than the rest of the electorate. This explains the Legislature's creation of the no-fault liability standard in Section 14028(a), and why no state or federal court has ever read it to permit any additional elements. Second, without reference to the record or any other source, the opinion stated that district elections were "swept away" as a response to "widespread graft and corruption." This contradicts most historic interpretations of the context and consequences of Progressive era election reforms, which excluded immigrants and entrenched incumbents, allowing the political composition of many local governments to resist demographic change for decades. The combined effect of these two erroneous assertions is to validate unfounded objections to district elections and to place at risk the progress made to date in eliminating an electoral system that is undemocratic. The remainder of this letter summarizes our argument on these two issues, which amicus expects to brief if this Court grants review.

- (1) Racially polarized voting is sufficient to establish that an at-large system dilutes minority voters.

The CVRA focuses on using districts to increase minority influence. In contrast to the federal Voting Rights Act, it does not require that changing from the at-large system will immediately allow a single minority group to elect its candidate of choice. Therefore, it eliminated a critical restriction in the federal Voting Rights Act: "the need to prove a geographically compact majority-minority district." Sanchez v. City of Modesto (2006) 145 Cal. App. 4th 660, 669, citing Section 14028(c); Jauregui v. City of Palmdale (2014) 226 Cal. App. 4th 781, 789. Based on these unambiguous terms, even the plaintiff in Higginson v. Beccera (2019) 363 F. Supp. 3d 1118, 1122, agreed that CVRA liability "turned solely on racially polarized voting." Neither the district court nor any party

³ Dean Heather Gerken's article, "Understanding the Right to an Undiluted Vote," 114 HARV. L. REV. 1663, 1680 (2001), explains dilution as impairing the right of minorities "to aggregate their votes effectively." "Single-member districts were historically chosen over at-large precisely to afford electoral minorities a chance to affect the political process." *id.*

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -5-

disputed this assertion. The U.S. Supreme Court declined to review the district court's ruling that establishing liability based on racially polarized voting alone satisfies all constitutional requirements. No 19-1199 (May 20, 2020).

Without distinguishing these cases, the appellate panel claims that the plain meaning of the word "dilution" restores the very requirement of a majority-minority district that the Legislature so clearly eliminated. The opinion finds it insufficient that Santa Monica's at-large system deconcentrates Latinos voters in the Pico neighborhood from a 30% Latino district into an area seven times as large in which only 14% of voters are Latino.⁴ The panel eviscerates the CVRA by denying liability unless plaintiffs show a majority Latino district, which they assume to be essential to electing a Latino official.

In California, Latinos can seldom be elected without support from other minorities and crossover voters. A Latino majority is not necessary for districting to increase Latino influence, nor is it sufficient (given the registration gap) to guarantee election of the Latino candidate of choice. In most California jurisdictions, Latino voting is cohesive and racially polarized, but it is not the case that Latinos vote only for Latinos, as the panel assumes.⁵ Districting allows minorities to build the coalitions that give them procedural equality in the electoral process.

The Legislature created a strong presumption in favor of districting not only to improve minority influence during the campaign, but also to increase the quality and responsiveness of cities and school districts. The at-large system continues to entrench homogenous incumbents in local government, as it was designed to do more than a century ago. In many cases, all members live in the

⁴ One court suggested that "Standard rules enhance consistency, manageability, predictability, and uniformity," and that 25% of voting age population (including non-citizens) is sufficient to qualify an influence district. Rural W. Tenn. African-American Affairs Council v. McWherter (1995) 877 F. Supp. 1096, 1104 (W.D. Tenn.), *aff'd* 516 U.S. 801.

⁵ Congressman Maxine Waters enjoys overwhelming support from Latinos, who constitute the majority of eligible voters in her district.

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -6-

“better parts of town,” often remote from Latino constituents. Ontario and Santa Clarita elected white incumbents in the 20th century, when they were smaller and less diverse, but they are still there. Districting enables Latino neighborhoods to identify natural leaders who can attract funding sufficient to engage voters in much smaller constituents. More competitive elections benefit all neighborhoods and voters of all races.

If this Court grants review, briefing will demonstrate that districting increases the influence of Latinos and other minorities, even in cases where no single minority has a share of eligible voters as high as the 30% in the Santa Monica remedy. In almost every case, districting empowers a new class of neighborhood-based candidates, who can attract funding and endorsements. This allows Latinos to cast a meaningful and effective vote for the very first time.

- (2) The Progressive Era elimination of district elections perpetuated corruption and entrenched a white, nativist elite. The at-large method of election continues to exclude racial and ethnic minorities.

