

Folsom City Council Staff Report

MEETING DATE:	4/23/2024
AGENDA SECTION:	Public Hearing
SUBJECT:	Resolution No. 11186 – A Resolution to Adopt an Amended User Fee Schedule for Community Development Engineering and Building Services
FROM:	Community Development Department

RECOMMENDATION / CITY COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Resolution No. 11186 to adopt an amended user fee schedule for Community Development Engineering and Building services.

BACKGROUND / ISSUE

On March 12, 2024, Community Development staff conducted a workshop with the City Council to discuss proposed user fee updates for the department and receive feedback from Council and the public. As part of that process, staff had a draft fee study prepared by ClearSource Financial Consulting that analyzed existing user fees, hourly rates, and staff time needed to perform feebased tasks for Building, Engineering, and Planning services as well as for Special Event Permits. The study found that existing fees, which were last fully updated in 2006, did not adequately cover the amount of staff time and resources associated with those processes and services. The fee study proposed a new fee schedule, with some modifications to the structure itself, to better capture the costs associated with fee-based services as well as a new technology fee to cover long-term updates to the department's online permitting system to benefit the end user and an updated General Plan and Zoning Code Update fee.

At the workshop, the City Council took in comments from the public regarding the proposed updated fee schedule. Public comments primarily focused on concerns about new fees and current processes associated with special events from groups that put on several key events in the city and business owners that benefit from events being held. Members of the public also requested lower fees for appeals to ensure that the public is not priced out of appealing a project while still recognizing that staff's level of effort is not currently captured in the existing fee. Finally, the

North State Building Industry Association requested a tiered roll-out of fees of 50 percent of the proposed increase initially and then implement the remainder of the fee increase six months later to reduce the immediate impact of fee increases on applicants.

The City Council discussed the proposed fee schedule update and provided comments to staff for consideration. While Council did not suggest modifications to any specific fees for Building or Engineering services, questions were raised about the necessity of the proposed technology fee and why it needed to be called out as a separate line item rather than included in the overall permit cost. That clarification has been made to address the comment received.

With regards to proposed fees for Planning services, Councilmembers stated that better explanations are needed for the various Planning processes and how often they are utilized to determine if all listed fees are still necessary. Councilmembers also stated that the proposed appeal fees were too high even though they captured staff's level of effort. With regards to special events, Councilmembers also noted that proposed Special Event Permit fees were too high and that more research was needed to determine what types of events and organizations, such as non-profits, should be charged less for events with community or economic development benefits. Additionally, the special event process, which is currently handled by Planning staff was also called into question with some suggestions that the Parks & Recreation or other City departments handle this process. Ultimately, Council concluded that updating fees for Planning processes and Special Event Permits should be handled separately from the rest of the fee schedule.

Ultimately, staff determined that it would be more effective to discuss Planning fees in tandem with the upcoming Zoning Code update. This update may come with modifications to, or even the elimination of, existing Planning processes that could in turn have effects on staff time and Planning fees. Staff believes that discussing changes to processes currently required in the Zoning Code should go hand in hand with discussions of the fees associated with those processes to improve efficiency. Furthermore, additional work is needed to make changes to the Special Event Permit process and how fees should be charged for events. As such, staff is only proposing updates to fees for Building and Engineering services at this time. Updated Special Event Permit fees are anticipated to go in front of Council in summer/fall 2024 with a target effective date of January 1st, 2024. To coincide with the Zoning Code update, planning process fees are anticipated to go in front of Council in late 2024 or early 2025, with a target effective date of July 1, 2025.

POLICY / RULE

The objectives of the fee study, the methodology used to complete the study, and the formulation of outcomes and recommended fee updates were significantly influenced by Article XIII C of the California Constitution, Propositions 218 (1996) and 26 (2010), and Section 66014 of the California Government Code.

Article XIII C states that, "the local government bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a levy, charge, or other exaction is not a tax, that the amount is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity, and that the manner in which those costs are allocated to a payor bear a fair or reasonable relationship to the payer's burdens

on, or benefits received from, the governmental activity." Additionally, Article XIII C identifies the following development processing fees as items that are not defined as taxes:

- A charge imposed for a specific benefit conferred or privilege granted directly to the payer that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of conferring the benefit or granting the privilege [Art. XIII, C, 1(e)(1)].
- A charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product [Art. XIII, C, 1(e)(2)].
- A charge imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, and audits, enforcing agricultural marketing orders, and the administrative enforcement and adjudication thereof [Art. XIII, C, 1(e)(3)].

Section 66014(a) of the California Government Code includes the following, "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, when a local agency charges fees for zoning variances; zoning changes; use permits; building inspections; building permits; ...the processing of maps under the provisions of the Subdivision Map Act...; or planning services...; those fees may not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged, unless a question regarding the amount of the fee charged in excess of the estimated reasonable cost of providing the services or materials is submitted to, and approved by, a popular vote of two-thirds of those electors voting on the issue".

The outcomes and recommendations of the fee study were intended to comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws including providing confirmation that the proposed fees ("charges") recommended as a result of the fee study are not taxes as defined in Article XIII C of the California Constitution and that the proposed fees are no more than necessary to the cover the reasonable costs of the City's activities and services addressed in the fees. Additionally, the fee study shows that the manner in which the costs are allocated to a payor bear a fair and reasonable relationship to the payor's burdens on, or benefits received from the activities and services provided by the City.

ANALYSIS

The Building Division's modified fee schedule includes restructuring and new tiers and fee types so fees could more accurately reflect the level of effort that is expected as projects grow in scale and detail. The restructuring and modifications included:

• Introducing flat rate fees for common residential permit types to be simpler for the public to understand and pay as well as easier for staff to administer. Staff found this to be consistent with other jurisdictions in the area.

- Proposing lower cost recovery for residential HVAC and water heater change-out permits
 to promote code compliance. These have been identified as projects where people often
 avoid getting a permit. As such, lowering the cost of these types of projects below full
 cost recovery encourages contractors and homeowners to obtain a permit to ensure the
 work is completed in accordance with the Building Code.
- Restructuring the fees related to subdivision development to align with the amount of staff time utilized for each permit type. Production permits for homes in a subdivision are reviewed by all divisions in Community Development, though the current fee covers less than 1 hour of staff time.
- Revising the current fee table for valuation-based projects to account for the same percentage cost recovery at all valuations. The current fee table utilizes a sliding scale for cost recovery, which does not reflect the estimated hours utilized. The proposed fee table is instead based on the same percentage cost recovery for any project. The previous table uses a different percentage cost recovery based on project valuation (i.e. 75% recovery for a \$25,000 project versus 90% recovery for a \$10 million project).
- Adding the Business License Fee, CASP Training Fund Fee and State Disability Access Fee to the fee schedule. These fees (the latter two of which are required by State law) have previously been collected along with the building permit fee but were not called out in the fee schedule.

The Engineering Division also made several specific modifications to the fee schedule to reflect costs associated with the permit reviews and plan checks that they perform. Major proposed modifications include the following:

- Encroachment permits were restructured with the intent to encourage applicants to obtain permits for their intended use. Subcategories of encroachment permits were added based on length of time, needs, and various types of encroachment.
- Annual permits for general maintenance are proposed to be billed on a time and materials basis, with the initial deposit determined by the City Engineer, based on the scope of work. This is due to the inconsistent level of staff effort associated with this type of maintenance since it is difficult to predict the scale and size of permitting and inspections involved without a detailed scope of work.
- Landscape review for production homes was changed from being based on the valuation of the project to a fixed fee, as the existing valuation method did not reflect the detailed tasks and level of effort that goes into reviewing the plans.
- Fees that are primarily for work performed by the contract City Surveyor were modified to reflect the actual billable rate of the Surveyor plus the City's overhead cost for contract administration.

• Currently, a flat rate of \$38 is used for all tree work/removal permits, regardless of the number of trees being removed. The tree removal permit fee structure was completely revamped to ensure that when tree work or minor removal (up to two trees or any "indecline" tree) on occupied properties is proposed, the fees are kept relatively low, as this does not take a significant amount of staff time to review and code compliance is encouraged. However, for the removal of three or more trees, any tree removal for new construction, or tree work/removal done without a permit, the fees have gone up significantly to reflect the level of staff time it takes to process and review these tasks.

Technology and General Plan/Zoning Code Fees

CDD is proposing a new technology fee to be applied to all building permits as a primary beneficiary of the City's streamlined electronic permitting process. This fee is based on the estimated long-term costs of software and licensing fees, hardware upgrades, and implementation including a ten percent contingency. While a nine (9) percent permit fee would recover 100 percent of the estimated cost allocation of the technology updates and maintenance, staff ultimately chose a rate of five (5) percent, which would recover approximately 56 percent of cost allocation. Since Building Permit rates would also increase as part of the updated fee schedules, staff concluded that lowering the technology fee below full cost recovery would be warranted to limit the fee burden on applicants and to be in alignment with other cities of similar size in our region.

Staff considered the idea of folding the technology fee into overall building permit fees rather than separating it out as a standalone fee. However, in researching the nature of the technology fee, staff found that folding in the technology fee was not recommended for several reasons. The cost of citywide technology services is already partially captured in the overhead cost included as a part of staff's hourly rate, whereas the proposed technology fee would only be allocated for periodic purchases by the Community Development Department to update the electronic permitting process software, hardware, and related consultant services. By separating the technology fee into a different category, it ensures that all the funds collected for that fee go directly into updating systems and programs to provide electronic access for the public rather than going into the City's General Fund or for other department expenses.

Below is an example of what an applicant would currently see on a receipt for an HVAC changeout permit. Overall, the cost for a residential HVAC changeout typically ranges from \$15,000 to \$40,000 for a home. In this case the total permit fee is \$466. As shown below, there are several permit fees outside of the standard building permit fee charge, which are earmarked to specific accounts. Most of these additional fees are required by the State of California. Staff believes that adding one additional row to the list of fees in the below table for a technology fee would not materially change what applicants see on receipts and would be consistent with common practices when specifically earmarked fees are applied.

Building- Residential Permit Fee	\$418.00
City Business License Fee	\$25.00
CASP Training Fund Fee	\$3.60
State Disability Access Fee	\$0.40
City General Plan Fee	\$12.54
State Green Fee	\$2.00
State SMI Residential Fee	\$4.62
Total Fees Due	\$466.16

CDD is also proposing a modified General Plan and Zoning Code fee to be applied to all building permits for new construction, additions, tenant improvements, and residential remodels. Currently, a fee of three (3) percent of building permit and plan check fees is collected as a General Plan Update fee. However, there is no fee collected for Zoning Code updates or maintenance. The new proposed fee would help fund major periodic General Plan, Housing Element and Zoning Code updates as well as in-house maintenance of these documents. While a nine (9) percent permit fee would recover 100 percent of the estimated cost allocation, staff ultimately chose a rate of five (5) percent, which would recover approximately 55 percent of cost allocation. Staff again chose a lower rate with the intent of not overburdening applicants with additional costs and to stay in line with what is being charged in the region for similar fees. Additionally, because General Plan and Zoning Code updates benefit the entire community rather than just project applicants, staff wanted to ensure that such applicants were not taking on the full burden of paying for such updates. Staff also chose to only charge a portion of the fee as a percentage of the building permit fee rather than on both the building permit fee and the plan check fee. Ultimately, this would result in approximately the same amount of funds that are currently being collected.

Conclusions

ClearSource performed a reasonableness test on the proposed fees using historical permit volume to forecast anticipated revenue from the fees. This test confirmed that the forecasted revenue from the fees did not exceed the actual staff costs associated with this work and should therefore be in line with State law. The study recommends monitoring permit and application volume and applicant feedback to determine if any of the fee modifications are resulting in any unanticipated changes in project frequency and to provide greater detail for future revenue forecasting. The study also recommends that fees should continue to be updated on an annual basis using the Consumer Price Index (CPI). This is typical for other jurisdictions in the region and also similar to how other City fees are administered in Folsom. The study also recommends that a comprehensive fee study should be conducted periodically to ensure fee levels remain at or below legal limits and are consistent with evolving practices and local conditions.

Regarding the North State Building Industry Association's request for a tiered roll-out of fees, staff is proposing a phasing of the fee changes. Planning fees are not proposed to be updated until the Zoning Code update is complete with new Planning fees proposed to go into effect on July 1, 2025 after adoption of the new code. As such, projects that are subject to Planning fees along with their Engineering and/or Building fees will not see an increase in Planning fees until at least one year after the Building and Engineering fees have gone into effect. Staff believes that this

accomplishes the goals of a tiered roll-out by reducing the immediate impact of fee increases on applicants for larger projects. As such, no formal tiered roll-out for Building and Engineering is proposed.

Finally, staff notes that Chapter 3.50 of the Folsom Municipal Code (Fee and Service Charge Revenue/Cost Comparison System) requires that the vast majority of CDD user fees recover 100 percent of costs through the use of deposit-based fees. Since the department is proposing to move away from deposit-based fees in most cases because of the additional administrative staff needed to handle those type of fees, there are some new proposed flat fees that do not match the 100 percent cost recovery requirement. As a result, staff is presenting City Council with a separate ordinance that would modify Folsom Municipal Code Chapter 3.50 to give greater flexibility to the Council to adjust the cost recovery percentages of user fees. This would allow Council to strategically adjust certain fees via resolution as the Council sees fit, such reducing fees below full cost recovery to encourage compliance with City regulations. Enaction of the resolution for the updated fee schedule as proposed is contingent upon passage of the ordinance to update Folsom Municipal Code Chapter 3.50. The first reading of the ordinance is also scheduled for the April 23, 2024 City Council meeting and is a separate report from this one.

FISCAL IMPACT

The fee study concluded that the proposed new Building and Engineering fees could result in an estimated additional \$1,000,000 annually for the General Fund, based on historical permit volume and development activity. Fee revenue could be higher or lower if actual experience differs from the assumptions used in the fee study. The fee study also estimates \$100,000 annually for technology updates based on current permit activity levels.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Resolution No. 11186 A Resolution to Adopt an Amended User Fee Schedule for Community Development Engineering and Building Services
- 2. Development Processing Fee Study- Building and Engineering Fees, dated March 2024

Submitted,

PAM JOHNS

Community Development Director

ATTACHMENT 1

RESOLUTION NO. 11186 – A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT AN AMENDED USER FEE SCHEDULE FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING AND BUILDING SERVICES

RESOLUTION NO. 11186

A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT AN AMENDED USER FEE SCHEDULE FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT'S ENGINEERING AND BUILDING SERVICES

WHEREAS, City of Folsom Municipal Code Section 3.50.020 states "The city manager is hereby directed to recommend to the council the adjustment of fees and charges to recover the percentage of costs reasonably borne in providing the regulation, products or services enumerated in this chapter and on the schedule of rate review as hereinafter established in this chapter"; and

WHEREAS, the City Council on April 23, 2024, held a public hearing on the proposed fee updates for the Community Development Department and considered public comment; and

WHEREAS Resolution No. 11110 adopted by City Council on October 10, 2023, set the most recent User Fee schedule for the City including the Community Development Department; and

WHEREAS, the City had a consultant that performed a reasonableness test on the proposed fees and this test confirmed that fees do not exceed the actual staff costs associated with this work and are consistent with State law; and

WHEREAS any fee update reducing a fee to less than 100 percent cost recovery shall not be implemented until a time at which Section 3.50 of the Folsom Municipal Code has been updated to allow City Council to choose the desired cost recovery for user fees.

WHEREAS notice has been given at the time and in the manner required by State Law and City Code; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Folsom hereby Amends Resolution No. 11110 and adopts the amended user fee schedule for Community Development Engineering and Building services, as shown in Exhibit "A".

