

Folsom City Council Staff Report

MEETING DATE:	3/25/2025
AGENDA SECTION:	Consent Calendar
SUBJECT:	Resolution No. 11349 – A Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Execute a Subdivision Improvement Agreement and Accept Offers of Dedication for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision, and Approval of the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision
FROM:	Community Development Department

RECOMMENDATION / CITY COUNCIL ACTION


Staff recommends that the City Council move to adopt:

Resolution No. 11349 – A Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Execute a Subdivision Improvement Agreement and Accept Offers of Dedication for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision, and Approval of the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision

BACKGROUND / ISSUE

The Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map (VTSM) for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision was approved by the City Council on January 11, 2022, as part of the larger Phase 2 vesting tentative map.

The action for consideration by the City Council is the approval of the Final Map and Subdivision Improvement Agreement for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision. The Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision will create a total of 83 single-family high density (SFHD) and multi-family low density residential lots. With the approval of the Final Map, the subdivision process for this phase will be complete.



The Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision is located on the north side of White Rock Road, east of Oak Avenue Parkway, south of Mangini Parkway in the Folsom Plan Area (FPA) (please see above).

POLICY / RULE

The Subdivision Map Act of the State of California and the City's Subdivision Ordinance require that the City Council approve Final Maps and Subdivision Improvement Agreements.

ANALYSIS

The Final Map and conditions of approval for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision have been reviewed by the Community Development Department and other City departments. The Final Map has been found to be in substantial compliance with the approved Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map, and all conditions pertaining to the map have been satisfied.

Attachment 5 includes the conditions of approval for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2 Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map, which included the subphases of 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2D. As an update on progress with the Phase 2 entitlements and project, City Council has approved and the maps have been recorded for Phases 2A and 2B. Phase 2C will come before City Council in 2025 for consideration of approval. The table includes information concerning when the condition is required to be satisfied (e.g. at Final Map, building permit, etc.), which City department is responsible for verifying that it has been satisfied, and comments or an explanation on how the condition was satisfied. This subdivision is consistent with the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan (FPASP) in regards to zoning and unit count.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

An Addendum to the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan EIR/EIS was previously adopted by the City Council on March 10, 2020, for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch project in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The applicant prepared an environmental memorandum for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2 Subdivision project that demonstrates that no new or substantially more adverse impacts would occur through implementation of the proposed project. As a result, no new environmental document is required, consistent with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(b).

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Resolution No. 11349 A Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Execute a Subdivision Improvement Agreement and Accept Offers of Dedication for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision, and Approval of the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision
- 2. Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision Improvement Agreement
- 3. Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision Final Map
- 4. Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map
- 5. Table of Conditions of Approval for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map

Submitted

PAM JOHNS, Community Development Director

ATTACHMENT 1

A Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Execute a Subdivision Improvement Agreement and Accept Offers of Dedication for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision, and Approval of the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision

RESOLUTION NO. 11349

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT OFFERS OF DEDICATION FOR THE TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 2D SUBDIVISION, AND APPROVAL OF THE FINAL MAP FOR THE TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 2D SUBDIVISION

WHEREAS, the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision has been reviewed and approved by the City Engineer and City Surveyor as complying with the approved or conditionally approved Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map for the subdivision; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has reviewed the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D subdivision; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council agrees to accept, subject to improvement, any and all offers of dedication as shown on the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D subdivision.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Folsom that the Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D subdivision is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager is hereby authorized to execute the Subdivision Improvement Agreement with Toll West, Inc. which will do business in California as Toll Brothers West, Inc. in a form acceptable to the City Attorney and accept the offers of dedication for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D subdivision.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 25th day of March, 2025, by the following roll-call vote:

AYES:	Councilmember(s):		
NOES:	Councilmember(s):		
ABSENT:	Councilmember(s):		
ABSTAIN:	Councilmember(s):		
		Sarah Aquino, MAYOR	
ATTEST:			
Christa Engar	mentle CITY CI EDV	ki.	
Christa Freei	nantle, CITY CLERK		

ATTACHMENT 2

Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision Improvement Agreement

No Fee Document Pursuant to Government Code Section 6103.

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

City of Folsom

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

NAME

City of Folsom

City Clerk

MAILING ADDRESS
CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

50 Natoma Street Folsom, CA 95630

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

CITY OF FOLSOM

SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT

This Agreement is made and entered into this _______ day of _______, 2025 by and between the City of Folsom, hereinafter referred to as "City", and Toll West, Inc. which will do business in California as Toll Brothers West, Inc., a Delaware Corporation hereinafter referred to as "Subdivider".

RECITALS

- A. Subdivider has presented to the City a certain Final Map of a proposed subdivision of land located within the corporate limits of the City that has been prepared in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act of the State of California, the subdivision ordinances of the City, and the Tentative Subdivision Map, if any, of the subdivision previously approved by the City Council of the City.
- B. The proposed subdivision of land is commonly known and described as **Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision** and is herein referred to as the "subdivision".
- C. Subdivider has requested approval of the Final Map prior to the construction and completion of the public improvements (as shown on the approved improvement plans and listed in Exhibit A), including, but not limited to streets, highways, public ways, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, bikeways, storm drainage facilities, sanitary sewer facilities, domestic water facilities, public utility facilities, landscaping, public lighting facilities, park or recreational improvements and appurtenances thereto, in or required by the Subdivision Map Act, the subdivision ordinances of the City, the Tentative Subdivision Map and development agreement, if any, approved by the City. The foregoing improvements, more specifically listed on Exhibit A attached hereto, are hereinafter referred to as "the required improvements".

D. City Council has required as a condition precedent to the approval of the Final Map, the Subdivider first enters into and executes this subdivision improvement agreement with the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Performance of Work. Subdivider agrees to furnish, construct, and install at his own expense the required improvements as shown on the approved plans and specifications of the subdivision, a copy of which is on file in the Community Development Department, and is incorporated herein by reference, along with any changes or modifications as may be required by the City Engineer due to errors, omissions, changes in conditions, or changes in facilities as required by the City Engineer. The approved plans and specifications of the required improvements may be modified by the Subdivider as the development progresses, provided that any modification is approved in writing by the City Engineer. The total estimated cost of the required improvements, as shown on Exhibit A, is THREE HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SIXTY DOLLARS. (\$337,660).
- 2. <u>Work; Satisfaction of City Engineer</u>. All of the work on the required improvements is to be done at the places, of the materials, and in the manner and at the grades, all as shown upon the approved plans and specifications and as required by the City's Improvement Standards and Standard Construction Specifications and any applicable City ordinances or state and federal laws, and to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.
- 3. Work; Time for Commencement and Performance. Work on the required improvements shall be completed by the Subdivider on or before twelve (12) months from the date of this Agreement. At least fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the commencement of such work, the Subdivider shall notify the City Engineer in writing of the date fixed by Subdivider for commencement of the work.
- 4. Time of Essence; Extension.
 - a. Time is of the essence of this Agreement. The date for completion of the work of construction may not be extended, except as provided in Section 16.36.110 of the Folsom Municipal Code.
- 5. <u>Improvement Security</u>. Concurrently with the execution of this Agreement, the Subdivider shall furnish the City:
 - a. Improvement security in the sum of THREE HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SIXTY DOLLARS. (\$337,660), which sum is equal to ten percent of the total estimated cost of constructing the required improvements, which are now existing improvements, and the cost of any other obligation to be performed by Subdivider under this Agreement, conditioned upon the faithful performance of this Agreement; and
 - b. Separate improvement security in the sum of **THREE HUNDRED THIRTY-**

SEVEN THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SIXTY DOLLARS (\$337,660), which sum is equal to ten percent of the estimated cost of constructing the required improvements, which are now existing improvements, securing payment to the contractor, subcontractor and to persons furnishing labor, materials, or equipment to them for the construction of the required improvements.

- c. The Subdivider shall deposit with the City **THREE THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$3,000.00)** for the Final Map. The deposit may be used at the discretion of the City to correct deficiencies and conditions caused by the Subdivider, contractor, or subcontractors that may arise during or after the construction of the subdivision.
- d. The estimated total cost of required improvements includes a ten percent (10%) construction cost contingency, the cost of the installation of survey monuments in the Subdivision to guarantee and secure the placement of such monuments as provided by Section 66496 of the Government Code of the State of California, and an estimated utility cost in addition to ensure installation of public utilities. In lieu of providing the estimate of total utility costs, the Subdivider may submit, in a form acceptable to the City Engineer, certification from the utility companies that adequate security has been deposited to ensure installation.
- 6. <u>Plan Checking and Inspection Fees</u>. The Subdivider shall pay to the City fees for the checking, filing, and processing of improvement plans and specifications, and for inspecting the construction of the required improvements in the amounts and at the times established by the City.
- Indemnification and Hold Harmless. The Subdivider shall indemnify, protect, defend, 7. save and hold the City harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injury to persons, or damage to property resulting from intentional or negligent acts, errors, or omissions of Subdivider or Subdivider's officers, employees, volunteers, and agents during performance of this Agreement, or in connection with Subdivider's work, or from any violation of any federal, state, or municipal law or ordinance, to the extent caused, in whole or in part, by the willful misconduct, negligent acts, or omissions of Subdivider or its employees, subcontractors, or agents, or by the quality or character of Subdivider's work. It is understood that the duty of Subdivider to indemnify and hold harmless includes the duty to defend as set forth in Section 2778 of the California Civil Code. Acceptance by City of insurance certificates and endorsements required under this Agreement does not relieve Subdivider from liability under this indemnification and hold harmless clause. This indemnification and hold harmless clause shall apply to any damages or claims for damages whether or not such insurance policies shall have been determined to apply and shall further survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement. By execution of this Agreement, Subdivider acknowledges and agrees to the provisions of this Section and that it is a material element of consideration. Subdivider shall, at his own cost and expense, defend any and all actions, suits, or legal proceedings that may be brought or instituted against the City, its officers and employees, on any such claim or demand, and pay or satisfy any judgement that may be rendered against the City in any such actions, suits or legal proceedings, or result

thereof.

- 8. <u>Insurance</u>. Subdivider and any contractors hired by Subdivider to perform any of the Required Improvements shall, at their expense, maintain in effect for the duration of this Agreement or until the required improvements are accepted by the City, whichever first occurs, not less than the following coverage and limits of insurance, which shall be maintained with insurers and under forms of policy satisfactory to the City. The maintenance by Subdivider and it contractors of the following coverage and limits of insurance is a material element of this Agreement. The failure of Subdivider or any of its contractors to maintain or renew coverage or to provide evidence of renewal may be treated by the City as a material breach of this Agreement.
 - a. Minimum Limits of Insurance. Subdivider shall maintain limits not less than:
 - 1. Comprehensive General Liability: \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage.
 - 2. Automobile Liability: \$1,000,000 combined single limit per accident for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage.
 - 3. Worker's Compensation and Employers Liability: Worker's Compensation limits as required by the Labor Code of the State of California and Employers Liability limits of \$1,000,000 per accident.
 - b. <u>Deductibles and Self-Insured Retentions</u>. Any deductibles or self-insured retentions shall be declared to and approved by the City. At the option of the City, either: the insurer shall reduce or eliminate such deductibles or self-insured retentions as respects to a bond guaranteeing payment of losses and related investigations, claim administration and defense expenses.
 - c. <u>Other Insurance Provisions</u>. The policies are to contain, or be endorsed to contain, the following provisions:
 - 1. General Liability and Automobile Liability Coverages
 - A. The City, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers are to be covered as insureds as respects: liability arising out of activities performed by or on behalf of the Subdivider; products and completed operations of the Subdivider; premises owned, leased or used by the Subdivider; or automobiles owned, leased, hired or borrowed by the Subdivider. The coverage shall contain no special limitations on the scope of protection afforded to the City, its officers, officials, employees or volunteers.
 - B. The Subdivider's insurance coverage shall be primary insurance as respects the City, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers. Any insurance of self-insurance maintained by the

- City, its officials, employees or volunteers shall be excess of the Subdivider's insurance and shall not contribute with it.
- C. Any failure to comply with reporting provisions of the policies shall not affect coverage provided to the City, its officers, officials, employees or volunteers.
- D. The Subdivider's insurance shall apply separately to each insured against whom claim is made or suit is brought, except with respect to the limits of the insurer's liability.
- 2. Worker's Compensation and Employers Liability Coverage. The insurer shall agree to waive all rights or subrogation against the City, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers for losses arising from work performed by Subdivider for the City.
- 3. All Coverages. Each insurance policy required by this clause shall be endorsed to state that coverage shall not be suspended, voided or cancelled by either party, reduced in coverage or in limits except after thirty (30) days prior written notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, has been given to the City.
- d. <u>Acceptability of Insurers</u>. Insurance is to be placed with insurers with a Best's rating of not less than A: VII.
- e. <u>Verification of Coverage</u>. Concurrently with the execution of this Agreement, the Subdivider shall furnish the City with original endorsements affecting coverage required by this clause. The endorsements for each insurance policy are to be signed by a person authorized by that insurer to bind coverage on its behalf. The City reserves the right to require complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies at any time.
- 9. <u>Title to Improvements</u>. Title to and ownership of the required public improvements constructed under this Agreement by Subdivider shall vest absolutely in the City upon completion and written acceptance of such improvements by the City Engineer. The City Engineer shall not accept the required improvements unless Subdivider certifies that such improvements have been constructed in conformity with the approved plans and specifications, approved modifications, if any, the approved Final Map, City Improvement Standards and Standard Construction Specifications, any applicable City Ordinances or State and Federal laws and after 35 days from the date of filing of a Notice of Completion.
- 10. Warranty Security. Prior to acceptance of the required improvements by the City Engineer, the Subdivider shall provide security in the amount and in the form as required by the City Engineer to guarantee the improvements against any defective work or labor done or defective materials used in the performance of the required improvements (Warranty Security) throughout the warranty security period which shall be the period of one year following completion and written acceptance of the improvements (Warranty Security Period). The amount of the Warranty Security shall not be less than 10 percent of the cost of the construction

of the improvements, including the cash deposit required in paragraph 5C of this agreement, which shall be retained for the Warranty Security Period.

- Repair or Reconstruction of Defective Work or Materials. If, within the Warranty Security 11. Period or the applicable statute of limitations, whichever is longer, any improvement or part of any improvement furnished and/or installed or constructed by Subdivider or any of the work done under this Agreement fails to fulfill any of the requirements of the Agreement or the specifications referred to herein as determined by the City, Subdivider shall without delay and without any cost to the City, repair, replace, or reconstruct any defective or otherwise unsatisfactory part or parts of the required improvements. If the Subdivider fails to act promptly or in accordance with this requirement, or if the exigencies of the situation require repairs or replacements to be made before the Subdivider can be notified, then the City may, at its option, make the necessary repairs or replacements or perform the necessary work, and Subdivider shall pay to City the actual cost of such repairs plus fifteen percent (15%) within thirty (30) days of the date of billing for such work by City. The parties further understand and agree that the Warranty Security furnished pursuant to paragraph 10 of this Agreement shall guarantee and secure the faithful performance and payment of the provisions of this paragraph during the Warranty Security Period.
- 12. <u>Subdivider Not Agent of City</u>. Neither Subdivider nor any of Subdivider's agents or contractors are or shall be considered to be agents of City in connection with the performance of Subdivider's obligations under this Agreement.
- 13. Notice of Breach and Default. If Subdivider refuses or fails to prosecute the work, or any part thereof, with such diligence as will ensure its completion within the time specified, or any extension thereof, or fails to complete the work within such time, or if Subdivider should be adjudged a bankruptcy, or Subdivider should make a general assignment for the benefit of his creditors, or if a receiver should be appointed in the event of Subdivider's insolvency, or if Subdivider or any of Subdivider's contractors, subcontractors, agents or employees should violate any of the provisions of this Agreement and the City may, but is under no obligation to, serve written notice upon Subdivider and Subdivider's surety, if any, of breach of this Agreement, or of any portion thereof.
- Breach of Agreement; Performance By Surety or City. In the event of any such notice, Subdivider's surety, if any, shall have the duty to take over and complete the work and the required improvements; provided, however, that if the surety within fifteen (15) days after the serving of such notice of breach upon it does not give the City written notice of its intention to take over the performance thereof within fifteen (15) days after notice to the City of such election, then the City may take over the work and prosecute the same to completion by contract, or by any other method the City may deem advisable, for the account and at the expense of the Subdivider, and the Subdivider's surety shall be liable to City for any excess costs of damages incurred by the City; and in such event, the City, without liability for so doing, may take possession of and utilize in completing the work, such materials, appliances, plant or other property belonging to Subdivider as may be on the site of the work and necessary therefor.

If the form of improvement security is other than a bond, then the City, after giving notice of breach of the Agreement, may proceed to collect against the improvement security in the

manner provided by law and by the terms of the security instrument.

15. <u>Notices</u>. All notices required under this Agreement shall be in writing and delivered in person or sent by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid.

Notices required to be given to City shall be addressed as follows:

City of Folsom
Community Development Department
50 Natoma Street
Folsom, CA 95630
ATTN: Rebecca Neves, City Engineer

Notices required to be given to Subdivider shall be addressed as follows:

Toll West, Inc., which will do business in California as Toll Brothers West, Inc., a
Delaware Corporation
110 Woodmere Road, Suite 120Folsom, CA 95630
ATTN; Greg Van Dam, Vice President – Land Development

Notices required to be given surety, if any, of Subdivider shall be addressed as follows:

Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance Company One Bala Plaza, Suite 100 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 ATTN; Daniel P. Dunigan, Attorney-in-Fact

Any party of the surety may change such address by notice in writing to the other party and thereafter notices shall be addressed and transmitted to the new address.

- 16. <u>Attorney's Fees</u>. In the event any legal action is brought to enforce or interpret this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees, in addition to any other relief to which he may be entitled.
- 17. <u>Assignment</u>. This Agreement shall bind and inure to the benefit of the assigns, successors in interest, heirs, executors, and administrators of the parties, and the parties agree that the City may cause a copy of this Agreement to be recorded in the Sacramento County Recorder's Office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement as follows:

SUBDIVIDER

Toll West, Inc., which will do business in California as Toll Brothers West, Inc., a Delaware Corporation

BY:	BY:
Print Name: Gregory S. Van Dam	Print Name: Scott Esping
Title: Vice President	Title: Assistant Secretary
Date:	Date:
CITY OF FOLSOM, a Municipal Corporation	
	DATE:
Bryan Whitemyer CITY MANAGER	
ATTEST:	
	DATE:
Christa Freemantle CITY CLERK	
APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:	
	DATE:
Pam Johns COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
C4 Wana	DATE:
Steve Wang CITY ATTORNEY	

NOTICE: SIGNATURE(S) ON BEHALF OF "SUBDIVIDER" MUST BE NOTARIZED Certificate of Acknowledgement pursuant to Civil Code, Section 1189, must be attached. SUBDIVISION AGREEMENT – (Subdivision Name)

BOND #	
PREMIUM:	

PERFORMANCE BOND

for

Subdivision Improvement Agreement

WHEREAS, The City Council of the City of Folsom, a Municipal Corporation in the State of
California, and Toll West, Inc. which will do business in California as Toll Brothers West, Inc., a
Delaware Corporation, (hereinafter designated as "Principal") have entered into an agreement where
by principal agrees to install and complete certain designated public improvements, which said
agreement, dated 2025, and identified as the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D
Subdivision Improvement Agreement is hereby referred to and made a part hereof; and,
WHEREAS , Said Principal is required under the terms of said agreement to furnish a bond for the faithful performance of said agreement;
NOW THEREFORE, We, the principal, and
, as surety, are held and firmly bound unto the City of Folsom, hereinafter
referred to as the City; in the penal sum of THREE HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND
SIX HUNDRED SIXTY DOLLARS (\$337,660), lawful money of the United States, for the payment of which sum well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, successors, executors, and
administrators, jointly and severally firmly by these presents.
auministrators, jointry and severally mining by these presents.

The condition of this obligation is such that if the above bounded principal, its heirs, executors, administrators, successors or assigns, shall in all things stand to and abide by, and well and truly keep and perform the covenants, conditions, and provisions in the said agreement and any alteration thereof made as therein provided, on its part, to be kept and performed at the time and in the manner therein specified, and in all respects according to their true intent and meaning, and shall indemnify and save harmless the City, its officers, agents, and employees, as therein stipulated, then this obligation shall become null and void; otherwise it shall be and remain in full force and effect.

As a part of the obligation secured hereby and in addition to the face amount specified therefor, there shall be included costs and reasonable expenses and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred by City in successful enforcing such obligation, all to be taxed as costs and included in any judgment rendered.

The surety hereby stipulates and agrees that no change, extension of time, alteration or addition to the terms of the agreement or to the work to be performed thereunder or the specifications accompanying the same shall in any way affect its obligations on this bond, and it does hereby waive notice of any such change, extension of time, alteration or addition to the terms of the agreement or to the work or to the specifications.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this is above named, on	nstrument has been d	uly executed by the principal and surety
	ВҮ	(PRINCIPAL)
	ВҮ	(PRINCIPAL)
	ВҮ	(SURETY)
		(ADDRESS)
		(CITY, STATE, ZIP)
		(TELEPHONE)
APPROVED AS TO FORM		
OVERY A PEROPENSION		
CITY ATTORNEY		

BOND #	
PREMIUM:	

LABOR & MATERIALS BOND

for

Subdivision Improvement Agreement

WHEREAS, The City Council of the City of Folsom, a Municipal Corporation of the State of
California, and Toll West, Inc. which will do business in California as Toll Brothers West, Inc., a
Delaware Corporation, (hereinafter designated as "Principal"), have entered into an agreement
whereby principal agrees to install and complete certain designated public improvements, which said
agreement, dated 2025, and identified as the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D
Subdivision Improvement Agreement is hereby referred to and made a part hereof; and,
-

WHEREAS, under the terms of said agreement, principal is required before entering upon the performance of the work, to file a good and sufficient payment bond with the City of Folsom to secure the claims to which reference is made in Title 15 (commencing with Section 3082) of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code of the State of California;

NOW THEREFORE, said principal and the undersigned as corporate surety, are held firmly bound unto the City of Folsom and all contractors, subcontractors, laborers, materialmen and other persons employed in the performance of the aforesaid agreement and referred to in the aforesaid Code of Civil Procedure, in the sum of **THREE HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SIXTY DOLLARS (\$337,660),** for materials furnished or labor thereon of any kind, or for amounts due under the Unemployment Insurance Act with respect to such work or labor, that said surety will pay the same in an amount not exceeding the amount hereinabove set forth, and also in case suit is brought upon this bond, will pay, in addition to the face amount thereof, cost and reasonable expenses and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred by City in successfully enforcing such obligation, to be awarded and fixed by the court, and to be taxed as costs and to be included in the judgment therein rendered.

It is hereby expressly stipulated and agreed that this bond shall inure to the benefit of any and all persona, companies and corporations entitled to file claims under Title 15 (commencing with Section 3082) of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code, so as to give a right of action to them or their assigns in any suit brought upon this bond.

Should the condition of this bond be fully performed, then this obligation shall become null and void, otherwise it shall be and remain in full force and effect.

The surety hereby stipulates and agrees that no change, extension of time, alteration, or addition to the terms of said agreement or the specifications accompanying the same shall in any manner affect its obligations on this bond, and it does hereby waive notice of any such change, extension, alteration, or addition.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this is above named, on	instrument has been duly executed by the principal and suret, 2025.
9	BY(PRINCIPAL)
	(PRINCIPAL)
	BY(PRINCIPAL)
	BY(SURETY)
	(ADDRESS)
	(CITY, STATE, ZIP)
	(TELEPHONE)
APPROVED AS TO FORM	
CITY ATTORNEY	

Attach Exhibit "A"

Licensed Civil Engineer Stamped and Signed Bond Estimate

ATTACHMENT 3

Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Subdivision Final Map

OWNER'S STATEMENT

THE UNDERSIGNED DOES HEREBY STATE THAT WE ARE THE ONLY PARTIES HAVING ANY RECORD TITLE THE DIMERSISHED LIBES FIREDS THE THAT WE FIRE THE DEVINDANCES OF THIS FINAL MAP OF TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 2D AND DE HERBY DECLARE THE COMBENT FROM OTHER PERSON IS NECESSARY, AND WE CONSENT TO THE PREPARATION AND RECORDATION OF THIS

WE DO HEREBY DEDICATE FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES THE FOLLOWING:

- 1 A PUBLIC EASEMENT FOR THE INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF DRAIN, GAS, SEWER AND WATER PIPES AND FOR UNDERGROUND WIRES AND CONDUITS FOR ELECTRICAL, TELEVISION AND COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, TOGETHER WITH ANY AND ALL APPURTRANCES PERTAINING THERETO ON, OVER, UNDER AND ACROSS LOTS W AND Y, AND THOSE STRIPS OF LAND SHOWN HEREON AND DESIGNATED "PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT" (PUE).
- 2. A PUBLIC EASEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTAINING CENTRALIZED MAIL DELIVERY BOXES, PEDESTALS AND SLASS TOGETHER WITH ANY AND ALL APPURITEMANCES PERTAINING THERETO INCLUDING PEDESTRIAN ACCESS FOR DELIVERY AND RECEIPT OF MAIL ON, UPER AND ACROSS STAPS OF LAND FIVE (5) FEET IN WIDTH CONTIGUOUS AND DUTSIDE THE PROPERTY LINE
- 3. AN EASEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS FOR SUPPORT AND USE BY LAW ENFORCEMENT, FIRE PROTECTION, WELFARE AND OTHER PUBLIC AGENCIES, THEIR VEHICLES AND PERSONNEL OVER LOTS W AND Y SHOWN HEREON AND DESIGNATED "EMERGENCY VEHICLE ACCESS EASEMENT"

TOLL WEST INC., WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA AS TOLL BROTHERS WEST INC. A DELAWARE CORPORATION

BY:		
	GREGORY S. VAN DAM VICE PRESIDENT	DATE
BY:	O'NEAN SHOUNGE	
	ASSISTANT SECRETARY	DATE

SURVEYOR'S STATEMENT

THIS MAP WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECTION AND IS BASED UPON A FIELD SURVEY IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SUBDIVISION MAP ACT AND LOCAL ORDINANCE AT THE REQUEST OF TOLL WEST INC. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA AS TOLL BROTHERS WEST INC. A DELAWARE CORPORATION IN APRIL 2021, I HEREBY STATE THAT THIS FINAL MAP SUBSTANTIALLY CONFORMS TO THE CONDITIONALLY APPROVED TENTATIVE MAP.

MACKAY & SOMPS CIVIL ENGINEERS, INC.



Preliminary

PEDRO JARQUIN, PLS 8268


EXPIRATION DATE: DECEMBER 31, 2025 DATE:

I HEREBY STATE THAT THE MONUMENTS WILL BE OF THE CHARACTER AND WILL OCCUPY THE POSITIONS AS INDICATED AND WILL BE SET BY DECEMBER 31, 2026, AND THAT SAID MONUMENTS WILL BE SUFFICIENT TO ENABLE THE SURVEY TO BE RETRACED.

ESE CONSULTANTS, INC.



9	
EPTEMBER 30	, 2025



VICINITY MAP

NOTARY'S ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A NOTARY PUBLIC OR OTHER OFFICER COMPLETING THIS CERTIFICATE VERIFIES ONLY THE IDENTITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL WHO SIGNED THE DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE IS ATTACHED, AND NOT THE TRUTHFULNESS, ACCURACY OR VALIDITY OF THAT DOCUMENT.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	A	
COUNTY OF		
ON	BEFORE ME	A NOTARY PUBLIC
PERSONAL LY APPEAR	rED.	

WHO PROVED TO ME ON THE BASIS OF SATISFACTORY EVIDENCE TO BE THE PERSON(S) WHOSE NAME(S) ISIARE SUBSCRIBED TO THE WITHIN INSTRUMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGED TO ME THAT HE/SHE/THEY EXECUTED THE SAME IN HIS/HER/THEIR AUTHORIZED CAPACITY(IES), AND THAT BY HIS/HER/THEIR SIGNATURE(S) ON THE INSTRUMENT THE PERSON(S), OR THE ENTITY UPON BEHALF OF WHICH THE PERSON(S) ACTED, EXECUTED THE INSTRUMENT.

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE FOREGOING PARAGRAPH IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL.

SIGNATURE	PRINTED NAME	
MY PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IS		COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:	MY COMMISSION NUMBER:	

NOTARY'S ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A NOTARY PUBLIC OR OTHER OFFICER COMPLETING THIS CERTIFICATE VERYIES ONLY THE IDENTITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL WHO SIGNED THE DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE IS ATTACHED, AND NOT THE TRUTHFULNESS, ACCURACY OR VALIDITY OF THAT DOCUMENT.

/III	DEI ONE ME	ANOTALLI
ON	BEFORE ME	_ A NOTARY PUBL
COUNTY OF		
TATE OF CALIFORNIA	^	

PERSONALLY APPEARED PERSONALLY APPEARED TO ME ON THE BASIS OF SATISFACTORY EVIDENCE TO BE THE PERSON(S) WHOSE NAME(S) IS/ARE SUBSCRIBED TO THE WITHIN INSTRUMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGED TO ME THAT HE/SHET/HE/S EXECUTED THE SAME IN HIS/HE/THE/S LATIORIZED CAPACITY(JES). AND THAT BY HIS/HER/THEIR SIGNATURE(S) ON THE INSTRUMENT THE PERSON(S), OR THE ENTITY UPON BEHALF OF WHICH THE PERSON(S) ACTED, EXECUTED THE INSTRUMENT,

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE FOREGOING PARAGRAPH IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL.		
SIGNATURE	PRINTED NAME	
MY PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IS		COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:	MY COMMISSION NUMBER:	

NAVD88 BENCHMARK-CITY OF FOLSOM

BENCHMARK "78"

ELEVATION = 366 15

BBCVAN

BRASS DISK STAMPED 'CITY OF FOLSOM BM 78" ON THE NORTHERLY CORNER OF A CONCRETE BRIDGE ABUTMENT ON THE NORTHEASTERLY SIDE OF A LOBER CREEK, LOCATION OF SITE IS APPROXIMATELY 3000 FEET DUE. WEST OF E. BIGWELL STREET AND 2300 FEET DUE NORTH OF WHITE ROCK ROAD, APPROXIMATE LATITUDE: N38D 37' 31,49" LONGITUDE: W121D 07' 29.50"

ELEVATION OF 366,15 WAS ESTABLISHED BY A DIFFERENTIAL LEVEL CIRCUIT FROM COUNTY BENCHMARK U018-009 STAMPED "K-956" IN FEBRUARY 2014 BY MACKAY AND SOMPS CIVIL ENGINEERS, INC.

CITY ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

I HEREBY STATE THAT I HAVE EXAMINED THIS FINAL MAP OF "TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 20" AND FINIO IT TO BE SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME AS THE TENTATIVE MAP APPROVED BY THE CITY OF FOLSOM, AND THAT ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SUBDIVISION MAP ACT AND ALL APPLICABLE CITY ORDINANCES HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH.

