# Folsom City Council Staff Report 

| MEETING DATE: | $10 / 12 / 2021$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| AGENDA SECTION: | Consent Calendar |
| SUBJECT: | Resolution No. 10723 - A Resolution Authorizing the Public <br> Works Department to Install All-Way Stop Sign Control at the <br> Intersection of Iron Point Road and Dry Creek Road |
| FROM: | Public Works Department |

## RECOMMENDATION / CITY COUNCIL ACTION

The Public Works Department recommends that the City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 10723 - A Resolution Authorizing the Public Works Department to Install All-Way Stop Sign Control at the Intersection of Iron Point Road and Dry Creek Road.

## BACKGROUND / ISSUE

The Public Works Department received a request to evaluate the safety of the intersection of Iron Point Road and Dry Creek Road. Iron Point Road is classified as an arterial roadway and serves as an east-west connection between Folsom Boulevard and the El Dorado County via Saratoga Way. Since Iron Point Road/Saratoga Road opened in late April 2020, City staff has received various requests from residents regarding the intersection of Dry Creek Road and Iron Point Road. Most of the concerns are related to vehicle speeds of approaching traffic inbound from El Dorado County and a concern about the line of sight of approaching vehicles when turning out from Dry Creek Road.

Shortly after the roadway opened, a radar feedback sign and intersection warning sign were installed prior to the westbound curve in the roadway to attempt to slow down approaching vehicles.

In October 2020, the Traffic Safety Committee recommended removal of landscaping along Iron Point Road. City of Folsom staff assessed the landscaping, which is maintained by Empire Ranch Community Association (ERCA) and the City requested that ERCA remove
landscaping that impacted the line of sight looking east from Dry Creek Road. Per the request, a large amount of bushes and shrubs were removed and some of the tree canopy was raised to increase the sight distance looking towards the east.

Staff determined that the combination of radar feedback signs and landscape removal did not adequately address the safety concerns associated with limited sight distance at the intersection and decided to evaluate additional traffic control measures.

Below is the traffic collision diagram for incidents between 2020 and the current date. The data shows that there have been two collisions involving eastbound lefts.


## POLICY / RULE

Chapter 10.12 of the Folsom Municipal Code grants the City Council final authority with respect to the placement of new stop signs.

## ANALYSIS

The item was considered by the Traffic Safety Committee at its August 26, 2021 meeting and the Committee voted unanimously to recommend all-way stop control; a draft copy of the Action Summary from that meeting is attached to this report.

A Multi-Way Stop Sign Analysis was performed on this intersection and a copy of that warrant analysis is attached to this report.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

Installation of new signs and markings should not exceed $\$ 4,000$ and will be paid out of the Traffic Safety Project, Project No. 8023, which is funded through Measure A, Ongoing Traffic Control/Safety (Fund 276).

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This project has been deemed categorically exempt from environmental review.

## ATTACHMENTS

1. Resolution No. 10723-A Resolution Authorizing the Public Works Department to Install All-way Stop Sign Control at the Intersection of Iron Point Road and Dry Creek Road
2. Draft Action Summary - Traffic Safety Committee, August 26, 2021
3. CAMUTCD Multi-Way Stop Sign Warrant Analysis
4. Map of Intersection

Submitted,

Marcus Yasutake, ACTING PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

## Attachment 1

## Resolution No. 10723

## RESOLUTION NO. 10723

## A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT TO INSTALL ALL-WAY STOP SIGN CONTROL AT THE INTERSECTION OF IRON POINT ROAD AND DRY CREEK ROAD

WHEREAS, the Public Works Department received a request evaluate traffic safety at the intersection of Iron Point Road and Dry Creek Road; and

WHEREAS, initial safety improvements in the form of radar speed feedback signs and landscape removal did not adequately improve traffic safety; and

WHEREAS, staff evaluated additional traffic control measures, including all-way stop sign control; and

WHEREAS, the intersection is currently side street stop controlled; and
WHEREAS, the Public Works Department assessed the intersection and determined that all-way stop control is not warranted on a traffic volume, safety or right-of-way basis; and

WHEREAS, the Traffic Safety Committee reviewed the request and supported the staff recommendation in support of the request for all-way stop control; and

WHEREAS, sufficient funding is budgeted and available in the Traffic Safety Project, Project No. 8023; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Folsom authorizes the Public Works Department to install all-way stop sign control at the intersection of Iron Point Road and Dry Creek Road.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 12th day of October, 2021, by the following roll-call vote:
AYES: Councilmember(s):
NOES: Councilmember(s):
ABSENT: Councilmember(s):
ABSTAIN: Councilmember(s):

Michael D. Kozlowski, MAYOR
ATTEST:

Christa Freemantle, CITY CLERK

## Attachment 2

## Draft Action Summary - Traffic Safety Committee, August 26, 2021

City of Folsom<br>TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE<br>DRAFT<br>MINUTES<br>4:00 p.m., Thursday, August 26th, 2021

# ARegularTeleconferenceMeetingoftheTrafficSafetyCommitteewillbeheld exclusivelyviateleconferenceinlightofCOVID-19restrictionsonpublic gatherings. The meetingwillbeconductedinaccordancewiththeRalphM.Brown Act.California GovernmentCode54950, etseq.andExecutiveOrderN-29-20. 