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 23rd day of April 2024, by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Councilmember(s):
NOES: Councilmember(s):
ABSENT: Councilmember(s):
Councilmember(s):

Michael D. Kozlowski, MAYOR

ATTEST:	
Christa Freemantle, CITY CLERK	

Exhibit A

Updated Community Development Department Fee Schedule for Building and Engineering Services

City of Folsom ENGINEERING AND ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FEES

#	Description	Fee	Fee Structure	Note
1	Assessment District/CFD Payment Processing	\$4,800	Fixed Fee	
2	Encroachment Permit			
	a) Encroachment Contract for Parking/Staging			
	i. 0-6 calendar days	\$50	Fixed Fee	
	ii. 7-14 calendar days	\$100	Fixed Fee	
	iii. 14+days	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	b) Utility Work/Connections (Individual Permits)			
	i. Wet Utilities/Service Connections	\$600	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Dry Utilities (per site/location)	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	iii. Misc. per LF of Trench in ROW/City Easement	\$5.00	Fixed Fee	
	iv. Inspections and Testing	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	c) Driveways/Minor Frontage Improvements			
	i. Residential (per driveway)	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Commercial (per driveway)	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	d) Pools and Spas (in ground)	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	e) Traffic Control/Equipment Staging			
	i. Isolated Site	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Multiple Closures/Staging	\$1,000	Fixed Fee	
	f) Permit Extensions			
	i. Active Work Zone	\$50	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Inactive Work Zone (4+ months inactivity)	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	g) Annual Permits			
	i. Wet Utilities	\$6,000	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Dry Utilities	\$20,800	Fixed Fee	
	iii. General Maintenance/Misc. (Not Wet or Dry Utilities)	T&M	Fixed Fee	[a]
	iv. Vegetation Management (Utilities)	\$20,800	Fixed Fee	
	v. Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (paid annually)	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	h) Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (new permits only)	\$2,400	Fixed Fee	[b]

City of Folsom ENGINEERING AND ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FEES

#	Description	Fee	Fee Structure	Note
3	Engineering and Landscape Plan Check and Inspection			
	a) Project Value Up to \$10,000	8.00%	Fixed Fee	
	b) Project Value \$10,001 - \$100,000			
	i. Base Fee for First \$10,000	\$800	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$100,000	8.00%	Fixed Fee	
	c) \$100,001 - \$199,999			
	i. Base Fee for First \$100,000	\$8,000	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$200,000	6.40%	Fixed Fee	
	d) \$200,001 - \$299,999			
	i. Base Fee for First \$200,000	\$14,400	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$300,000	4.80%	Fixed Fee	
	e) \$300,000 or more			
	i. Base Fee for First \$300,000	\$19,200	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1	3.60%	Fixed Fee	
	f) Landscape Plan Review			
	i. Non-Development	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Custom Home	\$1,100	Fixed Fee	
	iii. Production Home/Subdivision	\$2,300	Fixed Fee	
	iv. Model Home Complex	\$1,400	Fixed Fee	
	v. Commercial, Streetscape, Other Development Projects	\$1,600	Fixed Fee	
	vi. Development and Civil Improvements - Landscaping Review	\$2,100	Fixed Fee	
4	Final Map and Parcel Map			
	a) Parcel Map Check	\$8,050	Fixed Fee	
	b) Final Map Check			
	i. Base Fee	\$11,500	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Plus, Per Lot Fee	\$144	Fixed Fee	
	c) Final Map Amendment/Certificate of Correction	\$6,900	Fixed Fee	
5	Right of Ways (ROW) and Easements			
	a) Review of ROW/Easement Documents	\$3,450	Fixed Fee	
	b) ROW/Easement Abandonment	\$5,750	Fixed Fee	
6	Subdivision Agreement Processing	\$5,750	Fixed Fee	
7	Transportation Permit			
	a) Permit	\$16	Fixed Fee	
	b) Annual Permit	\$90	Fixed Fee	

City of Folsom ENGINEERING AND ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FEES

#	Description	Fee	Fee Structure	Note
8	Tree Removal/Work Permit			
	a) Permitted Removal/Work			
	i. Existing Occupied Structure			
	a. 0-2 Trees	\$100	Fixed Fee	
	b. 3+ Trees: See New Construction Rate Below	\$1,200	Fixed Fee	
	c. "In Decline" Tree	\$100	Fixed Fee	
	ii. New Construction (e.g. Custom Home, Subdivision, Parcel			
	a. 0-4 Trees	\$1,200	Fixed Fee	
	b. 5+ Trees	\$1,400 + 10% per tree	Fixed Fee	
	iii. Misc.	\$200	Per Hour	
	b) w/o Permit (Does not include mitigation) Double the Permit Rate	2x permit amount	Fixed Fee	
	Other Fees for Service			
9	Research of Engineering Records	\$200	Per Hour	
10	Miscellaneous Engineering Services	\$200	Per Hour	
11	Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent)	\$200	Per Hour	
12	Revisions	\$200	Per Hour	
13	After Hours Inspection (per hour) (2-hour minimum)	\$240	Per Hour	
14	Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each)	\$100	Each	
15	Missed Inspection Fee	\$100	Each	
16	Expedited Services Fee	1.5x Regular Fee	Fixed Fee	
17	Residential Landscape Review	Hourly Rate of Arborist	Per Hour	
18	Technical Assistance/Third Party Review or Inspection	Actual Cost	T & M	

[[]a] Use time and materials with initial deposit to be determined by City Engineer, based on anticipated scope of work.

[[]b] Encroachment agreement required in addition to insurance (e.g., parklets).

A. Fees for Commonly Requested Building Permit Types. Fees shown in this section (Section A.) include all applicable inspection, and plan review fees. Additional permit processing fees apply. Additional fees may apply for services provided by other City Departments (e.g. Planning Review), and Fees Collected on Behalf of Other Agencies (e.g. State of California).

ee	Description	Fee	Charge Basis	Note	CPI
1	HVAC Change-Out - Residential	\$225	per permit		Υ
2	Water Heater Change-Out - Residential	\$180	per permit		Υ
3	Residential Re-Roof	\$360	per permit		Υ
4	Siding Replacement	\$315	per permit		Υ
5	Service Panel Upgrade - Residential	\$270	per permit		Υ
6	Battery Backup Storage	\$360	per permit		Υ
7	Electric Vehicle Charger	\$360	per permit		Υ
8	Generator	\$360	per permit		Υ
9	Residential Solar Photovoltaic System - Solar Permit				
	a) Plan Review				
	i) Base Fee for 15kW or Less	\$200	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	ii) Fee for Each Additional kW above 15kW	\$15	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	b) Permit	\$250	per permit	[a],[b]	N
10	Commercial Solar Photovoltaic System - Solar Permit	В			
	a) Plan Review				
	i) Base Fee 50kW or Less	\$444	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	ii) Fee for Each Add'l kW above 50kW up to 250kW	\$7	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	ii) Fee for Each Add'l kW above 250kW	\$5	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	b) Permit	\$556	per permit	[a],[b]	N
11	Pool Solar	\$180	per permit		Y
	Swimming Pool Replaster / Equipment Change-Out	\$450	per permit		Y
12		\$900	per permit		Υ
13	Swimming Pool Remodel (e.g., Changing Pool Shape, Adding Cabo Shelf, etc.)	\$300	per permit		
14	Retaining Wall				
	a) One Type of Retaining Wall Type/Configuration	\$540	per permit		Υ
	b) Each Additional Wall Type/Configuration	\$270	per permit		Υ
15	Window / Sliding Glass Door - Retrofit / Repair				
	a) Up to 5	\$180	per permit		Υ
	b) Per Window Over 5 Windows	\$36	per permit		Υ
16	Fences Requiring a Building Permit	\$360	per permit		Υ
17	Electrical and Irrigation Pedestals per pedestal	\$270	per permit		Υ
18	Detached and Attached ADUs	\$4,500	per permit		Υ
19	Junior ADUs	\$2,160	per permit		Y

[[]a] Total fees shall not exceed amounts outlined in California Government Code 66015(a)(1).

[[]b] The City will not collect additional permit processing fees. Amounts shown are total amount due for permit processing, plan review, and permit.

Determination of Valuation for Fee-Setting Purposes

Project valuations shall be based on the total value of all construction work, including all finish work, roofing, electrical, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, elevators, fire-extinguishing systems and any other permanent equipment. If, in the opinion of the Building Official, the valuation is underestimated on the application, the permit shall be denied, unless the applicant can show detailed estimates to meet the approval of the Building Official. Final building permit valuation shall be set by the Building Official. For determining project valuations for new construction, the Building Official may use data published by the International Code Council (ICC) (building valuation data table, typically updated in February and August of each year). The final building permit valuation shall be set at an amount that allows the City to recover its costs of applicant plan check, permit and inspection activities.

Note: For construction projects with permit fees calculated using Section B, additional fees apply for permit issuance. Additional fees may apply for services provided by other City Departments (e.g. Planning Review), and Fees Collected on Behalf of Other Agencies (e.g. State of California). Additional fees apply for plan review, when applicable.

B. Permit Fee for New Buildings, Additions, Tenant Improvements, Residential Remodels, and Combined Mechanical, Electrical, and/or Plumbing Permits

Total	Valu	ation			Pern	nit Fee		CPI
\$1	to	\$2,000	\$135.00					
\$2,001	to	\$25,000	\$135.00	for the first \$2,000	plus	\$9.78	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$25,000	N
\$25,001	to	\$50,000	\$360.00	for the first \$25,000	plus	\$10.80	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$50,000	N
\$50,001	to	\$100,000	\$630.00	for the first \$50,000	plus	\$9.00	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$100,000	N
\$100,001	to	\$500,000	\$1,080.00	for the first \$100,000	plus	\$7.20	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$500,000	N
\$500,001	to	\$1,000,000	\$3,960.00	for the first \$500,000	plus	\$6.48	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$1,000,000	N
\$1,000,001	to	\$5,000,000	\$7,200.00	for the first \$1,000,000	plus	\$5.40	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$5,000,000	N
\$5,000,001	and	up	\$28,800.00	for the first \$5,000,000	plus	\$4.11	for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof over \$5,000,000	N

D. Building Plan Review Fees

Acti	vity Description	Fee	Charge Basis	Note	CPI
1	Building Plan Check Fees - Building				
	a) Plan Review Fee, if applicable	80%		[a]	N
	b) Expedited Plan Check - At Application Submittal (when applicable)	1.5x standard plan check fee			N
	c) Tract Home / Master Plan Construction (Production Units)	20% of standard plan check fee		[b]	N
	d) Production Permit for Multi-family permit	\$1,440			Υ
	e) Production Permit for Fire permits and other misc. permits	\$450			Υ
	f) Alternate Materials and Methods Review (per hour)	\$180			Υ
	g) Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent) (per hour)	\$180			Υ
	h) Revisions to an Approved Permit (per hour)	\$180			Υ
	i) Deferred Submittal (per hour)	\$180			Υ

When applicable, plan check fees shall be paid at the time of application for a building permit.

The plan checking fee is in addition to the building permit fee

[[]a] Includes up to three plan checks. The City will bill hourly for additional plan review required.

[[]b] For identical buildings built by the same builder on the same lot or in the same tract and for which building permits are issued at the same time

E. Other Fees

Acti	vity Description	Fee	Note	CPI
1	Permit Processing Fee	\$75		Υ
2	Strong Motion Instrumentation (SMI) Fee Calculation		[a]	
_	a) Residential	\$0.50 or valuation x .00013	[a]	N
	b) Commercial	\$0.50 or valuation x .00028	[a]	N
3	Building Standards (SB 1473) Fee Calculation (Valuation)		[a]	
	a) \$1 - \$25,000	\$1	[a]	N
	b) \$25,001 - \$50,000	\$2	[a]	N
	c) \$50,001 - \$75,000	\$3	[a]	N
	d) \$75,001 - \$100,000	\$4	[a]	N
	e) Each Add'l \$25,000 or fraction thereof	Add \$1	[a]	N
4	Business License Fee	\$25		N
5	CASP Training Fund Fee	\$3.60		N
6	State Disability Access Fee	\$0.40		N
7	General Plan/Zoning Code Update Fee (percent of building permit fee)	5%	[b]	N
8	Technology Fee (percent of permit fee)	5%	[c]	N
9	Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (Phasing Plan) Fee	\$900		Υ
10	Permit Extension	\$ 0		Υ
11	Permit Reactivation Fee			
	a) Reactivation Fee if All Inspections Have Been Performed and Approved Up to But Not Including Final Inspection b) Reactivation Fee - All Other Scenarios	\$180		Υ
	i) Permit Expired Up to One Year	50% of Original Base Building Permit Fee		N
	ii) Permit Expired More than One Year	100% of Original Base Building Permit Fee		N
12	Permit Reissuance Fee	\$180		Υ
13	Damaged Building Survey (Fire, Flood, Vehicle Damage, Etc.) (per hour)	\$180		Υ
	Other Fees			
14	Phased Inspection Fee (per inspection)	\$180		Υ
				.,
15	After Hours Inspection (per hour) (4-hour minimum)	\$216		Υ
16	Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each)	\$180	[d]	Υ
17	Missed Inspection Fee	\$180		Υ
18	Duplicate Copy of Permit	\$75		Υ

Resolution No. 11186 Page 10 of 12

E. Other Fees

Acti	vity Description	Fee	Note	CPI
19	Duplicate Copy of Certificate of Occupancy	\$75		Υ
20	Fees for Services Not Listed in this Fee Schedule (per 1/2 hour)	\$90		Υ
	Violation Fees			
21	Investigation Fee For Work Done Without Permits (In addition to applicable permit fees)	equal to permit fee		N

[a] Amounts established by State of California. In the case of discrepancy between this schedule and amounts established by the State, State amounts shall supersede these amounts.

- [b] Fee applies to new construction, additions, tenant improvements, and residential remodels requiring building permits.
- [c] Fee applies to all permits.
- [d] Reinspection fee applies after the first re-inspection.