The Court of Appeal's opinion turns California history on its head when it argues that the Progressive Era “swept away” district elections due to “widespread graft and corruption.” By granting review, this Court can objectively examine the extensive evidence that winner-take-all elections marginalize minorities in Santa Monica and in many city councils and school districts across the state.

For more than two centuries, our nation used district elections to incorporate immigrant communities into local political life. New York City provided for single member constituencies in 1683. Two decades later, 3000 Germans settled Governors Island and could elect their own council member. In recent decades, blacks, Latinos and Asians who began political life as local elected officials have advanced to state and federal offices.

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -7-

Large-scale corporate corruption has been endemic to local governments elected at-large, primarily because campaigning across a large city or school district requires substantial funding. This explains why the Southern Pacific could corrupt San Francisco's at-large board of supervisors in 1905 but was less successful among Los Angeles districted members. Oakland restored district elections after district attorney Earl Warren successfully prosecuted at-large Oakland city commissioners for graft in 1930.⁶ More recently, a large school district abandoned at-large elections after decades of construction waste led to an SEC bond investigation that forced the retirement of a long-time trustee.⁷

Governor Hiram Johnson was a visionary political strategist who restructured local elections to entrench the results of his party's 1911 landslide.⁸ Across the state, most victors were white, male Protestants from the cities' most established neighborhoods. The change to at-large would prevent leaders from emerging in ethnic neighborhoods and also increase the cost of challenging the new incumbents. Other reforms, some of which had independent merit, made it even more difficult to displace incumbents (and still do).⁹ Two tactics were particularly effective. Instead of creating an open seat, retiring members resigned in advance of the election, so their colleagues could fill the vacancy. Completing the slate often deterred anyone from contesting the election.¹⁰ Incumbents also used selective annexation to choose their voters, stranding minority neighborhoods in "unincorporated islands." The California Legislature outlawed this device in 1951, but Tuskegee, Alabama emulated it shortly

⁶ The corrupt council members belonged to the Ku Klux Klan. Rhomberg, "The 1920s Ku Klux Klan in Oakland, California," 17 *Journal of American Ethnic History* 39, 49 (1988).

⁷ *East Bay Times*, July 31, 2017.

⁸ In 1911, the Progressive Party came to power after two Irish anarchists confessed to bombing the Los Angeles Times. This was particularly remarkable in Los Angeles, where European immigration had tripled the population in the prior decade.

⁹ The "short ballot" reduced the number of elected positions (generally to five). Terms were lengthened and staggered. Non-partisanship reduced information about potential challengers.

¹⁰ Blair and Flournoy, *LEGISLATIVE BODIES IN CALIFORNIA* at 7-4 (1967).

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -8-

thereafter.¹¹

The racial exclusivity of these reforms is undeniable.¹² Outside of Los Angeles, which restored competitive district elections in 1925, the dominance of the Progressive/ Republican victors of 1911 and their handpicked successors survived decades of demographic and political change. A 1955 study showed that 60% of mayor and council members were Republican, which was by then the reverse of the state's voter registration. The partisan bias was even more extreme in large cities, where 83% of officials were Republican. The study showed that council members were homogenous in other ways; a "substantial majority" were still white, male, Protestant Masons who had lived in "a better part of town" for ten years or more.¹³ Even today, the legacy of at-large elections deprives California of the natural diversity of leadership that our democracy requires.

CONCLUSION

This Court should review the appellate opinion because it fails to explain its conflict with state and federal precedents (Sanchez, Jauregui, Higginson, *supra*) that have found racially polarized voting to be the statutory predicate to require district elections. In California, minorities succeed only by building coalitions. Voting may be polarized by race, but minorities do not vote automatically or exclusively for candidates of their own ethnicity. Therefore, the panel errs in assuming that a majority Latino district is necessary to demonstrate

¹¹ Rafferty v. City of Covina (1955) 133 Cal. App. 2d 747, 753; Cal. Stat. 1951, Ch. 1702, p.3915; Gomillion v. Lightfoot (1960) 364 U.S. 339. West Pueblo inside the City of Napa is a surviving example of a Latino island who cannot vote in city elections and are forced to pay more for services.

¹² Latinos had served on Los Angeles city council for 60 years, but during the Progressives' at-large period (1909-1925), the council was 100% Anglo. Most Asians could not vote because Chinese and Japanese had been excluded from citizenship. The CALIFORNIA PROGRESSIVE CAMPAIGN BOOK FOR 1914 (at 24) called New York's assimilation of immigrants "a fearful social blunder California is determined to avoid." It denounced the "delinquency and criminality of the second-generation alien," even though census data showed these birthright citizens to be as literate as "native stock." It warned that the Panama Canal, which opened in August 1914, would begin an "alien flood."