REBECCA J. NEVES, RCE 71920 CITY ENGINEER CITY OF FOLSOM LICENSE EXPIRES: 12/31/2025
DATE:

CITY SURVEYOR'S STATEMENT

I HEREBY STATE THAT I HAVE EXAMINED THIS FINAL MAP OF "TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH

SERALD A	YOUNG, L.S. 3852
ITY SURV	
ICENSE E	XPIRES: 06/30/2026

CITY CLERK'S STATEMENT

I HEREBY STATE THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FOLSOM HAS APPROVED THIS FINAL MAP OF "TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE ZD", AND HAS ACCEPTED, ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC, SUBJECT TO IMPROVEMENTS, ALL RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND EASEMENTS OFFERED HEREON FOR DEDICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THAT OFFER AND HAS APPROVED THE ABANDONMENT OF THE EASEMENTS LISTED HEREON,

CHRISTA CITY CLE	FREEMANTLE RK	
DATE		

DECORDED STATEMENT

	DAYOR		M IN BOOK	OF MAPS
AT PAGE	DAY OFAT THE REQUEST OF	MACKAY & SOMPS CIVIL E	NGINEERS, INC. TITLE	TO THE LAND
FILE IN THIS OF		ED AS PER CERTIFICATE N	-	
		DOCUMENT NO	k:	
SACRAMENTO C STATE OF CALIF	COUNTY RECORDER			
BY:		FEE: \$		
	FINAL N	/IAP (PN 20-2	67)	
TC	LL BROT	HERS AT	FOLSO	\mathbf{M}
	RANC	H PHASE	2D	

BEING ALL OF LARGE LOT 2C OF THAT FINAL MAP "FINAL MAP (PN 20-267), TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 2A", FILED FOR RECORD IN BOOK 451 OF MAPS AT PAGE 4, SACRAMENTO COUNTY RECORDS, BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 9 NORTH, RANGE 8 EAST, MDM

CITY OF FOLSOM . SACRAMENTO COUNTY . CALIFORNIA



APRIL 2025

SHEET 1 OF 7


NOTES


- ALL CURVE DIMENSIONS ARE RADIUS, DELTA AND ARC LENGTH, ALL DISTANCES SHOWN ARE GROUND DISTANCES AND ARE IN FEET AND DECIMALS THEREOF, DUE TO ROUNDING THE SUM OF INDIVIDUAL DIMENSIONS MAY NOT EQUAL THE
- THIS FINAL MAP CONTAINS 48,905± ACRES GROSS CONSISTING OF 62 RESIDENTIAL LOTS AND 5 LETTERED LOTS, THE RESIDENTIAL LOTS ARE NUMBERED WITH THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE: 25-106, THE LETTERED LOTS ARE: V, W, X, Y AND 2 LARGE LOT 2CC.
- "GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING STUDY UPDATE FOR REGENCY AT FOLSOM RANCH" (PROJECT NO. £17053.042) WAS PREPARED BY YOUNGDAHL CONSULTING GROUP, INC IN MAY, 2019, AND IS AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION AT THE CITY OF FOLSOM COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.
- ALL RESIDENTIAL FRONT LOT CORNERS WILL BE SET WITH A 1" DIAMETER BRASS DISC STAMPED "LS 9879" ON THE SIDEWALK WITH A 1,00 FOOT OFFSET ON THE SIDE PROPERTY LINE EXTENDED (SEE DETAILS ON THIS SHEET).
- REAR RESIDENTIAL CORNERS WILL BE SET AS FOLLOWS (UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE)
- REAN RESIDENTIAL CURVICENS WILL BE SET INS FULLUTS (UNILESS SHUTWAY) ITERATIVES).

 5.1. FOR LOTS 37, 31-44, AND 24-103 WILL BE SET WITH A 57' REBARA AND PLASTIC CAP STAMPED 1.S 9378'.

 5.2. FOR LOTS 26-12, 44-15, 75-51, AND 105-105 AND COMMON LINES OF 24/24, 3444, AND 4346 WILL BE SET WITH A 4.00 POOT OFFSET ON THE SIDE OF LINE WITH A 58' REBAR AND PLASTIC CAP STAMPED 1.S 9578' (SEE BETAIL ON SHEET 3).
- 5.3, FER 1.0, 15 43, AND 46 AND COMMON LINES OF 46/LOT W, 48/50/74, 48/49/50, AND 101/104 WHICH FALL WITHIN A MASONRY SOLOMETAINING WALL, WILL BE SET WITH A 3/4" BRASS TAG STAMPED "LS 93/79" TO THE FACE OF WALL 200 FOOT ABOVE GROUND OR ON TOT OF THE WALL,
- 6. LOT V IS A LANDSCAPE LOT TO BE DEEDED IN FEE TO THE CITY OF FOLSOM AND MAINTAINED BY THE CITY OF FOLSOM,
- LOT WISHOWN HEREON SHALL BE GRANTED IN FEE SIMPLE TO THE REGENCY AT FOLSOM RANCH OWNERS ASSOCIATION AS COMMON AREA FOR LANDSCAPING AND SIDEWALKS AND FOR THE BENEFIT AND GENERAL USE OF THE LOTS SHOWN
- LOT X IS AN OPEN SPACE LOT AND WILL BE DEEDED IN FEE TO THE CITY OF FOLSOM BY SEPARATE DOCUMENT.
- LOT Y PRIVATE ROAD) SHOWN HEREON AS BLUE SKY LANE, CLEARVIEW LANE, SUNNY DAKS DRIVE AND MEADOW CREST DRIVE SHALL BE GRANTED IN FEE SIMPLE TO THE REGENCY AT FOLSOM RANCH OWNERS ASSOCIATION (FILED WITH SECRETARY OF STATE, STATE OF CALLFORNIA ON 24/2021, FILING # 4889707) AS A PRIVATE ROAD FOR THE BENEFIT AND GENERAL USE OF THE LOTS SHOWN HEREON.
- 10. THE PRIVATE ROAD EASEMENT (62' PRE) SHOWN ON LOT X CONNECTS TO LOT L, PER 438 BM 6, SHOWN HEREON AND WILL BE MAINTAINED BY THE REGENCY AT FOLSOM RANCH OWNERS ASSOCIATION.
- 11. THIS MAP IS A PORTION OF THE VESTING TENTATIVE MAP SUBDIVISION, TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH RESOLUTION NO, 10400 PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COLUCIL, CITY OF FOLSOM, MARCH 10, 2020 AND A PORTION OF THE VESTING TENTATIVE MAY FOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 2 RESOLUTION NO, 10780 PASSED AND ADOPTED JANUARY 11, 2022 BY THE CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF FOLSOM.
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS AS CONTAINED IN THE DEED FROM CATHERINE PROFERIT SUBJECT TO COVERNIUS, CUMUTIONS AND RESTRICTIONS AS CONTINUED IN THE DEED FROM ALTERNAL OF THE CONTINUED IN THE CONTINUED IN THE ADMINISTRATION AND ALTERNAL OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND
- 13. PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED TIER 1 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT" RECORDED JULY 15, 2014 AS BOOK 20140715, PAGE 426 OF OFFICIAL
 - DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED JANUARY 29, 2016 AS BOOK 20160129, PAGE 0381
 - DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED JANUARY 29, 2016 AS BOOK 20160129, PAGE 0382
 - OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

 "PARTIAL ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT RELATIVE TO THE FOLSOM SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDED AND RESTATED TIER 1 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT" RECORDED AUGUST 24, 2020, AS DOCUMENT NO. 202008240893 OF
 - DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED OCTOBER 06, 2020 AS DOCUMENT NO. 202010061311
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS, CONDITIONS, PROVISIONS AND STIPULATIONS AS CONTAINED IN THE AGREEMENT PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS, CUMULTIONS, PROVISIONS AND STRUCKTONES OF CONTINUED IN THE ASSECTIONS OF THE CHILD THE RESPONDING THE CHILD THE WATER SUPPLY AND FACILITIES THANKING PLAN AND AGREEMENT, RECORDED JUNE 2014, BOOK 20140603, PAGE 399, OFFICIAL RECORDS, AND AMENDMENT TO THE WATER SUPPLY AND FACILITIES ANCING PLAN AND AGREEMENT, RECORDED JUNE 3, 2014, BOOK 20140603, PAGE 960, OFFICIAL RECORDS,
- 15. THE LAND DESCRIBED HEREIN LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARY OF THE CITY OF FOLSOM COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT 2013-1 (WATER FACILITIES & SUPPLY), AS DISCLOSED BY THAT CERTAIN ASSESSMENT MAP, RECORDED DECEMBER 30, 2013, AS (BOOK) 20121230 (PAGE) 311, AND IS SUBJECT TO ANY FUTURE ASSESSMENT THEREOF
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO AN EASEMENT OVER SAID LAND FOR AVIGATION AND INCIDENTAL PURPOSES, AS GRANTED TO THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO AND THE CITY OF FOLSOM, IN DEED RECORDED JULY 15, 2014, (BOOK) 20140715 (PAGE) 427 AND (BOOK) 20140715 (PAGE) 53, OFFICIAL RECORDS.





- THE LAND DESCRIBED HEREIN LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARY OF THE CITY OF FOLSOM COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NO. 17 WILLOW HILL PRIELINE), AS DISCLOSED BY THAT CERTAIN ASSESSMENT MAP, RECORDED FEBRUARY 24, 2015, AS (BOOK) 2015/2024 (FAGE) 424, AND IS SUBJECT TO ANY FUTURE ASSESSMENT THEREOF.
- THE LAND DESCRIPED ASSEMBLIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARY OF THE CITY OF FOLSOM, COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT THE LAND DESCRIBED HEREIN LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARY OF THE CITY OF POSSION, CUMMONITY PAGLITIES DISTINCT.

 NO. 18 POLSSON PLAN AREA. WHICH PROFUMENTS AND SERVICES, AS DISCLOSED OF THAT CRETAIN ASSESSMENT MAP,
 RECORDED DECEMBER 9, 2015, AS (BOOK) 2015/25(PAGS) 427, AND 8 SUBJECT TO ANY TUTULE ASSESSMENT THEREOF.

 OCCUMENTS). DECLARING MORIFICATIONS THEREOF. RECORDED MOVEMBER 18, 2018 AS DOCUMENT OF THE CONTROLLED MORIFICATION. 201811150465 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS
- THE LAND DESCRIBED HEREIN LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARY OF THE CITY OF FOLSOM COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NO, 23 (FOLSOM RANCH) IMPROVEMENT AREA NO. 3. RECORDED JUNE B. 2020 AS DOCUMENT NO. 20206081811 AS DISCLOSED BY THAT CERTIAN ASSESSMENT MAP (BOOK)130 OF MAPS AT (FACE)27, RECORDED APRIL 20, 2020 OF
 - DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED DECEMBER 20, 2021 AS DOCUMENT NO.
 - DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED DECEMBER 20, 2021 AS DOCUMENT NO.

 DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED DECEMBER 19, 2022 AS DOCUMENT NO. 202212190567 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "DECLARATION OF COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS, TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASES 2 AND 3" RECORDED MARCH 17, 2022 AS DOCUMENT NO. 202203171115 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- THE LAND LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF PROPOSED SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 AS DISCLOSED BY THAT CERTAIN ASSESSMENT MAP, RECORDED JULY 7, 2006, IN BOOK 150, PAGE 9 OF MAPS OF ASSESSMENT AND COMMUNITY PACILITIES, AS (BOOK) 2008/07/07/463) 682, OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- THE LAND LIES WITH THE BOUNDARIES OF PROPOSED SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 2 22.
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS AS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT, RECORDED NOVEMBER 24, 2004, (BOOK) 2004/1124 [PAGE] 1839, OFFICIAL RECORDS, THE EFFECT OF A DOCUMENT TITLES "DUITCLAIM DEED", RECORDED MAY 29, 2015, (BOOK) 2016/829 [PAGE] 1400, OFFICIAL RECORDS AND RE-RECORDED JLLY 9, 2015. (BOOK) 20150709 (PAGE) 1401, OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "ORDINANCE NO. SC-2009-0001 AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISIONS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, ORDINANCE NO, 83-10, AS AMENDED, AMENDING THE AEROJET SPECIAL PLANNING AREA ORDINANCE TITLE B, CHAPTER B, ARTICLE 3" RECORDED MARCH 23, 2009 AS BOOK 20090323, PAGE 1516 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED TIER 1 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT" RECORDED JULY 15, 2014 AS BOOK 20140715, PAGE 552 OF OFFICIAL 25. RECORDS
 - "ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT RELATIVE TO THE FOLSOM SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDED AND RESTATED. TIER 1 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, RECORDED MAY 29, 2015 AS BOOK 2015/359, PAGE 1409 AND RE-RECORDED JULY 9, 2015 AS BOOK 2015/079, FAGE 1409, BOTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY RECORDS. DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING WODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED JULY 11, 2017 AS DOCUMENT NO, 2017/071101/83 OF

 - PARTIAL ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT RELATIVE TO THE FOLSOM SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDED AND RESTATED TIER 1 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT RECORDED AUGUST 24, 2020, AS DOCUMENT NO, 2020/08/24/694 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

 POCUMENTS OF PERCAPING AUGUST 24, 2020, AS DOCUMENT NO, 2020/08/24/699 OF
 - DOCUMENT(S) DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED OCTOBER 06, 2020 AS DOCUMENT NO. 202010060733 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS, COVENANTS, AND CONDITIONS AS CONTAINED IN THE DEED FROM AEROJET PROPERTY SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS, ADMINISTRATING AND CONTINUES AS CONTINUES OF THE CEPT AND ARROWS RECORD TO THE CEPT AND ARROWS AS ARROWS TO GOAR ARROWS TO GO 2015. (BOOK) 20150714 (PAGE) 0582, BOTH OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "AGREEMENT AFFECTING REAL PROPERTY (INCLUSIONARY HOUSING AGREEMENT)" PER DN 202104020615,
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED 'DECLARATIONS OF COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS' RECORDED DECEMBER 17, 2019 AS DOCUMENT NO. 201912171594 OF OFFICIAL
- PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED 'DECLARATION OF COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS' RECORDED OCTOBER 19, 2022 AS DOCUMENT NO, 202210190586 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.
- PURSUANT TO SECTION 66434(G) OF THE SUBDIVISION ACT THE FILING OF THIS FINAL MAP SHALL CONSTITUTE ABANDOMMENT OF THE ASSEMBNTS LISTED BELOW, NOT SHOWN HEREON WITHIN THE BOUNDARY OF THIS SUBDIVISION.
 A. PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMBNTS ADJACENT TO PRIVATE ROAD LOT'S AS SHOWN ON "FINAL MAP (FW 20-26"), TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 24" PER 451 BM 4.


FINAL MAP (PN 20-267) TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 2D


BEING ALL, OF LARGE LOT 2C OF THAT FINAL MAP "FINAL MAP (PN 20-267), TOLL BROTHERS AT FOLSOM RANCH PHASE 2A", FILED FOR RECORD IN BOOK 451 OF MAPS AT PAGE 4, SACRAMENTO COUNTY RECORDS, BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 9 NORTH, RANGE 8 EAST, MDM


CITY OF FOLSOM . SACRAMENTO COUNTY . CALIFORNIA


APRIL 2025


SHEET 2 OF 7












ATTACHMENT 4

Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map



ATTACHMENT 5

Table of Conditions of Approval for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2D Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map

No.	Mitigation Measure	Condition of Approval	When Required	Responsible Department	Comments	Condition Satisfied
		 Final Development Plans The owner/applicant shall submit final site development plans to the Community Development Department that shall substantially conform to the exhibits referenced below: Illustrative Master Plan Exhibit, dated August 31, 2020 Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map, dated September 17, 2021 Preliminary Grading and Drainage Plan, dated September 17, 2021 Preliminary Utility Plan, dated September 17, 2021 Preliminary Landscape Plan and Details, dated January 24, 2020 Preliminary Entry Exhibit, dated April 28, 2021 Preliminary Tree Preservation Exhibit, dated October 13, 2021 Minor Administrative Modification Exhibit, dated September 17, 2021 Inclusionary Housing Letter, dated November 16, 2020 Project Narrative The Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map is approved for the development of a 329-unit single-family residential subdivision (Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2). Implementation of the project shall be consistent with the above referenced items and these conditions of approval.	G, I, M, B	CD (P)(E)	The Community Development Department has reviewed and approved the improvement plans and the final map for the project. The approved improvement plans are in substantial compliance with the preliminary grading and drainage plans, the preliminary site and utility plans, the tree preservation/removal plans, the preliminary landscape plans and the community design guidelines. The final map for this subdivision is in substantial compliance with the approved Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Condition of Approval	When Required	Responsible Department	Comments	Condition Satisfied
2.		Plan Submittal All civil engineering, improvement, and landscape and irrigation plans, shall be submitted to the Community Development Department for review and approval to ensure conformance with this approval and with relevant codes, policies, standards and other requirements of the City of Folsom.	G, I	CD (P)(E)	Improvement plans for Mangini Parkway, Regency Parkway and the subdivision have been approved by the City and these improvements are currently under construction.	Yes
3.		Validity This approval of the Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map shall be valid for a period of twenty four months pursuant to Section 16.16.110A of the Folsom Municipal Code and the Subdivision Map Act. The term of the approved Inclusionary Housing Agreement shall track the term of the Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map, as may be extended from time to time pursuant to Section 16.16.110.A and 16.16.120 of the Folsom Municipal Code and the Subdivision Map Act.	M	CD (P)	The small-lot vesting tentative subdivision map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2B subdivision was approved by the City Council on January 11, 2022.	Yes
4.		FMC Compliance The Small-Lot Final Map shall comply with the Folsom Municipal Code and the Subdivision Map Act.	М	CD (E)	The small-lot vesting tentative subdivision map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Phase 2B subdivision was approved by the City Council on January 11, 2022.	Yes
5.		Development Rights The approval of this Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map conveys the right to develop. As noted in these conditions of approval for the Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map, the City has identified improvements necessary to develop the subject parcels. These improvements include on and off-site roadways, water, sewer, storm drainage, landscaping, sound-walls, and other improvements.	OG	CD (P)(E)(B) PW, PR, FD, PD	The Community Development Department has reviewed and approved the improvement plans for the both the required on-site and off-site improvements for the Phase 2B subdivision.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Condition of Approval	When Required	Responsible Department	Comments	Condition Satisfied
6.		Public Utility Easements Public utility easements shall be provided for public utilities within private streets to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department.	М	CD (E)(P)	The final map for the Phase 2B subdivision includes all required public utility easements necessary to serve all lots in the subdivision. The public utility easements are shown on the final map. The residential streets serving the lots in this subdivision are private and are shown on the final map.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Condition of Approval	When Required	Responsible Department	Comments	Condition Satisfied
7.		Indemnity for City The owner/applicant shall protect, defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City and its agents, officers and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul any approval by the City or any of its agencies, departments, commissions, agents, officers, employees, or legislative body concerning the project, which claim, action or proceeding is brought within the time period provided therefore in Government Code Section 66499.37 or other applicable statutes of limitation. The City will promptly notify the owner/applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and will cooperate fully in the defense. If the City should fail to cooperate fully in the defense, the owner owner/applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City or its agents, officers, and employees, pursuant to this condition. The City may, within its unlimited discretion, participate in the defense of any such claim, action or proceeding if both of the following occur: • The City bears its own attorney's fees and costs; and • The City defends the claim, action or proceeding in good faith The owner/applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement of such claim, action or proceeding unless the settlement is approved by the owner/applicant. The owner/applicant's obligations under this condition shall apply regardless of whether a Final Map is ultimately recorded with respect to this project.	OG	CD (P)(E)(B) PW, PR, FD, PD	The City standard subdivision improvement agreement includes language that satisfies this condition. The subdivision improvement agreement will be executed by the City Manager upon approval by the City Council.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Condition of Approval	When Required	Responsible Department	Comments	Condition Satisfied
8.		Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map The Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision map is expressly conditioned upon compliance with all environmental mitigation measures identified in the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan (FEIR/EIS) as amended by the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch CEQA Addendum dated February-2020.	OG	CD	The owner/applicant complied with all applicable mitigation measures from the FPASP FEIR/EIS and other approved environmental documents prior to the issuance of a grading permit. Additionally, construction inspection and monitoring is being conducted throughout construction by the City and/or its Consultants.	Yes
9.		ARDA and Amendments The owner/applicant shall comply with all provisions of Amendments No. 1 and 2 to the First Amended and Restated Tier 1 Development Agreement and any approved amendments thereafter by and between the City and the owner/applicant of the project including but not limited to Amendment No. 2 to the First Amended and Restated Tier 1 Development Agreement by and between the City of Folsom and Easton Valley Holdings, LLC, Amendment No. 2 to the First Amended and Restated Tier 1 Development Agreement by and between the City of Folsom and West Scott Road, LLC/Toll West Coast, LLC, Amendment No. 2 to the First Amended and Restated Tier 1 Development Agreement by and between the City of Folsom and Oak Avenue Holdings, LLC, and Amendment No. 3 to the First Amended and Restated Tier 1 Development Agreement by and between the City of Folsom and Folsom Real Estate South, LLC/Toll West Coast, LLC.	М	CD (E)	The Community Development Department has reviewed the subdivision and verified that it is in compliance with Amendment No. 1 and No. 2 to the First Amended and Restated Tier 1 Development Agreement.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Condition of Approval	When Required	Responsible Department	Comments	Condition Satisfied
10.	✓	Mitigation Monitoring The owner/applicant shall participate in a mitigation monitoring and reporting program pursuant to City Council Resolution No. 2634 and Public Resources Code 21081.6. The mitigation monitoring and reporting measures identified in the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan FEIR/EIS and the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Addendum to the FPASP EIR/EIS have been incorporated into these conditions of approval in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. These mitigation monitoring and reporting measures are identified in the mitigation measure column. Applicant shall fund on a Time and Materials basis all mitigation monitoring (e.g., staff and consultant time).	OG	CD (P)	The owner/applicant has funded and participated in a MMRP reporting program performed by the City's consultant (Helix) and/or City staff.	Yes

	POLICE/SECURITY REQU	IREMENT			
11.	The owner/applicant shall consult with the Police Department in order to incorporate all reasonable crime prevention measures. The following security/safety measures shall be considered: • A security guard on-duty at all times at the site or a six-foot security fence shall be constructed around the perimeter of construction areas. • Security measures for the safety of all construction equipment and unit appliances.	G, I, B	PD	The owner/applicant provided onsite security during construction and has incorporated line of sight guidelines into landscaping plans at intersections which have been reviewed and approved by the City.	Yes
	Landscaping shall not cover exterior doors or windows, block line-of-sight at intersections or screen overhead lighting. DEVELOPMENT COSTS AND FEE	DEOLUDE	MENTS		
12.	Taxes and Fees The owner/applicant shall pay all applicable taxes, fees and charges for the project at the rate and amount required by the Public Facilities Financing Plan and Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Tier 1 Development Agreement.	M	CD (P)(E)	The owner/applicant has paid all current taxes and fees associated with this subdivision.	Yes
13.	Assessments If applicable, the owner/applicant shall pay off any existing assessments against the property, or file necessary segregation request and pay applicable fees.	М	CD (E)	The owner/applicant has paid all taxes and fees associated with this subdivision and filed a tax segregation request for applicable taxes.	Yes

14.	The owner/applicant shall be subject to all Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan Area development impact fees in place at the time of approval or subsequently adopted consistent with the Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP), Development Agreement and amendments thereto, unless exempt by previous agreement. The owner/applicant shall be subject to all applicable Folsom Plan Area plan-wide development impact fees in effect at such time that a building permit is issued. These fees may include, but are not limited to, the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan Fee, Specific Plan Infrastructure Fee (SPIF), Solid Waste Fee, Corporation Yard Fee, Transportation Management Fee, Transit Fee, Highway 50 Interchange Fee, General Park Equipment Fee, Housing Trust Fee, etc.	В	CD (P), PW, PK	The Owner/Applicant shall pay all required City fees and Folsom Plan Area wide fees prior to issuance of building permits.	Yes
ε	Any protest to such for all fees, dedications, reservations or other exactions imposed on this project will begin on the date of final approval (January 11, 2022), or otherwise shall be governed by the terms of Amendments No. 1 and 2 to ARDA. The fees shall be calculated at the fee rate set forth in the PFFP and the ARDA.				
15.	Legal Counsel The City, at its sole discretion, may utilize the services of outside legal counsel to assist in the implementation of this project, including, but not limited to, drafting, reviewing and/or revising agreements and/or other documentation for the project. If the City utilizes the services of such outside legal counsel, the City shall provide notice to the owner/applicant of the outside counsel selected, the scope of work and hourly rates, and the owner/applicant shall reimburse the City for all outside legal fees and costs incurred and documented by the City for such services. The owner/applicant may be required, at the sole discretion of the City Attorney, to submit a deposit to the City for these services prior to initiation of the services. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for reimbursement to the City for the services regardless of whether a deposit is required.	OG	CD (P)(E)	The City has not yet utilized any outside services for any type of legal issues for this subdivision. If at any time during the development of this subdivision, any outside legal services were necessary, the owner/applicant would be required to comply with this condition.	Yes

16.	Consultant Services If the City utilizes the services of consultants to prepare special studies or provide specialized design review or inspection services for the project, the City shall provide notice to the owner/applicant of the outside consultant selected, the scope of work and hourly rates, and the owner/applicant shall reimburse the City for actual costs incurred and documented in utilizing these services, including administrative costs for City personnel. A deposit for these services shall be provided prior to initiating review of the Grading Plan, Final Map, improvement plans, or beginning inspection,	G, I, M, B	CD (P)(E)	The City has provided notice to the owner/applicant for various Consultants performing services for the development of this subdivision. The City has collected deposits in advance of such work for construction inspection related services for this subdivision.	Yes
	whichever is applicable. GRADING PERMIT REQU	DEMENTS			
17.	Walls/Fences/Gates The final location, design, height, materials, and colors of the walls, fences, and gates shall consistent with the submitted Wall and Fence Exhibit and Details, dated April 28, 2021 subject to review and approval by the Community Development Department to ensure consistency with the Folsom Ranch Central District Design Guidelines.	G, I, B	CD (P)(E)	The Community Development Department has verified that the proposed walls and fences for the subdivision are in compliance with the Folsom Ranch Central Design Guidelines.	Yes
18.	The owner/applicant shall construct, and phase improvements as referenced in the traffic impact report prepared by T. Kear dated November 20, 2019 to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department. The owner/applicant shall implement the following traffic conditions (Conditions of Approval Nos. 19-25) prior to issuance of the 546th Regency Active Adult Community building permit (excluding the 47 model home building permits).	I, B,O	CD (E), PW, FD	The owner/applicant is currently constructing the onsite and off-site public and private improvements for the Phase 2B subdivision. The improvements will serve all of the lots in the subdivision.	Yes
19.	Regency Parkway (Segment 3) Construct Regency Parkway as a two-lane roadway from the eastern edge of the planned bridge over the creek bisecting the project site to Mangini Parkway.	В	CD (E), PW, FD	The City has approved the plans for these required improvements and they are currently under construction. The Community Development Department will verify completion prior to issuance of a building permit in this subdivision	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

20.	 Mangini Parkway/Regency Parkway (Driveway 3) Construct driveway as shown in (Figure 47 of the November 20, 2019 Transportation Impact Study): Northbound: one shared lane; Westbound: one through lane and one left turn lane in a 60' pocket with 60'taper; Eastbound: one through lane and one right turn lane in a 150' pocket with 60'taper; Control: side-street-stop-control. 	В	CD (E), PW, FD	The City has approved the plans for these required improvements and they are currently under construction. The Community Development Department will verify completion prior to issuance of a building permit in this subdivision	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
21.	 East Bidwell Street/Regency Parkway (Driveway 6) Modify driveway as shown in (Figure 51 of the November 20, 2019, Transportation Impact Study), unless intersection has been signalized: Northbound: one through lane and one left turn lane in a 150' pocket with 60' taper; Southbound: one through lane and one right turn lane in a 150' pocket with 60'taper; Eastbound: one shared lane, plus a 300' northbound acceleration lane on East Bidwell Street to receive left-turns from Regency Parkway (a second NB lane on East Bidwell Street starting from Regency Parkway is equivalent to the 300' acceleration lane); Westbound departure: two lanes separated by a median for two access gates shall be subject to City Engineers prior approval. Control: side-street-stop-control. 	В	CD (E), PW, FD	The City has approved the plans for these required improvements and they are currently under construction. The Community Development Department will verify completion prior to issuance of a building permit in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
22.	Regency Phase 2 Internal Stop Control Stop Control shall be installed at any internal Regency Phase 2 intersections with four (or more) legs as directed by the City Engineer. Roundabouts may replace stop control at internal intersections with authorization from the City Engineer.	В	CD (E), PW, FD	The City Engineer has approved the improvement plans for this subdivision and they include the required stop signs.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

23.	 East Bidwell Street/Mangini Parkway Expand the intersection and update signal configuration as follows (Figure 57 of the November 20, 2019 Transportation Impact Study): NB: One left-turn lane in a 200' pocket with 60' taper, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane in a 150' pocket with a 60' taper (the second through lane should be developed 300' south of the intersection); SB: One left-turn lane in a 200' pocket with 60' taper, one through lane, and one right-turn lane in a 150' pocket with 60' taper; EB and WB: One left-turn lane in a 200' pocket with 60' taper, one through lane, and one right-turn lane in a 200' pocket with 60' taper, one through lane, and one right-turn lane in a 200' pocket with 60' taper. 	В	CD (E), PW, FD	The owner/applicant has constructed these required improvements at the intersection and the City Engineer has formally accepted the required improvements.	Yes
24.	 East Bidwell Street/Alder Creek Parkway Reconstruct and modify signal at the East Bidwell Street/Alder Creek Parkway intersection as shown in Figure 59 of the November 20, 2019, Transportation Impact Study: NB Approach: One U-turn lane in a 150' pocket with a 60' taper, two through lanes, and one right turn lane in a 150' pocket plus 60' taper. SB Approach: One left turn lane in a 240' pocket plus 60' taper, and two through lanes. The second SB through lane can be dropped south of Old Ranch Way, the estimated taper for merging the two southbound lanes into one should be 660 feet long based on a 55 mph design speed and 12-foot lane width. WB Approach: One right turn lane, plus one left-turn lane in a 200' pocket plus 60' taper. 	В	CD (E), PW, FD	The City has approved the plans for these required improvements and they are currently under construction. The Community Development Department will verify completion prior to issuance of a building permit in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

25.	 East Bidwell Street/Savannah Parkway Reconstruct the East Bidwell Street/Savannah Pkwy intersection with the following geometry (Figure 61 of the November 20, 2019, Transportation Impact Study): NB Approach: One through lane and one shared through-right lane with a 150' taper; SB Approach: One left turn lane in a 150' pocket plus 60' taper, and one through lane; WB Approach: One left turn lane in a 60' pocket plus 60' taper, and one through lane; SB departure: Construct a southbound receiving and acceleration lane for westbound left turn traffic. The acceleration lane shall be in a 300' pocket plus an appropriate taper. 	В	CD (E), PW, FD	The City has approved the plans for these required improvements and they are currently under construction. The Community Development Department will verify completion prior to issuance of a building permit in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
26.	 Utility Infrastructure Utilities shall be constructed concurrent with the roadway phasing, as deemed appropriate and necessary to support the particular phase by the City Engineer. A particular development phase may be developed into subphases in which the roadway and utility phasing may change. If sub-phasing is proposed, the City Engineer shall determine what roadway and utility improvements are appropriate and necessary to serve the sub-phase. 	G, I, M	CD (E), PW, FD	The Community Development Department has reviewed and approved the phasing plan for Phase 2 of the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Regency project. The City Engineer has approved the phasing plan to verify that the proposed roadway and infrastructure is appropriate for each phase.	Yes
27.	Off-site improvements / Rights of Entry For any improvements constructed on private property that are not under the ownership or control of the owner/applicant, all rights-of-entry, and if necessary, a permanent easement shall be obtained and provided to the City. All rights of entry, construction easements, either permanent or temporary and other easements shall be obtained as set forth in Amendments No. 1 and 2 to ARDA, which shall be fully executed by all affected parties and shall be recorded with the Sacramento County Recorder, where applicable, prior to approval of grading and/or improvement plans.	G, I	CD (E)	The owner/applicant has provided copies of fully executed rights-of-entry from privately owned parcels that adjoin the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch project. The fully executed rights-of-entry are on file with the Community Development Department.	Yes

28.	Mine Shaft Remediation The owner/applicant shall locate and remediate all antiquated mine shafts, drifts, open cuts, tunnels, and water conveyance or impoundment structures existing on the project site, with specific recommendations for the sealing, filling, or removal of each that meet all applicable health, safety and engineering standards. Recommendations shall be prepared by an appropriately licensed engineer or geologist. All remedial plans shall be reviewed and approved by the City prior to approval of grading plans.	G	CD (E)	During the course of grading and construction for the subdivision no mine shafts or tunnels were located or discovered.	Yes
29.	 Prepare Traffic Control Plan. Prior to construction, a Traffic Control Plan for roadways and intersections affected by construction shall be prepared by the owner/applicant. The Traffic Control Plan prepared by the owner/applicant shall, at minimum, include the following measures: Maintaining the maximum amount of travel lane capacity during non-construction periods, possible, and advanced notice to drivers through the provision of construction signage. Maintaining alternate one-way traffic flow past the lay down area and site access when feasible. Heavy trucks and other construction transport vehicles shall avoid the busiest commute hours (7 a.m. to 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. to 6 p.m. on weekdays). A minimum 72-hour advance notice of access restrictions for residents, businesses, and local emergency response agencies. This shall include the identification of alternative routes and detours to enable for the avoidance of the immediate construction zone. A phone number and City contact for inquiries about the schedule of the construction throughout the construction period. This information will be posted in a local newspaper, via the City's web site, or at City Hall and will be updated on a monthly basis. 	G	CD (E)	The Community Development Department has reviewed and approved and will review and approve all proposed traffic control plans necessary to construct the required subdivision improvements. Grading and construction of the subdivision improvements commenced in August of 2022 and will continue through to the first quarter of 2024.	Yes

30.	State and Federal Permits The owner/applicant shall obtain all required State and Federal	G, I	CD (P)(E)	The owner/applicant has obtained all required State	Yes
	permits and provide evidence that said permits have been obtained,			and Federal permits and copies are available from the	
	or that the permit is not required, subject to staff review prior to approval of any grading or improvement plan.			Community Development	
	approvar of any grading of improvement plant.			Department.	
31.	Water Quality Certification			The owner/applicant has	Yes
	A water quality certification pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean			obtained all required State	
	Water Act is required before issuance of the record of decision and	G	CD (E)	and Federal permits including	
	before issuance of the Section 404 permit. Before construction in			Section 401 water quality	
	any areas containing wetland features, the owner/applicant shall			certification and copies are	
	obtain water quality certification for the project. Any measures			available from the	
	required as part of the issuance of water quality certification shall			Community Development	
	be implemented pursuant to the permit conditions.			Department.	
32.	Landslide /Slope Failure			The owner/applicant retained	Yes
	The owner/applicant shall retain an appropriately licensed engineer	G	CD (E) PW	a geotechnical engineer and	
	during the grading activities to identify existing landslides and			implemented	
	potential slope failure hazards. The said engineer shall be notified			recommendations for this	
	a minimum of two days prior to any site clearing or grading to			mitigation measure. A	
	facilitate meetings with the grading contractor in the field.			geotechnical report outlining	
- 5				these recommendations is	
				available from Community	
				Development Department.	
	IMPROVEMENT PLAN REQU	UIREMENT.	rs		

33.	Improvement Plans The improvement plans for the required public and private subdivision improvements necessary to serve any and all phases of development shall be reviewed and approved by the Community Development Department prior to approval of a Final Map.	М	CD (E)	The owner/applicant submitted improvement plans for all infrastructure improvements required to serve this subdivision. The City Engineer has reviewed and approved all required improvement plans to serve this subdivision. Copies of the improvement plans are available from the Community Development	Yes
34.	Standard Construction Specifications and Details Public and private improvements, including roadways, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, bicycle lanes and trails, streetlights, underground infrastructure and all other improvements shall be provided in accordance with the latest edition of the City of Folsom Standard Construction Specifications and Details and the Design and Procedures Manual and Improvement Standards.	I	CD (P)(E)	Department. The owner/applicant submitted improvement plans in accordance with the City's Standard Specifications.	Yes

35.		Water and Sewer Infrastructure			The owner/applicant has	Yes
		All City-owned water and sewer infrastructure shall be placed			installed all City owned	
		within the street right of way. In the event that a City-maintained			sewer and water	
		public water or sewer main needs to be placed in an area other than			infrastructure within the	21
		the public right of way, such as through an open space corridor,			private streets in the	
		landscaped area, etc., the following criteria must be met;			subdivision. The outfall	
		•	I	CD (E)	trunk sewer line has been	
		• The owner/applicant shall provide public sewer and water main			installed within easements or	
		easements			planned right of way within	
		An access road shall be designed and constructed to allow for			future public streets.	
	-	the operations, maintenance and replacement of the public				
		water or sewer line by the City along the entire water and/or				
		sewer line alignment. However, no access road is required				
		within the two pedestrian paseos (Lot BI and BJ) as shown on				
		the Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map)				
		• In no case shall a City-maintained public water or public sewer				
		line be placed on private residential property.				
		The domestic water and irrigation system owned and				
		maintained by the City shall be separately metered per City of				
		Folsom Standard Construction Specifications and Details.				