Building Valuation Data Table									
Group (2021 International Building Code)	IA	IB	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IV	VA	VB
A-1 Assembly, theaters, with stage	335.89	324.58	316.94	304.93	286.87	278.00	295.62	266.02	257.55
A-1 Assembly, theaters, without stage	307.39	296.08	288.44	276.42	258.37	249.50	267.12	237.51	229.05
A-2 Assembly, nightclubs	269.94	261.93	254.48	245.85	230.56	223.99	237.02	209.57	202.79
A-2 Assembly, restaurants, bars, banquet halls	268.94	260.93	252.48	244.85	228.56	222.99	236.02	207.57	201.79
A-3 Assembly, churches	311.88	300.57	292.93	280.91	263.30	254.43	271.60	242.45	233.98
A-3 Assembly, general, community halls, libraries, museums	266.07	254.76	246.12	235.10	216.33	208.46	225.80	195.47	188.01
A-4 Assembly, arenas	306.39	295.08	286.44	275.42	256.37	248.50	266.12	235.51	228.05
B Business	260.69	251.13	241.86	231.65	210.99	202.73	222.56	186.21	177.81
E Educational	273.46	263.96	255.62	245.04	228.69	217.00	236.61	200.36	193.94
F-1 Factory and industrial, moderate hazard	160.20	152.78	143.34	138.64	123.55	117.41	132.48	102.44	95.93
F-2 Factory and industrial, low hazard	159.20	151.78	143.34	137.64	123.55	116.41	131.48	102.44	94.93
H-1 High Hazard, explosives	149.46	142.04	133.60	127.90	114.12	106.97	121.74	93.00	0.00
H234 High Hazard	149.46	142.04	133.60	127.90	114.12	106.97	121.74	93.00	85.50
H-5 HPM	260.69	251.13	241.86	231.65	210.99	202.73	222.56	186.21	177.81
l-1 Institutional, supervised environment	262.22	252.95	244.31	235.67	215.42	209.47	235.71	193.82	187.73
l-2 Institutional, hospitals	434.15	424.59	415.32	405.12	383.35	0.00	396.02	358.57	0.00
I-2 Institutional, nursing homes	302.01	292.45	283.18	272.97	253.83	0.00	263.88	229.05	0.00
I-3 Institutional, restrained	295.86	286.31	277.03	266.83	247.95	238.69	257.74	223.17	212.77
I-4 Institutional, day care facilities	262.22	252.95	244.31	235.67	215.42	209.47	235.71	193.82	187.73
M Mercantile	201.37	193,36	184.91	177.28	161.72	156.15	168.45	140.73	134.95
R-1 Residential, hotels	264.67	255.41	246.77	238.13	218.35	212.40	238.17	196.75	190.67
R-2 Residential, multiple family	221.32	212.06	203.42	194.78	175.96	170.01	194.82	154.36	148.28
R-3 Residential, one- and two-family	209.61	203.74	198.94	195.12	188.41	181.45	191.77	175.86	165.67
R-4 Residential, care/assisted living facilities	262.22	252.95	244.31	235.67	215.42	209.47	235.71	193.82	187.73
S-1 Storage, moderate hazard	148.46	141.04	131.60	126.90	112.12	105.97	120.74	91.00	84.50
S-2 Storage, low hazard	147.46	140.04	131.60	125.90	112.12	104.97	119.74	91.00	83.50
U Utility, miscellaneous	114.09	107.37	99.89	95.60	85.13	79.54	90.99	67.39	64.19

ATTACHMENT 2

DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING FEE STUDY- BUILDING AND ENGINEERING FEES, DATED MARCH 2024

CITY OF FOLSOM

MARCH 2024

DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING FEE STUDY BUILDING AND ENGINEERING FEES



CONTENTS

REPORTING

Section	<u>Description</u>	Page #
1	TRANSMITTAL	1
2	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Overall Findings	2
3	PROJECT ORIENTATION Scope and Guidance	5
4	IMPLEMENTATION Considerations for Implementation	9

APPENDICES

<u>Appendix</u>	<u>Description</u>
Α	REGIONAL FEE COMPARISON
В	COST OF SERVICE ANALYSIS
С	PROPOSED FEES



March 2024

CITY OF FOLSOM

Attn: Pam Johns, Community Development Director 50 Natoma Street Folsom. CA 95630

DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING FEE STUDY

Dear Ms. Johns:

ClearSource Financial Consulting submits the following report describing the findings of our preparation of a User and Regulatory Fee Study for the City of Folsom.

Please refer to the Executive Summary for the key findings of the analysis and estimated impacts to City funds. The balance of the report and its appendices provide the necessary documentation to support those outcomes.

Thank you for the opportunity to serve the City on this topic. We are happy to continue discussion on this study as the need arises or consult with you on additional topics.

Sincerely,

TERRY MADSEN, PRESIDENT | CLEARSOURCE FINANCIAL CONSULTING

PHONE: 831.288.0608

) ___ M.l_

EMAIL: TMADSEN@CLEARSOURCEFINANCIAL.COM

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

STUDY OVERVIEW

The City of Folsom provides many services to ensure safe, orderly and aesthetically pleasing development and construction within the City. The broad categories of these services include, but are not limited to, project entitlement review, improvement plan check, map check, permits (building, grading, encroachment and driveway), and land action review (i.e. dedications, parcel mergers and lot line adjustments). User fees and regulatory fees are the mechanism by which the City may recoup a portion of or all of the costs associated with these services.

The City of Folsom has completed a **User and Regulatory Fee Study**. California cities regularly conduct these studies to justify fee amounts imposed and to optimize the overall portfolio of revenues available to the municipality to fund its services.

Industry practice and fiscal conditions in the state have led most cities to link cost recovery for services of individual action, cause, or benefit to that same individual through user fee revenue, relieving the agency's general revenues as much as possible for use toward services of broader community benefit.

USER AND REGULATORY FEES

Cities derive annual revenue from a number of sources. These include, but are not limited to, property taxes, sales taxes, license fees, franchise fees, fines, rents, and user and regulatory fees. User and regulatory fees are intended to cover all, or a portion of, the costs incurred by the City for providing fee-related services and activities that are not otherwise provided to those not paying the fee.

California law provides guidance regarding the amounts the City may charge for fee-related services and activities. Specifically, in order to avoid being considered taxes, the fees charged shall not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the services, activities, or materials for which fees are charged.

COST RECOVERY POLICY AND PRACTICE

Recovering the costs of providing fee-related services directly influences the City's fiscal health and increases the City's ability to meet the service level expectations of fee payers.

The services for which the City imposes a user or regulatory fee typically derive from an individual person or entity's action, request, or behavior. Therefore, except in cases where there is an overwhelming public benefit generated by the City's involvement in the individual action, a fee for service ensures that the individual bears most, if not all, of the cost incurred by the City to provide that service. When a fee targets "100% or full cost recovery," the individual bears the entirety of the cost. When a fee targets less than full cost recovery, another City revenue source – in most cases, the General Fund – subsidizes the individualized activity.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ACTION

During the course of study, information and analysis was generated and is discussed substantively throughout this report and its technical appendices. However, summarized in the following findings statements by broad fee category, are outcomes and proposals of particular interest to City policymakers.

Building Fees

- Current fees recover less than the City's full cost of providing fee-related services.
 - The Division collects approximately \$2,845,000 annually in fee revenues. Fee-related expenditures are anticipated to be approximately \$3,385,000. This results in an aggregate cost recovery level of 84% and a General Fund subsidy of approximately \$540,000.
 - Full cost recovery is targeted for most building fees with exceptions for minor permits for residential HVAC change-out and water heater change-outs.

Land Development Engineering Fees and Encroachment Permit Fees

- Current fees recover less than the City's full cost of providing fee-related services. Many of the
 City's current fees are fixed at amounts that reflect less than the City's cost of providing services
 (examples include, but are not limited to, tree permitting and landscape plan review).
 - The Division collects approximately \$2,400,000 annually in fee revenues. Fee-related expenditures are approximately \$2,880,000. This results in an aggregate cost recovery level of 83% and a General Fund subsidy of approximately \$480,000.
- Recalibrate fees to encourage cost recovery of City staff and outside service provider costs.
- Full cost recovery is targeted from engineering and encroachment permit fees.

Deposit-Based Engineering Fees (i.e., Time & Materials Billings)

- Fees for some of the City's more complex land development review projects are proposed to be administered using a "time and materials" billing approach. The City will collect an initial deposit and bill against that deposit for the costs of outside consultant review and support, and in-house labor efforts. If the deposit is drawn down before project completion, staff contacts the applicant to request replenishment of funds. If deposit amounts remain at the completion of the project, the applicant is refunded the unused deposit amount. Comprehensive tracking and billing for deposit-based projects should billing for project time such as:
 - Intake and Initial Processing and Review
 - o Initial Meetings

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Project Correspondence
- Multiple Rounds of Review
- o Report Preparation
- o Decision Making, Meeting Preparation
- Project Close-Out and Documentation Actions

Regional Fee Comparison

• Similar fees are collected by communities throughout the region and the State. The proposed fee amounts do not exceed the City's cost of service and are in-range of amounts charged by other jurisdictions. Regional fee comparison information is included in Appendix A of this report.

Additional Cost Recovery from Proposed Adjustments to Fees

• The enhanced cost recovery anticipated from the proposed changes included in the fee schedule update is \$1,100,000.

Fairly allocating costs to the services provided and recovering some, or all, of these costs from service recipients creates value and predictability for City customers and reimburses the City for services provided to a single party, as compared to the public at large. Collecting fees for services:

- Increases the availability of General Fund revenues to be used for services and activities available to all residents and businesses, such as public safety and public works services.
- → Helps meet fee-payer service level expectations by collecting fees to fund the existing level of services provided.

Please continue to the following technical report and appendices for further discussion of this User and Regulatory Fee Study.

SCOPE OF STUDY

The City of Folsom has completed a **User and Regulatory Fee Study**, which represents an external review of prevailing practices and development of an updated **Schedule of User Fees and Charges**. ClearSource Financial Consulting has prepared this analysis during Fiscal Year 2023/24 and will be available to answer questions as the City proceeds in implementing findings as it chooses.

Key tasks expected by the City from this study included the following:

- Review eligible fee-related services citywide to establish the reasonable relationship between current fees for service and the underlying costs of service.
- Calculate the full cost of service, including estimated citywide overhead costs.
- Recommend fees to be charged for each service.
- Recommend cost recovery strategies and best practices in setting fees, while considering the complexities and demands of responsible programs or departments.
- Identify underlying billable rates for cost recovery opportunities and as the basis for user fees.
- Maintain a thoroughly documented analysis to ensure compliance with Proposition 26, and other statutes, as applicable.

DIRECT SERVICES UNDER REVIEW

Fee Categories

City fees under review in this project focused on direct services eligible for user fee methodology, as listed in the City's published fee schedules. Additionally, the project was tasked with identifying any relevant additions for services performed without a fee or for under-quantified or ineffectively structured fees. Current services shown in the City's various prevailing fee schedules and addressed in this study are summarized as follows:

- Engineering Services include encroachment permitting, development plan review and inspection.
- Building Building plan review, permitting, and inspection for construction and sub-trades.

REASON FOR STUDY

Cities derive annual revenue from a number of sources. These include, but are not limited to, property taxes, sales taxes, franchise fees, fines, rents, and user and regulatory fees. User and regulatory fees are intended to cover all, or a portion of, the costs incurred by a city for providing fee-related services and activities that are not otherwise provided to those not paying the fee.

California cities regularly conduct fee studies to justify fee amounts imposed and to optimize the overall body of revenues available to the municipality to fund its services. Widespread industry practice and fiscal conditions in the state have led most cities to link cost recovery for services of individual action, cause, or benefit to that individual through user fee revenue, relieving the agency's general revenues for services of broader community benefit.

PREVAILING GUIDANCE

The objectives of this study, the methodology used to complete the study, and the formulation of outcomes and recommendations for future consideration were significantly influenced by Article 13C of the California Constitution and Section 66014 of the California Government Code.

Article 13C states that the local government bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a levy, charge, or other exaction is not a tax, that the amount is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity, and that the manner in which those costs are allocated to a payer bear a fair or reasonable relationship to the payer's burdens on, or benefits received from, the governmental activity. Additionally, Article 13C identifies the following as items that are not defined as taxes:

- → A charge imposed for a specific benefit conferred or privilege granted directly to the payer that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of conferring the benefit or granting the privilege.
- → A charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided directly to the payer that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product.
- A charge imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, and audits, enforcing agricultural marketing orders, and the administrative enforcement and adjudication thereof.
- A charge imposed for entrance to or use of local government property, or the purchase, rental, or lease of local government property.
- ◆ A fine, penalty, or other monetary charge imposed by the judicial branch of government or a local government, as a result of a violation of law.
- ⇒ A charge imposed as a condition of property development.

Assessments and property-related fees imposed in accordance with the provisions of Article XIII D.

Section 66014(a) of the California Government Code includes the following, "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, when a local agency charges fees for zoning variances; zoning changes; use permits; building inspections; building permits; ...the processing of maps under the provisions of the Subdivision Map Act...; or planning services...; those fees may not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged, unless a question regarding the amount of the fee charged in excess of the estimated reasonable cost of providing the services or materials is submitted to, and approved by, a popular vote of two-thirds of those electors voting on the issue.

The outcomes and recommendations of the study are intended to comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws including providing confirmation that the proposed fees ("charges") recommended as a result of this study are not taxes as defined in Article 13C of the California Constitution and that the proposed fees are no more than necessary to the cover the reasonable costs of the City's activities and services addressed in the fees. Additionally, this report is intended to show that the manner in which the costs are allocated to a payer bear a fair and reasonable relationship to the payer's burdens on, or benefits received from the activities and services provided by the City.

METHODOLOGY AND DATA SOURCES

This study calculated the estimated reasonable cost of providing various fee-related services across the City organization. Generally, the estimated reasonable cost of providing the fee-related services and activities examined in this study can be calculated as the product of the composite fully-burdened hourly labor rate of the division responsible for providing services and the estimated labor time required to process a typical request for service.

The composite fully-burdened hourly rates calculated in this study are based on the estimated annual hours spent providing fee related services, and estimated labor, services and supplies, and citywide overhead expenditures, sourced as follows:

- Labor expenditures for in-house personnel were based on budgeted salary and benefits expenditures.
- Contract service personnel and other services and supplies related costs were based on Fiscal Year 2023/24 adopted budgets and anticipated costs.
- Citywide overhead cost allocations were based on the City's current overhead cost allocation plan.
- Estimated labor time spent providing fee related services were developed based on interviews with City staff and are in-line with typical direct service ratios experienced by the consultant via studies of similar municipalities throughout California. Commonly used industry data also aided in the development of time estimates and proposed fee structures.

Once cost of service levels are identified, the City may use this information to inform targeted cost recovery from fees. Fees set at the cost-of-service target full cost recovery. Fees set at any amount less than the cost-of-service target less than full cost recovery.

An illustration of the methods used in this analysis is shown in Exhibit 2.

EXHIBIT 2 | STEPS IN ANALYZING COSTS OF SERVICE AND USER FEES

	CC	ST OF SE	RVICE ANALYSIS – PROCESS AND METHODS
1	ANNUAL LABOR TIME	⇒ II	DENTIFY ANNUAL HOURS SPENT PROVIDING FEE SERVICES FOR EACH PARTICIPATING DIVISION NFORMATION IS DEVELOPED AND TESTED USING A COMBINATION OF INTERVIEWS, QUESTIONNAIRES, HISTORICAL PROJECT INFORMATION, AND HISTORICAL REVENUE NFORMATION
2	ANNUAL EXPENDITURES] C 	DENTIFY ANNUAL COST OF PROVIDING FEE SERVICES FOR EACH PARTICIPATING DIVISION NFORMATION IS DEVELOPED AND TESTED USING A COMBINATION OF NFORMATION FOUND IN THE CITY'S ADOPTED BUDGET, EXPENDITURE HISTORY, AND THE OVERHEAD COST PLAN.
3	FULLY BURDENED HOURLY RATES		CALCULATE THE ESTIMATED FULLY BURDENED HOURLY RATE USING INFORMATION FROM STEPS 1 AND 2
4	SERVICE/ACTIVITY LABOR TIME	ə 1	ESTIMATE LABOR TIME REQUIRED TO PROCESS INDIVIDUAL REQUEST FOR SERVICE NFORMATION IS DEVELOPED AND TESTED USING A COMBINATION OF INTERVIEWS, QUESTIONNAIRES, COMMONLY USED MEASURES, AND INFORMATION DEVELOPED N STEP 1
5	UNIT COST OF SERVICE		CALCULATE THE ESTIMATED COST OF SERVICE USING INFORMATION FROM STEPS 3 AND 4
6	CURRENT COST RECOVERY	> (CALCULATE CURRENT COST RECOVERY LEVEL FOR A SPECIFIC SERVICE
7	TARGETED COST RECOVERY		USE LAWS, INDUSTRY STANDARDS, GOALS AND POLICIES, AND HISTORICAL TRENDS TO DETERMINE TARGETED COST RECOVERY
8	TEST FOR REASONABLENESS	ə	TEST TO CONFIRM FORECAST REVENUE FROM FEES WILL NOT EXCEED PROGRAM COSTS USE HISTORICAL PERMIT VOLUME AND PROPOSED FEES TO FORECAST ANTICIPATED REVENUE FROM FEES FORECASTED REVENUES SHOULD NOT EXCEED PROGRAM COSTS

IMPLEMENTATION

CONSIDERATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

If the City decides to adopt or otherwise utilize outcomes generated through this study, it should:

- Update Systems for Fee Outcomes Ensure that City staff begin using updated fees and associated outcomes once the updated schedule of fees becomes effective. Values should be included in all official fee schedules used throughout the City (e.g., departmental pamphlets, counter schedules, and online information). Additionally, ensure collections processes are updated, which may include coding in billing systems and training for personnel who handle fees directly with the public.
- → Actively Monitor the Use of Fees In order to recover accurate and eligible amounts expected, the City should be diligent about tracking time to projects for time and materials billings and ensuring fees are applied in the correct amount and using the correct and intended basis for fixed fee billings.
- Monitor Feedback and Permit Statistics Monitor permit and application volume and applicant feedback to determine if fee modifications are resulting in any unanticipated changes in project frequency and to increase the level of detail available for revenue forecasting.
- ◆ Annually Review and Adjust Fee Values In order to generally maintain pace with regional cost inflation and/or the City's salary cost inflation, the City should adjust its fees on an annual basis. A commonly used, reasonable inflation index is the annual change in the all-urban Consumer Price Index (CPI) representative of the region.
- → Periodically Perform Comprehensive Analysis A comprehensive fee study should be conducted periodically (e.g., every three to five years) to ensure fee levels remain at or below legal limits and are consistent with evolving service practices and local conditions.