MicrosoftTeamsMeetingLink Or call in (audio only) +1559-512-2217.,543426166\# United States, Fresno

Phone Conference ID: 543426 166\#

## 1. MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Meeting called to order 4:02 pm.

## 2. ROLL CALL:



Baade, Bailey, Bosch, Delp, McGee, Soulsby, Washburn
Wilson is subbing for McGee. All other members present. Washburn had to leave meeting early.

## 3. APPROVE ACTION SUMMARY

Action Summary of the May 21st, 2021 meeting will stand approved unless any Committee member requests a revision.
Action Summary/Minutes approved unanimously.

## 4. BUSINESS FROM FLOOR/GOOD OF THE ORDER

Discuss any items not on the agenda that a member of the public wishes to bring to the Committee's attention. The Committee cannot take formal action on the item but can request that it be placed on a future agenda for further discussion if necessary.

Becky, a member of the public had some follow up question regarding a previous TSC meeting item. Zach Bosch let her know that the project she was inquiring about is planned for the next fiscal year. She asked for Zach to email her the list of intersections studied and considered for traffic signals and he agreed to do so.

## 5. ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

None

## NeighborhoodBusiness

a. Intersection of Dry Creek Road and Iron Point Road

Wilson moved to recommend instillation of a 3-way stop sign at Dry Creek Rd. and Iron Point Rd., Delp 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$, and the rest of the committee voted unanimously.
b. Santa Juanita Road

Wilson made a motion to communicate to the City Council concern and need for action. They recommend appointing a council member to reach out to appropriate agencies and reach definitive action. They encourage the City of Folsom Traffic Department to provide the appointed City Council Member with the history and
engineering information that we have regarding this issue. Soulsby $2^{\text {nd }}$, the rest of the committee was unanimous.
c. Parkshore Dr and Plaza Dr

Delp moved to remove line of sight landscaping and increase patrol in the area. Patrols would target the violation of red zone parking. Agreement to revisit this area in the future if necessary. Baily $2^{\text {nd }}$, rest of committee unanimous (Washburn had left the meeting and was not able to vote).
d. Willard Drive at Prairie City Drive (Folsom Prep/Phoenix Elementary)

Bailey moved to create keep clear striping area at the entrance and exit on Willard Dr. at the Folsom Preparatory School/Cadance Academy Preschool. Delp $2^{\text {nd }}$, and committee unanimous (Washburn had left the meeting and was not able to vote).

## 6. COMMITTEE ITEMS

None

## 7. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting was adjourned at $6: 11 \mathrm{pm}$

## Attachment 3

## CAMUTCD Multi-way Stop Sign Warrant Analysis

| City of Folsom Department of Public Works MULTI-WAY STOP WARRANT ANALYSIS <br> (California Manual of Uniform Traficic Control Devices) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line |  |  |  |
| 2 | Enter Minor Street Name |  | Dry Creek Road |
| 3 | Enter Critical Approach Speed (85th Percentile) for Iron Point Road |  | 53 MPH |
| 4 | Enter Critical Approach Speed (85th Percentile) for Dry Creek Road |  | 25 MPH |
| Warrant No. 1: Traffic Signal Warrant |  |  |  |
| 5 | In the Engineer's judgement, is this a justifiable location for a traffic signal? | Enter an "X" in the appropriate hox |  |
| 6 | Has a signal warrant analysis been conducted for this intersection? | Yes | No X |
| 7 | If the Answer to Line 6 was Yes, did the interection warrant a traffic signal? | Yes | No |
| 8 | Were any two of Lines 5 through 7 answered "Yes"? |  | No X |
| Warrant No. 1 satisfied if two or more conditions met, Was Warrant No. 1 satisfied? |  |  | No |

## Warrant N̄o. $\overline{\text { z. }}$ Traffic Coilision Warrant

9 Enter number of collisions, of a type correctable by a multiway stop, reported at this location in the past $\mathbf{1 2}$ months $\square$ Collisions

Warrant No. 2 satisfied if 5 or more collisions were reported in the past 12 months. Was Warrant No. 2 satisfied? No
Warrant No. 3: Traffic Volume Warrant
10 The Gritical Approach Speed for the Major Street, Iron Point Road , was measured as 53 MPH
11 If the value in Line 10 is greater than 40, use Condition $A$ for this analysis; otherwise use Condition $B$
Condition A: Major Street Critical Approach Speed greater than 40 MPH

|  | Minimum | Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Required Hourly Vol. | $\begin{aligned} & 08: 00- \\ & 09: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 14: 00- \\ 15: 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 15:00- } \\ 16: 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 16: 00- \\ 17: 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:00- } \\ & \text { 18:00 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Total traffic volume entering intersection | 300 | 901 | 940 | 904 | 971 | 963 |  |  |  |
| Highest minor street traffic volume | 200 | 188 | 160 | 60 | 83 | 57 |  |  |  |

Were BOTH of the Minimum Required Hourly Volumes exceeded for 8 or more hours?

## Condition B: Major Street Critical Approach Speed less than 40 MPH



Note: Iraffic volume includes all vehlcles, pedesirlans and bicyclists
Were BOTH of the Minimum Required Hourly Volumes exceeded for 8 or more hours?
Was either Condition A or Condition B met or exceeded for 8 or more hours?

| Enter an "X" in the appropriate box$\mathrm{Y} \boldsymbol{\square} \square \quad \mathrm{No} \square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Warrant No, 3 satisfied if either Condition A or Condition B were met or exceeded; Was Warrant No 3 satisfied? No


## Attachment 4

## Map of Intersection
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