36.	 Lighting Plan The owner/applicant of all project phases shall submit a lighting plan for the project to the Community Development Department. The lighting plan shall be consistent with the Folsom Ranch Central District Design Guidelines: Shield or screen lighting fixtures to direct the light downward and prevent light spill on adjacent properties; Place and shield or screen flood and area lighting needed for construction activities, nighttime sporting activities, and/or security so as not to disturb adjacent residential areas and passing motorists; For public lighting in residential neighborhoods, prohibit the use of light fixtures that are of unusually high intensity or that blink or flash; Use appropriate building materials (such as low-glare glass, low-glare building glaze or finish, neutral, earth toned colored paint and roofing materials), shielded or screened lighting, and appropriate signage in the office/commercial areas to prevent light and glare from adversely affecting motorists on nearby roadways; and Design exterior on-site lighting as an integral part of the building and landscaping design in the Specific Plan Area. Lighting fixtures shall be architecturally consistent with the overall site design. Lights used on signage should be directed to light only the sign face with no off-site glare. 	Ι	CD (P)	The owner/applicant submitted a Lighting Plan for all roadways and this subdivision in accordance with the Design Guidelines and City Standards for Street Lighting. A copy of the lighting plans are available from the Community Development Department.	Yes
37.	Utility Coordination The owner/applicant shall coordinate the planning, development and completion of this project with the various utility agencies (i.e., SMUD, PG&E, etc.). The owner/applicant shall provide the City with written confirmation of public utility service prior to approval of all final maps.	М	CD (P)(E)	The owner/applicant has coordinated with all various utility agencies. All required utility easements are shown on the final map for this subdivision.	Yes

38.	Replacing Hazardous Facilities			There is a general note on the	Yes
	The owner/applicant shall be responsible for replacing any and all damaged or hazardous public sidewalk, curb and gutter, and/or bicycle trail facilities along the site frontage and/or boundaries, including pre-existing conditions and construction damage, to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department.	I, OG	CD (E)	approved improvements plans requiring compliance with this condition.	
39.	Future Utility Lines All future utility lines lower than 69 KV that are to be built within the project shall be placed underground within and along the perimeter of the project at the developer's cost. The owner/applicant shall dedicate to SMUD all necessary underground easements for the electrical facilities that will be necessary to service development of the project.	М	CD (E)	All proposed utility service to the lots in this subdivision have been placed underground	Yes
40.	Water Meter Fixed Network System The owner owner/applicant shall pay for, furnish and install all infrastructure associated with the water meter fixed network system for any City-owned and maintained water meter within the project.	I	CD (E), EWR	The Owner/applicant has completed the infrastructure allowing for the water meter fixed network system. Meters will be furnished and installed during home construction for each individual metered connection.	Yes
41.	Vertical Curb All curbs located adjacent to landscaping, whether natural or manicured, and where parking is allowed shall be vertical.	I	CD (P)(B)	The approved improvement plans for this subdivision include vertical concrete curb in compliance with this condition.	Yes
42.	Class II Bike Lanes All Class II bike lanes shall be striped, and the legends painted to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department. No parking shall be permitted within the Class II bike lanes.	I	CD (E)(P)	All Class II bike lanes have been constructed in accordance with the Specific Plan, Design Guidelines and City standards.	Yes

43.		Noise Barriers Based on the Environmental Noise Assessment (the "2019 Noise Assessment") prepared by Bollard Acoustical Consultants on November 24, 2019, the following measures shall be implemented to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department: • 6-foot-tall solid noise barriers, relative to backyard elevations, shall be constructed along all residential property boundaries adjacent to East Bidwell Street, Mangini Parkway, and Oak			The approved landscape plans for East Bidwell Street, Mangini Parkway and White Rock Road include the required sound walls with the required heights.along all of these public streets in accordance with the recommendations of the	Yes
	√	 Avenue Parkway prior to occupancy of any residences adjacent to the aforementioned streets. For the proposed Regency Phase 1 and Phase 2 portions of the project (which are located at the northwest corner of the intersection of White Rock Road and East Bidwell Street and north of White Rock Road in the central portion of the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch project site), an 8-foot-tall solid noise barrier, relative to backyard elevations, shall be constructed along all residential property boundaries adjacent to White Rock Road. 	I, O	CD (E)(P)	noted acoustical study. The Community Development Department will review and approve all site plans for each and every lot in the subdivision to verify compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
		 Suitable materials for the traffic noise barriers include masonry and precast concrete panels. The overall barrier height may be achieved by utilizing a barrier and earthen berm combination. Other materials may be acceptable but shall be reviewed by an acoustical consultant and approved by the Community Development Department prior to use. Mechanical ventilation (air conditioning) shall be provided for 				
		all residences in this development to allow the occupants to close doors and windows as desired to achieve compliance with the applicable interior noise level criteria.				

Master Plan Updates The City has approved the Folsom Plan Area Storm Drainage Master Plan, Wastewater Master Plan, and Water Master Plan. The owner/applicant shall submit complete updates to the approved master plans, if applicable, for the proposed changes to the master plans as a result of the proposed project. The updates to the master plans for the proposed project shall be reviewed and approved by the City prior to approval of grading and/or improvement plans. The plans shall be accompanied by engineering studies supporting the sizing, location, and timing of the proposed facilities. Improvements shall be constructed in phases as the project develops in accordance with the approved master plans, including any necessary off-site improvements to support development of a particular phase or phases, subject to prior approval by the City. Off-site improvements may include roadways to provide secondary access, water transmission lines or distribution facilities to provide a looped water system, sewer trunk mains and lift stations, water quality facilities, non-potable water pipelines and infrastructure, and drainage facilities including on or off-site detention. No changes in infrastructure from that shown on the approved master plan shall be permitted unless and until the applicable master plan has been revised and approved by the City. Final lot configurations may need to be modified to accommodate the improvements identified in these studies to the satisfaction of the City. The owner/applicant shall provide sanitary sewer, water and storm drainage improvements with corresponding easements, as necessary, in accordance with these studies and the latest edition of the City of Folsom Standard Construction Specifications and Details, and the Design and Procedures Manual and Improvement Standards. The storm drainage design shall provide for no net increase in run- off under post-development conditions.	G, I	CD(E), EWR, PW	The Owner/applicant has provided updated Master Plans for approval prior to the issuance of a grading permit. Copies of the Master Plans are available from the Community Development Department. The storm drainage system for the subdivision provides for no net increase in run-off under post development conditions.	Yes
---	------	-------------------	--	-----

45.	Best Management Practices The storm drain improvement plans shall provide for "Best Management Practices" that meet the requirements of the water quality standards of the City's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit issued by the State Regional Water Quality Control Board. In addition to compliance with City ordinances, the owner/applicant shall prepare a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), and implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) that comply with the General Construction Stormwater Permit from the Central Valley RWQCB, to reduce water quality effects during construction. Detailed information about the SWPPP and BMPs are provided in Chapter 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality." Each proposed project development shall result in no net change to peak flows into Alder Creek and associated tributaries, or to Buffalo Creek, Carson Creek, and Coyote Creek. The owner/applicant shall establish a baseline of conditions for drainage on-site. The baseline-flow conditions shall be established for 2-, 5-, and 100-year storm events. These baseline conditions shall be used to develop monitoring standards for the stormwater system on the Specific Plan Area. The baseline conditions, monitoring standards, and a monitoring program shall be submitted to USACE and the City for their approval. Water quality and detention basins shall be designed and constructed to ensure that the performance standards, which are described in Chapter 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality," are met and shall be designed as off-stream detention basins. Discharge sites into Alder Creek and associated tributaries, as well as tributaries to Carson Creek, Coyote Creek, and Buffalo Creek,	G, I	CD (E)	The Owner/applicant has received a NPDES permit from the State Regional Water Quality Control Board (SRWQCB). The NDES Permit requires the implementation of BMP's, monitoring and reporting for stormwater runoff. The NPDES Permit includes a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which outlines monitoring standards, frequency and baseline modeling. The Owner/applicant has submitted monthly reports to the City and SRWQCB.	Yes
	Quality," are met and shall be designed as off-stream detention basins.				

46.	Litter Control			The owner/applicant has	Yes
	During Construction, the owner/applicant shall be responsible for litter control and sweeping of all paved surfaces in accordance with City standards. All on-site storm drains shall be cleaned immediately before the commencement of the rainy season (October 15).	OG	CD (E)	complied with this provision and completed periodic on- site cleaning and sweeping of the project site.	

FIRE DEPT REQUIREMENTS

17.	Prepare fuel modification plan (FMP)			The Community	Yes
	The owner/applicant shall submit a Fuel Modification Plan			Development Department,	
	consistent with the FPA Open Space Management Plan to the City			the Fire Department and the	
	for review and approval by the City. Final approval of the plan			Parks & Recreation	
	shall occur prior to the issuance of a building permit for any new			Department have reviewed	
	construction. A Fuel Modification Plan shall consist of a set of			and approved the Fuel	
	scaled plans showing fuel modification zones indicated with			Modification Plan (FMP) for the subdivision. The fuel	
	applicable assessment notes, a detailed landscape plan and an			modification easement, where	
	irrigation plan. A fuel modification plan submitted for approval	M, B	CD (P), FD	applicable, is shown on the	
	shall be prepared by one of the following: a California state licensed landscape architect, or state licensed landscape contractor,	171, 15	CD (1), 1D	final map for this subdivision.	
	or a landscape designed, or an individual with expertise acceptable			mar map for this sabarvision.	
	to the Fire Code Official.				
	to the The Code Official.)))
	Notification of fuel modification requirements are to be made upon				
	sale to new property owners. Proposed changes to the approved				
	Fuel Modification Plan shall be submitted to the City for approval				
.	prior to implementation.				
	The owner/applicant shall dedicate a 30-foot-wide fuel				
	modification easement(s) for all residential properties located				
	adjacent to open space areas within the development. The				
	owner/applicant shall dedicate easements, if applicable, for the		=		
	required fuel modification buffer. The fuel modification				
	easement(s) shall be shown on the Final Map. The owner/applicant				
	shall be responsible for the maintenance of the fuel modification				
	areas until such time that the City takes ownership of the open				
	space areas that are to be deeded to the City within the project site.				

48.	All-Weather Access and Fire Hydrants The owner/applicant shall provide all-weather access and fire hydrants before combustible materials are allowed on any project site or other approved alternative method as approved by the Fire Department. All-weather emergency access roads and fire hydrants (tested and flushed) shall be provided before combustible material or vertical construction is allowed on any project site or other approved alternative method as approved by the Fire Department. (All-weather access is defined as six inches of compacted aggregate base from May 1 to September 30 and two inches asphalt concrete over six inches aggregate base from October to April 30).			The owner/applicant has designed and received approval for all weather access improvements and fire hydrants for this subdivision. Permits for vertical construction will not be issued prior to these improvements being completed. As of September 1, 2023, the City has issued	
	 The building shall have illuminated addresses visible from the street or drive fronting the property. Size and location of address identification shall be reviewed and approved by the Fire Department. Residential Fire-Flow with Automatic Fire Sprinkler System: The required fire-flow for the proposed residential portion of the project is determined to be 875 GPM for one hour. All public streets shall meet City of Folsom Street Standards. The maximum length of any dead-end street shall not exceed 500 feet in accordance with the Folsom Fire Code (unless approved by the Fire Department). All-weather emergency access roads and fire hydrants (tested and flushed) shall be provided before combustible material storage or vertical construction is allowed. All-weather access is defined as 6" of compacted AB from May 1 to September 30 and 2"AC over 6" AB from October 1 to April 30 The first Fire Station planned for the Folsom Plan Area may be required to be completed and operational at the time that the threshold of 1,500 occupied homes within the Folsom Plan Area is met. 	G, I, M, B	CD (P), FD	approximately 2600 Certificates of Occupancy in the Folsom Plan Area.	
	LANDSCAPE/IREE PRESERVAID	ON REQUIR	ENIEN 15		

10	The owner/applicant shall obtain a tree removal permit, mitigate			The owner/applicant obtained	Yes
49.				a Tree Permit from the	1 25
	for removal of protected and heritage trees consistent with the			Community Development	
	Preliminary Tree Preservation/Removal Exhibit, dated October 13,			Department for the removal	
	2021 and in accordance with Chapter 12.16 of the City of Folsom			of protected oak trees that	
	Municipal Code for Tree Preservation, and minimize indirect				
	impacts to trees to be preserved. This shall include the following:			were impacted by the grading	
	A Tues Descrit Application containing an application form			and construction of Phase 1	
	• A Tree Permit Application containing an application form,			of the Toll Brothers at	
	justification statement, site map, preservation program, and			Folsom Ranch project.	
	arborist's report shall be submitted to the City of Folsom by the				
	owner/applicant for issuance of a Tree Permit prior to			The Tree Permit was	
	commencement of any grading or site improvement activities.			approved prior to	
		G, I	CD (P)(E)	commencement of grading	
	A Mitigation Plan shall be prepared by the owner/applicant to			and construction in the	
	mitigate for the removal of the protected Canopy Oak Trees			Summer of 2022. The	
	and Isolated Oak Trees within the development site. The			owner/applicant paid in-lieu	
	Mitigation Plan for the Isolated Oak Trees shall consist of			fees for the removal of some	
	replacement trees and/or payment of "In-Lieu" fees on a			trees, the City approved a	
	diameter inch bases consistent with 10-14, 10-15 of the			Tree Replacement Plan for	
	FPASP. Replacement trees may be located within the			another portion of the tree	
	boundaries of the development parcel, a natural parkway,			that were removed and	
	landscape corridor or passive or preserve open space zone,			preserved existing oak trees	
	preferably within the Folsom Plan Area. The Mitigation Plan			in El Dorado County for the	
	for the Isolated Oak Trees shall be subject to review and			remaining mitigation for the	
	approval by the City. The Mitigation Plan for the Canopy Oak			total number of protected	
	Trees shall be consistent with the mitigation requirements			trees in accordance with this	
	established by the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan.			condition.	
	The Conservation Areas shall be fenced prior to construction.			The Conservation Easements	
	In addition, oak trees to be preserved within the Passive			within the Phase 2 portion of	
	Recreation Open Spaces shall be fenced with high-visibility			the subdivision were fenced	
	fencing prior to starting construction. The fencing shall be			off around their boundaries in	
	installed outside the tree preservation zone of oak trees, and			advance of the	
	shall surround the entirety of the tree preservation zone area.			commencement of grading	
	Parking of vehicles, equipment, or storage of materials is			and construction and the City	
	prohibited within the Tree Protection Zone of Protected Trees			verified compliance by	
	at all times. Signs shall be posted on exclusion fencing stating			1 3	
1	at an times. Organ brain se postes on energion renemb batting		<u> </u>		

	that the enclosed trees are to be preserved. Signs shall state the penalty for damage to, or removal of, the protected tree.		-	inspecting the fence in advance of grading.	
50.	• The owner/applicant shall retain an ISA certified project arborist for implementation of the project. The project arborist shall be responsible for overseeing onsite tree removal and tree preservation. Oak trees located adjacent to construction areas that may be indirectly impacted due to work within or near the Tree Protection Zone shall be identified and tagged by the project arborist during construction activities. The indirectly impacted trees shall be monitored by the project arborist for five years in accordance with the Conceptual Oak Plan and FPASP EIR/EIS Mitigation Measure 3A.3-5. Trees that appear to be dead or dying within five years of project implementation will be replaced as per the requirements of this Plan.	G	CD (P)(E)	The owner/applicant has retained an ISA certified project arborist. The project arborist was on-site during the removal of all protected oak trees and was present during grading within the tree protection zone (TPZ) of those tree that were to be preserved. All of the preserved trees were identified, tagged and TPZ fencing was placed around them prior to commencement of grading in August of 2022.	Yes

51,	Final landscape plans and specifications shall be prepared by a registered landscape architect and approved by the City prior to the approval of the first building permit. Said plans shall include all on-site landscape specifications and details including a tree planting exhibit demonstrating sufficient diversity and appropriate species selection to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department. The tree exhibit shall include all street trees, accent trees, parking lot shading trees, and mitigation trees proposed within the development. Said plans shall comply with all State and local rules, regulations, Governor's declarations and restrictions pertaining to water conservation and outdoor landscaping.	В	CD (P)(E)	The Community Development Department is currently reviewing the landscape and streetscape plans for this subdivision. Prior to plan approval, the Community Development Department will review the plans for compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
	Landscaping shall meet shade requirements as outlined in the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan where applicable. The landscape plans shall comply and implement water efficient requirements as adopted by the State of California (Assembly Bill 1881) (State Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance) until such time the City of Folsom adopts its own Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance at which time the owner/applicant shall comply with any new ordinance. Shade and ornamental trees shall be maintained according to the most current American National Standards for Tree Care Operations (ANSI A-300) by qualified tree care professionals. Tree topping for height reduction, view protection, light clearance or any other purpose shall not be allowed. Specialty-style pruning, such as pollarding, shall be specified within the approved landscape plans and shall be implemented during a 5-year establishment and training period. The owner/applicant shall comply with city-wide landscape rules or regulations on water usage. Owner/applicant shall comply with any state or local rules and regulations relating to landscape water usage and landscaping requirements necessitated to mitigate for drought conditions on all landscaping in the Toll Brothers project.				

52.	Right of Way Landscaping Landscaping along all road rights of way and in public open space lots shall be installed when the adjoining road or lots are constructed.	I, OG	CD (P)	The landscape and irrigation improvements for all open space parcels in the subdivision will be constructed upon completion of the roads and lots that adjoin them.	Yes
	MAP REQUIREME	NTS			
53.	Subdivision Improvement Agreement Prior to the approval of any Final Map, the owner/applicant shall enter into a subdivision improvement agreement with the City, identifying all required improvements, if any, to be constructed with each proposed phase of development. The owner/applicant shall provide security acceptable to the City, guaranteeing construction of the improvements.	M	CD (E)	The required subdivision improvement agreement is included as part of the City staff report accompanying the final map for City Council approval. The resolution approving the final map for this subdivision includes a statement authorizing the City Manager to execute the subdivision improvement agreement for the subdivision along with approval of the final map.	Yes
54.	The Final Inclusionary Housing Plan The Final Inclusionary Housing Plan shall be approved by the City Council, and the Inclusionary Housing Agreement approved by the City Attorney shall be executed prior to recordation of the first Small-Lot Final Map for the Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch project.	М	CD (P)(E)	The owner/applicant has executed an Inclusionary Housing Agreement with the City. The agreement allows the owner/applicant to provide an in-lieu fee assigned to each building permit in the subdivision. The in-lieu housing fee will be paid at the time of building permit issuance.	Yes

55.	Department of Real Estate Public Report			The Community	Yes
] 33,	The owner/applicant shall disclose to the homebuyers in the			Development Department has	
	Department of Real Estate Public Report and the CC&R's for the			reviewed the subdivisions CC	
	Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch project the following items:			& R's and verified that all	
	7 - J - J - J - J - J - J - J - J - J -			required disclosures in this	
	1) Future public parks and public schools are located in relatively close proximity to the proposed subdivision, and that the public parks may include facilities (basketball courts, a baseball field, softball fields, soccer fields, and playground equipment) that may generate noise impacts during various times, including but not limited to evening and nighttime hours. The owner/applicant shall also disclose that the existing public parks include nighttime	M	CD (P) PK	condition of approval are included.	
	sports lighting that may generate lighting impacts during evening and nighttime hours.	141	CD(r)rk		
	The soil in the subdivision may contain naturally occurring asbestos and naturally occurring arsenic.				
,	3) The collecting, digging, or removal of any stone, artifact, or other prehistoric or historic object located in public or open space areas, and the disturbance of any archaeological site or historic property, is prohibited.				
	4) The project site is located within close proximity to the Mather Airport flight path and that overflight noise may be present at various times.				
	5) That all properties located within one mile of an on- or off-site area zoned or used for agricultural use (including livestock grazing) shall be accompanied by written disclosure from the transferor, in a form approved by the City of Folsom, advising any transferee of the potential adverse odor impacts from surrounding agricultural operations which disclosure shall direct the transferee to				
	operations which disclosure shall direct the transferee to contact the County of Sacramento concerning any such				

property within the County zoned for agricultural uses within one mile of the subject property being transferred.
6) The project site is located adjacent to the future JPA Connector which may generate noise impacts during various times including but not limited to evening and nighttime hours.

56.	Public Utility Easements The owner/applicant shall dedicate public utility easements for underground facilities on properties adjacent to the public and private streets. A minimum of twelve and one-half-foot (12.5') wide Public Utility Easements for underground facilities (i.e., SMUD, Pacific Gas and Electric, cable television, telephone) shall be dedicated adjacent to all public and private street rights-of-way. The owner/applicant shall dedicate additional width to accommodate extraordinary facilities as determined by the City. The width of the public utility easements adjacent to public and private right of way may be reduced with prior approval from public utility companies.	M	CD (E)	The owner/applicant has dedicated a 12.5' PUE along all roadway utility corridors as well as internal streets within the subdivision. The public utility easements are shown on the final map.	Yes
57.	Final Map Phasing Should multiple Final Maps be filed by the owner/applicant, the phasing of maps shall be to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department.	М	CD (E)	The Community Development Department has reviewed and approved the phasing plan for Phase 2B of the Toll Brothers project.	Yes
58.	As provided for in the ARDA and the Amendment No. 1 thereto, the owner/applicant shall provide fully executed grant deeds, legal descriptions, and plats for all necessary Infrastructure to serve the project, including but not limited to lands, public rights of way, public utility easements, public water main easements, public sewer easements, irrevocable offers of dedication and temporary construction easements. All required easements as listed necessary for the Infrastructure shall be reviewed and approved by the City and recorded with the Sacramento County Recorder pursuant to the timing requirements set forth in Section 3.8 of the ARDA, and any amendments thereto.	М	CD (E)	The owner/applicant provided all necessary public utility easements, grant deeds, offers of dedication or temporary construction easements required to build all of the required Backbone Infrastructure needed to serve the subdivision. These were recorded with Sacramento County Recorder by separate instrument.	Yes

59.	New Permanent Benchmarks The owner/applicant shall provide and establish new permanent benchmarks on the (NAVD 88) datum in various locations within the subdivision or at any other locations in the vicinity of the project/subdivision as directed by the City Engineer. The type and specifications for the permanent benchmarks shall be provided by the City. The new benchmarks shall be placed by the owner/applicant within 6 months from the date of approval of the	М	CD (E)	The owner/applicant has installed new benchmarks per the direction of the City Engineer and the new benchmarks have been placed in compliance with this condition of approval.	Yes
60.	vesting tentative subdivision map. Centralized Mail Delivery Units All Final Maps shall show easements or other mapped provisions for the placement of centralized mail delivery units. The owner/applicant shall provide a concrete base for the placement of any centralized mail delivery unit. Specifications and location of such base shall be determined pursuant to the applicable requirements of the U. S. Postal Service and the City of Folsom Community Development Department, with due consideration for street light location, traffic safety, security, and consumer convenience.	М	CD (E)	The final map for this subdivision includes the required easement for the placement of centralized mail delivery units. The USPS will provide the owner/applicant with the location of the mail delivery unit and will provide a concrete pad for the placement is the specified location in the subdivision.	Yes
61.	Recorded Final Map Prior to the issuance of building permits, the owner/applicant shall provide a digital copy of the recorded Final Map (in AutoCAD format) to the Community Development Department. The exception to this requirement are model homes; subject to approval of the Community Development Department, building permits for model homes only may be issued prior to recording of the Final Map.	В	CD (E)	The Community Development Department shall verify that the owner/applicant has provided the required recorded copy of the final map to the CDD prior to building permit issuance in the subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
62.	Recorded Final Map Prior to issuance of building permits, the owner/applicant shall provide the Folsom-Cordova Unified School District with a copy of the recorded Final Map.	В	CD (P), FCUSD	The Community Development Department shall verify that the owner/applicant has provided the required recorded copy of the final map to the FCUSD prior to building permit issuance in the subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

63.	Credit Reimbursement Agreement Prior to the recordation of the first Small-Lot Final Map, the owner/applicant and City shall enter into a credit and reimbursement agreement for constructed improvements that are included in the Folsom Plan Area's Public Facilities Financing Plan.	М	CD (E)	The owner/applicant has executed all of the required Specific Plan Infrastructure Fee Credit/Reimbursement Agreements for the Phase 2B subdivision. The executed agreements are on file with the Community Development Department.	Yes
	PARKS AND RECREATION R	EQUIREME	ENTS		
64.	Prior to issuance of the 640th overall Regency Active Adult Community building permit (excluding the 45 model home building permits), the owner/applicant shall construct the 0.5-acre dog park. Prior to issuance of the 830th overall Regency Active Adult Community building permit (excluding the 47 model home building permits), the owner/applicant shall construct the 1.2-acre amphitheater park. The location and size of the aforementioned private parks shall be consistent with the location and size depicted on the Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map dated September 17, 2021.	B, OG	CD (E) (P), PR	The Community Development Department and Parks & Recreation Department will monitor the progress of development of the Regency age-restricted project to verify compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of the 604th and 830th building permits
65.	Prior to the issuance of the last building permit within Regency Phase 2, the owner/applicant shall complete grading of the public trails on Lots H, I, J, and N, and the Class 1 trail parallel to Mangini Parkway on Lots Q and R, as shown on the Toll Brothers Public Trails System Modification Exhibit and the Phase 1 Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map, dated January 24, 2020 and Phase 2 Small-Lot Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map dated September 17, 2021.	В	CD (E) (P), PR	The Community Development Department and Parks & Recreation Department will monitor the progress of development of the Regency age-restricted project to verify compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of the last building permit in Regency Phase 2

66.	The owner/applicant shall include the maintenance of all graded subdivision trails within the responsibility of the development Homeowner's Association (HOA) until the Open Space and Mangini Parkway are deeded to the City. The City shall not incur any maintenance responsibility or expense as a result of these trails until the transfer of Open Space ownership to the City is complete.	G, I, OG	CD (E) (P), PR	The Community Development and Parks Departments will monitor the development of the project to verify compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to City acceptanc e of the Open Space
67.	The owner/applicant shall include the maintenance of all private trail connections within the responsibility of the development Homeowner's Association (HOA) in perpetuity. The City shall not incur any maintenance responsibility or expense as a result of these private trail connections to the public trails within the subdivision.	G, I, OG	CD (E) (P), PR	The Community Development and Parks Departments will monitor the development of the project to verify compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to City acceptanc e of the Open Space
68.	The owner/applicant shall include the maintenance of all 86.1-acres of Open Space (Measure W Open Space) and fuel modification buffers, in accordance with the Folsom Plan Area Open Space Master Plan, within the responsibility of the development Homeowner's Association (HOA) until the Open Space is deeded to the City. The City shall not incur any maintenance responsibility or expense as a result of this Open Space until the transfer of Open Space ownership to the City is complete. In addition, the Open Space shall not be deeded to the City until development on both sides adjacent to the Open Space are complete and at such a time the City is ready to take ownership.	OG	CD (E) (P), PR	The Community Development and Parks Departments will monitor the development of the project to verify compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to City acceptanc e of the Open Space
69.	Parkland dedications shall be calculated as net acreage.	G, I	CD (E) (P), PR	The Community Development and Parks Departments will monitor the development of the project to verify compliance with this condition.	Condition will be satisfied prior to acceptanc e of Parkland.