APPENDIX A

REGIONAL FEE COMPARISON

In order to provide the City Council with additional information as it considers potential adjustments to fees, current and proposed fees were compared to amounts collected by other agencies within the region. City policymakers often consider fees established by other regional agencies for similar services when evaluating proposed fees.

The City of Folsom, consistent with other cities throughout the State, has an existing fee schedule that contemplates hundreds of potential unique requests for service. This can result in thousands of fee scenarios when comparing among multiple agencies. Consequently, an exhaustive comparison of the hundreds, and potentially thousands of scenarios is unrealistic. Instead, comparison information for several fee categories commonly seen from agency to agency are provided in order to provide City Council with a reasonable sense of changes expected. For Folsom, outcomes will show that new fees may range from low, mid, to upper end of regional fee spectrum depending on the service provided. This is common among municipalities due to differing levels of service and review included among various fee categories.

Engineering Fee Comparison

Fee Description	Folsom - Current	Folsom - Proposed	Folsom - Current Fee	Folsom - Proposed Fee	Elk Grove	Rancho Cordova	Rocklin	Roseville
Engineering Plan Check and Inspection Improvement Value Up to \$100K	Mid-Range	Mid-Range	6% - 7%	8%	10.5% - 18%	7%	21%	5%
Engineering Plan Check and Inspection mprovement Value \$100K - \$200K	Mid-Range	Mid-Range	5%	6.40%	8.5% - 10%	5%	11%	5%
Engineering Plan Check and Inspection mprovement Value \$200K - \$1M	Mid-Range	Mid-Range	2% - 4%	3.6% - 4.8%	6% - 8.5%	2% - 4%	6% - 8%	5%

Building Fee Comparison

Fee Description	Folsom - Current	Folsom - Proposed	Folsom - Current Fee	Folsom - Proposed Fee	Rancho Cordova	Rocklin	Roseville
Building Permit for \$25,000 Project	Mid-Range	Mld-Range	\$330	\$360	\$375	\$456	\$158
Building Permit for \$50,000 Project	Mid-Range	Mid-Range	\$530	\$630	\$600	\$751	\$757
Building Permit for \$100,000 Project	Mid-Range	Mid-Range	\$880	\$1,080	\$1,050	\$1,158	\$1,162
Building Permit for \$500,000 Project	Mid-Range	Mid-Range	\$3,280	\$3,960	varies (res v. non-res)	\$3,697	varies (res v. non-res)
Building Permit for \$1,000,000 Project	Mid-Range	Mid-Range	\$6,030	\$7,200	\$7,511	\$6,417	\$6,180

^{*} Fee amounts shown are for illustrative purposes. Actual fees collected will vary depending on services reviewed (e.g., new construction, plumbing, mechanical, electrical, structural, general plan update, technology fees, etc.). Amounts are intended to illustrate patterns and order of magnitude.

APPENDIX B

COST OF SERVICE ANALYSIS



User and Regulatory Fees

Fee-Related Cost of Service Analysis

City of Folsom Cost of Service Analysis

Description	Page
Cost of Service Allocation - Community Development Administration	3
Cost of Service Calculations	-
Engineering and Encroachment Permits	5
Building	14
General Plan / Zoning Code Update	24
Development Specific Technology Enhancements / Land Management Tracking	26
Cost Allocation - Citywide Overhead	28

User and Regulatory Fees

Cost of Service Calculations

Community Development - Administration

City of Folsom
User and Regulatory Fee Study
Allocation of Divisional Expenses - Community Development - Administration

Allocation of In-House Labor

Description	Building	Code Enforcement	Engineering	Planning	Total	Notes
Allocation of In-House Labor	46%	8%	25%	21%	100%	[a]
FTE	11	2	6	5	24	[a]

Recurring Expenditures

Description	Total	Αc	djustments	Total
Salaries - Permanent	\$ 360,290	\$	41	\$ 360,290
Annual Leave Account	\$ 5,197	\$	*	\$ 5,197
FICA	\$ 29,650	\$	*	\$ 29,650
PERS	\$ 139,520	\$	*	\$ 139,520
Deferred Comp - City Paid	\$ 16,095	\$	-	\$ 16,095
Automobile Allowance	\$ 6,000	\$		\$ 6,000
Combined Benefits	\$ 55,022	\$		\$ 55,022
Printing	\$ 4,000	\$	8	\$ 4,000
Dues & Publications	\$ 5,500	\$	2	\$ 5,500
Advertising	\$ 7,500	\$	*	\$ 7,500
Rents	\$ 55,500	\$	*	\$ 55,500
Training & Education	\$ 17,500	\$		\$ 17,500
Postage	\$ 358	\$		\$ 358
Telephone	\$ 2,500	\$	4	\$ 2,500
Cellular	\$ 10,000	\$	*	\$ 10,000
Internet	\$ 5,500	\$	*	\$ 5,500
Travel and Meetings	\$ 2,000	\$		\$ 2,000
Contracts	\$ 5,000	\$	(5,000)	\$ 2
Contracts - Pre Employment	\$ 500	\$		\$ 500
Vehicle Maintenance	\$ 4,000	\$		\$ 4,000
Equipment Maintenance	\$ 200	\$		\$ 200
Advisory	\$ 10,000	\$	(10,000)	\$ -
Computer - Hardware	\$ 17,000	\$	1	\$ 17,000
Computer - Software	\$ 5,000	\$		\$ 5,000
Computer - License & Mtnc	\$ 22,614	\$		\$ 22,614
Office Supplies	\$ 5,000	\$		\$ 5,000
Departmental Supplies	\$ 11,000	\$	12	\$ 11,000
Petroleum Supplies	\$ 7,533	\$	%	\$ 7,533
Insurance / Liability	\$ 18,824	\$	3+	\$ 18,824
Subtotal	\$ 828,803	\$	(15,000)	\$ 813,803

		Code	H		ī			
Building	En	forcement	En	gineering	F	Planning	Total	Notes
\$ 165,133	\$	30,024	\$	90,073	\$	75,060	\$ 360,290	[b]
\$ 2,382	\$	433	\$	1,299	\$	1,083	\$ 5,197	[b]
\$ 13,590	\$	2,471	\$	7,413	\$	6,177	\$ 29,650	[b]
\$ 63,947	\$	11,627	\$	34,880	\$	29,067	\$ 139,520	[b]
\$ 7,377	\$	1,341	\$	4,024	\$	3,353	\$ 16,095	[b]
\$ 2,750	\$	500	\$	1,500	\$	1,250	\$ 6,000	[b]
\$ 25,218	\$	4,585	\$	13,756	\$	11,463	\$ 55,022	[b]
\$ 1,833	\$	333	\$	1,000	\$	833	\$ 4,000	[b]
\$ 2,521	\$	458	\$	1,375	\$	1,146	\$ 5,500	[b]
\$ 3,438	\$	625	\$	1,875	\$	1,563	\$ 7,500	[b]
\$ 25,438	\$	4,625	\$	13,875	\$	11,563	\$ 55,500	[b]
\$ 8,021	\$	1,458	\$	4,375	\$	3,646	\$ 17,500	[b]
\$ 164	\$	30	\$	90	\$	75	\$ 358	[b]
\$ 1,146	\$	208	\$	625	\$	521	\$ 2,500	[b]
\$ 4,583	\$	833	\$	2,500	\$	2,083	\$ 10,000	[b]
\$ 2,521	\$	458	\$	1,375	\$	1,146	\$ 5,500	[b]
\$ 917	\$	167	\$	500	\$	417	\$ 2,000	[b]
\$ 20	\$		\$	200	\$	9	\$:	[b];[c]
\$ 229	\$	42	\$	125	\$	104	\$ 500	[b]
\$ 1,833	\$	333	\$	1,000	\$	833	\$ 4,000	[b]
\$ 92	\$	17	\$	50	\$	42	\$ 200	[b]
\$ 2	\$	25	\$	(4)	\$	-	\$ 34	[b];[c]
\$ 7,792	\$	1,417	\$	4,250	\$	3,542	\$ 17,000	[b]
\$ 2,292	\$	417	\$	1,250	\$	1,042	\$ 5,000	[b]
\$ 10,365	\$	1,885	\$	5,654	\$	4,711	\$ 22,614	[b]
\$ 2,292	\$	417	\$	1,250	\$	1,042	\$ 5,000	[b]
\$ 5,042	\$	917	\$	2,750	\$	2,292	\$ 11,000	[b]
\$ 3,453	\$	628	\$	1,883	\$	1,569	\$ 7,533	[b]
\$ 8,628	\$	1,569	\$	4,706	\$	3,922	\$ 18,824	[b]
\$ 372,993	\$	67,817	\$	203,451	\$	169,542	\$ 813,803	
46%		8%		25%		21%	100%	

[[]a] Based on feedback received from Community Development Department, Amounts intended to serve as reasonable estimates. Allocated based on divisional FTE,

[[]b] Source: FY 23/24 adopted budget.

[[]c] Adjustment to exclude non-fee related expenses.

User and Regulatory Fees

Cost of Service Calculations
Engineering and Encroachment Permits

City of Folsom User and Regulatory Fee Study Allocation of Annual Labor Effort - Engineering

Authorized Staffing

		Total Hours	Less: Holiday	Hours Per	Productive				Indirect	Total Direct		
Position	FTE	Per FTE	& Leave	FTE	Hours	Indirect	Direct	Total	Hours	Hours	Total Hours	Notes
Urban Forestor	1,00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	20%	80%	100%	373	1,491	1,864	[a];[b]
City Engineer	1.00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	65%	35%	100%	1,212	652	1,864	[a];[b]
Senior Construction Inspector	1.00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	20%	80%	100%	373	1,491	1,864	[a];[b]
Engineering Tech I/II	1.00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	30%	70%	100%	559	1,305	1,864	[a];[b]
Senior Civil Engineer	2.00	2,080	216	1,864	3,728	25%	75%	100%	932	2,796	3,728	[a];[b]
Total	6.00				11,184				3,448	7,736	11,184	
Total									31%	69%	100%	

Contract Services

Description	Total	Notes
Annual Contract Services	\$ 1,751,255	[c]

Contract Services	Share	Est. H	rly Cost	Notes
Inspection	50%	\$	125	[d]
Plan Review	50%	\$	205	[d]
Total	100%		165	[e]

Description	Total	Indirect	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Total	Notes
Contract Service Hours	10,614	10%	90%	1,061	9,552	10,614	[c]

Divisional Total

Position	Indirect	Direct	Total	Notes
Authorized Staffing	3,448	7,736	11,184	
Contract Services	1,061	9,552	10,614	
Total	4,510	17,288	21,798	
Total	21%	79%	100%	

- [a] Staffing based on FY 23/24 adopted budget
- [b] Allocation of hours intended to serve as reasonable estimate. Amount may vary from year-to-year and position to position.
- [c] Source: Annual average FY 18/19 through FY 21/22,
- [d] Amounts intended to serve as reasonable estimates of market rates for contract service providers.
- [e] Average hourly rate for contract services received.

City of Folsom User and Regulatory Fee Study Allocation of Divisional Expenses - Engineering

Recurring Divisional Expenditures [a]

Description	Total	Α	djustments	Total	Notes
Salaries - Permanent	\$ 740,794	\$	(*	\$ 740,794	
Annual Leave Account	\$ 10,730	\$	1.5	\$ 10,730	li'
Uniform Allowance	\$ 67 5	\$	-	\$ 675	
FICA	\$ 58,782	\$	(30	\$ 58,782	
PERS	\$ 295,014	\$		\$ 295,014	
Deferred Comp - City Paid	\$ 16,200	\$		\$ 16,200	
Combined Benefits	\$ 118,434	\$		\$ 118,434	
Contracts	\$ 690,000	\$	1,061,255	\$ 1,751,255	[b]
Insurance / Liability	\$ 37,648	\$:*:	\$ 37,648	
Subtotal	\$ 1,968,277	\$	1,061,255	\$ 3,029,532	

Allocation of Department and Citywide Overhead

Description	Total	Adjus	tments	Total	Notes
Department Overhead	\$ 203,451	\$	· ·	\$ 203,451	[c]
Citywide Overhead	\$ 220,949	\$	190	\$ 220,949	[c]
Subtotal	\$ 424,400	\$	160	\$ 424,400	

Total

Description	Total	Notes
Recurring Divisional Expenditures	\$ 3,029,532	
Department Overhead	\$ 203,451	
Citywide Overhead	\$ 220,949	
Subtotal	\$ 3,453,932	

Fully-Burdened Hourly Rate

Description	Total	Note
Costs	\$ 3,453,932	
Direct Hours	17,288	[c]
Fully-Burdened Hourly Rate	\$ 200	

- [a] Source: FY 23/24 adopted budget,
- [b] Adjustment to align to FY 22/23 actual contract service expenditures:
- [c] See separate worksheets in this model. Amounts intended to serve as reasonable estimates.