¹³ Lee, POLITICS OF NONPARTISANSHIP at 56-57 (1960).

The Hon. Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye
and Hon. Associate Justices
Re: Amicus Curiae Letter
In Support of Petition for Review
Pico v. City of Santa Monica, No. S263972
August 31, 2020
Page -9-

that districting will increase Latino influence. It is enough to show that Latino precincts vote differently than the rest of the city when we have an electoral choice "that affects [our] rights and privileges." Section 14027(b).

The CVRA does not attempt to engineer electoral outcomes; it simply enhances equality of opportunity in the electoral process. In most at-large systems, the citywide majority, almost always white and non-Latino, chooses every elected official, so large parts of many cities are totally unrepresented. Many of the young people in Latino communities have never met an elected official who lives in their neighborhood. The cost and futility of challenging longtime Anglo incumbents in citywide elections often frustrates attempts by the Latino community to find and support candidates who are natural leaders. District elections often create opportunities for candidates from minority neighborhoods. However, whether or not there is a Latino candidate, districting increases the political influence of our neighborhoods during the campaign and after the election. The Legislature's desire to improve governance statewide explains its decision to enact the CVRA with such a strong presumption in favor of district elections. The panel erred in ignoring that legislative judgment.

This Court should grant review, reverse the appellate opinion, and restore the remedy directed by the superior court.

Sincerely,



Dolores C. Huerta
Founder & President



Emilio J. Huerta
General Counsel

cc: Scott J. Rafferty, Esq., Counsel
rafferty@gmail.com

Census 2020 California Hard-to-Count Fact Sheet

Folsom City in Sacramento County

(CA Census 2020 Region 1)

Race and Hispanic Origin	
Total population	75,897
Hispanic or Latino of any race	11.2%
Hispanic Exclusive Race:	
White alone	62.7%
Black or African-American alone	4.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	0.3%
Asian alone	16.2%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0.4%
Some other race alone	0.1%

Top 3 Languages Spoken at Home	
Total Limited-English Population (Persons 5 years and older who do not speak English "very well")	3,836
Spanish	24.0%
Other Indo-European languages	22.7%
Chinese (incl. Mandarin, Cantonese)	20.3%

Hard-to-Count Characteristics	
The California Hard-to-Count (CA-HTC) Index is based on multiple demographic, housing and socioeconomic variables correlated with an area being difficult to enumerate. Census tracts with higher indexes are likely to be places that will pose significant challenges to enumerate in 2020.	
Percent of Total Housing Units:	
That are vacant (includes seasonal)	2.8%
With 3 or more units in a multi-unit structure	20.0%
Percent of Occupied Housing Units (or Households):	
That are renter-occupied	30.2%
That are overcrowded	0.2%
Without broadband Internet	7.5%
With limited-English speaking ability	3.0%
That are non-family	28.0%
Receiving public assistance income	1.2%
Percent of population:	
Under 5 years old	6.0%
That is foreign-born	16.2%
Who moved from outside county in past year	8.2%
With income below 150 percent of poverty level	7.9%
Age 16 or older that are unemployed	4.6%
Age 25 or older who are not high school graduates	7.6%

California Hard-to-Count Characteristics	
Median CA-HTC index all census tracts	16.5
Estimated Total Population in Census Tracts with above median CA-HTC	35,879
Leading HTC characteristics in those areas: Non-family households; Moved recently; Children under 5	

Top 5 CA-HTC Census Tracts in Place	
Census Tract 85.06:	
Total Population	2,664
CA-HTC Index	63.0
Leading Hard-to-Count Reasons: Multi-unit structures Renter-occupied units Moved recently	
Census Tract 9883:	
Total Population	5,551
CA-HTC Index	53.0
Leading Hard-to-Count Reasons: Vacant housing units Renter-occupied units Non-family households	
Census Tract 85.07:	
Total Population	4,104
CA-HTC Index	30.0
Leading Hard-to-Count Reasons: Moved recently Children under 5 Multi-unit structures	
Census Tract 84.04:	
Total Population	3,387
CA-HTC Index	29.0
Leading Hard-to-Count Reasons: Moved recently Non-family households Households without broadband subscription	
Census Tract 84.03:	
Total Population	6,340
CA-HTC Index	22.0
Leading Hard-to-Count Reasons: Moved recently Non-family households Children under 5	



For a detailed map of all census tracts, go to census.ca.gov/HTC-map

Data Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2013-2017 (5-year).