		Mitigatio	on Measures			
70.	Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Project Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program (MMRP). Table 1 below describes the mitigation measure FPASP (May 2011) MMRP, as amended by the Revised Proposed Water Supply Facility Alternative (November 2012), Folsom South of U.S. Backbone Infrastructure Mitigated Negative Declaration (December 2014), the Westland Eagle Specific Plan Amendment (September 2015), Brothers at Folsom Ranch Project.					
No.	Mitigation Number (Source)	Mitigation Measures	Timing	Responsible Agency	Comments	Conditioned Satisfied
Aesthe	etics					
71-1	3A.1-1 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Construct and Maintain a Landscape Corridor Adjacent to U.S. 50. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application adjacent to U.S. 50 shall fund, construct, and maintain a landscaped corridor within the SPA, south of U.S. 50. This corridor shall be 50 feet wide, except that the landscaped corridor width shall be reduced to 25 feet adjacent to the proposed regional mall. Landscaping plans and specifications shall be approved by Caltrans and the City of Folsom, and constructed by the project applicant(s) before the start of earthmoving activities associated with residential or commercial units. Landscaped areas would not be required within the preserved oak woodlands. As practicable, landscaping shall primarily contain native and/or drought tolerant plants. Landscaped corridors shall be maintained in perpetuity to the satisfaction of the City of Folsom.	1. Plans and specifications: before approval of grading plans and building permits 2. Construction: before the approval of occupancy permits associated with residential and commercial units 3. Maintenance: in perpetuity	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch subdivision does not have any frontage along US HWY 50 and therefore this condition does not apply to the subdivision.	Yes
71-2	3A.1-4 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Screen Construction Staging Areas. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall locate staging and material storage areas as far away from sensitive biological resources and sensitive land uses (e.g., residential areas, schools, parks) as feasible. Staging and material storage areas shall be approved by the appropriate agency (identified below) before the approval of grading plans for all project phases and shall be screened from adjacent occupied land uses in earlier development phases to the maximum extent practicable. Screens may include, but are not limited to, the use of such visual barriers such as berms or fences. The screen design shall be approved by the appropriate agency to further reduce visual effects to the extent possible.	Before approval of grading plans and during construction for all project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department.	The construction staging area for the subdivision is not located near any existing sensitive biological resources, land uses or existing residences. The construction area is shown on the plans for the subdivision and the plans have been approved by the	Yes

		Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries shall be developed by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase in consultation with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, and Caltrans) to reduce to the extent feasible the visual effects of construction activities on adjacent project land uses that have already been developed.			Community Development Department.	
71-3	3A.1-5 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	 Establish and Require Conformance to Lighting Standards and Prepare and Implement a Lighting Plan. To reduce impacts associated with light and glare, the City shall: Establish standards for on-site outdoor lighting to reduce high-intensity nighttime lighting and glare as part of the Folsom Specific Plan design guidelines/standards. Consideration shall be given to design features, namely directional shielding for street lighting, parking lot lighting, and other substantial light sources, that would reduce effects of nighttime lighting. In addition, consideration shall be given to the use of automatic shutoffs or motion sensors for lighting features to further reduce excess nighttime light. ▶ Use shielded or screened public lighting fixtures to prevent the light from shining off of the surface intended to be illuminated. To reduce impacts associated with light and glare, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall: Shield or screen lighting fixtures to direct the light downward and prevent light spill on adjacent properties. Flood and area lighting needed for construction activities, nighttime sporting activities, and/or security shall be screened or aimed no higher than 45 degrees above straight down (half-way between straight down and straight to the side) when the source is visible from any off-site residential property or public roadway. 	Before approval of building permits.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The Community Development Department (CDD) has reviewed and approved lighting plans for subdivision. The plans are in compliance with the condition of approval	City of Folsom Community Development Department

For public lighting in residential neighborhoods, prohibit the use of light fixtures that are of unusually high intensity or brightness (e.g., harsh mercury vapor, low-pressure sodium, or fluorescent bulbs) or that blink or flash. Use appropriate building materials (such as low-glare glass, low-glare building glaze or finish, neutral, earthtoned colored paint and roofing materials), shielded or screened lighting, and appropriate signage in the office/commercial areas to prevent light and glare from adversely affecting motorists on nearby roadways. Design exterior on-site lighting as an integral part of the building and landscape design in the Folsom Specific Plan area. Lighting fixtures shall be architecturally consistent with the overall site design. Lighting of off-site facilities within the City of Folsom shall be consistent with the City's General Plan standards. Lighting of the off-site detention basin shall be consistent with Sacramento County General Plan standards. Lighting of the two local roadway connections from Folsom Heights off-site into El Dorado Hills shall be consistent with El Dorado County General Plan standards. A lighting plan for all on- and off-site elements within the each agency's jurisdictional boundaries (specified below) shall be submitted to the relevant jurisdictional agency for review and approval, which shall include the above elements. The lighting plan may be submitted concurrently with other improvement plans, and shall be submitted before the installation of any lighting or the approval of building permits for each phase. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall implement the approved lighting plan. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of

Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the

		affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties).				
Air Q	uality			41		
71-4	3A.2-1a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement Measures to Control Air Pollutant Emissions Generated by Construction of On-Site Elements. To reduce short-term construction emissions, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall require their contractors to implement SMAQMD's list of Basic Construction Emission Control Practices, Enhanced Fugitive PM Dust Control Practices, and Enhanced Exhaust Control Practices (list below) in effect at the time individual portions of the site undergo construction. In addition to SMAQMD-recommended measures, construction operations shall comply with all applicable SMAQMD rules and regulations. Basic Construction Emission Control Practices ▶ Water all exposed surfaces two times daily. Exposed surfaces include, but are not limited to soil piles, graded areas, unpaved parking areas, staging areas, and access roads. ▶ Cover or maintain at least two feet of free board space on haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material on the site. Any haul trucks that would be traveling along freeways or major roadways should be covered. ▶ Use wet power vacuum street sweepers to remove any visible trackout mud or dirt onto adjacent public roads at least once a day. Use of dry power sweeping is prohibited. ▶ Limit vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour (mph). ▶ All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, parking lots to be paved should be completed as soon as possible. In addition, building pads should be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used. ▶ Minimize idling time either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the time of idling to 5 minutes	Before the approval of all grading plans by the City and throughout project construction, where applicable, for all project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

	(as required by the state airborne toxics control measure [Title 13, Section 2485 of the California Code of Regulations]). Provide clear signage that posts this requirement for workers at the entrances to the site.		
	Maintain all construction equipment in proper working condition according to manufacturer's specifications. The equipment must be checked by a certified mechanic and determine to be running in proper condition before it is operated.		
	Enhanced Fugitive PM Dust Control Practices – Soil Disturbance Areas		
	Water exposed soil with adequate frequency for continued moist soil. However, do not overwater to the extent that sediment flows off the site.		
	 Suspend excavation, grading, and/or demolition activity when wind speeds exceed 20 mph. 		
	 Plant vegetative ground cover (fast-germinating native grass seed) in disturbed areas as soon as possible. Water appropriately until vegetation is established. 		
	Enhanced Fugitive PM Dust Control Practices – Unpaved Roads		
	Install wheel washers for all exiting trucks, or wash off all trucks and equipment leaving the site.		
	➤ Treat site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from the paved road with a 6 to 12-inch layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel to reduce generation of road dust and road dust carryout onto public roads.		
	Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the construction site regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The phone number of SMAQMD and the City contact person shall also be posted to ensure compliance.		
	Enhanced Exhaust Control Practices		

► The project shall provide a plan, for approval by the City				
of Folsom Community Development Department and				
SMAQMD, demonstrating that the heavy-duty (50				
horsepower [hp] or more) off-road vehicles to be used in				
the construction project, including owned, leased, and				
subcontractor vehicles, will achieve a project wide fleet-				
average 20% NOX reduction and 45% particulate				
reduction compared to the most current California Air				
Resources Board (ARB) fleet average that exists at the				
time of construction. Acceptable options for reducing				
emissions may include use of late-model engines, low-				
emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit		9.		
technology, after-treatment products, and/or other options	0			
as they become available. The project applicant(s) of each				
project phase or its representative shall submit to the City of				
Folsom Community Development Department and				
SMAQMD a comprehensive inventory of all off-road				
construction equipment, equal to or greater than 50 hp, that				
would be used an aggregate of 40 or more hours during any				
portion of the construction project. The inventory shall				
include the horsepower rating, engine production year, and				
projected hours of use for each piece of equipment. The				
inventory shall be updated and submitted monthly				
throughout the duration of the project, except that an		*:		
inventory shall not be required for any 30-day period in				
which no construction activity occurs. At least 48 hours				
prior to the use of heavy-duty off-road equipment, the		,		
project representative shall provide SMAQMD with the				
anticipated construction timeline including start date, and				
name and phone number of the project manager and on-site				
foreman. SMAQMD's Construction Mitigation Calculator				
can be used to identify an equipment fleet that achieves this				
reduction (SMAQMD 2007a). The project shall ensure that				
emissions from all off-road diesel powered equipment used				
on the SPA do not exceed 40% opacity for more than three				
minutes in any one hour. Any equipment found to exceed 40				

		percent opacity (or Ringelmann 2.0) shall be repaired immediately, and the City and SMAQMD shall be notified within 48 hours of identification of noncompliant equipment. A visual survey of all in-operation equipment shall be made at least weekly, and a monthly summary of				
-		the visual survey results shall be submitted throughout the duration of the project, except that the monthly summary shall not be required for any 30-day period in which no construction activity occurs. The monthly summary shall include the quantity and type of vehicles surveyed as well as the dates of each survey. SMAQMD staff and/or other officials may conduct periodic site inspections to determine compliance. Nothing in this mitigation measure shall supersede other SMAQMD or state rules or regulations.		23.		
		If at the time of construction, SMAQMD has adopted a regulation or new guidance applicable to construction emissions, compliance with the regulation or new guidance may completely or partially replace this mitigation if it is equal to or more effective than the mitigation contained herein, and if SMAQMD so permits.				
71-5	3A.2-1b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Pay Off-site Mitigation Fee to SMAQMD to Off-Set NO _X Emissions Generated by Construction of On-Site Elements. Implementation of the project or the other four other action alternatives would result in construction-generated NO _X emissions that exceed the SMAQMD threshold of significance, even after implementation of the SMAQMD Enhanced Exhaust Control Practices (listed in Mitigation Measure 3A.2-1a). Additionally, Mitigation Measure 3A.4-1 (Implement Additional Measures to Control Construction-Generated GHG Emissions, pages 3A.4-14 to 15) has the potential to both reduce and increase NO _X emissions, depending on the types of alternative fuels and engine types employed. Therefore, the project applicant(s) shall pay SMAQMD an off-site mitigation fee for implementation of any of the five action alternatives for the purpose of reducing NO _X emissions to a less-thansignificant level (i.e., less than 85 lb/day). All NO _X emission reductions and increases associated with GHG mitigation shall	Before the approval of all grading plans by the City and throughout project construction for all project phases.	The City of Folsom Community Development Department shall not grant any grading permits to the respective project applicant(s) until the respective project applicant(s) have paid the appropriate	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

	1. 1. 10 1 11	off-site	
	ed to or subtracted from the amount above the		
	action threshold to determine off-site mitigation fees,	mitigation fee	
	possible. The specific fee amounts shall be calculated	to	
	he daily construction emissions can be more accurately	SMAQMD.	
	ined: that is, if the City/USACE select and certify the	l l	
	S and approves the Proposed Project or one of the other		
	her action alternatives, the City and the applicants must		
	sh the phasing by which development would occur, and		
	plicants must develop a detailed construction schedule.		
	ation of fees associated with each project development		
	shall be conducted by the project applicant(s) in		
consul	tation with SMAQMD staff before the approval of		
	g plans by the City. The project applicant(s) for any		
particu	llar discretionary development application shall pay into		
)MD's off-site construction mitigation fund to further		
mitiga	te construction generated emissions of NO _X that exceed		
	OMD's daily emission threshold of 85 lb/day. The		
calcul	ation of daily NO _x emissions shall be based on the cost		
	tablished by SMAQMD at the time the calculation and		
	ent are made. At the time of writing this EIR/EIS the cost		
	\$16,000 to reduce 1 ton of NO _X plus a 5% administrative		
	MAQMD 2008c). The determination of the final		
	tion fee shall be conducted in coordination with		
	QMD before any ground disturbance occurs for any		
	t phase. Based on information available at the time of		
writin	g this EIR/EIS, and assuming that construction would be		
	med at a consistent rate over a 19-year period (and		
	ging of 22 work days per month), it is estimated that the		
	e construction mitigation fees would range from		
\$517,4	110 to \$824,149, depending on which alternative is		
	ed. Because the fee is based on the mass quantity of		
emiss	ions that exceed SMAQMD's daily threshold of		
	icance of 85 lb/day, total fees would be substantially		
	r if construction activity is more intense during some		
	s and less intense during other phases of the 19-year build		
	riod, and in any event, based on the actual cost rate		
	d by SMAQMD. (This fee is used by SMAQMD to		
purch	ase off-site emissions reductions. Such purchases are		

		made through SMAQMD's Heavy Duty Incentive Program, through which select owners of heavy-duty equipment in				
		Sacramento County can repower or retrofit their old engines with cleaner engines or technologies.)				
71-6	3A.2-1c (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Analyze and Disclose Projected PM ₁₀ Emission Concentrations at Nearby Sensitive Receptors Resulting from Construction of On-Site Elements. Prior to construction of each discretionary development entitlement of on-site land uses, the project applicant shall perform a project-level CEQA analysis (e.g., supporting documentation for an exemption, negative declaration, or project-specific EIR) that includes detailed dispersion modeling of construction-generated PM ₁₀ to disclose what PM ₁₀ concentrations would be at nearby sensitive receptors. The dispersion modeling shall be performed in accordance with applicable SMAQMD guidance that is in place at the time the analysis is performed. At the time of writing this EIR/EIS, SMAQMD's most current and most detailed guidance for addressing construction-generated PM ₁₀ emissions is found in its Guide to Air Quality Assessment in Sacramento County (SMAQMD 2009a). The project-level analysis shall incorporate detailed parameters of the construction equipment and activities, including the year during which construction would be performed, as well as the proximity of potentially affected receptors, including receptors proposed by the project that exist at the time the construction activity would occur.	Before the approval of all grading plans by the City.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes
71-7	3A.2-2 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement All Measures Prescribed by the Air Quality Mitigation Plan to Reduce Operational Air Pollutant Emissions. To reduce operational emissions, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall implement all measures prescribed in the SMAQMD-approved Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan Air Quality Mitigation Plan (AQMP) (Torrence Planning 2008), a copy of which is included in Appendix C2. The AQMP is intended to improve mobility, reduce vehicle miles traveled, and improve air quality as required by AB 32 and SB 375. The AQMP includes, among others, measures designed to provide bicycle parking at commercial land uses, an integrated pedestrian/bicycle path network, transit stops with shelters, a	Before issuance of subdivision maps or improvement plans.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022.	Yes

		prohibition against the use the wood-burning fireplaces, energy star roofing materials, electric lawnmowers provided to homeowners at no charge, and on-site transportation alternatives to passenger vehicles (including light rail) that provide connectivity with other local and regional alternative transportation networks.			The compliance table is on file with the City.	
71-8	3A.2-4a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Develop and Implement a Plan to Reduce Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Construction-Generated Toxic Air Contaminant Emissions. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall develop a plan to reduce the exposure of sensitive receptors to TACs generated by project construction activity associated with buildout of the selected alternative. Each plan shall be developed by the project applicant(s) in consultation with SMAQMD. The plan shall be submitted to the City for review and approval before the approval of any grading plans. The plan may include such measures as scheduling activities when the residences are the least likely to be occupied, requiring equipment to be shut off when not in use, and prohibiting heavy trucks from idling. Applicable measures shall be included in all project plans and specifications for all project phases. The implementation and enforcement of all measures identified in each plan shall be funded by the project applicant(s) for the respective phase of development.	Before the approval of all grading plans by the City and throughout project construction, where applicable, for all project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes
71-9	3A.2-4b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement Measures to Reduce Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Operational Emissions of Toxic Air Contaminants. The following measures shall be implemented to reduce exposure of sensitive receptors to Toxic Air Contaminants. ▶ Proposed commercial and industrial land uses that have the potential to emit TACs or host TAC-generating activity (e.g., loading docks) shall be located away from existing and proposed on-site sensitive receptors such that they do not expose sensitive receptors to TAC emissions that exceed an incremental increase of 10 in 1 million for the cancer risk and/or a noncarcinogenic Hazard Index of 1.0.	Before the approval of all grading plans by the SMAQMD and throughout project construction, where applicable, for all project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022.	Yes

			11 . 11 1
	► The multi-family residences planned across from the off-		compliance table is
	site corporation yard near the southwest corner of the SPA	on f	file with the City.
	shall be set back as far as possible from the boundary of		
2	the corporation yard and/or relocated to another area.		
	▶ Where necessary to reduce exposure of sensitive receptors		
	to an incremental increase of 10 in 1 million for the cancer		
	risk and/or a noncarcinogenic Hazard Index of 1.0,		
	proposed commercial and industrial land uses that would		
	host diesel trucks shall incorporate idle reduction strategies		
	that reduce the main propulsion engine idling time through		
	alternative technologies such as, IdleAire, electrification of		
	truck parking, and alternative energy sources for TRUs, to		F
	allow diesel engines to be completely turned off.		
7		-	
	► Signs shall be posted in at all loading docks and truck		
	loading areas which indicate that diesel-powered delivery		
	trucks must be shut off when not in use for longer than 5		
	minutes on the premises in order to reduce idling		
	emissions. This measure is consistent with the ATCM to		
	Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling,		
	which was approved by the California Office of		
	Administrative Law in January 2005.	* 1	
	▶ Implement the following additional guidelines, which are		
	recommended in ARB's Land Use Handbook: A		
	Community Health Perspective (ARB 2005) and are		
	considered to be advisory and not regulatory:		
	 Sensitive receptors, such as residential units and daycare 		
	centers, shall not be located in the same building as dry-		
	cleaning operations that use perchloroethylene. Dry-		
	cleaning operations that use perchloroethylene shall not		
	be located within 300 feet of any sensitive receptor. A		
	setback of 500 feet shall be provided for operations with		
	two or more machines.		
	 Large gasoline stations (defined as facilities with a 		
	throughput of 3.6 million gallons per year or greater) and		
	sensitive land uses shall not be sited within 300 feet of		
 	Sensitive taile uses shall not be sited within 500 feet of		

71-10	3A.2-5 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	each other. Small gasoline-dispensing facilities (less than 3.6 million gallons of throughput per year) and sensitive land uses shall not be sited within 50 feet of each other. Implement A Site Investigation to Determine the Presence of NOA and, if necessary, Prepare and Implement an Asbestos Dust Control Plan. A site investigation shall be performed to determine whether and where NOA is present in the soil and rock on the SPA. The site investigation shall include the collection of soil and rock samples by a qualified geologist. If the site investigation determines that NOA is present on the SPA then the project applicant shall prepare an Asbestos Dust Control Plan for approval by SMAQMD as required in Title 17, Section 93105 of the California Code of Regulations, "Asbestos Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Construction, Grading, Quarrying, and Surface Mining Operations." The Asbestos Dust Control Plan shall specify measures, such as periodic watering to reduce airborne dust and ceasing construction during high winds. Measures in the Asbestos Dust Control Plan may include but shall not be limited to dust control measures required by Mitigation Measure 3A.2-1a. The project applicant shall submit the plan to the Folsom Community Development Department for review and SMAQMD for review and approval of the plan must be received before any asbestos-containing rock (serpentinite) can be disturbed. Upon approval of the Asbestos Dust Control Plan by SMAQMD, the applicant shall ensure that construction contractors implement the terms of the plan throughout the construction period. Implement Measures to Control Exposure of Sensitive	Before the approval of all grading plans by the City and throughout project construction, where applicable, for all project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The owner/applicant obtained approval for an Asbestos Dust Mitigation Plan from the SMAQMD prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The approved Asbestos Dust Mitigation Plan is on file with the Community Development Department.	Yes
71-11	3A.2-6 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement Measures to Control Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Operational Odorous Emissions. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall implement the following measures: ▶ The odor-producing potential of land uses shall be considered when the exact type of facility that would occupy areas zoned for commercial, industrial, or mixeduse land uses is determined. Facilities that have the	building permits by the City and throughout project construction, where applicable, for all project phases.	Folsom Community Development Department	Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to	105

potential to emit objectionable odors shall be located as far away as feasible from existing and proposed sensitive receptors. The multi-family residences planned across from the offsite corporation yard near the southwest corner of the SPA shall be set back as far as possible from the boundary of the corporation yard and/or relocated to another area. (This measure is also required by Mitigation Measure 3A.2-4b to limit exposure to TAC emissions.)	commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. This subdivision is residential and is not adjacent to any odorous land uses and is not adjacent to the future Corporation Yard.
Before the approval of building permits, odor control devices shall be identified to mitigate the exposure of receptors to objectionable odors if a potential odor-producing source is to occupy an area zoned for commercial, industrial, or mixed-use land uses. The identified odor control devices shall be installed before the issuance of certificates of occupancy for the potentially odor-producing use. The odor producing potential of a source and control devices shall be determined in coordination with SMAQMD and based on the number of complaints associated with existing sources of the same nature.	
The deeds to all properties located within the plan area that are within one mile of an on- or off-site area zoned or used for agricultural use (including livestock grazing) shall be accompanied by a written disclosure from the transferor, in a form approved by the City of Folsom, advising any transferee of the potential adverse odor impacts from surrounding agricultural operations, which disclosure shall direct the transferee to contact the County of Sacramento concerning any such property within the County zoned for agricultural uses within one mile of the subject property being transferred.	
► Truck loading docks and delivery areas shall be located as far away as feasible from existing and proposed sensitive receptors.	

Biologi	cal Resources	 Signs shall be posted at all loading docks and truck loading areas which indicate that diesel-powered delivery trucks must be shut off when not in use for longer than 5 minutes on the premises in order to reduce idling emissions. This measure is consistent with the ATCM to Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling, which was approved by California's Office of Administrative Law in January 2005. (This measure is also required by Mitigation Measure 3A.2-4b to limit TAC emissions.) Proposed commercial and industrial land uses that have the potential to host diesel trucks shall incorporate idle reduction strategies that reduce the main propulsion engine idling time through alternative technologies such as, IdleAire, electrification of truck parking, and alternative energy sources for TRUs, to allow diesel engines to be completely turned off. (This measure is also required by Mitigation Measure 3A.2-4b to limit TAC emissions.) 				
71-12	3A.3-1a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Design Stormwater Drainage Plans and Erosion and Sediment Control Plans to Avoid and Minimize Erosion and Runoff to All Wetlands and Other Waters That Are to Remain on the SPA and Use Low Impact Development Features. To minimize indirect effects on water quality and wetland hydrology, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall include stormwater drainage plans and erosion and sediment control plans in their improvement plans and shall submit these plans to the City Public Works Department for review and approval. For off-site elements within Sacramento County or El Dorado County jurisdiction (e.g., off-site detention basin and off-site roadway connections to El Dorado Hills), plans shall be submitted to the appropriate county planning department. Before approval of these improvement plans, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall obtain a NPDES MS4 Municipal Stormwater Permit and Grading	Before approval of improvement and drainage plans, and on an ongoing basis throughout and after project construction, as required for all project phases.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The Owner/applicant has received a NPDES permit from the State Regional Water Quality Control Board (SRWQCB). The NDES Permit requires the implementation of BMP's, monitoring and reporting for stormwater runoff. The NPDES Permit includes a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which outlines monitoring standards, frequency and baseline	Yes

Permit, comply with the City's Grading Ordinance and County		modeling. The	
drainage and stormwater quality standards, and commit to		Owner/applicant has	
implementing all measures in their drainage plans and erosion		submitted monthly	
and sediment control plans to avoid and minimize erosion and		reports to the City and	
runoff into Alder Creek and all wetlands and other waters that		SRWQCB. There are	
would remain on-site. Detailed information about stormwater		no off-site elements	
runoff standards and relevant City and County regulation is		outside the City limits	
provided in Chapter 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality."		for this subdivision.	
The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary			
development entitlement shall implement stormwater quality			
treatment controls consistent with the Stormwater Quality		1	
Design Manual for Sacramento and South Placer Regions in		1	
effect at the time the application is submitted. Appropriate			
runoff controls such as berms, storm gates, off-stream detention			
basins, overflow collection areas, filtration systems, and			
sediment traps shall be implemented to control siltation and the			
potential discharge of pollutants. Development plans shall			
incorporate Low Impact Development (LID) features, such as			
pervious strips, permeable pavements, bioretention ponds,			
vegetated swales, disconnected rain gutter downspouts, and			
rain gardens, where appropriate. Use of LID features is			
recommended by the EPA to minimize impacts on water			
quality, hydrology, and stream geomorphology and is specified			
as a method for protecting water quality in the proposed			
specific plan. In addition, free spanning bridge systems shall be			
used for all roadway crossings over wetlands and other waters			
that are retained in the on-site open space. These bridge			
systems would maintain the natural and restored channels of			
creeks, including the associated wetlands, and would be			
designed with sufficient span width and depth to provide for			
wildlife movement along the creek corridors even during high-			
flow or flood events, as specified in the 404 permit.			
In addition to compliance with City ordinances, the project			
applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development			
application shall prepare a Stormwater Pollution Prevention			
Plan (SWPPP), and implement Best Management Practices			
(BMPs) that comply with the General Construction Stormwater			
Permit from the Central Valley RWQCB, to reduce water			

quality effects during construction. Detailed information about the SWPPP and BMPs are provided in Chapter 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality." Each project development shall result in no net change to peak flows into Alder Creek and associated tributaries, or to Buffalo Creek, Carson Creek, and Coyote Creek. The project applicant(s) shall establish a baseline of conditions for drainage on-site. The baseline-flow conditions shall be established for 2-, 5-, and 100-year storm events. These baseline conditions shall be used to develop monitoring standards for the stormwater system on the SPA. The baseline conditions, monitoring standards, and a monitoring program shall be submitted to USACE and the City for their approval. Water quality and detention basins shall be designed and constructed to ensure that the performance standards, which are described in Chapter 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality," are met and shall be designed as off-stream detention basins. Discharge sites into Alder Creek and associated tributaries, as well as tributaries to Carson Creek, Coyote Creek, and Buffalo Creek, shall be monitored to ensure that pre-project conditions are being met. Corrective measures shall be implemented as necessary. The mitigation measures will be satisfied when the monitoring standards are met for 5 consecutive years without undertaking corrective measures to meet the performance standard. See FEIR/FEIS Appendix S showing that the detention basin in the northeast corner of the SPA has been moved off stream. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase in consultation with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado County for the roadway connections, Sacramento County for the detention basin west of Prairie City Road, and Caltrans for the U.S. 50 interchange improvements) such that the performance standards described in Chapter 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality," are met.

71,13	3	Secure Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit and Implement	Before the approval of	City of	The City and its	Yes
/1-13		All Permit Conditions: Ensure No Net Loss of Functions			Environmental	
	\				Compliance Consultant	
	EHCLIS)					
71-13	3A.3-1b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Secure Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit and Implement All Permit Conditions; Ensure No Net Loss of Functions and Values of Wetlands, Other Waters of the U.S., and Waters of the State. Before the approval of grading and improvement plans and before any groundbreaking activity associated with each distinct discretionary development entitlement, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application requiring fill of wetlands or other waters of the U.S. or waters of the state shall obtain all necessary permits under Sections 401 and 404 of the CWA or the state's Porter-Cologne Act for the respective phase. For each respective discretionary development entitlement, all permits, regulatory approvals, and permit conditions for effects on wetland habitats shall be secured before implementation of any grading activities within 250 feet of waters of the U.S. or wetland habitats or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with approval from USFWS, including waters of the state, that potentially support Federally listed species. The project applicant(s) shall commit to replace, restore, or enhance on a "no net loss" basis (in accordance with USACE and the Central Valley RWQCB) the acreage of all wetlands and other waters of the U.S. that would be removed, lost, and/or degraded with implementation of project plans for that development increment. Wetland habitat shall be restored, enhanced, and/or replaced at an acreage and location and by methods agreeable to USACE, the Central Valley RWQCB, and the City, as appropriate, depending on agency jurisdiction, and as determined during the Section 401 and Section 404 permitting processes. As part of the Section 404 permitting processes, a draft wetland mitigation and monitoring plan (MMP) shall be developed for the project on behalf of the project applicant(s). Before any ground-disturbing activities in an area that would adversely of the section 404 permitting in mitigation.	Before the approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities for any project development phase containing wetland features or other waters of the U.S. The MMP must be approved before any impact on wetlands can occur. Mitigation shall be implemented on an ongoing basis throughout and after construction, as required.	City of Folsom Community Development Department		Yes
		adversely affect wetlands and before engaging in mitigation activities associated with each discretionary development entitlement, the project applicant(s) shall submit the draft wetland MMP to USACE, the Central Valley RWQCB,			reports to the City and SRWQCB. The owner/applicant has	
		Sacramento County, El Dorado County, and the City for review			recorded Declarations of	

Covenants & and approval of those portions of the plan over which they have Restrictions (DCR's) in jurisdiction. The MMP would have to be finalized prior to compliance with the impacting any wetlands. Once the final MMP is approved and requirements of the implemented, mitigation monitoring shall continue for a USACE permit. The minimum of 5 years from completion of mitigation, or human DCR's are shown on the intervention (including recontouring and grading), or until the final map. performance standards identified in the approved MMP have been met, whichever is longer. As part of the MMP, the project applicant(s) shall prepare and submit plans for the creation of aquatic habitat in order to adequately offset and replace the aquatic functions and services that would be lost at the SPA, account for the temporal loss of habitat, and contain an adequate margin of safety to reflect anticipated success. Restoration of previously altered and degraded wetlands shall be a priority of the MMP for offsetting losses of aquatic functions on the SPA because it is typically easier to achieve functional success in restored wetlands than in those created from uplands. The MMP must demonstrate how the aquatic functions and values that would be lost through project implementation will be replaced. The habitat MMP for jurisdictional wetland features shall be consistent with USACE's and EPA's April 10, 2008 Final Rule for Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources (33 CFR Parts 325 and 332 and 40 CFR Part 230) and USACE's October 26, 2010 Memorandum Re: Minimum Level of Documentation Required for Permit Decisions. According to the Final Rule, mitigation banks should be given preference over other types of mitigation because a lot of the risk and uncertainty regarding mitigation success is alleviated by the fact that mitigation bank wetlands must be established and demonstrating functionality before credits can be sold. The use of mitigation credits also alleviates temporal losses of wetland function while compensatory wetlands are being established. Mitigation banks also tend to be on larger, more ecologically valuable parcels and are subjected to more rigorous scientific study and planning and implementation procedures than typical

permittee-responsible mitigation sites (USACE and EPA, 2008). Permittee-responsible on-site mitigation areas can be exposed to

long-term negative effects of surrounding development since they tend to be smaller and less buffered than mitigation banks. The Final Rule also establishes a preference for a "watershed approach" in selecting locations for compensatory mitigation project locations, that mitigation selection must be "appropriate and practicable" and that mitigation banks must address watershed needs based on criteria set forth in the Final Rule. The watershed approach accomplishes this objective by expanding the informational and analytic basis of mitigation project site selection decisions and ensuring that both authorized impacts and mitigation are considered on a watershed scale rather than only project by project. This requires a degree of flexibility so that district engineers can authorize mitigation projects that most effectively address the case-specific circumstances and needs of the watershed, while remaining practicable for the permittee. The SPA includes portions of the Alder Creek, Buffalo Creek, Coyote Creek, and Carson Creek Watersheds. The majority of the SPA is within the Alder Creek Watershed. Alder Creek and Buffalo Creek are part of the Lower American River Watershed. Carson Creek and Coyote Creek are part of the Cosumnes River Watershed. Mitigation credits may be available within the Cosumnes Watershed, but not within the American River Watershed and not within the sub-watersheds of the SPA. Therefore, aquatic habitats may need to be restored or created on the SPA and adjacent off-site lands, preferably within the affected watersheds, in order to successfully replace lost functions at the appropriate watershed scale where loss of function would occur. It is not likely feasible to provide compensatory mitigation for all aquatic resource impacts on site. Therefore, a combination of on-site and off-site permitteeresponsible mitigation and mitigation banking would likely be necessary to achieve the no-net-loss standard. The SPA is located within the service areas of several approved mitigation banks (e.g., Bryte Ranch, Clay Station, Fitzgerald Ranch, and Twin City Mitigation Bank). The majority of compensatory mitigation for wetland impacts is proposed to be accomplished at an agency approved mitigation bank or banks authorized to sell credits to offset impacts in the SPA. The

applicants' biological consultant, ECORP, has identified availability of approximately 31 vernal pool credits and 228 seasonal wetland credits at mitigation banks whose service area includes the SPA. Additional credits may also be available from pending, but not yet approved, mitigation banks. However, availability is subject to change and, as noted above, a combination of mitigation bank credits and permitteeresponsible on and off-site mitigation may be necessary to fully offset project impacts on wetlands and other waters of the U.S. If USACE determines that the use of mitigation bank credits is not sufficient mitigation to offset impacts within the SPA, the October 26, 2010 Memorandum Re: Minimum Level of Documentation Required for Permit Decisions requires USACE to specifically demonstrate why the use of bank credits is not acceptable to USACE in accordance with Section 33 CFR 332.3(a)(1).