City of Folsom
User and Regulatory Fee Study
Engineering and Encroachment Permit Fees
Cost of Service Calculation

		8			5.6.4		Constant Cont		Proposed Cost	Fee	17 75		
Fee Description	Est Labor Hours	Ш	Hourly Rate	П	Est. Cost of Svc	Current Fee	Current Cost Recovery	Proposed Fee	Recovery	Structure	Fee Change	N	lote
Assessment District/CFD Payment Processing	24.00	×	\$200	=	\$4,800	\$2,578	54%	\$4,800	100%	Fixed Fee	\$2,222		
				Н								1	
Encroachment Permit				Н							4 1		
a) Encroachment Contract for Parking/Staging				П			1 ((¢pr)		
i. 0-6 calendar days	0.25	×	\$200	=	\$50	\$135	270%	\$50	100%	Fixed Fee	(\$85)		
ii. 7-14 calendar days	0,50	×	\$200	=	\$100	\$135	135%	\$100	100%	Fixed Fee	(\$35)		
iii. 14+days	1.00	×	\$200	=	\$200	\$135	68%	\$200	100%	Fixed Fee	\$65		
b) Utility Work/Connections (Individual Permits)		П		П									
i, Wet Utilities/Service Connections	3.00	x	\$200	=	\$600	\$135	23%	\$600	100%	Fixed Fee	\$465		
ii. Dry Utilities (per site/location)	1.00	×	\$200	=	\$200	\$135	68%	\$200	100%	Fixed Fee	\$65		
iii. Misc. per LF of Trench in ROW/City Easement	0.03	×	\$200	=	\$5.00	\$1.96	39%	\$5.00	100%	Fixed Fee	\$3.04		
iv. Inspections and Testing	2.00	×	\$200	=	\$400			\$400	100%	Fixed Fee			
c) Driveways/Minor Frontage Improvements		П		Н									
i. Residential (per driveway)	2.00	×	\$200	=	\$400	\$135	34%	\$400	100%	Fixed Fee	\$265		
ii. Commercial (per driveway)	2.00	×	\$200	=	\$400	\$135	34%	\$400	100%	Fixed Fee	\$265		
d) Pools and Spas (in ground)	2.00	×	\$200	=	\$400	\$135	34%	\$400	100%	Fixed Fee	\$265		
e) Traffic Control/Equipment Staging		Ш		П									
i. Isolated Site	1,00	×	\$200	=	\$200	\$135	68%	\$200	100%	Fixed Fee	\$65		
ii. Multiple Closures/Staging	5,00	x	\$200	=	\$1,000	\$135	14%	\$1,000	100%	Fixed Fee	\$865		
f) Permit Extensions		П		П									
i. Active Work Zone	0.25	×	\$200	=	\$50	\$135	270%	\$50	100%	Fixed Fee	(\$85)	1	
ii. Inactive Work Zone (4+ months inactivity)	1.00	×	\$200	=	\$200	\$135	68%	\$200	100%	Fixed Fee	\$65	Т	
g) Annual Permits		П		П									
i. Wet Utilities	30.00	_×	\$200	=	\$6,000	\$2,651	44%	\$6,000	100%	Fixed Fee	\$3,349		
ii. Dry Utilities	104.00	×	\$200	=	\$20,800	\$2,651	13%	\$20,800	100%	Fixed Fee	\$18,149		
iii. General Maintenance/Misc, (Not Wet or Dry	30,00	x	\$200	=	\$6,000	\$2,651	44%	T&M		Fixed Fee			
Utilities) iv. Vegetation Management (Utilities)	104.00		\$200	_	\$20,800	\$2,651	13%	\$20,800	100%	Fixed Fee	\$18,149		
v. Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (paid annually)	1,00	×	\$200	=	\$200	\$135	68%	\$200	100%	Fixed Fee	\$65		
annually) h) Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (new permits only)	12.00	×	\$200	=	\$2,400	\$135	6%	\$2,400	100%	Fixed Fee	\$2,265		

City of Folsom
User and Regulatory Fee Study
Engineering and Encroachment Permit Fees
Cost of Service Calculation

COSE	of Service Calculation		_		7								
	TO THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF		П		п			c .c		Proposed Cost	Fee		
		Est Labor	Ш	Hourly Rate	Ш	Est. Cost of Svc	Current Fee	Current Cost Recovery	Proposed Fee	Recovery	Structure	Fee Change	Note
3	Fee Description Engineering and Landscape Plan Check and Inspection	Hours		nourly kate	-	3/(Current ree	Recovery	110posed rec	necovery	Strattare	. co shange	
,	(Fee Includes Up to 3 Cycle Reviews - Hourly Billing	1	Ш		Ш	- 1							
	Applies for Reviews Required Beyond 3rd Cycle)	1	П		П					10			
	a) Project Value Up to \$10,000	4,00	×	\$200	=	\$800	6.00%	varies	8.00%	varies	Fixed Fee		
	b) Project Value \$10,001 - \$100,000	1	П					1 1					
	i. Base Fee for First \$10,000	4.00	×	\$200	π	\$800	\$600	75%	\$800	100%	Fixed Fee	\$200	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$100,000		П		Н	8.00%	7.00%	88%	8.00%	100%	Fixed Fee		
	c) \$100,001 - \$199,999		Ш		П			1				1	
	i. Base Fee for First \$100,000	40.00	×	\$200	=	\$8,000	\$6,900	86%	\$8,000	100%	Fixed Fee	\$1,100	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$200,000		П		П	6.40%	5,00%	78%	6.40%	100%	Fixed Fee	1 1	
	d) \$200,001 - \$299,999		Н		П							1 1	
	i. Base Fee for First \$200,000	72.00	x	\$200	=	\$14,400	\$11,900	83%	\$14,400	100%	Fixed Fee	\$2,500	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$300,000		П		Н	4.80%	4.00%	83%	4.80%	100%	Fixed Fee	1 1	
	e) \$300,000 or more		Н		П							1 . 1	
	i. Base Fee for First \$300,000	96.00	×	\$200	=	\$19,200	\$15,900	83%	\$19,200	100%	Fixed Fee	\$3,300	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1		П		П	3.60%	2.00%	56%	3.60%	100%	Fixed Fee	1 1	
	f) Landscape Plan Review		П		П								
	i. Non-Development	1,00	×	\$200	=	\$200	\$38	19%	\$200	100%	Fixed Fee	\$162	
	ii。Custom Home	5.50	×	\$200	=	\$1,100	\$414	38%	\$1,100	100%	Fixed Fee	\$686	
	iii. Production Home/Subdivision	11.50	×	\$200	=	\$2,300	Valuation	varies	\$2,300	100%	Fixed Fee	Varies	
	iv. Model Home Complex	7,00	×	\$200	=	\$1,400	Valuation	varies	\$1,400	100%	Fixed Fee	Varies	
	v. Commercial, Streetscape, Other Development	8.00	x	\$200	=	\$1,600	\$38	2%	\$1,600	100%	Fixed Fee	\$1,562	
	Projects	10.50		\$200	L	\$2,100	\$38	2%	\$2,100	100%	Fixed Fee	\$2,062	
	vi. Development and Civil Improvements - Landscaping Review	10.50		\$200		\$2,100	756	270	V2,133				
4	Final Map and Parcel Map		П		П								
	a) Parcel Map Check	28.00	×	\$288	×	\$8,050	\$5,742	71%	\$8,050	100%	Fixed Fee	\$2,308	
	b) Final Map Check				П								
	i. Base Fee	40.00	×	\$288	=	\$11,500	\$10,719	93%	\$11,500	100%	Fixed Fee	\$781	
	ii. Plus, Per Lot Fee	0.50	×	\$288	=	\$144	\$0	0%	\$144	100%	Fixed Fee	\$144	
							\$2,899	42%	\$6,900	100%	Fixed Fee	\$4,001	
	c) Final Map Amendment/Certificate of Correction	24.00	×	\$288		\$6,900	\$2,633	42/0	30,300	100%	Tixed rec	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
5	Right of Ways (ROW) and Easements				$\ \ $								
	a) Review of ROW/Easement Documents	12.00	x	\$288	=	\$3,450	\$1,334	39%	\$3,450	100%	Fixed Fee	\$2,116	
	b) ROW/Easement Abandonment	20.00	×	\$288	=	\$5,750	\$2,451	43%	\$5,750	100%	Fixed Fee	\$3,299	
6	Subdivision Agreement Processing	20.00	x	\$288	=	\$5,750	\$1,083	19%	\$5,750	100%	Fixed Fee	\$4,667	

City of Folsom User and Regulatory Fee Study Engineering and Encroachment Permit Fees Cost of Service Calculation

of Service Calculation									Proposed			
	Est Labor	П			Fst Cost of		Current Cost			Fee		
Fee Description	Hours	Ш	Hourly Rate		Svc	Current Fee	Recovery	Proposed Fee	Recovery	Structure	Fee Change	Note
Transportation Permit												
a) Permit	n/a	Ш				\$19		\$16		Fixed Fee	(\$3)	
b) Annual Permit	n/a	П				\$86		\$90		Fixed Fee	\$4	
Tree Removal/Work Permit		Ш										
a) Permitted Removal/Work		П			- 1		1					1 1
i. Existing Occupied Structure		Ш		Н				1 1				1 1
a. 0-2 Trees	0.50	×	\$200	=	\$100	\$38	38%	\$100	100%	Fixed Fee	\$62	1 1
b. 3+ Trees: See New Construction Rate Below	6,00	×	\$200	=	\$1,200	\$38	3%	\$1,200	100%	Fixed Fee		
c. "In Decline" Tree	0.50	×	\$200	=	\$100	\$38	38%	\$100	100%	Fixed Fee	\$62	1 1
 New Construction (e.g. Custom Home, Subdivision, Parcel Map, Multi-family, Commercial, etc.): 											4	
a. 0-4 Trees	6.00	x	\$200	=	\$1,200	\$38	3%	\$1,200	100%	Fixed Fee		
b. 5+ Trees	7,00	x	\$200	=	\$1,400	\$38	3%	\$1,400 + 10% per tree above 5 trees.		Fixed Fee	varies	
iii Misc	1.00	_x	\$200	=	\$200	\$38	19%	\$200	100%	Per Hour	\$162	1 1
b) w/o Permit (Does not include mitigation) Double the Permit Rate	12.00	×	\$200	=	\$2,400	\$438	18%	2x permit amount	varies	Fixed Fee		
Other Fees for Service		П		Н							1	
Research of Engineering Records	1,00	x	\$200	=	\$200	\$103	52%	\$200	100%	Per Hour	\$97	
Miscellaneous Engineering Services	1,00	x	\$200	=	\$200	\$103	52%	\$200	100%	Per Hour	\$97	
Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent)	1,00	×	\$200	=	\$200	n/a		\$200	100%	Per Hour		
Revisions	1,00	×	\$200	=	\$200	n/a		\$200	100%	Per Hour		
After Hours Inspection (per hour) (2-hour minimum)	1.20	×	\$200	=	\$240	\$103	43%	\$240	100%	Per Hour	\$137	
Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each)	0.50	×	\$200	=	\$100	n/a		\$100	100%	Each		
Missed Inspection Fee	0.50	×	\$200	=	\$100	n/a		\$100	100%	Each		
Expedited Services Fee						1.5x Regular Fee		1.5x Regular Fee		Fixed Fee		
Residential Landscape Review	1.00	×	\$200	×	\$200	Hourly Rate of		Hourly Rate of		Per Hour		
Technical Assistance/Third Party Review or Inspection						Arborist Actual Cost		Actual Cost		T&M		
	Fee Description Transportation Permit a) Permit b) Annual Permit Tree Removal/Work Permit a) Permitted Removal/Work i. Existing Occupied Structure a. 0-2 Trees b. 3+ Trees: See New Construction Rate Below c. "In Decline" Tree ii. New Construction (e.g. Custom Home, Subdivision, Parcel Map, Multi-family, Commercial, etc.): a. 0-4 Trees b. 5+ Trees iii. Misc. b) w/o Permit (Does not include mitigation) Double the Permit Rate Other Fees for Service	Transportation Permit a) Permit b) Annual Permit n/a Tree Removal/Work Permit a) Permitted Removal/Work i. Existing Occupied Structure a. 0-2 Trees b. 3+ Trees: See New Construction Rate Below c. "In Decline" Tree ii. New Construction (e.g. Custom Home, Subdivision, Parcel Map, Multi-family, Commercial, etc.): a. 0-4 Trees b. 5+ Trees 6.00 iii. Misc. b) w/o Permit (Does not include mitigation) Double the Permit Rate Other Fees for Service Research of Engineering Records Miscellaneous Engineering Services 1.00 Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent) Revisions 1.00 After Hours Inspection (per hour) (2-hour minimum) Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each) Missed Inspection Fee Fexpedited Services Fee Residential Landscape Review 1.00	Transportation Permit a) Permit b) Annual Permit n/a Tree Removal/Work Permit a) Permitted Removal/Work i. Existing Occupied Structure a. 0-2 Trees b. 3+ Trees: See New Construction Rate Below c. "In Decline" Tree ii. New Construction (e.g. Custom Home, Subdivision, Parcel Map, Multi-family, Commercial, etc.); a. 0-4 Trees b. 5+ Trees iii. Misc. b) w/o Permit (Does not include mitigation) Double the Permit Rate Other Fees for Service Research of Engineering Records Miscellaneous Engineering Services Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent) Revisions After Hours Inspection (per hour) (2-hour minimum) Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each) Missed Inspection Fee Expedited Services Fee Residential Landscape Review 1.00 x	Transportation Permit a) Permit b) Annual Permit 7	Fee Description Transportation Permit a) Permit b) Annual Permit 7	Est. Labor Hours Hourly Rate	Est. Labor Hours Fee Description Fee Description Transportation Permit a) Permit b) Annual Permit n/a n/a n/a S86 S86	Transportation Permit	Transportation Permit a Permit a Permit b Annual Permit b Annual Permit a Permit b Annual Permit a Permit b Annual Permit b Annu	Transportation Permit Annual Permit Proposed Face Description Proposed Propo	Transportation Permit	Transportation Permit 1

[[]a] Use time and materials with initial deposit to be determined by City Engineer, based on anticipated scope of work.

[[]b] Encroachment agreement required in addition to insurance (e.g., parklets).

City of Folsom
Engineering and Encroachment Permit Fees
Illustration of Current Fees, Maximum Fees, and Proposed Fees

		Proposed	Current	Cost of Service (Max. Fee)	Proposed Fee	Current Cost Recovery	Proposed Cost Recovery	Note
# 1	Description Assessment District/CFD Payment Processing	Fee Structure Fixed Fee	Fee \$2,578	\$4,800	\$4,800	54%	100%	, and the
1	ASSESSITE III DISTRICT CLD LAMINETE LIGGESSING		, -, - · ·					
2	Encroachment Permit							
	a) Encroachment Contract for Parking/Staging							
	i. 0-6 calendar days	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$50	\$50	270%	100%	
	ii, 7-14 calendar days	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$100	\$100	135%	100%	
	iii. 14+days	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$200	\$200	68%	100%	
	b) Utility Work/Connections (Individual Permits)							
	i. Wet Utilities/Service Connections	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$600	\$600	23%	100%	
	ii. Dry Utilities (per site/location)	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$200	\$200	68%	100%	
	iii. Misc. per LF of Trench in ROW/City Easement	Fixed Fee	\$1.96	\$5.00	\$5.00	39%	100%	
	iv. Inspections and Testing	Fixed Fee		\$400	\$400		100%	
	c) Driveways/Minor Frontage Improvements							
	i. Residential (per driveway)	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$400	\$400	34%	100%	
	ii. Commercial (per driveway)	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$400	\$400	34%	100%	
	d) Pools and Spas (in ground)	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$400	\$400	34%	100%	
	e) Traffic Control/Equipment Staging							
	i. Isolated Site	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$200	\$200	68%	100%	
	ii. Multiple Closures/Staging	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$1,000	\$1,000	14%	100%	
	f) Permit Extensions							
	i. Active Work Zone	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$50	\$50	270%	100%	
	ii. Inactive Work Zone (4+ months inactivity)	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$200	\$200	68%	100%	
	g) Annual Permits							
	i., Wet Utilities	Fixed Fee	\$2,651	\$6,000	\$6,000	44%	100%	
	ii, Dry Utilities	Fixed Fee	\$2,651	\$20,800	\$20,800	13%	100%	
	iii. General Maintenance/Misc. (Not Wet or Dry Utilities)	Fixed Fee	\$2,651	\$6,000	T&M	44%		[a]
	iv. Vegetation Management (Utilities)	Fixed Fee	\$2,651	\$20,800	\$20,800	13%	100%	
	v. Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (paid annually)	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$200	\$200	68%	100%	
	h) Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (new permits only)	Fixed Fee	\$135	\$2,400	\$2,400	6%	100%	[b]

City of Folsom Engineering and Encroachment Permit Fees Illustration of Current Fees, Maximum Fees, and Proposed Fees