Geography Note: Census tracts do not cross county boundaries but a tract may be divided by city, district, and other boundaries. Also, the same tract number may be used in more than one county. "CDP" – A Census Designated Place is a unincorporated community.

To learn more about California's Census 2020 efforts, please visit census.ca.gov

Folsom City

California Hard-to-Count Index by Census Tract


- 1 - 16
- 16 - 30
- 30 - 47
- 47 - 70
- 70 - 136

Tracts with highest indexes

CA-HTC Index is 0



0 0.325 0.65 1.3 Miles





1. American River Canyon North ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
2. American River Canyon ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
3. Historic Folsom ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
4. Central District ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
5. Briggs Ranch ([message board](#))
6. The Parkway ([message board](#))

7. Empire Ranch ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
8. Broadstone ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
9. Lexington Hills ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
10. Willow Springs ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
11. Prairie Oaks ([real estate](#), [message board](#))
12. Natoma Station ([real estate](#), [message board](#))

Attachment 3.

SCOTT J. RAFFERTY
ATTORNEY AT LAW

1913 WHITECLIFF COURT
WALNUT CREEK CA 94596

(202)-380-5525
RAFFERTY@GMAIL.COM

November 16, 2020

Steven Wang, Esq.
City Attorney
50 Natoma Street
Folsom, CA 95630

Dear Mr. Wang:

I want to express my appreciation for our meeting on the morning of November 5, 2020. I expected to confirm the details of our discussion sooner, but had several urgent matters come up during the election. I understand that the council will not meet again until the December 7, 2020 meeting, and that you plan to present a resolution at a special closed session the following day.

As we discussed, when my client contacted me earlier in the year, I advised against proceeding at that time. The result would have been to require the creation over the summer of "maps to nowhere," which would never be used.¹ The February 2020 petition, while not served certified mail, had raised the issue that district elections may dilute minority influence. This gave voters an opportunity to compensate for that structural bias when they voted this month. By filing in advance of the election, we preserved our position that prior elections were sufficient to establish racially polarized voting. The subsequent election results are a mandate that the electorate supports reform to make the council more representative of all neighborhoods in the city.

We expected to grant the statutory extension, such that hearings would occur during the 90 days following March 14, 2021, by which time we expected the census data file for California to be available.² While Congress has not granted the census the extension it has requested, delay of these data is generally viewed as likely. I believe that it is within the spirit of the law to agree on an extension, such that the 90-day hearing period will not start until after the file is available. AB 2123 made a condition of the statutory extension is a "written agreement shall include a requirement that the district boundaries be established" before the registrar's deadline for the next regular

¹ Although complying in 2020 would have given minority districts a seat at the redistricting table and an opportunity to elect in the high-turnout presidential cycle, the safe harbor meant that the city could not be compelled to prepare maps before the registrar's deadline for the 2020 election. As you confirmed, the council was not inclined to accelerate the process.

² Because our state constitution imposes an August 2021 deadline for legislative redistricting, the census bureau usually gives priority to California, releasing the redistricting file before the statutory deadline of March 31, 2021.

election, but this is now 2022, not 2020. While the maximum extension is still 90 days, I can undertake not to go to court if you start the process reasonably soon after the data are available. The extension cannot be indefinite, since my clients cannot stop another party from filing a Section 10010 notice and going to court. Such a party could even argue that an extension in excess of the statute waives our right to compensation.

It is important to draw maps early in 2021. There are an unprecedented number of jurisdictions drawing boundaries for the first time. The Fair Maps Act also requires every districted city in the state to conduct four hearings. Quality demographic services in the state are limited, so the cost and difficulty in obtaining timely expertise will undoubtedly increase as the year progresses. It is also important that once you start the process to continue to completion within the 90 days. The Legislature realized that the public's ability to focus and participate in this process is limited and sought to prohibit stop-and-go processes that would reduce effective public input. That is why the city is supposed to post a tentative schedule of public outreach events and public hearings within 10 days of our extension agreement.

To resolve these shared interests, my clients propose to enter an agreement for (1) the maximum statutory extension (plus tolling for the anticipated delay of census data) and (2) payment of the statutory fee by December 31, 2020. The fee cap is currently \$32,056, and this payment would avoid the January 2021 inflation adjustment.

I am pleased to discuss any additional terms or matters of concern.

Sincerely,



Scott J. Rafferty

This page is intentionally left blank.