Compensatory mitigation for losses of stream and intermittent drainage channels shall follow the Final Rule Guidelines, which specify that compensatory mitigation should be achieved through in-kind preservation, restoration, or enhancement within the same watershed, subject to practicability considerations. The wetland MMP shall address how to mitigate impacts on vernal pool, seasonal swale, seasonal wetland, seep, marsh, pond, and intermittent and perennial stream habitat, and shall describe specific method(s) to be implemented to avoid and/or mitigate any off-site project-related impacts. The wetland compensation section of the habitat MMP shall include the following:

- Compensatory mitigation sites and criteria for selecting these mitigation sites. In General, compensatory mitigation sites should meet the following criteria, based on the Final Rule:
 - located within the same watershed as the wetland or other waters that would be lost, as appropriate and practicable;
- located in the most likely position to successfully replace wetland functions lost on the impact site considering watershed-scale features such as aquatic habitat diversity,

	habitat connectivity, available water sources and hydrologic relationships, land use trends, ecological benefits, and compatibility with adjacent land uses, and the likelihood for success and sustainability;
	A complete assessment of the existing biological resources in both the on-site preservation areas and off-site compensatory mitigation areas, including wetland functional assessment using the California Rapid Assessment Method (CRAM) (Collins et al. 2008), or other appropriate wetland assessment protocol as determined through consultation with USACE and the USFWS, to establish baseline conditions;
	► Specific creation and restoration plans for each mitigation site;
	Use of CRAM to compare compensatory wetlands to the baseline CRAM scores from wetlands in the SPA. The compensatory wetland CRAM scores shall be compared against the highest quality wetland of each type from the SPA;
	CRAM scores, or other wetland assessment protocol scores, from the compensatory wetlands shall be compared against the highest quality wetland scores for each wetland type to document success of compensatory wetlands in replacing the functions of the affected wetlands to be replaced;
	Monitoring protocol, including schedule and annual report requirements, and the following elements:
	ecological performance standards, based on the best available science, that can be assessed in a practicable manner (e.g., performance standards proposed by Barbour et al. 2007). Performance standards must be based on attributes that are objective and verifiable;
	 assessments conducted annually for 5 years after construction or restoration of compensatory wetlands to determine whether these areas are acquiring wetland

	functions and to plot the performance trajectory of preserved, restored, or created wetlands over time.		
3	 assessments results for compensatory wetlands shall also be compared against scores for reference wetlands assessed in the same year; 		
	 assessments analysis conducted annually for 5 years after any construction adjacent to wetlands preserved on the SPA to determine whether these areas are retaining functions and values. Assessments results for wetlands preserved on site shall also be compared against scores for reference wetlands assessed in the same year; 		
	 analysis of assessments data, including assessment of potential stressors, to determine whether any remedial activities may be necessary; 		
	• corrective measures if performance standards are not met;		
	monitoring of plant communities as performance criteria (annual measure of success, during monitoring period) and success criteria (indicative of achievement of mitigation habitat requirement at end of monitoring period) for hydrologic function have become established and the creation site "matures" over time;		
	 GIS analysis of compensatory wetlands to demonstrate actual acreage of functioning wetland habitat; 		
	 adaptive management measures to be applied if performance standards and acreage requirements are not being met; 	2	
	 responsible parties for monitoring and preparing reports; and 		
	 responsible parties for receiving and reviewing reports and for verifying success or prescribing implementation or corrective actions. 		
	A final operations and management plan (OMP) for all on- and off-site permittee-sponsored wetland preservation and mitigation areas shall be prepared and submitted to USACE		

and USFWS for review, comment and preliminary approval prior to the issuance of any permits under Section 404 of the CWA. The plan shall include detailed information on the habitats present within the preservation and mitigation areas, the long-term management and monitoring of these habitats, legal protection for the preservation and mitigation areas (e.g., conservation easement, declaration of restrictions), and funding mechanism information (e.g., endowment). A final OMP for each discretionary development entitlement affecting wetlands must be approved prior to construction. USACE has determined that the project will require an individual permit. In its final stage and once approved by USACE, the MMP for the project is expected to detail proposed wetland restoration, enhancement, and/or replacement activities that would ensure no net loss of aquatic functions in the project vicinity. Approval and implementation of the wetland MMP shall aim to fully mitigate all unavoidable impacts on jurisdictional waters of the U.S., including jurisdictional wetlands. In addition to USACE approval, approval by the City, Sacramento County, El Dorado County, and the Central Valley RWQCB, as appropriate depending on agency jurisdiction, and as determined during the Section 401 and Section 404 permitting processes, will also be required. Approvals from Sacramento County and El Dorado County shall be required for impacts resulting from off-site project elements occurring in these counties, such as the off-site detention basin in Sacramento County and the roadway connections into El Dorado County. To satisfy the requirements of the City and the Central Valley RWQCB, mitigation of impacts on the nonjurisdictional wetlands beyond the jurisdiction of USACE shall be included in the same MMP. All mitigation requirements determined through this process shall be implemented before grading plans are approved. The MMP shall be submitted to USACE and approved prior to the issuance of any permits under Section 404 of the CWA. Water quality certification pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA will be required before issuance of a Section 404 permit. Before

construction in any areas containing wetland features, the

		project applicant(s) shall obtain water quality certification for the project. Any measures required as part of the issuance of water quality certification shall be implemented. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be developed by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase in consultation with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., Caltrans, El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties).		(4)		
71-14	3A.3-2B (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Avoid Direct Loss of Swainson's Hawk and Other Raptor Nests. To mitigate impacts on Swainson's hawk and other raptors (including burrowing owl), the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct preconstruction surveys and to identify active nests on and within 0.5 mile of the SPA and active burrows on the SPA. The surveys shall be conducted before the approval of grading and/or improvement plans (as applicable) and no less than 14 days and no more than 30 days before the beginning of construction for all project phases. To the extent feasible, guidelines provided in Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in the Central Valley (Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee 2000) shall be followed for surveys for Swainson's hawk. If no nests are found, inpacts on nesting Swainson's hawks and other raptors shall be avoided by establishing appropriate buffers around the nests. No project activity shall commence within the buffer area until the young have fledged, the nest is no longer active, or until a qualified biologist has determined in consultation with DFG that reducing the buffer would not result in nest abandonment. DFG guidelines recommend implementation of 0.25- or 0.5-mile-wide buffers, but the size of the buffer may be adjusted if a qualified biologist and the City, in consultation with DFG, determine that such an adjustment would not be likely to adversely affect the nest. Monitoring of the nest by a qualified biologist during and after construction activities will be required if the activity has potential to adversely affect the nest.	Before the approval of grading and improvement plans, before any ground disturbing activities, and during project construction as applicable for all project phases.	California Department of Fish and Game and City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

		If active burrows are found, a mitigation plan shall be submitted to the City for review and approval before any ground-disturbing activities. The City shall consult with DFG. The mitigation plan may consist of installation of one-way doors on all burrows to allow owls to exit, but not reenter, and construction of artificial burrows within the project vicinity, as needed; however, burrow owl exclusions may only be used if a qualified biologist verifies that the burrow does not contain eggs or dependent young. If active burrows contain eggs and/or young, no construction shall occur within 50 feet of the burrow until young have fledged. Once it is confirmed that there are no owls inside burrows, these burrows may be collapsed. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be developed by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase in consultation with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, or Caltrans), such that the performance criteria set forth in DFG's guidelines are determined to be met.				
71-15	3A.3-2b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Mitigation Measure 3A.3-2b: Prepare and Implement a Swainson's Hawk Mitigation Plan. To mitigate for the loss of Swainson's hawk foraging habitat, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall prepare and implement a Swainson's hawk mitigation plan including, but not limited to the requirements described below. Before the approval of grading and improvement plans or before any ground-disturbing activities, whichever occurs first, the project applicant(s) shall preserve, to the satisfaction of the City or Sacramento County, as appropriate depending on agency jurisdiction, suitable Swainson's hawk foraging habitat to ensure 1:1 mitigation of habitat value for Swainson's hawk foraging habitat lost as a result of the project, as determined by the City, or Sacramento County, after consultation with DFG and a qualified biologist. The 1:1 habitat value shall be based on Swainson's hawk nesting distribution and an assessment of habitat quality,	Before the approval of grading, improvement, or construction plans and before any ground disturbing activity in any project development phase that would affect Swainson's hawk foraging habitat.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

availability, and use within the City's planning area, or Sacramento County jurisdiction. The mitigation ratio shall be consistent with the 1994 DFG Swainson's Hawk Guidelines included in the Staff Report Regarding Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson's Hawks (Buteo swainsoni) in the Central Valley of California, which call for the following mitigation ratios for loss of foraging habitat in these categories: 1:1 if within 1 mile of an active nest site, 0.75:1 if over 1 mile but less than 5 miles, and 0.5:1 if over 5 miles but less than 10 miles from an active nest site. Such mitigation shall be accomplished through credit purchase from an established mitigation bank approved to sell Swainson's hawk foraging habitat credits to mitigate losses in the SPA, if available, or through the transfer of fee title or perpetual conservation easement. The mitigation land shall be located within the known foraging area and within Sacramento County. The City, or Sacramento County if outside City jurisdiction, after consultation with DFG, will determine the appropriateness of the mitigation land. Before approval of such proposed mitigation, the City, or Sacramento County for the off-site detention basin, shall consult with DFG regarding the appropriateness of the mitigation. If mitigation is accomplished through conservation easement, then such an easement shall ensure the continued management of the land to maintain Swainson's hawk foraging values, including but not limited to ongoing agricultural uses and the maintenance of all existing water rights associated with the land. The conservation easement shall be recordable and shall prohibit any activity that substantially impairs or diminishes the land's capacity as suitable Swainson's hawk habitat. The project applicant(s) shall transfer said Swainson's hawk mitigation land, through either conservation easement or fee title, to a third party, nonprofit conservation organization (Conservation Operator), with the City and DFG named as third-party beneficiaries. The Conservation Operator shall be a qualified conservation easement land manager that manages land as its primary function. Additionally, the Conservation

Operator shall be a tax-exempt nonprofit conservation

organization that meets the criteria of Civil Code Section 815.3(a) and shall be selected or approved by the City or County, after consultation with DFG. The City, or County, after consultation with DFG and the Conservation Operator, shall approve the content and form of the conservation easement. The City, or County, DFG, and the Conservation Operator shall each have the power to enforce the terms of the conservation easement. The Conservation Operator shall monitor the easement in perpetuity to assure compliance with the terms of the easement. The project applicant(s), after consultation with the City, or County of jurisdiction, DFG, and the Conservation Operator, shall establish an endowment or some other financial mechanism that is sufficient to fund in perpetuity the operation, maintenance, management, and enforcement of the conservation easement. If an endowment is used, either the endowment funds shall be submitted to the City for impacts on lands within the City's jurisdiction or Sacramento County for the off-site detention basin to be distributed to an appropriate third-party nonprofit conservation agency, or they shall be submitted directly to the third-party nonprofit conservation agency in exchange for an agreement to manage and maintain the lands in perpetuity. The Conservation Operator shall not sell, lease, or transfer any interest of any conservation easement or mitigation land it acquires without prior written approval of the City and DFG. Mitigation lands established or acquired for impacts incurred at the off-site detention basin shall require approval from Sacramento County prior to sale or transfer of mitigation lands or conservation easement. If the Conservation Operator ceases to exist, the duty to hold, administer, manage, maintain, and enforce the interest shall be transferred to another entity acceptable to the City and DFG, or Sacramento County and DFG depending on jurisdiction of the affected habitat. The City Planning Department shall ensure that mitigation habitat established for impacts on habitat within the City's planning area is properly established and is

functioning as habitat by reviewing regular monitoring reports prepared by the Conservation Operator of the mitigation site(s).

		Monitoring of the mitigation site(s) shall continue for the first 10 years after establishment of the easement and shall be funded through the endowment, or other appropriate funding mechanism, established by the project applicant(s). Sacramento County shall review the monitoring reports for impacts on habitat at the off-site detention basin. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., Sacramento County and Caltrans).				Voc
71-16	3A.3-2c (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Avoid and Minimize Impacts to Tricolored Blackbird Nesting Colonies. To avoid and minimize impacts to tricolored blackbird, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall conduct a preconstruction survey for any project activity that would occur during the tricolored blackbird's nesting season (March 1–August 31). The preconstruction survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist before any activity occurring within 500 feet of suitable nesting habitat, including freshwater marsh and areas of riparian scrub vegetation. The survey shall be conducted within 14 days before project activity begins. If no tricolored blackbird colony is present, no further mitigation is required. If a colony is found, the qualified biologist shall establish a buffer around the nesting colony. No project activity shall commence within the buffer area until a qualified biologist confirms that the colony is no longer active. The size of the buffer shall be determined in consultation with DFG. Buffer size is anticipated to range from 100 to 500 feet, depending on the nature of the project activity, the extent of existing disturbance in the area, and other relevant circumstances. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries (i.e., U.S. 50 interchange improvements) must be developed by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase in consultation with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., Caltrans) and must be sufficient to achieve the performance criteria described above.	Before the approval of any ground-disturbing activity within 500 feet of suitable nesting habitat as applicable for all project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

71-17	3A.3-2d (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Avoid and Minimize Impacts to Special-Status Bat Roosts. The project applicant of all project phases containing potential bat roosting habitat shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct surveys for roosting bats. Surveys shall be conducted in the fall to determine if the mine shaft is used as a hibernaculum and in spring and/or summer to determine if it is used as a maternity or day roost. Surveys shall consist of evening emergence surveys to note the presence or absence of bats and could consist of visual surveys at the time of emergence. If evidence of bat use is observed, the number and species of bats using the roost shall be determined. Bat detectors may be used to supplement survey efforts. If no bat roosts are found, then no further study shall be required. If roosts of pallid bat or Townsend's big-eared bats are determined to be present and must be removed, the bats shall be excluded from the roosting site. A mitigation program addressing compensation, exclusion methods, and roost removal procedures shall be developed in consultation with DFG before implementation. Exclusion methods may include use of one-way doors at roost entrances (bats may leave but not reenter), or sealing roost entrances when the site can be confirmed to contain no bats. Exclusion efforts may be restricted during periods of sensitive activity (e.g., during hibernation or while females in maternity colonies are nursing young). The loss of each roost (if any) will be replaced in consultation with DFG and may include construction and installation of bat boxes suitable to the bat species and colony size excluded from the original roosting site. Roost replacement will be implemented before bats are excluded from the original roost sites. Once the replacement roosts are constructed and it is confirmed that bats are not present in the original roost site, the mine shaft may be removed.	Before the approval of any ground-disturbing activity within 500 feet of suitable nesting habitat as applicable for all project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes
71-18	3A.3-2g (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Secure Take Authorization for Federally Listed Vernal Pool Invertebrates and Implement All Permit Conditions. No project construction shall proceed in areas supporting potential habitat for Federally listed vernal pool invertebrates, or within adequate buffer areas (250 feet or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with	Before the approval of any grading or improvement plans, before any ground disturbing activities within 250 feet of said	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District; U.S. Fish and	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance	Yes

approval from USFWS), until a biological opinion (BO) or Not Likely to Adversely Affect (NLAA) letter has been issued by USFWS and the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development entitlements affecting such areas have abided by conditions in the BO (including conservation and minimization measures) intended to be completed before on-site construction. Conservation and minimization measures shall include preparation of supporting documentation describing methods to protect existing vernal pools during and after project construction, a detailed monitoring plan, and reporting requirements.

As described under Mitigation Measure 3A.3-1a, an MMP shall be developed that describes details how loss of vernal pool and other wetland habitats shall be offset, including details on creation of habitat, account for the temporal loss of habitat, contain performance standards to ensure success, and outline remedial actions if performance standards are not met.

The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application potentially affecting vernal pool habitat shall complete and implement a habitat MMP that will result in no net loss of acreage, function, and value of affected vernal pool habitat. The final habitat MMP shall be consistent with guidance provided in Programmatic Formal Endangered Species Act Consultation on Issuance of 404 Permits for Projects with Relatively Small Effects on Listed Vernal Pool Crustaceans within the Jurisdiction of the Sacramento Field Office, California (USFWS 1996) or shall provide an alternative approach that is acceptable to the City, USACE, and USFWS and accomplishes no net loss of habitat acreage, function, and value.

The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application "potentially affecting vernal pool habitat" shall ensure that there is sufficient upland habitat within the target areas for creation and restoration of vernal pools and vernal pool complexes to provide ecosystem health. This standard shall be accomplished by requiring the project applicant(s) for any discretionary development application affecting vernal pool or seasonal wetland habitat to identify the habitat or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with approval from USFWS, and on an ongoing basis throughout construction as applicable for all project phases as required by the mitigation plan, BO, and/or BMPs.

Wildlife

City of

Folsom

with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance Service: and was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction Community in the Summer of 2022. Development The compliance table is Department on file with the City.

		extent of indirectly affected vernal pool and seasonal wetland habitat, either by identifying all such habitat within 250 feet of project construction activities or by providing an alternative technical evaluation. If a lesser distance is pursued, this distance shall be approved by USFWS. The project applicant(s) shall preserve acreage of vernal pool habitat for each wetted acre of any indirectly affected vernal pool habitat at a ratio approved by USFWS at the conclusion of the Section 7 consultation. This mitigation shall occur before the approval of any grading or improvement plans for any project phase that would allow work within 250 feet of such habitat or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with approval from USFWS, and before any ground disturbing activity within 250 feet of the habitat or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with approval from USFWS. The project applicant(s) will not be required to complete this mitigation measure for direct or indirect impacts that have already been mitigated to the satisfaction of USFWS through another BO or mitigation plan (i.e., if impacts on specific habitat acreage are mitigated by one project phase or element, the project applicant(s) will not be required to mitigate for it again in another phase of the project). A standard set of BMPs shall be applied to construction occurring in areas within 250 feet of off-site vernal pool habitat, or within any lesser distance deemed adequate by a qualified biologist (with approval from USFWS) to constitute a sufficient buffer from such habitat. Refer to Section 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality - Land" for the details of BMPs to be implemented. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be developed by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase in consultation with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, or Caltrans).				
71-19	3A.3-4a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Mitigation Measure 3A.3-4a: Secure and Implement Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall obtain a Section 1602 streambed alteration	Before the approval of grading or improvement plans or any construction	California Department of Fish and Game and	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed	Yes

occur in the channels are the streamble any particular riparian has prepare a respecific me compensate and other of bed and basinclude estendant the project riparian has enhancement SPA. The cand structura adequate to services at of the habit compensate of the compensate of the habit compensate and structura adequate to services at of the habit compensate of the h	pecific management procedures to benefit ishment and maintenance of native riparian plant es, including black willow, arroyo willow, white and Fremont cottonwood; atting and irrigation program if needed for ishment of native riparian trees and shrubs at	activities (including clearing and grubbing) that affect the bed and bank or riparian and freshwater marsh habitat associated with Alder Creek and other on-site or off-site drainage channels and ponds.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	
a plan establ strate irrigat	iting and irrigation program if needed for ishment of native riparian trees and shrubs at gic locations within each mitigation site (planting and tion may not be necessary if preservation of oning riparian habitat is chosen as mitigation or if ation can be accomplished without irrigation or				

- ▶ in kind reference habitats for comparison with compensatory riparian habitats (using performance and success criteria) to document success;
- monitoring protocol, including schedule and annual report requirements (compensatory riparian habitats shall be monitored for a minimum period of five years);
- ecological performance standards, based on the best available science and including specifications for native riparian plant densities, species composition, amount of dead woody vegetation gaps and bare ground, and survivorship; at a minimum, compensatory mitigation planting sites must achieve 80% survival of planted riparian trees and shrubs by the end of the five-year maintenance and monitoring period or dead and dying trees shall be replaced and monitoring continued until 80% survivorship is achieved;
- corrective measures if performance standards are not met;
- responsible parties for monitoring and preparing reports;
 and
- responsible parties for receiving and reviewing reports and for verifying success or prescribing implementation or corrective actions.

Any conditions of issuance of the Streambed Alteration Agreement shall be implemented as part of project construction activities that adversely affect the bed and bank and riparian habitat associated with Alder Creek and other drainage channels and ponds that are within the project area that is subject to DFG jurisdiction. The agreement shall be executed by the project applicant(s) and DFG before the approval of any grading or improvement plans or any construction activities in any project phase that could potentially affect the bed and bank of Alder Creek and other on-site or off-site drainage channels under DFG jurisdiction and their associated freshwater marsh and riparian habitat.

Mitigation for the U.S. 50 interchang coordinated by the project applicant(project phase with the Caltrans.	s) of each applicable	D.C.	C I'S	Th. C'	V
71-20 3A.3-4b (FPASP EIR/EIS) Conduct Surveys to Identify and M Grassland; Implement Avoidance Measures or Compensatory Mitigate applicant(s) of all project phases shate to conduct preconstruction surveys to needlegrass grassland is present on the done concurrently with any special-stouched on site as special-status planature, i.e. require that all species en and require preparation of a plant conneedlegrass grassland is not found or shall document the findings in a letter Folsom, and no further mitigation shall documents. If valley needlegrass grassland is four location and extent of the community acreage of this community type, if any project implementation shall be capplicant(s) for any particular discretapplication affecting valley needlegrass grassland in the City of Folsom to mitigation for removal of valley need from project implementation. Mitigate one or more of the following component on net loss of valley needlegrass grassland either on or off the SPA. To compensate for any loss of valley neresulting from project implementation.	and Minimization ation. The project Ill retain a qualified botanist o determine if valley he SPA. This could be status plant surveys lant surveys are floristic in acountered be identified, mmunity map. If valley in the SPA, the botanist er report to the City of hall be required. Valley in any of the off-site and on the SPA, the y shall be mapped and the my, that would be removed hall be required and the my, that would be removed hall be reached. The project hall consult he determine appropriate determine appropriate determine appropriate determine sufficient to achieve hall include hents sufficient to achieve hall saland acreage: he grassland within project's hized by annual grassland, he grassland off-site, or history alley needlegrass he applicant(s) shall hedelegrass grassland	Before approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities, including grubbing or clearing, for any project phase.	California Department of Fish and Game, and City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

24.54	12425	C 1 (T C D 1 I 1 0-1	Before approval of	City of	The owner/applicant	Yes
71-21	3A.3-5	Conduct Tree Survey, Prepare and Implement an Oak	grading or	Folsom	obtained a Tree Permit	1 00
	(FPASP	Woodland Mitigation Plan, Replace Native Oak Trees	improvement plans or	Community	from the Community	
	EIR/EIS)	Removed, and Implement Measures to Avoid and Minimize	any ground disturbing	Development		
		Indirect Impacts on Oak Trees Retained On Site. The project applicant(s) shall prepare an oak woodland mitigation	activities, including	Department	Development Department for the	
		and monitoring plan. The project applicant(s) of all on- and off-	grubbing or clearing,	Department	Department for the	
		site project phases containing oak woodland habitat or	for any project phase		removal of protected	
	_	individual trees shall adhere to the requirements described	containing protected		oak trees that were	
		below, which are consistent with those outlined in California	trees or oak woodland.		impacted by the	
		Public Resources Code 21083.4. Pursuant to Sacramento			grading and	
		County General Plan policy, the acreage of oak woodland			construction of Phase	
		habitat for determining impacts and mitigation requirements			3 of the Toll Brothers	
		was calculated as the oak tree canopy area within stands of oak			at Folsom Ranch	
		trees having greater than 10% cover plus a 30-foot-radius			project.	
		buffer measured from the outer edge of the tree canopy. Oak				
		trees located in areas greater than 30 feet from stands meeting			The Tree Permit was	
		the greater than 10% tree canopy cover criterion were			approved prior to	
		considered isolated trees and not part of the blue oak woodland			commencement of	
		community. Mitigation for impacts on isolated oak trees is			grading and	
	12	discussed separately below.			construction in the	
		▶ Preserve approximately 399 acres of existing oak			Summer of 2022. The	
		woodland habitat in the SPA (this acreage is based on the			owner/applicant paid	
		extent of oak woodland habitat as determined from aerial			in-lieu fees for the	
1		photograph interpretation; however, following completion			removal of some trees,	
		of ground verification by a qualified arborist, the actual			the City approved a	
25		amount of oak woodland present within impact areas could			Tree Replacement	
		be slightly greater or lesser than the amount calculated			Plan for another	
		from aerial photograph and, therefore, the amount			portion of the tree that	
		preserved could also be slightly greater or lesser than 399			were removed and	
		acres).			preserved existing oak	
		G . 242 C 1 11 11 11 11 41 41 CDA 1			trees in El Dorado	
		planting a combination of blue oak acorns, seedlings, and			County for the	
		trees in the following SPA locations:			remaining mitigation	
		C .			for the total number of	
		 Non-wooded areas that are adjacent to or contiguous with 			protected trees in	
		the existing oak woodland habitat.			accordance with this	
					condition.	

- Preserve and passive open space zones throughout the SPA.
- Open space areas that are adjacent to existing oak woodlands that will be impacted by project grading (i.e. catch slopes).
- Other practical locations within the SPA in or adjacent to open space.
- Oak Woodlands Mitigation Planting Criteria

The following oak woodland mitigation planting criteria shall be used to create oak woodland habitat:

- A minimum of 55 planting sites per acre (with a total of 70 units, as defined below) will mitigate for one acre of oak woodland impacts. A combination of acorns, seedlings, and various sizes of container trees (#1 container, #5 container, #15 container) or transplanted trees shall be incorporated into the planting design. Mitigation acreage that is planted solely with larger oak trees (no acorns) shall have a minimum of 35 planting sites per acre. The units are defined as follows:
 - One established acorn equals one unit (acorns will be over planted to maximize potential germination).
 - One oak seedling equals one unit.
 - One #1 container oak tree equals two units.
 - One #5 container oak tree equals three units.
 - One #15 container oak tree equals four units.
 - One 24-inch boxed oak tree equals six units.
 - One transplanted oak tree equals four units per trunk diameter inch (dbh).
 - Native non oak species characteristic of oak woodlands shall be included in the mitigation planting plan to augment overall habitat values. Each non oak tree species shall represent unit values described above for oak trees,

The Conservation
Easements within the
Phase 3 portion of the
subdivision were fenced
off around their
boundaries in advance of
the commencement of
grading and construction
and the City verified
compliance by
inspecting the fence in
advance of grading.

but non oak species shall comprise no more than 10% of
the mitigation plantings.
Preserve and protect existing off-site oak woodland habitat. Existing, unprotected oak woodland habitat within Sacramento and El Dorado Counties may be secured and placed under conservation easement in lieu of onsite mitigation measures if necessary. The off-site locations would be managed as oak woodland habitat in perpetuity.
Create oak woodlands off site. Plant a combination of blue oak acorns, seedlings, and trees at off-site location(s), if needed to achieve the creation goal of 243 acres of new blue oak woodland habitat. This measure would only be needed if 243 acres of blue oak woodland could not be created in the SPA. Off-site creation shall follow the same guidelines as outlined in the Mitigation Planting Criteria for onsite creation. Off-site tree planting shall occur at sites within Sacramento County that should naturally support blue oak woodland and shall be used to restore former blue oak woodland habitat that has been degraded or removed through human activities. Restoration shall be designed to result in species composition and densities similar to those in the SPA prior to project development. Planted areas shall be placed under conservation easement and managed as oak woodland habitat in perpetuity.
The oak woodland mitigation plan prepared by the project applicant(s) shall include a maintenance and monitoring program for any replacement trees. The program shall include monitoring and reporting requirements, schedule, and success criteria. Replacement oak trees shall be maintained and monitored for a minimum of eight years from the date of planting and irrigation shall be provided to planted trees for the first five years after planting. Any replacement trees that die during the monitoring period shall be replaced in sufficient numbers to achieve 80% survival rate for planted trees by the end of the eight-year maintenance and monitoring period. Dead and dying trees

shall be replaced and monitoring continued until 80% survivorship is achieved. Security acceptable to the City and sufficient to cover maintenance and monitoring costs for eight years shall be provided to the City Planning Department. The security will be forfeited if the project applicant or designated responsible party fails to provide maintenance and monitoring and meet the success criteria. **Isolated Oak Tree Mitigation** The project applicant(s) of all on-site project phases containing oak woodland habitat or isolated trees and the off-site Prairie City Road and Oak Avenue interchange improvements to U.S. 50; Rowberry Drive Overcrossing; and the underground sewer force main shall develop a map depicting the tree canopy of all oak trees in the survey area and identifying the acreage of tree canopy that would be preserved and the acreage that would be removed. A tree permit for removal of isolated oak trees (those not located within the delineated boundary of oak woodland habitat) shall be obtained from the City Planning Director. As a condition of the tree removal permit, project applicant(s) shall be required to develop a Planting and Maintenance Agreement. The City's Tree Preservation Code requires compensatory mitigation and the City and the project applicants have developed a plan, as set forth Section 10 of the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan (attached to this EIR/EIS as Appendix N) specifically to avoid and minimize adverse effects on isolated oak trees from project development and to provide compensatory mitigation for removal of protected trees in the SPA. In addition to the language contained in the Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan, the following elements shall be included in a protected tree mitigation plan to be developed by the project applicants and agreed upon by the City: Project applicant(s) of projects containing isolated oak trees shall retain a certified arborist or registered

professional forester to perform a determinate survey of tree species, size (dbh), condition, and location for all areas

encroachment of development. The condition of individual

of the project site proposed for tree removal and

	trees shall be assessed according to the American Society of Consulting Arborists rating system with the following added explanations:	
	 5 = Excellent; No problems – tree has no structural problems, branches are properly spaced and tree characteristics are nearly perfect for the species. 	
	 4 = Good; No apparent problems – tree is in good condition and no apparent problems from visual inspection. If potential structural or health problems are tended at this stage, future hazard can be reduced and more serious health problems can be averted. 	2.95
	3 = Fair; Minor problems – There are some minor structural or health problems that pose no immediate danger. When the recommended actions in an arborist report are completed correctly the defect(s) can be minimized or eliminated.	
3	• 2 = Poor; Major problems – the tree is in poor condition, but the condition could be improved with correct arboricultural work including, but not limited to: pruning, cabling, bracing, bolting, guying, spraying, mistletoe removal, vertical mulching, and fertilization. If the recommended actions are completed correctly, hazard can be reduced and the rating can be elevated to a 3. If no action is taken the tree is considered a liability and should be removed.	
	• 1 = Hazardous or non correctable condition – the tree is in extremely poor condition and in non-reversible decline. This rating is assigned to a tree that has structural and/or health problems that no amount of tree care work or effort can change. The issues may or may not be considered a dangerous situation. The tree may also be infested with a disease or pest(s) that is non-controllable at this time and is causing an unacceptable risk of spreading the disease or pests(s) to other trees.	