	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Proposed	Current	Cost of Service		Current Cost	Proposed Cost Recovery	Note
#	Description Engineering and Landscape Plan Check and Inspection	Fee Structure	Fee	(Max. Fee)	Proposed Fee	Recovery	Recovery	More
3	a) Project Value Up to \$10,000	Fixed Fee	6.00%	\$800	8.00%	varies	varies	
	b) Project Value \$10,001 - \$100,000							
	i. Base Fee for First \$10,000	Fixed Fee	\$600	\$800	\$800	75%	100%	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$100,000	Fixed Fee	7,00%	8.00%	8.00%	88%	100%	
	c) \$100,001 - \$199,999							
	i, Base Fee for First \$100,000	Fixed Fee	\$6,900	\$8,000	\$8,000	86%	100%	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$200,000	Fixed Fee	5.00%	6.40%	6.40%	78%	100%	
	d) \$200,001 - \$299,999							
	i. Base Fee for First \$200,000	Fixed Fee	\$11,900	\$14,400	\$14,400	83%	100%	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$300,000	Fixed Fee	4.00%	4.80%	4.80%	83%	100%	
	e) \$300,000 or more							
	i. Base Fee for First \$300,000	Fixed Fee	\$15,900	\$19,200	\$19,200	83%	100%	
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1	Fixed Fee	2.00%	3,60%	3,60%	56%	100%	2
	f) Landscape Plan Review							
	i. Non-Development	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$200	\$200	19%	100%	
	ii. Custom Home	Fixed Fee	\$414	\$1,100	\$1,100	38%	100%	
	iii, Production Home/Subdivision	Fixed Fee	Valuation	\$2,300	\$2,300	varies	100%	
	iv. Model Home Complex	Fixed Fee	Valuation	\$1,400	\$1,400	varies	100%	
	v. Commercial, Streetscape, Other Development Projects	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$1,600	\$1,600	2%	100%	
	vi., Development and Civil Improvements - Landscaping Review	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$2,100	\$2,100	2%	100%	
4	Final Map and Parcel Map							
4	a) Parcel Map Check	Fixed Fee	\$5,742	\$8,050	\$8,050	71%	100%	
	b) Final Map Check							
	i. Base Fee	Fixed Fee	\$10,719	\$11,500	\$11,500	93%	100%	
	ii. Plus, Per Lot Fee	Fixed Fee	\$0	\$144	\$144	0%	100%	
	c) Final Map Amendment/Certificate of Correction	Fixed Fee	\$2,899	\$6,900	\$6,900	42%	100%	
5	Right of Ways (ROW) and Easements							
	a) Review of ROW/Easement Documents	Fixed Fee	\$1,334	\$3,450	\$3,450	39%	100%	
	b) ROW/Easement Abandonment	Fixed Fee	\$2,451	\$5,750	\$5,750	43%	100%	
6	Subdivision Agreement Processing	Fixed Fee	\$1,083	\$5,750	\$5,750	19%	100%	

City of Folsom
Engineering and Encroachment Permit Fees
Illustration of Current Fees, Maximum Fees, and Proposed Fees

#	Description	Proposed Fee Structure	Current Fee	Cost of Service (Max. Fee)	Proposed Fee	Current Cost Recovery	Proposed Cost Recovery	Note
7	Transportation Permit a) Permit	Fixed Fee	\$19		\$16			
	a) Permit b) Annual Permit	Fixed Fee	\$86		\$90			
8	Tree Removal/Work Permit							
	a) Permitted Removal/Work							
	i, Existing Occupied Structure							
	a. 0-2 Trees	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$100	\$100	38%	100%	
	b. 3+ Trees: See New Construction Rate Below	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$1,200	\$1,200	3%	100%	
	c. "In Decline" Tree	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$100	\$100	38%	100%	
	ii. New Construction (e.g., Custom Home, Subdivision, Parcel Map,							
	a. 0-4 Trees	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$1,200	\$1,200	3%	100%	
	b. 5+ Trees	Fixed Fee	\$38	\$1,400	\$1,400 + 10% per tree	3%		
	iii. Misc.	Per Hour	\$38	\$200	\$200	19%	100%	
	b) w/o Permit (Does not include mitigation)Double the Permit Rate	Fixed Fee	\$438	\$2,400	2x permit amount	18%	varies	
Ċ-	Other Fees for Service							
9	Research of Engineering Records	Per Hour	\$103	\$200	\$200	52%	100%	
10	Miscellaneous Engineering Services	Per Hour	\$103	\$200	\$200	52%	100%	
11	Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent)	Per Hour	n/a	\$200	\$200		100%	
12	Revisions	Per Hour	n/a	\$200	\$200		100%	
13	After Hours Inspection (per hour) (2-hour minimum)	Per Hour	\$103	\$240	\$240	43%	100%	
14	Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each)	Each	n/a	\$100	\$100		100%	
15	Missed Inspection Fee	Each	n/a	\$100	\$100		100%	
16	Expedited Services Fee	Fixed Fee	1.5x Regular Fee		1.5x Regular Fee			
17	Residential Landscape Review	Per Hour	Hourly Rate of Arborist	\$200	Hourly Rate of Arborist			
18	Technical Assistance/Third Party Review or Inspection	T & M	Actual Cost		Actual Cost			

[[]a] Use time and materials with initial deposit to be determined by City Engineer, based on anticipated scope of work.

[[]b] Encroachment agreement required in addition to insurance (e.g., parklets).

User and Regulatory Fees

Cost of Service Calculations
Building

City of Folsom User and Regulatory Fee Study Allocation of Annual Labor Effort - Building

Authorized Staffing

		Total Hours	Less: Holiday	Hours Per	Productive				Indirect	Total Direct		
Position	FTE	Per FTE	& Leave	FTE	Hours	Indirect	Direct	Total	Hours	Hours	Total Hours	Notes
Building Inspector 1/II	3.00	2,080	216	1,864	5,592	20%	80%	100%	1,118	4,474	5,592	[a];[b]
Building Plans Coordinator	2.00	2,080	216	1,864	3,728	20%	80%	100%	746	2,982	3,728	[a];[b]
Building Technician I/II	2.00	2,080	216	1,864	3,728	50%	50%	100%	1,864	1,864	3,728	[a];[b]
Plan Check Engineer	1.00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	20%	80%	100%	373	1,491	1,864	[a];[b]
Building Inspection Supervisor	1.00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	40%	60%	100%	746	1,118	1,864	[a];[b]
Principal Civil Engineer	1.00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	50%	50%	100%	932	932	1,864	(a);(b)
Senior Civil Engineer	1.00	2,080	216	1,864	1,864	20%	80%	100%	373	1,491	1,864	[a];[b]
Total	11.00				20,504				6,151	14,353	20,504	
Total									30%	70%	100%	

Position	!ndirect	Direct	Total
Building Inspector I/II	1,118	4,474	5,592
Building Plans Coordinator	746	2,982	3,728
Building Technician I/II	1,864	1,864	3,728
Plan Check Engineer	373	1,491	1,864
Building Inspection Supervisor	746	1,118	1,864
Principal Civil Engineer	932	932	1,864
Senior Civil Engineer	373	1,491	1,864
Total	6,151	14,353	20,504

Contract Services

Description	Total	Notes
Annual Contract Services	\$ 650,000	[c]

Contract Services	Share	Est. Hrly Cost	Notes
Inspection	33%	\$ 110	[d]
Plan Review	67%	\$ 140	[d]
Total	100%	130	[e]

Description	Total	Indirect	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Total	Notes
Contract Service Hours	5,000	10%	90%	500	4,500	5,000	[c]

Divisional Total

Position	Indirect	Direct	Total	Notes
Authorized Staffing	6,151	14,353	20,504	
Contract Services	500	4,500	5,000	
Total	6,651	18,853	25,504	
Total	26%	74%	100%	

- [a] Staffing based on FY 23/24 adopted budget
- [b] Allocation of hours intended to serve as reasonable estimate. Amount may vary from year-to-year and position to position,
- [c] Source: Annual average FY 18/19 through FY 21/22
- [d] Amounts intended to serve as reasonable estimates of market rates for contract service providers,
- [e] Average hourly rate for contract services received.

City of Folsom User and Regulatory Fee Study Allocation of Divisional Expenses - Building

Recurring Divisional Expenditures [a]

Description	Total	Adjustments		Total	Notes
Salaries - Permanent	\$ 1,032,925	\$		\$ 1,032,925	
Salaries - Temporary	\$ 50,000	\$		\$ 50,000	
Annual Leave Account	\$ 15,125	\$	22	\$ 15,125	
Uniform Allowance	\$ 2,025	\$	C.	\$ 2,025	
FICA	\$ 82,121	\$	840	\$ 82,121	
PERS	\$ 412,624	\$		\$ 412,624	
Deferred Comp - City Paid	\$ 23,400	\$	(e	\$ 23,400	
Combined Benefits	\$ 208,931	\$	150	\$ 208,931	
Contracts	\$ 265,000	\$	385,000	\$ 650,000	[b]
Insurance / Liability	\$ 56,472	\$		\$ 56,472	
Subtotal	\$ 2,148,623	\$	385,000	\$ 2,533,623	

Allocation of Department and Citywide Overhead

Description	Total	Adjust	ments	Total		Notes
Department Overhead	\$ 372,993	\$	71	\$	372,993	[c]
Citywide Overhead	\$ 147,300	\$	*	\$	147,300	[c]
Subtotal	\$ 520,293	\$	- 2	\$	520,293	

Support from Other Departments

Description	Total	Adj	ustments		Total	Notes
Plan Review and Permit Support from Other Depts	\$ 65,000	\$	- 2	\$	65,000	[c]
Annual In-House Technology Licensing	\$ 40,000	\$	*	\$	40,000	[c]
Annual In-House Maintenance of Zoning Code, Plans a	\$ 226,776	\$		s	226,776	[c]
Subtotal	\$ 331,776	\$		\$	331,776	

Total

Description	Total	Notes
Recurring Divisional Expenditures	\$ 2,533,623	
Department Overhead	\$ 372,993	
Support from Other Departments	\$ 331,776	
Citywide Overhead	\$ 147,300	
Subtotal	\$ 3,385,691	

Fully-Burdened Hourly Rate

Description	Total	Note
Costs	\$ 3,385,691	
Direct Hours	18,853	[c]
Fully-Burdened Hourly Rate	\$ 180	

City of Folsom

User and Regulatory Fee Study

Allocation of Divisional Expenses - Building

Cost Recovery Overview

	Ora Vau	Object	Actual 2012/13	Actual 2013/14	Actual 2014/15	Actual 2015/16	Actual 2016/17	Actual 2017/18	Actual 2018/19	Actual 2019/20	Actual 2020/21	Actual 2021/22	10-Year Avg	Percentage	Notes
Description	Org Key	3224000	\$1,090,143	\$1,391,334	\$1,435,293	\$1,160,275							\$1,910,758	67%	
Building Permit Fees	0102320														
Building Reinspection Fee	0102320	3440401	\$1,320	\$1,080	\$2,400	\$3,000	\$2,405	\$1,680	\$1,330	\$1,985	\$240	\$1,080	\$1,652	0%	
Structure Plan Check Fees	0102320	3444100	\$565,642	\$866,826	\$727,668	\$966,213	\$988,989	\$839,076	\$899,484	\$919,517	\$1,298,637	\$1,234,323	\$930,637	33%	
Seismic Training Fee	0102320	3444300	\$897	\$859	\$766	\$2,300	\$1,864	\$320	\$2,413	\$469	\$0	\$7,070	\$1,696	0%	
State Bldg Standards Fund	0102320	3444400	\$430	\$638	\$515	\$550	\$698	\$403	\$4,051	\$331	\$0	\$3,338	\$1,095	0%	
Total			\$1,658,432	\$2,260,737	\$2,166,642	\$2,132,338	\$2,209,123	\$2,599,462	\$2,929,947	\$3,181,356	\$4,442,372	\$4,877,979	\$2,845,839	100%	

Cost Recovery Analysis

Description	Total	Note
Average Revenues	\$2,845,839	
Annualized Costs	\$3,385,691	
Cost Recovery	84%	

[a] Source: FY 23/24 adopted budget.

[b] Adjustment to align to FY 22/23 actual contract service expenditures.

[c] See separate worksheets in this model. Amounts intended to serve as reasonable estimates.

							Ī		Comment Est	Proposed		
Fee [Description	Total		Hourly Rate	П	Cost of Svc		Current Fee	Current Est. Cost Recovery	Cost Recovery	Proposed Fee	Note
	HVAC Change-Out - Residential	1.25	×	\$180	=	\$225		varies	varies	100%	\$225	
2	Water Heater Change-Out - Residential	1,00	×	\$180	п	\$180		varies	varies	100%	\$180	
3	Residential Re-Roof	2,00	×	\$180	=	\$360		varies	varies	100%	\$360	
4	Siding Replacement	1,75	×	\$180	×	\$315		varies	varīes	100%	\$315	
5	Service Panel Upgrade - Residential	1,50	×	\$180	=	\$270		varies	varies	100%	\$270	
6	Battery Backup Storage	2.00	×	\$180	=	\$360		varies	varīes	100%	\$360	
7	Electric Vehicle Charger	2.00	×	\$180	=	\$360		varies	varies	100%	\$360	
8	Generator	2,00	×	\$180	2	\$360		varies	varies	100%	\$360	
9	Residential Solar Photovoltaic System - Solar Permit a) Plan Review i) Base Fee for 15kW or Less ii) Fee for Each Additional kW above 15kW b) Permit							varies varies varies	varies varies varies		\$200 \$15 \$250	[a],[b] [a],[b] [a],[b]
10	Commercial Solar Photovoltaic System - Solar Permit a) Plan Review i) Base Fee 50kW or Less ii) Fee for Each Add'l kW above 50kW up to 250kW ii) Fee for Each Add'l kW above 250kW b) Permit							varies varies varies varies	varies varies varies varies		\$444 \$7 \$5 \$556	[a],[b] [a],[b] [a],[b]
11	Pool Solar	1.00	×	\$180	=	\$180		varies	varies	100%	\$180	
12	Swimming Pool Replaster / Equipment Change-Out	2.50	×	\$180		\$450		varies	varies	100%	\$450	
13	Swimming Pool Remodel (e.g., Changing Pool Shape, Adding Cabo Shelf, etc.)	5,00	×	\$180		\$900		varīes	varies	100%	\$900	
14	Retaining Wall a) One Type of Retaining Wall Type/Configuration b) Each Additional Wall Type/Configuration	3.00 1,50	×	\$180 \$180	11 11	\$540 \$270		varies varies	varies varies	100% 100%	\$540 \$270	
15	Window / Sliding Glass Door - Retrofit / Repair a) Up to 5 b) Per Window Over 5 Windows	1,00 0,20	×	\$180 \$180	ии	\$180 \$36		varies varies	varies varies	100% 100%	\$180 \$36	
16	Fences Requiring a Building Permit	2.00	x	\$180	=	\$360		varies	varies	100%	\$360	

Fee Description 17 Electrical and Irrigation Pedestals per pedestal	Total 1,50	×	Hourly Rate \$180	16	Cost of Svc \$270	Current Fee varies	Current Est Cost Recovery varies	Proposed Cost Recovery 100%	Proposed Fee \$270	Note
18 Detached and Attached ADUs	25,00	×	\$180		\$4,500	varies	varies	100%	\$4,500	
19 Junior ADUs	12,00	×	\$180	=	\$2,160	varies	varies	100%	\$2,160	

[[]a] Total fees shall not exceed amounts outlined in California Government Code 66015(a)(1).