	■ 0 = Dead – the tree has no significant signs of life (dead
	or very close to being dead).
	Isolated Oak Tree Mitigation Planting Criteria
	The determination for whether an isolated tree shall be preserved, removed without compensation, or removed with compensatory mitigation shall be based on the condition and size of the tree as follows:
	■ Trees rated 0 or 1 may be removed with no mitigation.
	Trees rated 2 may be removed at 50% of the normal Folsom Municipal Code mitigation.
	Trees rated 3, 4, and/or 5 may be removed at the normal Folsom Municipal Code mitigation.
	Native isolated oaks measuring 24 inches or greater dbh for a single trunk or 40 inches or more for a multi-trunked tree and rated a 3 to 5 shall be retained, unless retaining wall(s) higher than 4 feet tall (from bottom of footing to the top of the wall) would be required to protect the tree(s) from mass grading of the SPA properties.
	Native oaks measuring between 12 and 24 inches dbh and rated a 4 or 5 shall not be removed or mitigated unless wall(s) higher than 4 feet tall (from bottom of footing to the top of the wall) would be required to protect the tree(s) from mass grading of the SPA properties. Trees in this size class but rated 2 or 3 shall not be removed unless unreasonable costs to save the tree(s) (greater than the cost of implementing the isolated oak tree mitigation planting criteria described here) would result.
1,4	Native oaks measuring 5 inches or greater dbh but less than 12 inches dbh shall not be removed unless unreasonable costs to save the tree(s) (greater than the cost of implementing the isolated oak tree mitigation planting criteria described here) would result.
	Native oak trees measuring 1 inch or greater dbh but less than 5 inches dbh may be preserved to receive a Small

	Tree Preservation Credit (STPC). Any tree that is to be considered for preservation credit shall be evaluated, included in the arborist report, and shall have been found to be rated a 3, 4, or a 5. Credits shall only be accepted if the tree protection zone (TPZ) (i.e., the outer edge of the tree canopy drip line) is protected with fencing in the exact manner that 5 inches dbh and greater trees are protected on a construction site, and the spacing is equal to the proper tree spacing dictated by the Folsom Master Tree List. STPC shall not count if they the tree is in a poor growing space due to its position within the TPZ of another protected tree to be preserved. The City shall accept the preservation of native oak trees in this size class as credit towards the total removed inches based on the following STPC criteria:	
	Folsom Municipal Code requires one of the following be planted as compensation for each diameter inch of protected tree removed:	
	half of a 24-inch box tree;	
	one #15 container tree;	
	two #5 container trees; or	
	\$150 in-lieu payment or other fee set by City Council Resolution.	
	The Planting and Maintenance Agreement shall include a planting plan, planting and irrigation design details, and a weaning schedule for the establishment period. The plan shall include a 5-year establishment period for trees and 8 years for planted acorns with an annual monitoring report that includes corrections needed with proposed work plan, and notice of compliance within 90-days of annual monitoring report. Security in an form acceptable to the City and sufficient to cover maintenance and monitoring costs for eight years shall be provided to the City Planning	
	Department. The security will be forfeited if the project	

		applicant or designated responsible party fails to fulfill the Planting and Maintenance Agreement. To avoid and minimize indirect impacts on protected trees to remain on the SPA, the project applicant(s) of all affected project phases shall install high visibility fencing outside the outer edge of the drip lines of all trees to be retained on the SPA during project construction. The fencing may be installed around groups or stands of trees or whole wooded areas bust must be installed so that the drip lines of all trees are protected. Grading, trenching, equipment or materials storage, parking, paving, irrigation, and landscaping shall be prohibited within the fenced areas (i.e. drip lines of protected trees). If the activities listed cannot be avoided within the drip line of a particular tree, that tree shall be counted as an affected tree and compensatory mitigation shall be provided, or the tree in question shall be monitored for a period of five years and replaced only if the tree appears to be dead or dying within five years of project implementation. Through a combination of the mitigation options presented above along with the proposed on-site preservation of blue oak woodland habitat in the open space areas, the project applicant(s) can satisfy the mitigation requirements for removal of trees protected under the Folsom Municipal Code while also mitigating the impacts on oak woodland habitat, as determined through consultation with the Sacramento County Planning Department (for County off-site impacts only) and/or the City of Folsom. Mitigation for the U.S. 50 interchange improvements must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with Caltrans.				
71-22	WS-1 (Addendum)	Conduct Environmental Awareness Training for Construction Employees. Prior to beginning construction activities, the Project Applicant shall employ a qualified biologist to develop and conduct environmental awareness training for construction employees.	Before approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities, including	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions	Yes

		The training shall describe the importance of onsite biological resources, including special-status wildlife habitats; potential nests of special-status birds; and roosting habitat for special-status bats. The biologist shall also explain the importance of other responsibilities related to the protection of wildlife during construction such as inspecting open trenches and looking under vehicles and machinery prior to moving them to ensure there are no lizards, snakes, small mammals, or other wildlife that could become trapped, injured, or killed in construction areas or under equipment. The environmental awareness program shall be provided to all construction personnel to brief them on the life history of special-status species in or adjacent to the project area, the need to avoid impacts on sensitive biological resources, any terms and conditions required by State and federal agencies, and the penalties for not complying with biological mitigation requirements. If new construction personnel are added to the project, the contractor's superintendent shall ensure that the personnel receive the mandatory training before starting work. An environmental awareness handout that describes and illustrates sensitive resources to be avoided during project construction and identifies all relevant permit conditions shall be provided to each person.	grubbing or clearing, for any project phase.		and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	
71-23	WS-2 (Addendum)	Conduct Preconstruction Western Spadefoot Survey. The Project Applicant(s) shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct a preconstruction western spadefoot survey within 48 hours of the initiation of construction activity within suitable tadpole habitat (e.g., vernal pools, seasonal wetlands, and drainages with standing water) for western spadefoot. If no western spadefoot individuals are found during the preconstruction survey, the biologist shall document the findings in a letter report to CDFW and the City, and no further mitigation shall be required. If western spadefoot individuals are found, the qualified biologist shall consult with CDFW to determine appropriate avoidance measures.	Before approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities, including grubbing or clearing, within suitable tadpole habitat.	California Department of Fish and Game, and City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

71-24	NWPT-1 (Addendum)	Conduct Preconstruction Northwestern Pond Turtle Survey. The Project Applicant(s) shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct a preconstruction northwestern pond turtle survey within 48 hours of the initiation of construction activity within suitable habitat for northwestern pond turtle. If no northwestern pond turtles are found during the preconstruction survey, the biologist shall document the findings in a letter report to CDFW and the City, and no further mitigation shall be required. If northwestern pond turtles are found, the qualified biologist shall capture and relocate the turtles to a suitable preserved location in the vicinity of the project.	Before approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities, including grubbing or clearing, within suitable northwestern pond turtle habitat.	California Department of Fish and Game, and City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes
71-25	NB-1 (Addendum)	Preconstruction Nesting Bird Survey. The Project Applicant shall conduct a preconstruction nesting bird survey of all areas associated with construction activities on the project site within 14 days prior to commencement of construction during the nesting season (1 February through 31 August). If active nests are found, a no-disturbance buffer around the nest shall be established. The buffer distance shall be established by a qualified biologist in consultation with CDFW. The buffer shall be maintained until the fledglings are capable of flight and become independent of the nest, to be determined by a qualified biologist. Once the young are independent of the nest, no further measures are necessary. Pre-construction nesting surveys are not required for construction activity outside of the nesting season.	Before approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities, including grubbing or clearing, for any project phase.	California Department of Fish and Game, and City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes
		Cultural Resources	- II	T G't C	On Inno 10 2010 the	Yes
71-26	3A.5-1a (Addendum)	Comply with the Programmatic Agreement. The PA for the project is incorporated by reference. The PA provides a management framework for identifying historic properties, determining adverse effects, and resolving those	During all construction phases	City of Folsom Community Development Department;	On June 10, 2019, the US Army Corps of Engineers, in consultation with the City, SHPO, the tribes	1 es

		adverse effects as required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. This document is incorporated by reference. The PA is available for public inspection and review at the California Office of Historic Preservation 1725 23rd Street Sacramento, CA 95816.		U.S. Army Corp of Engineers;	and consulting parties determined that the entire Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Regency project has satisfied its pre-construction compliance requirements for cultural resources and may proceed with construction. All required compliance letters are on file with the Community Development Department.	
71-27	3A.5-1b (Addendum)	Perform an Inventory and Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the California Register of Historic Places, Minimize or Avoid Damage or Destruction, and Perform Treatment Where Damage or Destruction Cannot be Avoided. These steps may be combined with deliverables and management steps performed for Section 106 provided that management documents prepared for the PA also clearly reference the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) listing criteria and significance thresholds that apply under CEQA. Prior to ground disturbing work for each individual development phase or off-site element, the applicable oversight agency (City of Folsom, El Dorado County, Sacramento County, or Caltrans), or the project applicant(s) of all project phases, with applicable oversight agency, shall perform the following actions: The project applicant shall retain the services of a qualified archaeologist to perform an inventory of cultural resources within each individual development phase or off-site element subject to approval under CEQA. Identified resources shall be evaluated for listing on the CRHR. The inventory report shall also identify locations that are	Before approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities, including grubbing or clearing, for any project phase.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	On June 10, 2019, the US Army Corps of Engineers, in consultation with the City, SHPO, the tribes and consulting parties determined that the entire Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Regency project has satisfied its pre-construction compliance requirements for cultural resources and may proceed with construction. All required compliance letters are on file with the Community Development Department.	Yes

sensitive for undiscovered cultural resources based upon the location of known resources, geomorphology, and topography. The inventory report shall specify the location of monitoring of ground-disturbing work in these areas by a qualified archaeologist and monitoring in the vicinity of identified resources that may be damaged by construction, if appropriate. The identification of any sensitive locations subject to monitoring during construction of each individual development phase shall be performed in concert with monitoring activities performed under the PA to minimize the potential for conflicting requirements.
For each resource that is determined eligible for the CRHR, the applicable agency or the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development (under the agency's direction) shall obtain the services of a qualified archaeologist who shall determine if implementation of the individual project development would result in damage or destruction of "significant" (under CEQA) cultural resources. These findings shall be reviewed by the applicable agency for consistency with the significance thresholds and treatment measures provided in this EIR/EIS.
Where possible, the project shall be configured or redesigned to avoid impacts on eligible or listed resources. Alternatively, these resources may be preserved in place if possible, as suggested under California Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. Avoidance of historic properties is required under certain circumstances under the Public Resource Code and 36 CFR Part 800.
Where impacts cannot be avoided, the applicable agency or the project applicant(s) of all project phases (under the applicable agency's direction) shall prepare and implement treatment measures that are determined to be necessary by a qualified archaeologist. These measures may consist of

		data recovery excavations for resources that are eligible for listing because of the data they contain (which may contribute to research). Alternatively, for historical architectural, engineered, or landscape features, treatment measures may consist of a preparation of interpretive, narrative, or photographic documentation. These measures shall be reviewed by the applicable oversight agency for consistency with the significance thresholds and standards provided in this EIR/EIS. To support the evaluation and treatment required under this Mitigation Measure, the archaeologist retained by either the applicable oversight agency or the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall prepare an appropriate prehistoric and historic context that identifies relevant prehistoric, ethnographic, and historic themes and research questions against which to determine the significance of identified resources and appropriate treatment. These steps and documents may be combined with the phasing of management and documents prepared pursuant to the FAPA to minimize the potential for inconsistency and duplicative management efforts. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries shall be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, or Caltrans).				
71-28	3A.5-2 (Addendum)	Conduct Construction Personnel Education, Conduct On- Site Monitoring If Required, Stop Work if Cultural Resources are Discovered, Assess the Significance of the Find, and Perform Treatment or Avoidance as Required. To reduce potential impacts to previously undiscovered cultural resources, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall do the following: Before the start of ground-disturbing activities, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall retain a qualified archaeologist to conduct training for construction workers	Before approval of grading or improvement plans or any ground disturbing activities, including grubbing or clearing, for any project phase.	City of Folsom Community Development Department; U.S. Army Corp of Engineers	On June 10, 2019, the US Army Corps of Engineers, in consultation with the City, SHPO, the tribes and consulting parties determined that the entire Toll Brothers at Folsom Ranch Regency project has satisfied its	Yes

as necessary based upon the sensitivity of the project APE, to educate them about the possibility of encountering buried cultural resources and inform them of the proper procedures should cultural resources be encountered. As a result of the work conducted for Mitigation Measures 3A.5-1a and 3A.5-1b, if the archaeologist determines that any portion of the SPA or the off-site elements should be monitored for potential discovery of as-yet-unknown cultural resources, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall implement such monitoring in the locations specified by the archaeologist. USACE should review and approve any recommendations by archaeologists with respect to monitoring. Should any cultural resources, such as structural features, unusual amounts of bone or shell, artifacts, or architectural remains be encountered during any construction activities, work shall be suspended in the vicinity of the find and the appropriate oversight agency(ies) (identified below) shall be notified immediately. The appropriate oversight

work shall be suspended in the vicinity of the find and the appropriate oversight agency(ies) (identified below) shall be notified immediately. The appropriate oversight agency(ies) shall retain a qualified archaeologist who shall conduct a field investigation of the specific site and shall assess the significance of the find by evaluating the resource for eligibility for listing on the CRHR and the NRHP. If the resource is eligible for listing on the CRHR or NRHP and it would be subject to disturbance or destruction, the actions required in Mitigation Measures 3A.5-1a and 3A.5-1b shall be implemented. The oversight agency shall be responsible for approval of recommended mitigation if it is determined to be feasible in light of the approved land uses and shall implement the approved

Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the

archaeological site.

mitigation before resuming construction activities at the

pre-construction
compliance requirements
for cultural resources
and may proceed with
construction. All
required compliance
letters are on file with
the Community
Development
Department. Compliance
with this condition is
monitored through
construction inspection.

affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, or Caltrans). The project applicant, in coordination with USACE, shall ensure that an archaeological sensitivity training program is developed and implemented during a pre-construction meeting for construction supervisors. The sensitivity training program shall provide information about notification procedures when potential archaeological material is discovered, procedures for coordination between construction personnel and monitoring personnel, and information about other treatment or issues that may arise if cultural resources (including human remains) are discovered during project construction. This protocol shall be communicated to all new construction personnel during orientation and on a poster that is placed in a visible location inside the construction job trailer. The phone number of the USACE cultural resources staff member shall also be included. The on-site sensitivity training shall be carried out each time a new contractor will begin work in the APE and at the beginning of each construction season by each contractor. If unanticipated discoveries of additional historic properties, defined in 36 CFR 800.16 (l), are made during the construction of the project, the USACE shall ensure that they will be protected by implementing the following measures: The Construction Manager, or archaeological monitor, if given the authority to halt construction activities, shall ensure that work in that area is immediately halted within a 100-foot radius of the unanticipated discovery until the find is examined by a person meeting the professional qualifications standards specified in Section 2.2 of Attachment G of the HPMP. The Construction Manager, or archaeological monitor, if present, shall notify the USACE within 24 hours of the discovery. The USACE shall notify the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) within one working day of an unanticipated discovery and may initiate interim treatment measures in accordance with this HPTP. Once the USACE

		makes a formal determination of eligibility for the resource, the USACE will notify the SHPO within 48 hours of the determination and afford the SHPO an opportunity to comment on appropriate treatment. The SHPO shall respond within 72 hours of the request to consult. Failure of the SHPO to respond within 72 hours shall not prohibit the USACE from implementing the treatment measures. The project applicants shall be required to submit to the City proof of compliance in the form of a completed training roster and copy of training materials.				
71-29	3A.5-3 (Addendum)	Suspend Ground-Disturbing Activities if Human Remains are Encountered and Comply with California Health and Safety Code Procedures. In accordance with the California Health and Safety Code, if human remains are uncovered during ground-disturbing activities, including those associated with off-site elements, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall immediately halt all ground-disturbing activities in the area of the find and notify the Sacramento County Coroner and a professional archaeologist skilled in osteological analysis to determine the nature of the remains. The coroner is required to examine all discoveries of human remains within 48 hours of receiving notice of a discovery on private or public lands (California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5[b]). If the coroner determines that the remains are those of a Native American, he or she must contact the NAHC by phone within 24 hours of making that determination (California Health and Safety Code Section 7050[c]). After the coroner's findings are complete, the project applicant(s), an archaeologist, and the NAHC-designated Most Likely Descendant shall determine the ultimate treatment and disposition of the remains and take appropriate steps to ensure that additional human interments are not disturbed. The responsibilities for acting on notification of a discovery of Native American human remains are identified in Section 5097.9 of the California Public Resources Code.	During all ground disturbing activities, for any project phase.	Sacramento County Coroner; Native American Heritage Commission; City of Folsom Community Development Department	There have been no human remains discovered during the course of grading and construction in the project.	Yes

Upon the discovery of Native American remains, the procedures above regarding involvement of the applicable county coroner, notification of the NAHC, and identification of an Most Likely Descendant shall be followed. The project applicant(s) of all project phases shall ensure that the immediate vicinity (according to generally accepted cultural or archaeological standards and practices) is not damaged or disturbed by further development activity until consultation with the Most Likely Descendant has taken place. The Most Likely Descendant shall have 48 hours after being granted access to the site to inspect the site and make recommendations. A range of possible treatments for the remains may be discussed: nondestructive removal and analysis, preservation in place, relinquishment of the remains and associated items to the descendants, or other culturally appropriate treatment. As suggested by AB 2641 (Chapter 863, Statutes of 2006), the concerned parties may extend discussions beyond the initial 48 hours to allow for the discovery of additional remains. AB 2641(e) includes a list of site protection measures and states that the project applicant(s) shall comply with one or more of the following requirements:

- record the site with the NAHC or the appropriate Information Center.
- use an open-space or conservation zoning designation or easement, or
- record a reinternment document with the county.

The project applicant(s) or its authorized representative of all project phases shall rebury the Native American human remains and associated grave goods with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance if the NAHC is unable to identify an Most Likely Descendant or if the Most Likely Descendant fails to make a recommendation within 48 hours after being granted access to the site. The project applicant(s) or its authorized representative may also reinter the remains in a location not subject to further disturbance if it rejects the recommendation of the Most Likely Descendant and mediation by the NAHC fails to provide

	measures acceptable to the landowner. Ground disturbance in the zone of suspended activity shall not recommence without authorization from the archaeologist. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, or Caltrans). The project applicants shall be required to submit to the City proof of compliance in the form of a completed training roster and copy of training materials.				
and Soils 3A.7-1a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Prepare Site-Specific Geotechnical Report per CBC Requirements and Implement Appropriate Recommendations. Before building permits are issued and construction activities begin any project development phase, the project applicant(s) of each project phase shall hire a licensed geotechnical engineer to prepare a final geotechnical subsurface investigation report for the on- and off-site facilities, which shall be submitted for review and approval to the appropriate City or county department (identified below). The final geotechnical engineering report shall address and make recommendations on the following: • site preparation; • soil bearing capacity; • appropriate sources and types of fill; • potential need for soil amendments; • road, pavement, and parking areas; • structural foundations, including retaining-wall design; • grading practices; • soil corrosion of concrete and steel; • erosion/winterization; • seismic ground shaking; • liquefaction; and	Before issuance of building permits and ground-disturbing activities.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The owner/applicant has provided Geotechnical Report to the City. The Geotechnical report for the subdivision is on file with the City.	Yes

71-31	3A.7-1b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	In addition to the recommendations for the conditions listed above, the geotechnical investigation shall include subsurface testing of soil and groundwater conditions, and shall determine appropriate foundation designs that are consistent with the version of the CBC that is applicable at the time building and grading permits are applied for. All recommendations contained in the final geotechnical engineering report shall be implemented by the project applicant(s) of each project phase. Special recommendations contained in the geotechnical engineering report shall be noted on the grading plans and implemented as appropriate before construction begins. Design and construction of all new project development shall be in accordance with the CBC. The project applicant(s) shall provide for engineering inspection and certification that earthwork has been performed in conformity with recommendations contained in the geotechnical report. Monitor Earthwork during Earthmoving Activities. All earthwork shall be monitored by a qualified geotechnical or soils engineer retained by the project applicant(s) of each project phase. The geotechnical or soils engineer shall provide oversight during all excavation, placement of fill, and disposal of materials removed from and deposited on both on- and off-site construction areas.	Before issuance of building permits and ground-disturbing activities.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	Compliance with this condition has been monitored through construction inspection by the City.	Yes
		Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, or Caltrans).				
71-32	3A.7-3 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Prepare and Implement the Appropriate Grading and Erosion Control Plan. Before grading permits are issued, the project applicant(s) of each project phase that would be located within the City of Folsom shall retain a California Registered Civil Engineer to prepare a grading and erosion control plan. The grading and erosion control plan shall be submitted to the City Public Works Department before issuance of grading permits for all new development. The plan shall be consistent	Before the start of construction activities.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	Compliance with this condition has been monitored through construction inspection by the City.	Yes

with the City's Grading Ordinance, the City's Hillside Development Guidelines, and the state's NPDES permit, and shall include the site-specific grading associated with development for all project phases. For the two off-site roadways into El Dorado Hills, the project applicant(s) of that phase shall retain a California Registered Civil Engineer to prepare a grading and erosion control plan. The grading and erosion control plan shall be submitted to the El Dorado County Public Works Department and the El Dorado Hills Community Service District before issuance of grading permits for roadway construction in El Dorado Hills. The plan shall be consistent with El Dorado County's Grading, Erosion, and Sediment Control Ordinance and the state's NPDES permit, and shall include the site-specific grading associated with roadway development. For the off-site detention basin west of Prairie City Road, the project applicant(s) of that phase shall retain a California Registered Civil Engineer to prepare a grading and erosion control plan. The grading and erosion control plan shall be submitted to the Sacramento County Public Works Department before issuance of a grading permit. The plan shall be consistent with Sacramento County's Grading, Erosion, and Sediment Control Ordinance and the state's NPDES permit, and shall include the site-specific grading associated with construction of the detention basin. The plans referenced above shall include the location, implementation schedule, and maintenance schedule of all erosion and sediment control measures, a description of measures designed to control dust and stabilize the construction-site road and entrance, and a description of the location and methods of storage and disposal of construction materials. Erosion and sediment control measures could include the use of detention basins, berms, swales, wattles, and silt fencing, and covering or watering of stockpiled soils to reduce wind erosion. Stabilization on steep slopes could include construction of retaining walls and reseeding with vegetation after construction. Stabilization of construction entrances to

minimize trackout (control dust) is commonly achieved by

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		installing filter fabric and crushed rock to a depth of approximately 1 foot. The project applicant(s) shall ensure that the construction contractor is responsible for securing a source of transportation and deposition of excavated materials. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties).				
		Implementation of Mitigation Measure 3A.9-1 (discussed in Section 3A.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality – Land") would also help reduce erosion-related impacts.				
71-33	3A.7-5 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Divert Seasonal Water Flows Away from Building Foundations. The project applicant(s) of all project phases shall either install subdrains (which typically consist of perforated pipe and gravel, surrounded by nonwoven geotextile fabric), or take such other actions as recommended by the geotechnical or civil engineer for the project that would serve to divert seasonal flows caused by surface infiltration, water seepage, and perched water during the winter months away from building foundations.	Before and during earthmoving activities.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The Community Development Department has reviewed and all improvement plans in the subdivision to verify compliance with mitigation measure.	Yes
71-34	3A.7-10 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Conduct Construction Personnel Education, Stop Work if Paleontological Resources are Discovered, Assess the Significance of the Find, and Prepare and Implement a Recovery Plan as Required. To minimize potential adverse impacts on previously unknown potentially unique, scientifically important paleontological resources, the project applicant(s) of all project phases where construction would occur in the Ione and Mehrten Formations shall do the following: ▶ Before the start of any earthmoving activities for any project phase in the Ione or Mehrten Formations, the project applicant(s) shall retain a qualified paleontologist or archaeologist to train all construction personnel involved with earthmoving activities, including the site superintendent, regarding the possibility of encountering	During earthmoving activities in the Ione and Mehrten Formations.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2020. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

		fossils, the appearance and types of fossils likely to be seen during construction, and proper notification procedures should fossils be encountered. If paleontological resources are discovered during earthmoving activities, the construction crew shall immediately cease work in the vicinity of the find and notify the appropriate lead agency (identified below). The project applicant(s) shall retain a qualified paleontologist to evaluate the resource and prepare a recovery plan in accordance with Society of Vertebrate Paleontology guidelines (1996). The recovery plan may include, but is not limited to, a field survey, construction monitoring, sampling and data recovery procedures, museum storage coordination for any specimen recovered, and a report of findings. Recommendations in the recovery plan that are determined by the lead agency to be necessary and feasible shall be implemented before construction activities can resume at the site where the paleontological resources were discovered. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of				
		Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the				
		affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., Sacramento County).				
71-35	aouse Gas Emi 3A.4-1 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement Additional Measures to Control Construction-Generated GHG Emissions. To further reduce construction-generated GHG emissions, the project applicant(s) any particular discretionary development application shall implement all feasible measures for reducing GHG emissions associated with construction that are recommended by SMAQMD at the time individual portions of the site undergo construction. Such measures may reduce GHG exhaust emissions from the use of on-site equipment, worker commute trips, and truck trips carrying materials and equipment to and from the SPA, as well as GHG emissions embodied in the materials selected for construction (e.g.,	Before approval of small-lot final maps and building permits for all discretionary development project, including all on- and off-site elements and implementation throughout project construction.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022.	Yes

concrete). Other measures may pertain to the materials used in	The compliance table is	
construction. Prior to releasing each request for bid to	on file with the City.	
contractors for the construction of each discretionary		
development entitlement, the project applicant(s) shall obtain		
the most current list of GHG reduction measures that are		
recommended by SMAQMD and stipulate that these measures		
be implemented in the respective request for bid as well as the		
subsequent construction contract with the selected primary		
contractor. The project applicant(s) for any particular		
discretionary development application may submit to the City		
and SMAQMD a report that substantiates why specific		
measures are considered infeasible for construction of that		
particular development phase and/or at that point in time. The		
report, including the substantiation for not implementing		
particular GHG reduction measures, shall be approved by the		
City, in consultation with SMAQMD prior to the release of a		
request for bid by the project applicant(s) for seeking a primary		
contractor to manage the construction of each development		
project. By requiring that the list of feasible measures be		
established prior to the selection of a primary contractor, this		
measure requires that the ability of a contractor to effectively		
implement the selected GHG reduction measures be inherent to		
the selection process.		
SMAQMD's recommended measures for reducing		
construction-related GHG emissions at the time of writing this		37
EIR/EIS are listed below and the project applicant(s) shall, at a		
minimum, be required to implement the following:		
► Improve fuel efficiency from construction equipment:		
reduce unnecessary idling (modify work practices, install		
auxiliary power for driver comfort);		
perform equipment maintenance (inspections, detect)		
failures early, corrections);		
 train equipment operators in proper use of equipment; 		
 use the proper size of equipment for the job; and 		
 use equipment with new technologies (repowered engines, 		
electric drive trains).		
<u>′</u>		

Use alternative fuels for electricity generators and welders at construction sites such as propane or solar, or use electrical power.
Use an ARB-approved low-carbon fuel, such as biodiesel or renewable diesel for construction equipment. (Emissions of oxides of nitrogen [NO _X] emissions from the use of low carbon fuel must be reviewed and increases mitigated.) Additional information about low carbon fuels is available from ARB's Low Carbon Fuel Standard Program (ARB 2009b).
Encourage and provide carpools, shuttle vans, transit passes and/or secure bicycle parking for construction worker commutes.
Reduce electricity use in the construction office by using compact fluorescent bulbs, powering off computers every day, and replacing heating and cooling units with more efficient ones.
Recycle or salvage non-hazardous construction and demolition debris (goal of at least 75% by weight).
► Use locally sourced or recycled materials for construction materials (goal of at least 20% based on costs for building materials, and based on volume for roadway, parking lot, sidewalk and curb materials).
► Minimize the amount of concrete used for paved surfaces or use a low carbon concrete option.
► Produce concrete on-site if determined to be less emissive than transporting ready mix.
► Use EPA-certified SmartWay trucks for deliveries and equipment transport. Additional information about the SmartWay Transport Partnership Program is available from ARB's Heavy-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Measure (ARB 2009c) and EPA (EPA 2009).

		Develop a plan in consultation with SMAQMD to efficiently use water for adequate dust control. This may consist of the use of nonpotable water from a local source. In addition to SMAQMD-recommended measures, construction activity shall comply with all applicable rules and regulations established by SMAQMD and ARB.		City	The comentanticent	Yes
71-36	3A.4-2b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in and Implement an Urban and Community Forestry Program and/or Off-Site Tree Program to Off-Set Loss of On-Site Trees. The trees on the project site contain sequestered carbon and would continue to provide future carbon sequestration during their growing life. For all harvestable trees that are subject to removal, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall participate in and provide necessary funding for urban and community forestry program (such as the UrbanWood program managed by the Urban Forest Ecosystems Institute [Urban Forest Ecosystems Institute 2009]) to ensure that wood with an equivalent carbon sequestration value to that of all harvestable removed trees is harvested for an end-use that would retain its carbon sequestration (e.g., furniture building, cabinet making). For all nonharvestable trees that are subject to removal, the project applicant(s) shall develop and fund an off-site tree program that includes a level of tree planting that, at a minimum, increases carbon sequestration by an amount equivalent to what would have been sequestered by the blue oak woodland during its lifetime. This program shall be funded by the project applicant(s) of each development phase and reviewed for comment by an independent Certified Arborist unaffiliated with the project applicant(s) and shall be coordinated with the requirements of Mitigation Measure 3.3-5, as stated in Section 3A.3, "Biological Resources - Land." Final approval of the program shall be provided by the City. Components of the program may include, but not be limited to, providing urban tree canopy in the City of Folsom, or reforestation in suitable areas outside the City. Reforestation in natural habitat areas outside the City of Folsom would simultaneously mitigate the loss of oak	Before approval of final maps and/or building permits for all project phases requiring discretionary approval, including all on- and off-site elements.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The owner/applicant worked with an organization Urban Wood Rescue which is a program in association with the Sacramento Tree Foundation that makes wood products from large trees that are removed as a result of development. The owner/applicant provided most of the large trees that were removed as a part of the grading and construction for the project to Urban Wood Rescue and they made table, furniture, etc. from the trees that were provided. The remaining trees and tree canopy was mulched by the owner/applicant and is stored on site to use as mulch in the landscape areas throughout the project. No removed trees were transported to the landfill in accordance	Yes

		woodland habitat while planting trees within the urban forest canopy would not. The California Urban Forestry Greenhouse Gas Reporting Protocol shall be used to assess this mitigation program (CCAR 2008). All unused vegetation and tree material shall be mulched for use in landscaping on the project site, shipped to the nearest composting facility, or shipped to a landfill that is equipped with a methane collection system, or combusted in a biomass power plant. Tree and vegetative material should not be burned on- or off-site unless used as fuel in a biomass power plant.			with this condition of approval.	
		Hazards and Hazardous Materials				
71-37	3A.8-2 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Complete Investigations Related to the Extent to Which Soil and/or Groundwater May Have Been Contaminated in Areas Not Covered by the Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessments and Implement Required Measures. The project applicant(s) for any discretionary development application shall conduct Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (where an Phase I has not been conducted), and if necessary, Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, and/or other appropriate testing for all areas of the SPA and include, as necessary, analysis of soil and/or groundwater samples for the potential contamination sites that have not yet been covered by previous investigations (as shown in Exhibit 3A.8-1) before construction activities begin in those areas. Recommendations in the Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessments to address any contamination that is found shall be implemented before initiating ground-disturbing activities in these areas. The project applicant(s) shall implement the following measures before ground-disturbing activities to reduce health hazards associated with potential exposure to hazardous substances: Prepare a plan that identifies any necessary remediation activities appropriate for proposed on- and off-site uses, including excavation and removal of on-site contaminated soils, redistribution of clean fill material in the SPA, and closure of any abandoned mine shafts. The plan shall include measures that ensure the safe transport, use, and	Before and during earth moving activities	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The subdivision is not within any identified area where contaminated groundwater is present. Therefore there is no required Phase 1 or Phase 2 Environmental Assessment required.	Yes

disposal of contaminated soil and building debris removed from the site. In the event that contaminated groundwater is encountered during site excavation activities, the contractor shall report the contamination to the appropriate regulatory agencies, dewater the excavated area, and treat the contaminated groundwater to remove contaminants before discharge into the sanitary sewer system. The project applicant(s) shall be required to comply with the plan and applicable Federal, state, and local laws. The plan shall outline measures for specific handling and reporting procedures for hazardous materials and disposal of hazardous materials removed from the site at an appropriate off-site disposal facility.
Notify the appropriate Federal, state, and local agencies if evidence of previously undiscovered soil or groundwater contamination (e.g., stained soil, odorous groundwater) is encountered during construction activities. Any contaminated areas shall be remediated in accordance with recommendations made by the Sacramento County Environmental Management Department, Central Valley RWQCB, DTSC, and/or other appropriate Federal, state, or local regulatory agencies.
Obtain an assessment conducted by PG&E and SMUD pertaining to the contents of any existing pole-mounted transformers located in the SPA. The assessment shall determine whether existing on-site electrical transformers contain PCBs and whether there are any records of spills from such equipment. If equipment containing PCB is identified, the maintenance and/or disposal of the transformer shall be subject to the regulations of the Toxic Substances Control Act under the authority of the Sacramento County Environmental Health Department.
Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., Sacramento County).

71-38	3A.8-6 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Prudent Avoidance and Notification of EMF Exposure. Potential purchasers of residential properties near the transmission lines shall be made aware of the controversy surrounding EMF exposure. The California Department of Real Estate shall be requested to insert an appropriate notification into the applicant's final Subdivision Public Report application, which shall be provided to purchasers of properties within 100 feet from the 100-115kV power line, or within 150 feet from the 220-230 kV power line. The notification would include a discussion of the scientific studies and conclusions reached to date, acknowledge that the notification distance is not based on specific biological evidence, but rather, the distance where background levels may increase, and provide that, given some uncertainty in the data, this notification is merely provided to allow purchasers to make an informed decision.	At the submission of tentative map applications.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	There are no overhead powerlines existing within this subdivision and all proposed and future utility lines to serve this subdivision will be placed underground in accordance with the subdivision's conditions of approval.	Yes
71-39	3A.8-7 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Prepare and Implement a Vector Control Plan in Consultation with the Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District. To ensure that operation and design of the stormwater system, including multiple planned detention basins, is consistent with the recommendations of the Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District regarding mosquito control, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall prepare and implement a Vector Control Plan. This plan shall be prepared in coordination with the Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District and shall be submitted to the City for approval before issuance of the grading permit for the detention basins under the City's jurisdiction. For the off-site detention basin, the plan shall be submitted to Sacramento County for approval before issuance of the grading permit for the off-site detention basin. The plan shall incorporate specific measures deemed sufficient by the City to minimize public health risks from mosquitoes, and as contained within the Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District BMP Manual (Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District 2008). The plan shall include, but is not limited to, the following components: Description of the project.	Before issuance of grading permits for the project water features.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City and its Environmental Compliance Consultant (Helix) have reviewed all MMRP conditions and verified compliance with this Mitigation Measure. Compliance was verified prior to commencement of grading and construction in the Summer of 2022. The compliance table is on file with the City.	Yes

	 Description of detention basins and all water features and facilities that would control on-site water levels. 	
	► Goals of the plan.	
	 Description of the water management elements and features that would be implemented, including: 	
	BMPs that would implemented on-site;	
	 public education and awareness; 	
	 sanitary methods used (e.g., disposal of garbage); 	
	 mosquito control methods used (e.g., fluctuating water levels, biological agents, pesticides, larvacides, circulating water); and 	
	 stormwater management (consistent with Stormwater Management Plan). 	
	Long-term maintenance of the detention basins and all related facilities (e.g., specific ongoing enforceable conditions or maintenance by a homeowner's association).	
	To reduce the potential for mosquitoes to reproduce in the detention basins, the project applicant(s) shall coordinate with the Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District to identify and implement BMPs based on their potential effectiveness for SPA conditions. Potential BMPs could include, but are not limited to, the following:	
	 build shoreline perimeters as steep and uniform as practicable to discourage dense plant growth; 	
-	 perform routine maintenance to reduce emergent plant densities to facilitate the ability of mosquito predators (i.e., fish) to move throughout vegetated area; 	
	 design distribution piping and containment basins with adequate slopes to drain fully and prevent standing water. The design slope should take into consideration buildup of sediment between maintenance periods. Compaction during grading may also be needed to avoid slumping and settling; 	

		 coordinate cleaning of catch basins, drop inlets, or storm drains with mosquito treatment operations; enforce the prompt removal of silt screens installed during construction when no longer needed to protect water quality; if the sump, vault, or basin is sealed against mosquitoes, with the exception of the inlet and outlet, submerge the inlet and outlet completely to reduce the available surface area of water for mosquito egg—laying (female mosquitoes can fly through pipes); and design structures with the appropriate pumping, piping, valves, or other necessary equipment to allow for easy dewatering of the unit if necessary (Sacramento Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District 2008). The project applicant(s) of the project phase containing the off-site detention basin shall coordinate mitigation for the off-site with the affected oversight agency (i.e., Sacramento County). 				
71-40	ogy and Water 3A.9-1 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Acquire Appropriate Regulatory Permits and Prepare and Implement SWPPP and BMPs. Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the project applicant(s) of all projects disturbing one or more acres (including phased construction of smaller areas which are part of a larger project) shall obtain coverage under the SWRCB's NPDES stormwater permit for general construction activity (Order 2009-0009-DWQ), including preparation and submittal of a project-specific SWPPP at the time the NOI is filed. The project applicant(s) shall also prepare and submit any other necessary erosion and sediment control and engineering plans and specifications for pollution prevention and control to Sacramento County, City of Folsom, El Dorado County (for the off-site roadways into El Dorado Hills under the Proposed Project Alternative). The SWPPP and other appropriate plans shall identify and specify: • the use of an effective combination of robust erosion and sediment control BMPs and construction techniques accepted by the local jurisdictions for use in the project	Submittal of the State Construction General Permit NOI and SWPPP (where applicable) and development and submittal of any other locally required plans and specifications before the issuance of grading permits for all on-site project phases and off-site elements and implementation throughout project construction.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The Owner/applicant has received a NPDES permit from the State Regional Water Quality Control Board (SRWQCB). The NDES Permit requires the implementation of BMP's, monitoring and reporting for stormwater runoff. The NPDES Permit includes a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which outlines monitoring standards, frequency and baseline modeling. The	Yes

	area at the time of construction, that shall reduce the potential for runoff and the release, mobilization, and exposure of pollutants, including legacy sources of mercury from project-related construction sites. These may include but would not be limited to temporary erosion control and soil stabilization measures, sedimentation ponds, inlet protection, perforated riser pipes, check dams,	P -	Owner/applicant has submitted monthly reports to the City and SRWQCB.
1	and silt fences		
•	the implementation of approved local plans, non- stormwater management controls, permanent post- construction BMPs, and inspection and maintenance responsibilities;		
	the pollutants that are likely to be used during construction that could be present in stormwater drainage and nonstormwater discharges, including fuels, lubricants, and other types of materials used for equipment operation;	=	
•	spill prevention and contingency measures, including measures to prevent or clean up spills of hazardous waste and of hazardous materials used for equipment operation, and emergency procedures for responding to spills;		
•	personnel training requirements and procedures that shall be used to ensure that workers are aware of permit requirements and proper installation methods for BMPs specified in the SWPPP; and		
•	the appropriate personnel responsible for supervisory duties related to implementation of the SWPPP.		
•	Where applicable, BMPs identified in the SWPPP shall be in place throughout all site work and construction/demolition activities and shall be used in all subsequent site development activities. BMPs may include, but are not limited to, such measures as those listed below.		
•	Implementing temporary erosion and sediment control measures in disturbed areas to minimize discharge of sediment into nearby drainage conveyances, in compliance with state and local standards in effect at the time of		

		construction. These measures may include silt fences, staked straw bales or wattles, sediment/silt basins and traps, geofabric, sandbag dikes, and temporary vegetation. Establishing permanent vegetative cover to reduce erosion in areas disturbed by construction by slowing runoff velocities, trapping sediment, and enhancing filtration and transpiration. Using drainage swales, ditches, and earth dikes to control erosion and runoff by conveying surface runoff down sloping land, intercepting and diverting runoff to a watercourse or channel, preventing sheet flow over sloped surfaces, preventing runoff accumulation at the base of a grade, and avoiding flood damage along roadways and facility infrastructure. A copy of the approved SWPPP shall be maintained and available at all times on the construction site. For those areas that would be disturbed as part of the U.S. 50 interchange improvements, Caltrans shall coordinate with the development and implementation of the overall project SWPPP, or develop and implement its own SWPPP specific to the interchange improvements, to ensure that water quality degradation would be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties, or Caltrans).				
71-41	3A.9-2 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Prepare and Submit Final Drainage Plans and Implement Requirements Contained in Those Plans. Before the approval of grading plans and building permits, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall submit final drainage plans to the City, and to El Dorado County for the offsite roadway connections into El Dorado Hills, demonstrating that off-site upstream runoff would be appropriately conveyed through the SPA, and that project-related on-site runoff would	Before approval of grading plans and building permits of all project phases.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The Owner/applicant has received a NPDES permit from the State Regional Water Quality Control Board (SRWQCB). The NDES Permit requires the implementation of	Yes

be appropriately contained in detention basins or managed with through other improvements (e.g., source controls, biotechnical stream stabilization) to reduce flooding and hydromodfication impacts.

The plans shall include, but not be limited to, the following items:

- an accurate calculation of pre-project and post-project runoff scenarios, obtained using appropriate engineering methods, that accurately evaluates potential changes to runoff, including increased surface runoff;
- ► runoff calculations for the 10-year and 100-year (0.01 AEP) storm events (and other, smaller storm events as required) shall be performed and the trunk drainage pipeline sizes confirmed based on alignments and detention facility locations finalized in the design phase;
- ▶ a description of the proposed maintenance program for the on-site drainage system;
- ▶ project-specific standards for installing drainage systems;
- City and El Dorado County flood control design requirements and measures designed to comply with them;

Implementation of stormwater management BMPs that avoid increases in the erosive force of flows beyond a specific range of conditions needed to limit hydromodification and maintain current stream geomorphology. These BMPs will be designed and constructed in accordance with the forthcoming SSQP Hydromodification Management Plan (to be adopted by the RWQCB) and may

include, but are not limited to, the following:

▶ use of Low Impact Development (LID) techniques to limit increases in stormwater runoff at the point of origination (these may include, but are not limited to: surface swales; replacement of conventional impervious surfaces with pervious surfaces [e.g., porous pavement]; impervious surfaces disconnection; and trees planted to intercept stormwater);

BMP's, monitoring and reporting for stormwater runoff. The NPDES Permit includes a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which outlines monitoring standards, frequency and baseline modeling. The Owner/applicant has submitted monthly reports to the City and SRWQCB.

		 enlarged detention basins to minimize flow changes and changes to flow duration characteristics; bioengineered stream stabilization to minimize bank erosion, utilizing vegetative and rock stabilization, and inset floodplain restoration features that provide for enhancement of riparian habitat and maintenance of natural hydrologic and channel to floodplain interactions; minimize slope differences between any stormwater or detention facility outfall channel with the existing receiving channel gradient to reduce flow velocity; and minimize to the extent possible detention basin, bridge embankment, and other encroachments into the channel and floodplain corridor, and utilize open bottom box culverts to allow sediment passage on smaller drainage courses. The final drainage plan shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the City of Folsom Community Development and Public Works Departments and El Dorado County Department of Transportation that 100-year (0.01 AEP) flood flows would be appropriately channeled and contained, such that the risk to people or damage to structures within or down gradient of the SPA would not occur, and that hydromodification would not be increased from pre-development levels such that existing stream geomorphology would be changed (the range of conditions should be calculated for each receiving water if feasible, or a conservative estimate should be used, e.g., an Ep of 1 ±10% or other as approved by the Sacramento Stormwater Quality Partnership and/or City of Folsom Public Works Department). Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with El Dorado County. 				
71-42	3A.9-3	Develop and Implement a BMP and Water Quality	Prepare plans before	City of	The Owner/applicant has	Yes
	(FPASP EIR/EIS)	Maintenance Plan. Before approval of the grading permits for any development project requiring a subdivision map, a	the issuance of grading permits for all project	Folsom Community	received a NPDES permit from the State	

pre app sub off- and sub the	ailed BMP and water quality maintenance plan shall be pared by a qualified engineer retained by the project licant(s) the development project. Drafts of the plan shall be mitted to the City of Folsom and El Dorado County for the site roadway connections into El Dorado Hills, for review approval concurrently with development of tentative division maps for all project phases. The plan shall finalize water quality improvements and further detail the structural nonstructural BMPs proposed for the project. The plan Il include the elements described below. A quantitative hydrologic and water quality analysis of proposed conditions incorporating the proposed drainage design features. Predevelopment and post development calculations demonstrating that the proposed water quality BMPs meet or exceed requirements established by the City of Folsom and including details regarding the size, geometry, and functional timing of storage and release pursuant to the "Stormwater Quality Design Manual for Sacramento and South Placer Regions" ([SSQP 2007b] per NPDES Permit No. CAS082597 WDR Order No. R5-2008-0142, page 46) and El Dorado County's NPDES SWMP (County of El Dorado 2004). Source control programs to control water quality pollutants on the SPA, which may include but are limited to recycling, street sweeping, storm drain cleaning, household hazardous waste collection, waste minimization, prevention of spills and illegal dumping, and effective management of public trash collection areas. A pond management component for the proposed basins that shall include management and maintenance requirements for the design features and BMPs, and responsible parties for maintenance and funding. LID control measures shall be integrated into the BMP and water quality maintenance plan. These may include, but are not limited to:	phases and off-site elements and implementation throughout project construction.	Development Department and Public Works Department	Regional Water Quality Control Board (SRWQCB). The NDES Permit requires the implementation of BMP's, monitoring and reporting for stormwater runoff. The NPDES Permit includes a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which outlines monitoring standards, frequency and baseline modeling. The Owner/applicant has submitted monthly reports to the City and SRWQCB. The owner/applicant has prepared storm drain design reports and provided storm drain calculations for all of the proposed storm drainage features and detention/water quality and hydromodification basins necessary to serve this subdivision. These reports have been reviewed and approved by the Community Development and Public Works Departments.	

		surface swales;				
		 replacement of conventional impervious surfaces with pervious surfaces (e.g., porous pavement); 				
		 impervious surfaces disconnection; and 	,			
		 trees planted to intercept stormwater. 				
		New stormwater facilities shall be placed along the natural drainage courses within the SPA to the extent practicable so as to mimic the natural drainage patterns. The reduction in runoff as a result of the LID configurations shall be quantified based on the runoff reduction credit system methodology described in "Stormwater Quality Design Manual for the Sacramento and South Placer Regions, Chapter 5 and Appendix D4" (SSQP 2007b) and proposed detention basins and other water quality BMPs shall be sized to handle these runoff volumes.				9
	ž.	For those areas that would be disturbed as part of the U.S. 50 interchange improvements, it is anticipated that Caltrans would coordinate with the development and implementation of the overall project SWPPP, or develop and implement its own SWPPP specific to the interchange improvements, to ensure that water quality degradation would be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable.				
		Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with El Dorado County and Caltrans.				
71-43	3A.9-4 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Inspect and Evaluate Existing Dams Within and Upstream of the Project Site and Make Improvements if Necessary. Prior to submittal to the City of tentative maps or improvement plans the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall perform conduct studies to determine the extent of inundation in the case of dam failure. If the studies determine potential exposure of people or structures to a significant risk of flooding as a result of the failure of a dam, the applicants(s) shall implement of any feasible recommendations provided in that study, potentially through drainage improvements, subject to the approval of the City of Folsom Public Works Department.	Prior to submittal to the City of tentative maps or improvement plans.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	There are no existing or proposed dams upstream from this subdivision and therefore there is no requirement to perform the analysis required in this condition of approval.	Yes

	and Vibration			L Git C	1 m	V
71-44	3A.11-1 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement Noise-Reducing Construction Practices, Prepare and Implement a Noise Control Plan, and Monitor and Record Construction Noise near Sensitive Receptors. To reduce impacts associated with noise generated during project related construction activities, the project applicant(s) and their primary contractors for engineering design and construction of all project phases shall ensure that the following requirements are implemented at each work site in any year of project construction to avoid and minimize construction noise effects on sensitive receptors. The project applicant(s) and primary construction contractor(s) shall employ noise-reducing construction practices. Measures that shall be used to limit noise shall include the measures listed below:	Before and during construction activities on the SPA and within El Dorado Hills.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The owner/applicant has implemented noise reducing construction practices included as part of the required Noise Control Plan. Compliance with these requirements has been monitored through construction inspection.	Yes
		 Noise-generating construction operations shall be limited to the hours between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. Monday through Friday, and between 8 a.m. and 6 p.m. on Saturdays and Sundays. All construction equipment and equipment staging areas shall be located as far as possible from nearby noisesensitive land uses. 				
		 All construction equipment shall be properly maintained and equipped with noise-reduction intake and exhaust mufflers and engine shrouds, in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations. Equipment engine shrouds shall be closed during equipment operation. All motorized construction equipment shall be shut down when not in use to prevent idling. 			15	
		 Individual operations and techniques shall be replaced with quieter procedures (e.g., using welding instead of riveting, mixing concrete offsite instead of on-site). Noise-reducing enclosures shall be used around stationary 				
		noise-generating equipment (e.g., compressors and generators) as planned phases are built out and future noise				

	sensitive receptors are located within close proximity to future construction activities.
	➤ Written notification of construction activities shall be provided to all noise-sensitive receptors located within 850 feet of construction activities. Notification shall include anticipated dates and hours during which construction activities are anticipated to occur and contact information, including a daytime telephone number, for the project representative to be contacted in the event that noise levels are deemed excessive. Recommendations to assist noisesensitive land uses in reducing interior noise levels (e.g., closing windows and doors) shall also be included in the notification.
	To the extent feasible, acoustic barriers (e.g., lead curtains, sound barriers) shall be constructed to reduce construction-generated noise levels at affected noise-sensitive land uses. The barriers shall be designed to obstruct the line of sight between the noise-sensitive land use and on-site construction equipment. When installed properly, acoustic barriers can reduce construction noise levels by approximately 8–10 dB (EPA 1971).
s.	When future noise sensitive uses are within close proximity to prolonged construction noise, noise-attenuating buffers such as structures, truck trailers, or soil piles shall be located between noise sources and future residences to shield sensitive receptors from construction noise.
	The primary contractor shall prepare and implement a construction noise management plan. This plan shall identify specific measures to ensure compliance with the noise control measures specified above. The noise control plan shall be submitted to the City of Folsom before any noise-generating construction activity begins. Construction shall not commence until the construction noise management plan is approved by the City of Folsom.

		Mitigation for the two off-site roadway connections into El Dorado County must be coordinated by the project applicant(s) of the applicable project phase with El Dorado County, since the roadway extensions are outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries.				
71-45	3A.11-3 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	 Implement Measures to Prevent Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Groundborne Noise or Vibration from Project Generated Construction Activities. To the extent feasible, blasting activities shall not be conducted within 275 feet of existing or future sensitive receptors. To the extent feasible, bulldozing activities shall not be conducted within 50 feet of existing or future sensitive receptors. All blasting shall be performed by a blast contractor and blasting personnel licensed to operate in the State of California. A blasting plan, including estimates of vibration levels at the residence closest to the blast, shall be submitted to the enforcement agency for review and approval prior to the commencement of the first blast. Each blast shall be monitored and documented for groundbourne noise and vibration levels at the nearest sensitive land use and associated recorded submitted to the enforcement agency. 	Before and during bulldozing and blasting activities on the SPA and within El Dorado Hills and the County of Sacramento	City of Folsom Community Development Department	Blasting has been necessary throughout the course of grading and construction in this subdivision. The blasting has not been within 2000 feet of any sensitive receptor. The blasting contractor has obtained a blasting permit from the City and the Community Development Department has verified compliance with the permit through construction inspection.	Yes
71-46	3A.11-5 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement Measures to Reduce Noise from Project-Generated Stationary Sources. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development project shall implement the following measures to reduce the effect of noise levels generated by on-site stationary noise sources that would be located within 600 feet of any noise-sensitive receptor: ▶ Routine testing and preventive maintenance of emergency electrical generators shall be conducted during the less sensitive daytime hours (i.e., 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.). All	Before submittal of improvement plans for each project phase, and during project operations for testing of emergency generators.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The owner/applicant has implemented noise reducing construction practices included as part of the required Noise Control Plan. Compliance with these requirements has been monitored through construction inspection.	Yes

electrical generators shall be equipped with noise control (e.g., muffler) devices in accordance with manufacturers' specifications. External mechanical equipment associated with buildings shall incorporate features designed to reduce noise emissions below the stationary noise source criteria. These features may include, but are not limited to, locating generators within equipment rooms or enclosures that incorporate noise-reduction features, such as acoustical louvers, and exhaust and intake silencers. Equipment enclosures shall be oriented so that major openings (i.e., intake louvers, exhaust) are directed away from nearby noise-sensitive receptors.	There are no sensitive receptors within 600 feet of any on-going construction and grading activity in the subdivision.
Parking lots shall be located and designed so that noise emissions do not exceed the stationary noise source criteria established in this analysis (i.e., 50 dB for 30 minutes in every hour during the daytime [7 a.m. to 10 p.m.] and less than 45 dB for 30 minutes of every hour during the night time [10 p.m. to 7 a.m.]). Reduction of parking lot noise can be achieved by locating parking lots as far away as feasible from noise sensitive land uses, or using buildings and topographic features to provide acoustic shielding for noise-sensitive land uses.	
Loading docks shall be located and designed so that noise emissions do not exceed the stationary noise source criteria established in this analysis (i.e., 50 dB for 30 minutes in every hour during the daytime [7 a.m. to 10 p.m.] and less than 45 dB for 30 minutes of every hour during the night time [10 p.m. to 7 a.m.]). Reduction of loading dock noise can be achieved by locating loading docks as far away as possible from noise sensitive land uses, constructing noise barriers between loading docks and noise-sensitive land uses, or using buildings and topographic features to provide acoustic shielding for noise-sensitive land uses.	€:

71-47	4 13-1	Exterior Traffic Noise Reduction Measures	Prior to building	City of	The landscape and	Condition will be
71-47	4.13-1 (Addendum)	Prior to building occupancy, the project applicant shall design and construct noise barriers, as detailed below, to reduce traffic noise levels below the City of Folsom exterior criteria of 60 dB Ldn. ▶ 6-foot tall solid noise barriers, relative to backyard elevations, shall be constructed along all property boundaries adjacent to East Bidwell Street, Mangini Parkway, and Oak Avenue Parkway. ▶ For the proposed Traditional Subdivisions portion of the project, a 7-foot tall solid noise barrier, relative to backyard elevations, shall be constructed along all property boundaries adjacent to White Rock Road. ▶ For the proposed Regency at Folsom Ranch Phase 1 and Phase 2 portions of the project, an 8-foot tall solid noise barrier, relative to backyard elevations, shall be constructed along all property boundaries adjacent to White Rock Road. Suitable materials for the traffic noise barriers include masonry and precast concrete panels. The overall barrier height may be achieved by utilizing a barrier and earthen berm combination. Other materials may be acceptable but shall be reviewed by an acoustical consultant prior to use. Barrier height requirements are based on a property boundary setback of 117-122 feet from the ultimate alignment of White Rock Road under the approved Capital Southeast Connector project. If 90 days prior to pulling building permits for the Toll Brothers site, it is determined that there is no evidence that the White Rock Road improvements are funded and moving forward, as described under the approved Capital Southeast Connector project, the project applicant shall obtain the services of a noise consultant to reconduct a site-specific acoustical analysis based on the actual property boundary	Prior to building occupancy	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The landscape and streetscape plans for the subdivision include the required masonry walls in the required height and required locations. The Community Development Department will monitor compliance during construction and verify that the sound walls are complete prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy in the subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a C of O in the appropriate phase of development of the project.
		setback to determine the appropriate noise reduction measures				

		to reduce traffic noise levels in accordance with adopted City of Folsom noise standards.				
71-48	4.13-2 (Addendum)	 Interior Traffic Noise Reduction Measures Prior to building occupancy, the project applicant shall ensure the following construction design features have been implemented. For the first-row of homes located along White Rock Road, the west-, south-, and east-facing upper-floor building facades shall maintain minimum window assembly STC ratings of 34. Mechanical ventilation (air conditioning) shall be provided for all residences in this development to allow the occupants to close doors and windows as desired to achieve compliance with the applicable interior noise level criteria. 	Prior to building occupancy	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The Community Development Department will monitor compliance during construction and verify that the sound walls are complete prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy in the subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a C of O in the appropriate phase of development of the project.
Public	Services					T
71-49	3A.14-1 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Prepare and Implement a Construction Traffic Control Plan. The project applicant(s) of all project phases shall prepare and implement traffic control plans for construction activities that may affect road rights-of-way. The traffic control plans must follow any applicable standards of the agency responsible for the affected roadway and must be approved and signed by a professional engineer. Measures typically used in traffic control plans include advertising of planned lane closures, warning signage, a flag person to direct traffic flows when needed, and methods to ensure continued access by emergency vehicles. During project construction, access to existing land uses shall be maintained at all times, with detours used as necessary during road closures. Traffic control plans shall be submitted to the appropriate City or County department or the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) for review and approval before the approval of all project plans or permits, for all project phases where implementation may cause impacts on traffic. Mitigation for the off-site elements outside of the City of Folsom's jurisdictional boundaries must be coordinated by the	Before the approval of all relevant plans and/or permits and during construction of all project phases.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The Community Development Department has reviewed and approved all traffic control plans required for the construction of both off-site and on- site improvements for this subdivision to verify compliance with City ordinances and to minimize delays to the travelling public. There are no off-site elements outside the City	Yes

		project applicant(s) of each applicable project phase with the affected oversight agency(ies) (i.e., El Dorado and/or Sacramento Counties and Caltrans).			limits for this subdivision.	
71-50	3A.14-2 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Incorporate California Fire Code; City of Folsom Fire Code Requirements; and EDHFD Requirements, if Necessary, into Project Design and Submit Project Design to the City of Folsom Fire Department for Review and Approval. To reduce impacts related to the provision of new fire services, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall do the following, as described below. 1. Incorporate into project designs fire flow requirements based on the California Fire Code, Folsom Fire Code (City of Folsom Municipal Code Title 8, Chapter 8.36), and other applicable requirements based on the City of Folsom Fire Department fire prevention standards. Improvement plans showing the incorporation automatic sprinkler systems, the availability of adequate fire flow, and the locations of hydrants shall be submitted to the City of Folsom Fire Department for review and approval. In addition, approved plans showing access design shall be provided to the City of Folsom Fire Department as described by Zoning Code Section 17.57.080 ("Vehicular Access Requirements"). These plans shall describe access-road length, dimensions, and finished surfaces for firefighting equipment. The installation of security gates across a fire apparatus access road shall be approved by the City of Folsom Fire Department. The design and operation of gates and barricades shall be in accordance with the Sacramento County Emergency Access Gates and Barriers Standard, as required by the City of Folsom Fire Code. 2. Submit a Fire Systems New Buildings, Additions, and Alterations Document Submittal List to the City of Folsom Community Development Department Building Division for review and approval before the issuance of building permits. In addition to the above measures, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall incorporate the provisions described below for the portion of the SPA within the EDHFD service area, if it	Before issuance of building permits and issuance of occupancy permits or final inspections for all project phases.	City of Folsom Fire Department, City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City Fire Department has reviewed and approved all proposed improvements for the subdivision. The City FD will verify adequate fire flow prior to building permit issuance in the subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		is determined through City/El Dorado County negotiations that EDHFD would serve the 178-acre portion of the SPA. 3. Incorporate into project designs applicable requirements based on the EDHFD fire prevention standards. For commercial development, improvement plans showing roadways, land splits, buildings, fire sprinkler systems, fire alarm systems, and other commercial building improvements shall be submitted to the EDHFD for review and approval. For residential development, improvement plans showing property lines and adjacent streets or roads; total acreage or square footage of the parcel; the footprint of all structures; driveway plan views describing width, length, turnouts, turnarounds, radiuses, and surfaces; and driveway profile views showing the percent grade from the access road to the structure and vertical clearance shall be submitted to the EDHFD for review and approval. 4. Submit a Fire Prevention Plan Checklist to the EDHFD for review and approval before the issuance of building permits. In addition, residential development requiring automation fire sprinklers shall submit sprinkler design sheet(s) and hydraulic calculations from a California State Licensed C-16 Contractor. The City shall not authorize the occupancy of any structures until the project applicant(s) have obtained a Certificate of Occupancy from the City of Folsom Community Development Department verifying that all fire prevention items have been addressed on-site to the satisfaction of the City of Folsom Fire Department and/or the EDHFD for the 178-acre area of the SPA within the EDHFD service area.				
71-51	3A.14-3 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Incorporate Fire Flow Requirements into Project Designs. The project applicant(s) of all project phases shall incorporate into their project designs fire flow requirements based on the California Fire Code, Folsom Fire Code, and/or EDHFD for those areas of the SPA within the EDHFD service area and shall verify to City of Folsom Fire Department that adequate water flow is available, prior to approval of improvement plans and issuance of occupancy permits or final inspections for all project phases.	Before issuance of building permits and issuance of occupancy permits or final inspections for all project phases.	City of Folsom Fire Department, City of Folsom Community Development Department	The City Fire Department has reviewed and approved all proposed improvements for the subdivision. The City FD will verify adequate fire flow prior to building	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

					permit issuance in the subdivision.	
Traffic	and Transpo	rtation				
71-52	3A.15-1a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements to the Folsom Boulevard/Blue Ravine Road Intersection (Intersection 1). To ensure that the Folsom Boulevard/Blue Ravine Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the eastbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, one through lane, and one right-turn lane. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the Folsom Boulevard/Blue Ravine Road intersection (Intersection 1).	A phasing analysis shall be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine when the improvement should be implemented and when fair share funding should be paid.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-53	3A.15-1b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements at the Sibley Street/Blue Ravine Road Intersection (Intersection 2). To ensure that the Sibley Street/Blue Ravine Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the Sibley Street/Blue Ravine Road intersection (Intersection 2).	A phasing analysis shall be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine when the improvement should be implemented and when fair share funding should be paid.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-54	3A.15-1c (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Fund and Construct Improvements to the Scott Road (West)/White Rock Road Intersection (Intersection 28). To ensure that the Scott Road (West)/White Rock Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, a traffic signal must be installed.	A phasing analysis shall be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine when the improvement should be implemented.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

71-55	3A.15-1e (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Fund and Construct Improvements to the Hillside Drive/Easton Valley Parkway Intersection (Intersection 41). To ensure that the Hillside Drive/Easton Valley Parkway intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the eastbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of one dedicated left turn lane and two through lanes, and the westbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two through lanes and one dedicated right-turn lane. The applicant	A phasing analysis shall be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine when the improvement should be implemented.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision. This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
	,	shall fund and construct these improvements.		City	Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be
71-56	3A.15-1f (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Fund and Construct Improvements to the Oak Avenue Parkway/Middle Road Intersection (Intersection 44). To ensure that the Oak Avenue Parkway/Middle Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, control all movements with a stop sign. The applicant shall fund and construct these improvements.	A phasing analysis shall be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine when the improvement should be implemented.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

71-57	3A.15-1h (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts to the Hazel Avenue/Folsom Boulevard Intersection (Sacramento County Intersection 2). To ensure that the Hazel Avenue/Folsom Boulevard intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, this intersection must be grade separated including "jug handle" ramps. No at grade improvement is feasible. Grade separating and extended (south) Hazel Avenue with improvements to the U.S. 50/Hazel Avenue interchange is a mitigation measure for the approved Easton-Glenbrough Specific Plan development project. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the Hazel Avenue/Folsom Boulevard intersection (Sacramento County Intersection 2).	A phasing analysis shall be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine when the improvement should be implemented.	Sacramento County Public Works Department and Caltrans	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-58	3A.15-1i (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the Grant Line Road/White Rock Road Intersection and to White Rock Road widening between the Rancho Cordova City limit to Prairie City Road (Sacramento County Intersection 3). Improvements must be made to ensure that the Grant Line Road/White Rock Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS. The currently County proposed White Rock Road widening project will widen and realign White Rock Road from the Rancho Cordova City limit to the El Dorado County line (this analysis assumes that the Proposed Project and build alternatives will widen White Rock Road to five lanes from Prairie City road to the El Dorado County Line). This widening includes improvements to the Grant Line Road intersection and realigning White Rock Road to be the through movement. The improvements include two eastbound through lanes, one eastbound right turn lane, two northbound left turn lanes, two northbound right turn lanes, two westbound left turn lanes and two westbound through lanes. This improvement also includes the signalization of the White Rock Road and Grant Line Road intersection. With implementation of this improvement, the intersection would operate at an acceptable LOS A. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency	Before project build out. Design of the White Rock Road widening to four lanes, from Grant Line Road to Prairie City Road, with Intersection improvements has begun, and because this widening project is environmentally cleared and fully funded, it's construction is expected to be complete before the first phase of the Proposed Project or alternative is built.	Sacramento County Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

71-59	3A.15-1j (FPASP EIR/EIS)	responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the Grant Line Road/White Rock Road intersection (Sacramento County Intersection 3). Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Hazel Avenue between Madison Avenue and Curragh Downs Drive (Roadway Segment 10). To ensure that Hazel Avenue operates at an acceptable LOS between Curragh Downs Drive and Gold Country Boulevard, Hazel Avenue must be widened to six lanes. This improvement is part of the County adopted Hazel Avenue widening project.	Before project build out. Construction of phase two of the Hazel Avenue widening, from Madison Avenue to Curragh Downs Drive, is expected to be completed by year 2013, before the first phase of the Proposed Project or alternative is complete. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Hazel Avenue between Madison Avenue and Curragh Downs Drive (Sacramento County Roadway Segment 10).	Sacramento County Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-60	3A.15-11 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the White Rock Road/Windfield Way Intersection (El Dorado County Intersection 3). To ensure that the White Rock Road/Windfield Way intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the intersection must be signalized and separate northbound left and right turn lanes must be striped.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to	El Dorado County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the White Rock Road/Windfield Way intersection (El Dorado County Intersection 3).	determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.		(SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-61	3A.15-10 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound U.S. 50 as an alternative to improvements at the Folsom Boulevard/U.S. 50 Eastbound Ramps Intersection (Caltrans Intersection 4). Congestion on eastbound U.S. 50 is causing vehicles to use Folsom Boulevard as an alternate parallel route until they reach U.S. 50, where they must get back on the freeway due to the lack of a parallel route. It is preferred to alleviate the congestion on U.S. 50 than to upgrade the intersection at the end of this reliever route. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the Folsom Boulevard/U.S. 50 Eastbound Ramps intersection (Caltrans Intersection 4). To ensure that the Folsom Boulevard/U.S. 50 eastbound ramps intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, auxiliary lanes should be added to eastbound U.S. 50 from Hazel Avenue to east of Folsom Boulevard. This was recommended in the Traffic Operations Analysis Report for the U.S. 50 Auxiliary Lane Project.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-62	3A.15-1p (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the Grant Line Road/ State Route 16 Intersection (Caltrans Intersection 12). To ensure that the Grant Line Road/State Route 16 intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound and southbound approaches must be reconfigured to consist of one left-turn lane and one shared through/right-turn lane. Protected left-turn signal phasing must be provided on the northbound and southbound approaches. Improvements to the Grant Line Road/State Route 16 intersection are contained within the County Development Fee Program, and are scheduled for Measure A funding.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n and the City of Rancho Cordova Department of Public Works	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		Improvements to this intersection must be implemented by Caltrans, Sacramento County, and the City of Rancho Cordova. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the Grant Line Road/State Route 16 intersection (Caltrans Intersection 12).			building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-63	3A.15-1q (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound U.S. 50 between Zinfandel Drive and Sunrise Boulevard (Freeway Segment 1). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Zinfandel Drive and Sunrise Boulevard, a bus-carpool (HOV) lane must be constructed. This improvement is currently planned as part of the Sacramento 50 Bus-Carpool Lane and Community Enhancements Project. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Eastbound U.S. 50 between Zinfandel Drive and Sunrise Boulevard (Freeway Segment 1).	Before project build out. Construction of the Sacramento 50 Bus-Carpool Lane and Community Enhancements Project is expected to be completed by year 2013, before the first phase of the Proposed Project or alternative is complete. Construction of the Sacramento 50 Bus-Carpool Lane and Community Enhancements Project has started since the writing of the Draft EIS/EIR.	Caltrans	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-64	3A.15-1r (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound U.S. 50 between Hazel Avenue and Folsom Boulevard (Freeway Segment 3). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Hazel Avenue and Folsom Boulevard, an auxiliary lane must be constructed. This improvement was recommended in the Traffic Operations Analysis Report for the U.S. 50 Auxiliary Lane Project. This improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