⁽b) The City will not collect additional permit processing fees. Amounts shown are total amount due for permit processing, plan review, and permit.

City of Folsom User and Regulatory Fee Study Building Fees

Cost of Service Calculation - At Fully-Burdened Hourly Rate

Fee l	Description	Est. City Staff Labor Hrs		Fully- Burdened Hourly		Est. Cost of Service	Current Fee	Current Cost Recovery	Proposed Fee	Proposed Cost Recovery	Unit	Notes
	Permit Fee for New Buildings, Additions, Tenant Improvements, Residential Remodels, and Combined Mechanical, Electrical, and/or Plumbing Permits											
1	\$1 - \$2,000	0,75	×	\$180	78	\$135	\$100	74%	\$135	100%		
2	\$2,001 - \$25,000	0.75	x	\$180	=	\$135	\$100	74%	\$135	100%		
3	\$25,001 - \$50,000	2.00	x	\$180	=	\$360	\$330	92%	\$360	100%		
4	\$50,001 - \$100,000	3,50	x	\$180		\$630	\$530	84%	\$630	100%		
5	\$100,001 - \$500,000	6,00	×	\$180		\$1,080	\$880	81%	\$1,080	100%		
6	\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	22.00	×	\$180		\$3,960	\$3,280	83%	\$3,960	100%		
7	\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	40.00	×	\$180	Ξ	\$7,200	\$6,030	84%	\$7,200	100%		
8	\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	160.00	×	\$180	×	\$28,800	\$26,030	90%	\$28,800	100%		
9	\$10,000,001 - \$10,000,000	260.00	×	\$180	=	\$46,800	\$51,030	109%	\$46,800	100%		

Fee	Description	Est. City Staff Labor Hrs		Fully- Burdened Hourly		Est Cost of Service	Current Fee	Current Cost Recovery	Proposed Fee	Proposed Cost Recovery	Notes
1	Building Plan Check Fees - Building										
	a) Plan Review Fee, if applicable b) Expedited Plan Check - At Application Submittal (when	80% 1,5x standard plan check fee							80% 1,5x standard plan check fee	100% 100%	[a]
	applicable) c) Tract Home / Master Plan Construction (Production Units)	20%					\$150	varies	20% of standard plan check fee	100%	[b]
1	d) Production Permit for Multi-family permit	8,00	x	\$180	=	\$1,440	\$150	10%	\$1,440	100%	
	e) Production Permit for Fire permits and other misc. permits	2.50	x	\$180	=	\$450	\$150	33%	\$450	100%	
1	f) Alternate Materials and Methods Review (per hour)	1,00	x	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%	
L	g) Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent) (per hour)	1,00	x	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%	1 1
1	h) Revisions to an Approved Permit (per hour)	1,00	x	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%	1 1
	i) Deferred Submittal (per hour)	1.00	x	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%	

[[]a] Includes up to three plan checks. The City will bill hourly for additional plan review required.

[[]b] For identical buildings built by the same builder on the same lot or in the same tract and for which building permits are issued at the same time.

		Est City Staff Labor		Fully- Burdened		Est. Cost		Current Cost		Proposed Cost		
Fee I	escription	Hrs	<u>H</u> .	Hourly	П	of Service	Current Fee	Recovery	Proposed Fee	Recovery	Unit	Notes
1	Permit Processing Fee	0.42	x	\$180	=	\$75			\$75	100%		
2	Strong Motion Instrumentation (SMI) Fee Calculation											[a]
	a) Residential		Н						\$0,50 or valuation x .00013			[a] [a]
	b) Commercial		Ш		П				\$0,50 or valuation x .00028			
3	Building Standards (SB 1473) Fee Calculation (Valuation)		Н		Н							[a]
	a) \$1 - \$25,000		П		Н				\$1			[a] [a]
	b) \$25,001 - \$50,000		П		П				\$2 \$3			[a]
	c) \$50,001 - \$75,000		П		Н				\$3			[a]
	d) \$75,001 - \$100,000		П		П				Add \$1			[a]
	e) Each Add'l \$25,000 or fraction thereof		П									[[
4	Business License Fee		П		П		\$25		\$25			
5	CASP Training Fund Fee		Н				\$3.60		\$3,60			
6	State Disability Access Fee		П				\$0.40		\$0.40			
7	General Plan/Zoning Code Update Fee (percent of building permit fee)					9%			5%	55%		[b]
8	Technology Fee (percent of permit fee)		П			9%			5%	56%		[c]
9	Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (Phasing Plan) Fee	5.00	x	\$180	=	\$900			\$900	100%		
10	Permit Extension	0.50	×	\$180	=	\$90			\$0	0%	8	
11	Permit Reactivation Fee		П								1	1 1
	a) Reactivation Fee if All Inspections Have Been Performed and Approved Up to But Not Including Final Inspection	1.00	×	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%		
	b) Reactivation Fee - All Other Scenarios		П		П							
	i) Permit Expired Up to One Year		П		П				50% of Original Base Building Permit Fee			1 7
	ii) Permit Expired More than One Year				1				100% of Original Base Building Permit Fee	1000/		
12	Permit Reissuance Fee	1.00	х	\$180	2	\$180			\$180	100%		
13	Damaged Building Survey (Fire, Flood, Vehicle Damage, Etc.) (per hour)	1.00	x	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%		
	Other Fees	H										
14	Phased Inspection Fee (per inspection)	1,00	×	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%		
15	After Hours Inspection (per hour) (4-hour minimum)	1,20	×	\$180	=	\$216			\$216	100%		
16	Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each)	1,00	×	\$180	=	\$180			\$180	100%		[d]

		Est City Staff Labor		Fully- Burdened		Est. Cost		Current Cost		Proposed Cost		
Fee	Description	Hrs	L.	Hourly	П	of Service	Current Fee	Recovery	Proposed Fee	Recovery	Unit	Notes
	Missed Inspection Fee	1.00	х	\$180	=:	\$180			\$180	100%		
18	Duplicate Copy of Permit	0.42	х	\$180	=	\$75			\$75	100%		
19	Duplicate Copy of Certificate of Occupancy	0.42	x	\$180	=	\$75			\$75	100%		
20	Fees for Services Not Listed in this Fee Schedule (per 1/2 hour)	0.50	×	\$180	Ē	\$90			\$90	100%		
21	Violation Fees Investigation Fee For Work Done Without Permits (In addition to applicable permit fees)								equal to permit fee			

[[]a] Amounts established by State of California. In the case of discrepancy between this schedule and amounts established by the State, State amounts shall supersede these amounts.

[[]b] Fee applies to new construction, additions, tenant improvements, and residential remodels requiring building permits.

[[]c] Fee applies to all permits.

[[]d] Reinspection fee applies after the first re-inspection.

User and Regulatory Fees

Cost of Service Calculations
General Plan / Zoning Code Update

City of Folsom

User and Regulatory Fee Study

Cost of Service Calculation - General Plan Update / Zoning Code Update Costs

Estimated Expenditures

Description	Ē	Total	Amortization / Update Frequency	Aı	nnual Cost	Cost Type	Notes
General Plan Update	\$	2,000,000	20	\$	100,000	Periodic	[a];[b]
Housing Element	\$	500,000	8	\$	62,500	Periodic	[a];[b]
Zoning Code	\$	500,000	5	\$	100,000	Periodic	[a];[b]
In-House Maintenance	\$	226,776	1	\$	226,776	Annual	[a];[c];[d]
Total	\$	3,226,776		\$	489,276		

Cost Allocation

		1000		Target	
Description	Total	Share to Recover	Cos	st Recovery	Notes
Periodic Costs	\$ 262,500	67%	\$	175,000	[a];[e]

Allocation Base

	Description		Total	Notes
Estir	mated Building Permit Fees	\$	1,910,758	[f]

Fee at Full Cost Recovery

Description	Total	Notes
Target Recovery	\$ 175,000	
Estimated Building Permit Fees	\$ 1,910,758	
Total	9%	1

Cost Recovery Alternative Scenarios

Description	Tota!	Total	Total	Notes
% of Permit Fee	0%	5%	9%	
Estimated Building Permit Fees	\$ 1,910,758	\$ 1,910,758	\$ 1,910,758	
Forecast Revenue	\$ •	\$ 95,538	\$ 175,000	
Annual Revenue Requirement	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000	
Cost Recovery	0.00%	54.59%	100.00%	

Notes:

- [a] Source: Conservative estimates of update costs. Amounts will likely be higher.
- [b] Target recovery of periodic costs, or portion of periodic costs, via General Plan Update Fee.
- [c] Recover annual costs, or portion of annual costs, via standard permit and plan review fees,
- [d] Amount calculated via analysis of planning cost of service. Amount represents 15% of total annual planning costs,
- [e] Assumes portion of General Plan Update costs will continue to be paid via General Fund resources.
- [f] Amounts represents multi-year average of building permit fee collection.

User and Regulatory Fees

Cost of Service Calculations

Development Specific Technology Enhancements / Land Management Tracking

City of Folsom User and Regulatory Fee Study Cost of Service Calculation - Technology Enhancement Fee

Estimated Expenditures

		Amortization / Update				
Description	Total	Frequency	Ar	nual Cost	Cost Type	Note
Software and Licensing	\$40,000	1	\$	40,000	Annual	[a];[b]
Hardware Upgrades	\$50,000	5	\$	10,000	Periodic	[a];[c]
Implementation	\$750,000	5	\$	150,000	Periodic	[a];[c]
Contingency (10%)	\$50,000	5	\$	10,000	Periodic	[a];[c]
Total	\$890,000		\$	210,000		

Cost Allocation

				Target	
Description	Total	Share to Recover	Cost	Recovery	Notes
Periodic Costs	\$ 170,000	100%	\$	170,000	

Allocation Base

Description	Total	Notes
Estimated Building Permit Fees	\$ 1,910,758	[d]

Fee at Full Cost Recovery

Description	Total	Notes
Target Recovery	\$ 170,000	
Estimated Building Permit Fees	\$ 1,910,758	
Total	9%	

Current Cost Recovery

Description	Total	Total	Total	Notes
% of Permit Fee	0%	5%	9%	
Estimated Building Permit Fees	\$ 1,910,758	\$ 1,910,758	\$ 1,910,758	
Forecast Revenue	\$	\$ 95,538	\$ 170,000	
Annual Revenue Requirement	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000	
Cost Recovery	0.00%	56.20%	100.00%	

- [a] Useful life and ongoing licensing costs, and annual revenues estimated by ClearSource. Amounts are intended to represent reasonable estimates.
- [b] Recover annual costs, or portion of annual costs, via standard permit and plan review fees.
- [c] Target recovery of periodic costs, or portion of periodic costs, via Technology Fee.
- [d] Amounts represents multi-year average of building permit fee collection.

User and Regulatory Fees

Cost of Service Calculations

Allocation of Citywide Overhead

City of Folsom

User and Regulatory Fee Analysis

Estimated Citywide Overhead (for Cost of Service Calculation Purposes Only)

Central Service Center - General Fund Allocation [a]

Department	Annual Expenses	Notes
City Council	\$117,437	
City Manager	\$1,256,732	
City Attorney	\$1,234,309	
City Clerk	\$681,049	
Human Resources	\$886,511	
Management and Budget	\$6,246,759	
Fleet Management	\$1,674,868	
Total	\$12,097,665	

City Staffing Position Total [a],[b]

		Adjustment for Direct Svc Depts	Adjusted Staffing for Cwide OH Alloc	Share of Cwlde OH Alloc	Cwide OH Alloc	Notes
Department	Total	Only		0%		Notes
City Council	5.00	(5.00)	100	1 1		
City Manager	4.00	(4.00)	2	0%	\$ •	
City Attorney	4.00	(4.00)		0%	\$ 1	
City Clerk	3.00	(3.00)	9	0%	\$ 8	1
Community Development	27.00	US:	27.00	6%	\$ 736,498	
Fire Department	90.00	.* 2¥R	90.00	20%	\$ 2,454,994	1
Human Resources	6.00	(6.00)	3	0%	\$	
Library	12.00		12.00	3%	\$ 327,333	
Management and Budget	25,00	(25.00)	2	0%	\$	
Parks and Recreation	49.00	(#E	49.00	11%	\$ 1,336,608	
Police Department	113,50	(22)	113.50	26%	\$ 3,096,020	
Public Works	34.55	150	34,55	8%	\$ 942,445	
Water Resources	58.00	121	58,00	13%	\$ 1,582,107	
Solid Waste	59.45	2.	59.45	13%	\$ 1,621,660	
Total	490.50	(47.00)	443,50	100%	\$ 12,097,665	

Estimated Citywide Alloc to Community Development Direct Service Units

Dept/Division	Share of Allocation		Share of Allocation	Notes
Building	20%	\$	147,300	[c]
Code Enforcement	8%	\$	58,920	[c]
Engineering	30%	s	220,949	[c]
Planning	42%	\$	309,329	[c]
Total	100%	s	736,498	

^{*} This represents a conservative indirect cost rate calculation. This estimate was developed for purposes of user and regulatory fee cost of service analysis. As part of day-to-day operations, staff may categorize, assign, or quantify indirect costs using different criteria and methods.

[[]a] Source: FY 23/24 adopted budget.

[[]b] Indirect cost allocation basis is staffing levels of direct service departments.

[[]c] Based on feedback received from Community Development Department. Amounts intended to serve as reasonable estimates.

APPENDIX C

PROPOSED FEES

City of Folsom ENGINEERING AND ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FEES

#	Description	Fee	Fee Structure	Note
1	Assessment District/CFD Payment Processing	\$4,800	Fixed Fee	
2	Encroachment Permit			
	a) Encroachment Contract for Parking/Staging			
	i. 0-6 calendar days	\$50	Fixed Fee	
	ii. 7-14 calendar days	\$100	Fixed Fee	
	iii. 14+days	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	b) Utility Work/Connections (Individual Permits)			
	i. Wet Utilities/Service Connections	\$600	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Dry Utilities (per site/location)	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	iii. Misc. per LF of Trench in ROW/City Easement	\$5.00	Fixed Fee	
	iv. Inspections and Testing	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	c) Driveways/Minor Frontage Improvements			
	i. Residential (per driveway)	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Commercial (per driveway)	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	d) Pools and Spas (in ground)	\$400	Fixed Fee	
	e) Traffic Control/Equipment Staging			
	i. Isolated Site	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Multiple Closures/Staging	\$1,000	Fixed Fee	
	f) Permit Extensions			
	i. Active Work Zone	\$50	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Inactive Work Zone (4+ months inactivity)	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	g) Annual Permits			
	i. Wet Utilities	\$6,000	Fixed Fee	
	ii. Dry Utilities	\$20,800	Fixed Fee	
	iii. General Maintenance/Misc. (Not Wet or Dry Utilities)	T&M	Fixed Fee	[a]
	iv. Vegetation Management (Utilities)	\$20,800	Fixed Fee	
	v. Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (paid annually)	\$200	Fixed Fee	
	h) Long Term/Revocable Encroachments (new permits only)	\$2,400	Fixed Fee	[b]

City of Folsom ENGINEERING AND ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FEES

#	Description	Fee	Fee Structure N
3	Engineering and Landscape Plan Check and Inspection		
	a) Project Value Up to \$10,000	8.00%	Fixed Fee
	b) Project Value \$10,001 - \$100,000		
	i. Base Fee for First \$10,000	\$800	Fixed Fee
	ii. Fee for Each Add' \$1 Up to \$100,000	8.00%	Fixed Fee
	c) \$100,001 - \$199,999		
	i. Base Fee for First \$100,000	\$8,000	Fixed Fee
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$200,000	6.40%	Fixed Fee
	d) \$200,001 - \$299,999		
	i. Base Fee for First \$200,000	\$14,400	Fixed Fee
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1 Up to \$300,000	4.80%	Fixed Fee
	e) \$300,000 or more		
	i. Base Fee for First \$300,000	\$19,200	Fixed Fee
	ii. Fee for Each Add'l \$1	3.60%	Fixed Fee
	f) Landscape Plan Review		
	i. Non-Development	\$200	Fixed Fee
	ii. Custom Home	\$1,100	Fixed Fee
	iii. Production Home/Subdivision	\$2,300	Fixed Fee
iv. Model Home Complex		\$1,400	Fixed Fee
	v. Commercial, Streetscape, Other Development Projects	\$1,600	Fixed Fee
	vi. Development and Civil Improvements - Landscaping	\$2,100	Fixed Fee
	Review		
4	Final Map and Parcel Map		
	a) Parcel Map Check	\$8,050	Fixed Fee
	b) Final Map Check		
	i. Base Fee	\$11,500	Fixed Fee
	ii. Plus, Per Lot Fee	\$144	Fixed Fee
	c) Final Map Amendment/Certificate of Correction	\$6,900	Fixed Fee
5	Right of Ways (ROW) and Easements		
	a) Review of ROW/Easement Documents	\$3,450	Fixed Fee
	b) ROW/Easement Abandonment	\$5,750	Fixed Fee
6	Subdivision Agreement Processing	\$5,750	Fixed Fee
7	Transportation Permit		
	a) Permit	\$16	Fixed Fee
	b) Annual Permit	\$90	Fixed Fee

City of Folsom ENGINEERING AND ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FEES

#	Description	Fee	Fee Structure Note
8	Tree Removal/Work Permit		
	a) Permitted Removal/Work		
	i. Existing Occupied Structure		
	a. 0-2 Trees	\$100	Fixed Fee
	b. 3+ Trees: See New Construction Rate Below	\$1,200	Fixed Fee
	c. "In Decline" Tree	\$100	Fixed Fee
	ii. New Construction (e.g. Custom Home, Subdivision, Parcel		
	a. 0-4 Trees	\$1,200	Fixed Fee
	b. 5+ Trees	\$1,400 + 10% per tree	Fixed Fee
	iii. Misc.	\$200	Per Hour
	b) w/o Permit (Does not include mitigation)Double the Permit Rate	2x permit amount	Fixed Fee
	Other Fees for Service		
9	Research of Engineering Records	\$200	Per Hour
10	Miscellaneous Engineering Services	\$200	Per Hour
11	Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent)	\$200	Per Hour
12	Revisions	\$200	Per Hour
13	After Hours Inspection (per hour) (2-hour minimum)	\$240	Per Hour
14	Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each)	\$100	Each
15	Missed Inspection Fee	\$100	Each
16	Expedited Services Fee	1.5x Regular Fee	Fixed Fee
17	Residential Landscape Review	Hourly Rate of Arborist	Per Hour
18	Technical Assistance/Third Party Review or Inspection	Actual Cost	T & M

[[]a] Use time and materials with initial deposit to be determined by City Engineer, based on anticipated scope of work.

[[]b] Encroachment agreement required in addition to insurance (e.g., parklets).

A. Fees for Commonly Requested Building Permit Types. Fees shown in this section (Section A.) include all applicable inspection, and plan review fees. Additional permit processing fees apply. Additional fees may apply for services provided by other City Departments (e.g. Planning Review), and Fees Collected on Behalf of Other Agencies (e.g. State of California).

ee	Description	Fee	Charge Basis	Note	CPI
_	HVAC Change-Out - Residential	\$225	per permit		Υ
2	Water Heater Change-Out - Residential	\$180	per permit		Υ
3	Residential Re-Roof	\$360	per permit		Υ
4	Siding Replacement	\$315	per permit		Υ
5	Service Panel Upgrade - Residential	\$270	per permit		Y
6	Battery Backup Storage	\$360	per permit		Υ
7	Electric Vehicle Charger	\$360	per permit		Υ
8	Generator	\$360	per permit		Υ
9	Residential Solar Photovoltaic System - Solar Permit				
	a) Plan Review				
	i) Base Fee for 15kW or Less	\$200	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	ii) Fee for Each Additional kW above 15kW	\$15	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	b) Permit	\$250	per permit	[a],[b]	N
10	Commercial Solar Photovoltaic System - Solar Permit				
	a) Plan Review				
	i) Base Fee 50kW or Less	\$444	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	ii) Fee for Each Add'l kW above 50kW up to 250kW	\$7	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	ii) Fee for Each Add'l kW above 250kW	\$5	per permit	[a],[b]	N
	b) Permit	\$556	per permit	[a],[b]	N
11	Pool Solar	\$180	per permit		Υ
	Swimming Pool Replaster / Equipment Change-Out	\$450	per permit		Υ
13	Swimming Pool Remodel (e.g., Changing Pool Shape,	\$900	per permit		Υ
13	Adding Cabo Shelf, etc.)		, ,		
14	Retaining Wall				
	a) One Type of Retaining Wall Type/Configuration	\$540	per permit		Υ
	b) Each Additional Wall Type/Configuration	\$270	per permit		Υ
15	Window / Sliding Glass Door - Retrofit / Repair				
	a) Up to 5	\$180	per permit		Υ
	b) Per Window Over 5 Windows	\$36	per permit	_	Υ
16	Fences Requiring a Building Permit	\$360	per permit		Υ
17	Electrical and Irrigation Pedestals per pedestal	\$270	per permit		Υ
18	Detached and Attached ADUs	\$4,500	per permit		Υ
19	Junior ADUs	\$2,160	per permit		Υ

[[]a] Total fees shall not exceed amounts outlined in California Government Code 66015(a)(1).

[[]b] The City will not collect additional permit processing fees. Amounts shown are total amount due for permit processing, plan review, and permit.

Determination of Valuation for Fee-Setting Purposes

• Project valuations shall be based on the total value of all construction work, including all finish work, roofing, electrical, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, elevators, fire-extinguishing systems and any other permanent equipment. If, in the opinion of the Building Official, the valuation is underestimated on the application, the permit shall be denied, unless the applicant can show detailed estimates to meet the approval of the Building Official. Final building permit valuation shall be set by the Building Official. For determining project valuations for new construction, the Building Official may use data published by the International Code Council (ICC) (building valuation data table, typically updated in February and August of each year). The final building permit valuation shall be set at an amount that allows the City to recover its costs of applicant plan check, permit and inspection activities.

Note: For construction projects with permit fees calculated using Section B, additional fees apply for permit issuance. Additional fees may apply for services provided by other City Departments (e.g. Planning Review), and Fees Collected on Behalf of Other Agencies (e.g. State of California). Additional fees apply for plan review, when applicable.

B. <u>Permit Fee for New Buildings, Additions, Tenant Improvements, Residential Remodels, and Combined Mechanical, Electrical, and/or Plumbing Permits</u>

Total	Valu	ation			Pern	nit Fee		CPI
\$1	to	\$2,000	\$135.00					
\$2,001	to	\$25,000	\$135.00	for the first \$2,000	plus	\$9.78	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$25,000	N
\$25,001	to	\$50,000	\$360.00	for the first \$25,000	plus	\$10.80	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$50,000	N
\$50,001	to	\$100,000	\$630.00	for the first \$50,000	plus	\$9.00	for each add'l $$1,000$ or fraction thereof, to and including $$100,000$	N
\$100,001	to	\$500,000	\$1,080.00	for the first \$100,000	plus	\$7.20	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$500,000	N
\$500,001	to	\$1,000,000	\$3,960.00	for the first \$500,000	plus	\$6.48	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$1,000,000	N
\$1,000,001	to	\$5,000,000	\$7,200.00	for the first \$1,000,000	plus	\$5.40	for each add'l \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$5,000,000	N
\$5,000,001	and	up	\$28,800.00	for the first \$5,000,000	plus	\$4.11	for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof over \$5,000,000	N

D. Building Plan Review Fees

Activity Description	Fee	Charge Basis	Note	CPI
1 Building Plan Check Fees - Building				
a) Plan Review Fee, if applicable	80%		[a]	N
b) Expedited Plan Check - At Application Submittal (when applicable)	1.5x standard plan check fee			N
c) Tract Home / Master Plan Construction (Production Units)	20% of standard plan check fee		[b]	N
d) Production Permit for Multi-family permit	\$1,440			Υ
e) Production Permit for Fire permits and other misc. permits	\$450			Υ
f) Alternate Materials and Methods Review (per hour)	\$180			Υ
g) Excess Plan Review Fee (4th and subsequent) (per hour)	\$180			Υ
h) Revisions to an Approved Permit (per hour)	\$180			Υ
i) Deferred Submittal (per hour)	\$180			Υ

When applicable, plan check fees shall be paid at the time of application for a building permit.

The plan checking fee is in addition to the building permit fee

[[]a] Includes up to three plan checks. The City will bill hourly for additional plan review required.

[[]b] For identical buildings built by the same builder on the same lot or in the same tract and for which building permits are issued at the same time.

E. Other Fees

Activ	vity Description	Fee	Note	CPI
	Permit Processing Fee	\$75		Υ
2	Strong Motion Instrumentation (SMI) Fee Calculation	4	[a]	
	a) Residential	\$0.50 or valuation x .00013	[a]	N
	b) Commercial	\$0.50 or valuation x .00028	[a]	N
-	Duilding Considered (CD 4.472) For Colombridge (Molympian)		f-1	
3	Building Standards (SB 1473) Fee Calculation (Valuation)	ės	[a]	61
	a) \$1 - \$25,000	\$1	[a]	N
	b) \$25,001 - \$50,000	\$2	[a]	N N
	c) \$50,001 - \$75,000	\$3	[a]	N
	d) \$75,001 - \$100,000	\$4	[a]	N
	e) Each Add'l \$25,000 or fraction thereof	Add \$1	[a]	N
4	Business License Fee	\$25		N
4	business license ree	323		14
5	CASP Training Fund Fee	\$3.60		N
,	C. S. Training retirates	φ3.00		
6	State Disability Access Fee	\$0.40		N
-		,		
7	General Plan/Zoning Code Update Fee (percent of building permit fee)	5%	[b]	N
	0			
8	Technology Fee (percent of permit fee)	5%	[c]	N
9	Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (Phasing Plan) Fee	\$900		Υ
10	Permit Extension	\$0		Υ
11	Permit Reactivation Fee			
	a) Reactivation Fee if All Inspections Have Been Performed and Approved Up	\$180		Υ
	to But Not Including Final Inspection			
	b) Reactivation Fee - All Other Scenarios	50% of Original Pace Building Pormit Foo		N
	i) Permit Expired Up to One Year	50% of Original Base Building Permit Fee 100% of Original Base Building Permit Fee		
	ii) Permit Expired More than One Year	100% of Original base building Permit Fee		N
12	Permit Reissuance Fee	\$180		Υ
12	remit heissuance ree	7100		•
13	Damaged Building Survey (Fire, Flood, Vehicle Damage, Etc.) (per hour)	\$180		Υ
13	Sumaged Sumaning Survey (Title, 1700a), Vernete Sumage, Etc., (per modify	\$255		·
	Other Fees			
14	Phased Inspection Fee (per inspection)	\$180		Υ
	, , ,			
15	After Hours Inspection (per hour) (4-hour minimum)	\$216		Υ
	De increasion For /2-d Time on Assurable asks	č100	ורו	V
16	Re-inspection Fee (2nd Time or More) (each)	\$180	[d]	Υ
17	Missed Inspection Fee	\$180		Υ
	•			
18	Duplicate Copy of Permit	\$75		Υ

E. Other Fees

Acti	vity Description	Fee	Note	СРІ
19	Duplicate Copy of Certificate of Occupancy	\$75		Υ
20	Fees for Services Not Listed in this Fee Schedule (per 1/2 hour)	\$90		Υ
	Violation Fees			
21	Investigation Fee For Work Done Without Permits (In addition to applicable permit fees)	equal to permit fee		N

- [a] Amounts established by State of California. In the case of discrepancy between this schedule and amounts established by the State, State amounts shall supersede these amounts.
- [b] Fee applies to new construction, additions, tenant improvements, and residential remodels requiring building permits.
- [c] Fee applies to all permits.
- [d] Reinspection fee applies after the first re-inspection.

Building Valuation Data Table									
Group (2021 International Building Code)	IA	18	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	IV	VA	VB
A-1 Assembly, theaters, with stage	335.89	324.58	316.94	304.93	286.87	278.00	295,62	266,02	257.55
A-1 Assembly, theaters, without stage	307.39	296.08	288.44	276.42	258.37	249.50	267.12	237.51	229.05
A-2 Assembly, nightclubs	269,94	261.93	254.48	245.85	230.56	223.99	237.02	209,57	202,79
A-2 Assembly, restaurants, bars, banquet halls	268.94	260.93	252.48	244.85	228,56	222,99	236.02	207.57	201.79
A-3 Assembly, churches	311.88	300.57	292,93	280.91	263.30	254.43	271.60	242,45	233.98
A-3 Assembly, general, community halls, libraries, museums	266.07	254.76	246.12	235.10	216.33	208.46	225.80	195.47	188.01
A-4 Assembly, arenas	306.39	295.08	286,44	275.42	256.37	248.50	266,12	235.51	228.05
B Business	260,69	251.13	241.86	231,65	210,99	202.73	222,56	186.21	177.81
E Educational	273.46	263.96	255.62	245.04	228.69	217.00	236.61	200.36	193.94
F-1 Factory and industrial, moderate hazard	160.20	152.78	143.34	138.64	123,55	117.41	132.48	102.44	95.93
F-2 Factory and industrial, low hazard	159.20	151.78	143.34	137.64	123.55	116.41	131.48	102.44	94.93
H-1 High Hazard, explosives	149.46	142,04	133.60	127.90	114.12	106.97	121.74	93.00	0,00
H234 High Hazard	149,46	142,04	133,60	127.90	114.12	106.97	121.74	93,00	85.50
H-5 HPM	260.69	251.13	241.86	231,65	210.99	202.73	222.56	186.21	177.81
I-1 Institutional, supervised environment	262.22	252.95	244.31	235.67	215.42	209.47	235.71	193.82	187.73
I-2 Institutional, hospitals	434.15	424.59	415.32	405.12	383,35	0.00	396.02	358.57	0.00
I-2 Institutional, nursing homes	302,01	292,45	283.18	272.97	253.83	0.00	263,88	229.05	0.00
I-3 Institutional, restrained	295.86	286.31	277.03	266.83	247.95	238.69	257.74	223.17	212.77
I-4 Institutional, day care facilities	262.22	252.95	244.31	235.67	215.42	209.47	235.71	193.82	187.73
M Mercantile	201.37	193.36	184.91	177.28	161.72	156,15	168.45	140.73	134.95
R-1 Residential, hotels	264.67	255.41	246.77	238.13	218.35	212,40	238.17	196.75	190,67
R-2 Residential, multiple family	221.32	212.06	203.42	194.78	175.96	170.01	194.82	154,36	148.28
R-3 Residential, one- and two-family	209.61	203.74	198.94	195.12	188.41	181.45	191.77	175.86	165.67
R-4 Residential, care/assisted living facilities	262.22	252.95	244.31	235.67	215.42	209.47	235.71	193.82	187.73
S-1 Storage, moderate hazard	148.46	141.04	131.60	126.90	112.12	105.97	120.74	91.00	84,50
S-2 Storage, low hazard	147.46	140.04	131.60	125.90	112.12	104.97	119.74	91.00	83.50
U Utility, miscellaneous	114.09	107.37	99.89	95.60	85,13	79.54	90.99	67.39	64.19

This page is intentionally left blank to facilitate double-sided printing and minimize paper use.