ē		The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Eastbound U.S. 50 between Hazel Avenue and Folsom Boulevard (Freeway Segment 3).		Transportatio n	collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-65	3A.15-1s (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound U.S. 50 between Folsom Boulevard and Prairie City Road (Freeway Segment 4). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Folsom Boulevard and Prairie City Road, an auxiliary lane must be constructed. This improvement was recommended in the Traffic Operations Analysis Report for the U.S. 50 Auxiliary Lane Project. This improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to Eastbound U.S. 50 between Folsom Boulevard and Prairie City Road (Freeway Segment 4).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-66	3A.15-1u (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Westbound U.S. 50 between Prairie City Road and Folsom Boulevard (Freeway Segment 16). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Prairie City Road and Folsom Boulevard, an auxiliary lane must be constructed. This improvement was recommended in the Traffic Operations Analysis Report for the U.S. 50 Auxiliary Lane Project. This improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to Westbound U.S. 50 between Prairie City Road and Folsom Boulevard (Freeway Segment 16).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-67	3A.15-1v (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Westbound U.S. 50 between Hazel Avenue and Sunrise Boulevard (Freeway Segment 18). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Hazel Avenue and Sunrise Boulevard, an auxiliary	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first	City of Rancho Cordova Department of Public	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit

- 4		lane must be constructed. This improvement was recommended in the Traffic Operations Analysis Report for the U.S. 50 Auxiliary Lane Project, and included in the proposed Rancho Cordova Parkway interchange project. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Westbound U.S. 50 between Hazel Avenue and Sunrise Boulevard (Freeway Segment 18).	subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Works and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-68	3A.15-1w (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Eastbound/Folsom Boulevard Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 4). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Folsom Boulevard merge, an auxiliary lane from the Folsom Boulevard merge to the Prairie City Road diverge must be constructed. This improvement was recommended in the Traffic Operations Analysis Report for the U.S. 50 Auxiliary Lane Project. This improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound/Folsom Boulevard Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 4).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-69	3A.15-1x (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Eastbound/Prairie City Road Diverge (Freeway Diverge 5). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Prairie City Road offramp diverge, an auxiliary lane from the Folsom Boulevard merge must be constructed. This improvement was recommended in the Traffic Operations Analysis Report for the U.S. 50 Auxiliary Lane Project. This auxiliary lane improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit

71-70	3A.15-1y (FPASP EIR/EIS)	applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound/Prairie City Road diverge (Freeway Diverge 5). Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Eastbound/Prairie City Road Direct Merge (Freeway Merge 6). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Prairie City Road onramp direct merge, an auxiliary lane to the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road diverge must be constructed. This auxiliary lane improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound/Prairie City Road direct merge (Freeway Merge 6).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-71	3A.15-1z (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Eastbound/Prairie City Road Flyover On-Ramp to Oak Avenue Parkway Off-Ramp Weave (Freeway Weave 8). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Prairie City Road flyover on-ramp to Oak Avenue Parkway off-ramp weave, an improvement acceptable to Caltrans should be implemented to eliminate the unacceptable weaving conditions. Such an improvement may involve a "braided ramp". The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound / Prairie City Road flyover on-ramp to Oak Avenue Parkway off-ramp weave (Freeway Weave 8).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-72	3A.15-1aa (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Eastbound/Oak Avenue Parkway Loop Merge (Freeway Merge 9). To ensure that Eastbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Oak Avenue Parkway loop merge, an auxiliary lane to the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road diverge must be constructed. This auxiliary lane improvement is included in the proposed 50	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit

		Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound/ Oak Avenue Parkway loop merge (Freeway Merge 9).	which project phase the improvement should be built.		Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-73	3A.15-1dd (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Westbound/Empire Ranch Road Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 23). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound Empire Ranch Road loop on ramp should start the westbound auxiliary lane that ends at the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road off ramp. The slip on ramp from southbound Empire Ranch Road would merge into this extended auxiliary lane. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Westbound/Empire Ranch Road loop ramp merge (Freeway Merge 23).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-74	3A.15-1ee (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Westbound/Oak Avenue Parkway Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 29). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound Oak Avenue Parkway loop on ramp should start the westbound auxiliary lane that ends at the Prairie City Road off ramp. The slip on ramp from southbound Oak Avenue Parkway would merge into this extended auxiliary lane. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Westbound/Oak Avenue Parkway loop ramp merge (Freeway Merge 29).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit

71-75	3A.15-1ff (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Westbound/Prairie City Road Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 32). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Prairie City Road loop ramp merge, an auxiliary lane to the Folsom Boulevard off ramp diverge must be constructed. This auxiliary lane improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Westbound/Prairie City Road Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 32).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-76	3A.15-1gg (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Westbound/Prairie City Road Direct Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 33). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Prairie City Road direct ramp merge, an auxiliary lane to the Folsom Boulevard off ramp diverge must be constructed. This auxiliary lane improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Westbound/Prairie City Road direct ramp merge (Freeway Merge 33).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit
71-77	3A.15-1hh (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Eastbound/Folsom Boulevard Diverge (Freeway Diverge 34). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Folsom Boulevard Diverge, an auxiliary lane from the Prairie City Road loop ramp merge must be constructed. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. This auxiliary lane improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department and Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit

71-78	3A.15-1ii (FPASP EIR/EIS)	applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound / Folsom Boulevard diverge (Freeway Diverge 34). Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Westbound/Hazel Avenue Direct Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 38). To ensure that Westbound U.S. 50 operates at an acceptable LOS at the Hazel Avenue direct ramp merge, an auxiliary lane to the Sunrise Boulevard off ramp diverge must be constructed. This auxiliary lane improvement is included in the proposed 50 Corridor Mobility Fee Program. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Westbound/Hazel Avenue direct ramp merge (Freeway Merge 38).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n and City of Rancho Cordova Department of Public Works	building permit issuance in this subdivision. This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-79	3A.15-2a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Develop Commercial Support Services and Mixed-use Development Concurrent with Housing Development, and Develop and Provide Options for Alternative Transportation Modes. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application including commercial or mixed-use development along with residential uses shall develop commercial and mixed-use development concurrent with housing development, to the extent feasible in light of market realities and other considerations, to internalize vehicle trips. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities shall be implemented to the satisfaction of the City Public Works Department. To further minimize impacts from the increased demand on area roadways and intersections, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application involving schools or commercial centers shall develop and implement safe and secure bicycle parking to promote alternative transportation uses and reduce the volume of single-occupancy vehicles using area roadways and intersections. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall participate in capital improvements and operating funds for transit service to increase the percent of travel by transit. The project's fair-share participation and the associated timing of the improvements and service shall be identified in the project	Before approval of improvement plans for all project phases any particular discretionary development application that includes residential and commercial or mixed-use development. As a condition of project approval and/or as a condition of the development agreement for all project phases.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	in this subdivision. This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		conditions of approval and/or the project's development agreement. Improvements and service shall be coordinated, as necessary, with Folsom Stage Lines and Sacramento RT.				
71-80	3A.15-2b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in the City's Transportation System Management Fee Program. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall pay an appropriate amount into the City's existing Transportation System Management Fee Program to reduce the number of single-occupant automobile travel on area roadways and intersections.	Concurrent with construction for all project phases.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-81	3A.15-2c (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate with the 50 Corridor Transportation Management Association. The project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall join and participate with the 50 Corridor Transportation Management Association to reduce the number of single-occupant automobile travel on area roadways and intersections.	Concurrent with construction for all project phases.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-82	3A.15-3 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Pay Full Cost of Identified Improvements that Are Not Funded by the City's Fee Program. In accordance with Measure W, the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall provide fair-share contributions to the City's transportation impact fee program to fully fund improvements only required because of the Specific Plan.	As a condition of project approval and/or as a condition of the development agreement for all project phases.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

					Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-83	3A.15-4a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements to the Sibley Street/Blue Ravine Road Intersection (Folsom Intersection 2). To ensure that the Sibley Street/Blue Ravine Road intersection operates at a LOS D with less than the Cumulative No Project delay, the northbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lane, two through lanes, and one dedicated right-turn lane. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the Sibley Street/Blue Ravine Road intersection (Folsom Intersection 2).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-84	3A.15-4b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements to the Oak Avenue Parkway/East Bidwell Street Intersection (Folsom Intersection 6). To ensure that the Oak Avenue Parkway/East Bidwell Street intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the eastbound (East Bidwell Street) approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, four through lanes and a right-turn lane, and the westbound (East Bidwell Street) approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left turn lanes, four through lanes, and a right-turn lane. It is against the City of Folsom policy to have eight lane roads because of the impacts to non motorized traffic and adjacent development; therefore, this improvement is infeasible.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-85	3A.15-4c (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements to the East Bidwell Street/College Street Intersection (Folsom Intersection 7). To ensure that the East Bidwell Street/College Street intersection operates at acceptable LOS C or better, the westbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of one left-turn lane, one left-through lane, and two dedicated right-turn lanes. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the East Bidwell Street/Nesmith Court intersection (Folsom Intersection 7).	the improvement should be built.		Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-86	3A.15-4d (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements to the East Bidwell Street/Iron Point Road Intersection (Folsom Intersection 21). To ensure that the East Bidwell Street /Iron Point Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, four through lanes and a right-turn lane, and the southbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, four through lanes and a right-turn lane. It is against the City of Folsom policy to have eight lane roads because of the impacts to non motorized traffic and adjacent development; therefore, this improvement is infeasible.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-87	3A.15-4e (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements to the Serpa Way/ Iron Point Road Intersection (Folsom Intersection 23). To improve LOS at the Serpa Way/ Iron Point Road intersection, the northbound approaches must be restriped to consist of one left-turn lane, one shared left-through lanes, and one right-turn lane. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the Serpa Way/Iron Point Road Intersection (Folsom Intersection 23).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-88	3A.15-4f (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Pay a Fair Share to Fund the Construction of Improvements to the Empire Ranch Road/Iron Point Road Intersection (Folsom Intersection 24). To ensure that the Empire Ranch Road / Iron Point Road intersection operates at a LOS D or better, all of the following improvements are required: The eastbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of one left-turn lane, two through lanes,	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		and a right-turn lane. The westbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, one through lane, and a through-right lane. The northbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, three through lanes, and a right-turn lane. The southbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, three through lanes, and a right-turn lane. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the Empire Ranch Road / Iron Point Road Intersection Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built. (Folsom Intersection 24).	which project phase the improvement should be built.		Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-89	3A.15-4g (FPASP EIR/EIS)	The Applicant Shall Fund and Construct Improvements to the Oak Avenue Parkway/Easton Valley Parkway Intersection (Folsom Intersection 33). To ensure that the Oak Avenue Parkway/Easton Valley Parkway intersection operates at an acceptable LOS the southbound approach must be reconfigured to consist of two left-turn lanes, two through lanes, and two right-turn lanes. The applicant shall fund and construct these improvements.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-90	3A.15-4i (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the Grant Line Road/White Rock Road Intersection (Sacramento County Intersection 3). To ensure that the Grant Line Road/White Rock Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS E or better this intersection should be replaced by some type of grade separated intersection or interchange. Improvements to this intersection are identified in the Sacramento County's Proposed General Plan. Implementation of these improvements would assist in reducing traffic impacts on this intersection by providing	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		acceptable operation. Intersection improvements must be implemented by Sacramento County. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the Grant Line Road/White Rock Road Intersection (Sacramento County Intersection 3).			collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71-91	3A.15-4j (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Grant Line Road between White Rock Road and Kiefer Boulevard (Sacramento County Roadway Segments 5-7). To improve operation on Grant Line Road between White Rock Road and Kiefer Boulevard, this roadway segment must be widened to six lanes. This improvement is proposed in the Sacramento County and the City of Rancho Cordova General Plans; however, it is not in the 2035 MTP. Improvements to this roadway segment must be implemented by Sacramento County and the City of Rancho Cordova. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Grant Line Road between White Rock Road and Kiefer Boulevard (Sacramento County Roadway Segments 5-7). The identified improvement would more than offset the impacts specifically related to the Folsom South of U.S. 50 project on this roadway segment.	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-92	3A.15-4k (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Grant Line Road between Kiefer Boulevard and Jackson Highway (Sacramento County Roadway Segment 8). To improve operation on Grant Line Road between Kiefer Boulevard Jackson Highway, this roadway segment could be widened to six lanes. This improvement is proposed in the Sacramento County and the City of Rancho Cordova General Plans; however, it is not in the 2035 MTP. Improvements to this roadway segment must be implemented by Sacramento County and the City of Rancho Cordova. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

71-93	3A.15-4l	to reduce the impacts to Grant Line Road between Kiefer Boulevard and Jackson Highway (Sacramento County Roadway Segment 8). The identified improvement would more than offset the impacts specifically related to the Folsom South of U.S. 50 project on this roadway segment. Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to	Before project build	Sacramento	This subdivision will pay	Condition will be
/1-93	(FPASP EIR/EIS)	Reduce Impacts on Hazel Avenue between Curragh Downs Drive and U.S. 50 Westbound Ramps (Sacramento County Roadway Segments 12-13). To improve operation on Hazel Avenue between Curragh Downs Drive and the U.S. 50 westbound ramps, this roadway segment could be widened to eight lanes. This improvement is inconsistent with Sacramento County's general plan because the county's policy requires a maximum roadway cross section of six lanes. Analysis shown later indicates that improvements at the impacted intersection in this segment can be mitigated (see Mitigation Measure 3A.15-4q). Improvements to impacted intersections on this segment will improve operations on this roadway segment and, therefore; mitigate this segment impact. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Hazel Avenue between Curragh Downs Drive and U.S. 50 Westbound Ramps (Sacramento County Roadway Segments 12-13).	out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	County Department of Transportatio n.	its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-94	3A.15-4m (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on White Rock Road between Grant Line Road and Prairie City Road (Sacramento County Roadway Segment 22). To improve operation on White Rock Road between Grant Line Road and Prairie City Road, this roadway segment must be widened to six lanes. This improvement is included in the 2035 MTP but is not included in the Sacramento County General Plan. Improvements to this roadway segment must be implemented by Sacramento County. The identified improvement would more than offset the impacts specifically related to the Folsom South of U.S. 50 project on this roadway segment. However, because of other development in the region that would substantially increase traffic levels, this roadway segment would continue to operate at an unacceptable	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		LOS F even with the capacity improvements identified to mitigate Folsom South of U.S. 50 impacts. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to White Rock Road between Grant Line Road and Prairie City Road (Sacramento County Roadway Segment 22).		a)		
71-95	3A.15-4n (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on White Rock Road between Empire Ranch Road and Carson Crossing Road (Sacramento County Roadway Segment 28). To improve operation on White Rock Road between Empire Ranch Road and Carson Crossing Road, this roadway segment must be widened to six lanes. Improvements to this roadway segment must be implemented by Sacramento County. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to White Rock Road between Empire Ranch Road and Carson Crossing Road (Sacramento County Roadway Segment 28).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-96	3A.15-40 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the White Rock Road/Carson Crossing Road Intersection (El Dorado County 1). To ensure that the White Rock Road/Carson Crossing Road intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the eastbound right turn lane must be converted into a separate free right turn lane, or double right. Improvements to this intersection must be implemented by El Dorado County. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the White Rock Road/Carson Crossing Road Intersection (El Dorado County 1).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-97	3A.15-4p (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the Hazel Avenue/U.S. 50 Westbound Ramps Intersection (Caltrans Intersection 1). To ensure that the Hazel Avenue/U.S. 50 westbound ramps intersection operates at an acceptable LOS, the westbound approach must	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first	Sacramento County Department of	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

71-98	3A.15-4q (FPASP EIR/EIS)	be reconfigured to consist of one dedicated left turn lane, one shared left through lane and three dedicated right-turn lanes. Improvements to this intersection must be implemented by Caltrans and Sacramento County. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to the Hazel Avenue/U.S. 50 Westbound Ramps Intersection (Caltrans Intersection 1). Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound US 50 between Zinfandel Drive and Sunrise Boulevard (Freeway Segment 1). To ensure that Eastbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Zinfandel Drive and Sunrise Boulevard, an additional eastbound lane could be constructed. This improvement is not consistent with the Concept Facility in Caltrans State Route 50 Corridor System Management Plan; therefore, it is not likely to be implemented by Caltrans by 2030. Construction of the Capitol South East Connector, including widening White Rock Road and Grant Line Road to six lanes with limited access, could divert some traffic from U.S. 50 and partially mitigate the project's impact. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Eastbound U.S. 50 between Zinfandel	subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built. Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Transportatio n. Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision. This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-99	3A.15-4r (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound US 50 between Rancho Cordova Parkway and Hazel Avenue (Freeway Segment 3). To ensure that Eastbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Rancho Cordova Parkway and Hazel Avenue, an additional eastbound lane could be constructed. This improvement is not consistent with the Concept Facility in Caltrans State Route 50 Corridor System Management Plan; therefore, it is not likely to be implemented by Caltrans by 2030. Construction of the Capitol South East Connector, including widening White Rock Road and Grant Line Road to six lanes with limited access, could divert some traffic off of U.S. 50 and partially mitigate the project's impact. The	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

71-100	3A.15-4s (FPASP EIR/EIS)	applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements to the agency responsible for improvements, based on a program established by that agency to reduce the impacts to Eastbound U.S. 50 between Rancho Cordova Parkway and Hazel Avenue (Freeway Segment 3). Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound US 50 between Folsom Boulevard and Prairie City Road (Freeway Segment 5). To ensure that Eastbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Folsom Boulevard and Prairie City Road, the eastbound auxiliary lane should be converted to a mixed flow lane that extends to and drops at the Oak Avenue Parkway off ramp (see mitigation measure 3A.15-4t). Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. This improvement is not consistent with the Concept Facility in Caltrans State Route 50 Corridor System Management Plan; therefore, it is not likely to be implemented by Caltrans by 2030. Construction of the Capitol South East Connector, including widening White Rock Road and Grant Line Road to six lanes with limited access, could divert some traffic off of U.S. 50 and partially mitigate the project's impact. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to Eastbound U.S. 50 between Folsom Boulevard and Prairie City Road (Freeway Segment 5).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71-101	3A.15-4t (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on Eastbound US 50 between Prairie City Road and Oak Avenue Parkway (Freeway Segment 6). To ensure that Eastbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS between Prairie City Road and Oak Avenue Parkway, the northbound Prairie City Road slip on ramp should merge with the eastbound auxiliary lane that extends to and drops at the Oak Avenue Parkway off ramp (see Mitigation Measures 3A.15-4u, v and w), and the southbound Prairie City Road flyover on ramp should be braided over the Oak Avenue Parkway off ramp and start an extended full auxiliary lane to the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road off ramp. Improvements	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to Eastbound U.S. 50 between Prairie City Road and Oak Avenue Parkway (Freeway Segment 6).			building permit issuance in this subdivision.	
71- 102	3A.15-4u (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the U.S. 50 Eastbound / Prairie City Road Slip Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 6). To ensure that Eastbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound Prairie City Road slip on ramp should start the eastbound auxiliary lane that extends to and drops at the Oak Avenue Parkway off ramp (see mitigation measure 3A.15-4u, w and x), and the southbound Prairie City Road flyover on ramp should be braided over the Oak Avenue Parkway off ramp and start an extended full auxiliary lane to the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road off ramp. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound / Prairie City Road slip ramp merge (Freeway Merge 6).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71- 103	3A.15-4v (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on the U.S. 50 Eastbound / Prairie City Road Flyover On Ramp to Oak Avenue Parkway Off Ramp Weave (Freeway Weave 7). To ensure that Eastbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound Prairie City Road slip on ramp should start the eastbound auxiliary lane that extends to and drops at the Oak Avenue Parkway off ramp (see mitigation measure 3A.15-4u, v and x), and the southbound Prairie City Road flyover on ramp should be braided over the Oak Avenue Parkway off ramp and start an extended full auxiliary lane to the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road off ramp. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

71-104	3A.15-4w (FPASP EIR/EIS)	applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Eastbound / Prairie City Road Flyover On Ramp to Oak Avenue Parkway Off Ramp Weave (Freeway Weave 7). Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Eastbound / Oak Avenue Parkway Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 8). To ensure that Eastbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS, the southbound Oak Avenue Parkway loop on ramp should merge with the eastbound auxiliary lane that starts at the southbound Prairie City Road braided flyover on ramp and ends at the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road off ramp (see mitigation measure 3A.15-4u, v and w). Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to U.S. 50 Eastbound / Oak Avenue Parkway Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 8).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71- 105	3A.15-4x (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Westbound / Empire Ranch Road Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 27). To ensure that Westbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound Empire Ranch Road loop on ramp should start the westbound auxiliary lane that ends at the East Bidwell Street – Scott Road off ramp. The slip on ramp from southbound Empire Ranch Road slip ramp would merge into this extended auxiliary lane. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Westbound / Empire Ranch Road loop ramp merge (Freeway Merge 27).	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Sacramento County Department of Transportatio n.	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71- 106	3A.15-4y (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Participate in Fair Share Funding of Improvements to Reduce Impacts on U.S. 50 Westbound / Prairie City Road Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 35). To ensure that Westbound US 50 operates at an acceptable LOS, the northbound Prairie City Road loop on ramp should start the	Before project build out. A phasing analysis should be performed prior to approval of the first	Sacramento County Department of	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		westbound auxiliary lane that continues beyond the Folsom Boulevard off ramp. The slip on ramp from southbound Prairie City Road slip ramp would merge into this extended auxiliary lane. Improvements to this freeway segment must be implemented by Caltrans. The applicant shall pay its proportionate share of funding of improvements, as may be determined by a nexus study or other appropriate and reliable mechanism paid for by applicant, to reduce the impacts to the U.S. 50 Westbound / Prairie City Road Loop Ramp Merge (Freeway Merge 35).	subdivision map to determine during which project phase the improvement should be built.	Transportatio n.	Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision	
71-107	4.17-1 (Addendum)	 East Bidwell Street/Regency Parkway (Driveway #6). Prior to buildout of the Toll Brothers Site, the project applicant shall construct the intersection as shown in Figure 4-2 of the Addendum: Northbound: one thru lane and one left turn lane in a 150-foot pocket with 60-foot taper; Southbound: one thru lane and one right turn lane in a 150-foot pocket with 60-foot taper; Westbound: one shared lane, plus a 300-foot northbound acceleration lane on East Bidwell Street to receive left-turns from Regency Parkway (a second northbound lane on East Bidwell Street starting from Regency Parkway is equivalent to the 300-foot acceleration lane); and Control: side-street-stop-control; Note that unsignalized left turns to East Bidwell Street are against City policy. The northbound acceleration lane on East Bidwell Street is an interim configuration until the intersection warrants signalization. Signalization will be triggered as part of the entitlement process on neighboring parcels. A future signal at this location is included in Folsom Plan Area Specific Plan, and plan area fees paid by the Project contribute towards its construction in the future. 	Prior to issuance of phase 3 building permits.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	This subdivision will pay its fair share of the following improvements with the payment of Specific Plan Infrastructure Fees (SPIF), City Traffic Impact Fees and Sacramento County Wide Transportation fees collected prior to building permit issuance in this subdivision	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.
71- 108	4.17-2 (Addendum)	East Bidwell Street/White Rock Road. Prior to buildout of the Toll Brothers Site, the project applicant shall implement either (A) or (B) below:	Prior to issuance of phase 1 building permits.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The Capital Southeast Connector -JPA has executed a construction contract with	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		 (A) The Capital Southeast Connector Joint Powers Authority project has programmed to relocate and signalize the East Bidwell Street/White Rock Road intersection as shown in the October 2017 geometric conceptual drawing, or equivalent improvements (i.e., three southbound approach lanes, four eastbound approach lanes, and three westbound approach lanes). Figure 4-3 of the Addendum provides a conceptual intersection layout for this mitigation. Under this scenario, fair share is defined as the project's responsibility to the Sacramento County Transportation Development Fee. The project applicant is required to pay the Sacramento County Transportation Development Fee. Option A can be considered to be implemented once the JPA has let contracts for construction of the new intersection. This will insure that the mitigation is constructed before project traffic adds five or more seconds of delay to the intersection. (B) Signalize the existing East Bidwell Street/White Rock Road intersection with the existing geometry. Figure 4-4 of the Addendum provides a conceptual intersection layout for this mitigation. 			Goodfellow Brothers, Inc. to construct the Capital Southeast Connector from Prairie City Road to East Bidwell Street. The construction contract was executed in December of 2020. Grading and construction commenced in April 2021 and was completed in April of 2023. The project included the relocation and signalization of the existing White Rock Road/East Bidwell Street intersection. The owner/applicant will pay the Sacramento County Transportation Development Fee prior to issuance of all building permits in the subdivision.	
71-109	4.17-3 (Addendum)	 East Bidwell Street/Mangini Parkway. Prior to buildout of the Toll Brothers Site, the project applicant shall signalize the intersection with the following geometry (Figure 4-5 of the Addendum): Northbound: One left-turn lane in a 200-foot pocket with a 60-foot taper, two thru lanes, and one right-turn lane in a 150-foot pocket with a 60-foot taper (the second thru lane shall be developed 300 feet south of the intersection); Southbound: One left-turn lane in a 200-foot pocket with a 60-foot taper, one thru lane, and one right-turn lane in a 150-foot pocket with a 60-foot taper; 	Signalize the intersection and conduct all geometric improvements, with the exception of the second northbound thru lane prior to issuance of phase 1 building permits. Construct the second northbound thru lane prior to issuance of	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The Community Development Department has reviewed and approved the improvement plans for the construction of these required improvements including the plans for the modification to the existing traffic signal at the intersection of East	Yes

		► Eastbound and westbound: One left-turn lane in a 200-foot pocket with a 60-foot taper, one thru lane, and one right-turn lane in a 200-foot pocket with a 60-foot taper. Note that northbound East Bidwell street will remain at two lanes from Mangini Parkway to US 50.	phase 2 building permits.		Bidwell Street and Mangini Parkway. These improvements have been completed and accepted by the City.	
71-110	4.17-4 (Addendum)	 East Bidwell Street/Savannah Parkway. Prior to buildout of the Toll Brothers site, the project applicant shall reconstruct the intersection with the following geometry (Figure 4-6 of the Addendum): Northbound approach: One thru lane and one shared through-right lane with a 150-foot taper; Southbound approach: One left turn lane in a 150-foot pocket plus 60-foot taper, and one through lane; Westbound approach: One left turn lane in a 60-foot pocket plus 60-foot taper, and one through lane; Southbound departure: Construct a southbound receiving and acceleration lane for westbound left turn traffic. The acceleration lane should be in a 300-foot pocket plus an appropriate taper. Note that unsignalized left turns to East Bidwell Street are against City policy. The southbound acceleration lane on East Bidwell Street is an interim configuration until the intersection warrants signalization. Signalization will be triggered as part of the entitlement process on neighboring parcels. A future signal at this location is included in FPASP, and plan area fees paid by the project applicant contribute towards its construction in the future. 	Construct all geometric improvements with the exception of one thru northbound lane prior to issuance of phase 1 building permits. Construct the second thru northbound lane prior to issuance of phase 3 building permits.	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The required improvements in this condition have been completed and accepted by the City. These improvements were constructed as part of the off-site improvements for the Mangini Ranch Phase 2, Village No. 7 subdivision.	Yes
71- 111	4.17-5 (Addendum)	 East Bidwell Street/Alder Creek Parkway. Prior to buildout of the Toll Brothers Site, the project applicant shall reconstruct and signalize the intersection as shown in Figure 4-7 of the Addendum: ▶ Northbound approach: One U-turn lane in a 150-foot pocket with a 60-foot taper, two through lanes, and one right turn lane in a 150-foot pocket plus 60-foot taper. 	Construct all geometric improvements with the exception of one thru northbound lane and one thru southbound lane prior to issuance of phase 1 building permits. Construct the	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The Community Development Department has approved the plans for the reconstruction and signalization of the East Bidwell Street/Alder Creek Parkway intersection in	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit.

		 Southbound approach: One left turn lane in a 240-foot pocket plus 60-foot taper, and two through lanes. The second southbound through lane can be dropped south of Old Ranch Way. Westbound approach: One right turn lane, plus one left-turn lane in a 200-foot pocket plus 60-foot taper. The above mitigations are consistent with the ultimate geometry for East Bidwell near Alder Creek Pkwy and builds on conditions of approval from neighboring projects. 	second thru northbound lane and the second thru southbound lane prior to issuance of phase 3 building permits.		accordance with this condition.	
71-112	4.17-6 (Addendum)	 White Rock Road/Oak Avenue Parkway. Prior to project buildout, the project applicant shall implement either (A) or (B) below: (A) The Capital Southeast Connector Joint Powers Authority (JPA) project has programmed to realign this portion of White Rock Road and build a partial signal to accommodate anticipated U-Turns. Expand or construct a signalized intersection as follows: Southbound: A single shared lane for left and right turns. Eastbound: A thru lane and a left/U-turn in 300-foot pocket plus taper. Westbound: A thru lane and a right-turn in 300-foot pocket plus taper. Signalize with protected phasing for left-turns and U-turns. Geometric design shall be consistent with Capital Southeast Connector Joint Powers Authority adopted standards. (B) Channelize the White Rock Road/Oak Avenue Pkwy intersection on the existing White Rock Road alignment to restrict turning movements to westbound right turns and southbound right turns. The westbound right turn requires a 365-foot deceleration lane, and the southbound right turn requires a 365-foot deceleration lane, and the southbound right turn requires a 366-foot acceleration lane. Figure 4-8 of the Addendum provides a conceptual layout for the mitigated intersection. 	Prior to issuance of phase 3 building permits	City of Folsom Public Works Department	The Capital Southeast Connector-JPA project has been completed. The Connector project will implement and complete Option A in this condition. The owner/applicant shall implement the required improvements to the intersection in compliance with this condition prior to issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy in the Phase 3 portion of the project.	Condition will be satisfied prior to issuance of a building permit in Phase 3

71-	3A.16-1	Submit Proof of Adequate On- and Off-Site Wastewater	Before approval of	City of	The Phase 1 Sanitary	Yes
113	(FPASP EIR/EIS)	Conveyance Facilities and Implement On- and Off-Site Infrastructure Service Systems or Ensure That Adequate Financing Is Secured. Before the approval of the final map and issuance of building permits for all project phases, the project applicant(s) of all project phases shall submit proof to the City of Folsom that an adequate wastewater conveyance system either has been constructed or is ensured through payment of the City's facilities augmentation fee as described under the Folsom Municipal Code Title 3, Chapter 3.40, "Facilities Augmentation Fee – Folsom South Area Facilities Plan," or other sureties to the City's satisfaction. Both on-site wastewater conveyance infrastructure and off-site force main sufficient to provide adequate service to the project shall be in place for the amount of development identified in the tentative map before approval of the final map and issuance of building permits for all project phases, or their financing shall be ensured to the satisfaction of the City.	final maps and issuance of building permits for any project phases.	Folsom Community Development Department and City of Folsom Public Works Department	Sewer infrastructure including the off-site sewer trunk main, the Alder Creek Parkway sewer lift station and forced main to serve this subdivision have been constructed by the FPA landowners and have been completed and accepted by the City and are currently in operation.	
71- 114	3A.16-3 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Demonstrate Adequate SRWTP Wastewater Treatment Capacity. The project applicant(s) of all project phases shall demonstrate adequate capacity at the SRWTP for new wastewater flows generated by the project. This shall involve preparing a tentative map—level study and paying connection and capacity fees as identified by SRCSD. Approval of the final map and issuance of building permits for all project phases shall not be granted until the City verifies adequate SRWTP capacity is available for the amount of development identified in the tentative map.	Before approval of final maps and issuance of building permits for any project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department and City of Folsom Public Works Department	The City obtained a letter from Regional San which provides verification that there is adequate capacity in the existing Regional San conveyance and treatment system to accommodate the entire Folsom Plan Area at buildout. Confirmation from Regional San was required because the Folsom Plan Area is served by the existing Regional San Lift Station on Iron Point Road. The City Sewer Lift Station and Forced	Yes

					Main which connects to the Regional San Lift Station has been accepted by the City and is currently in operation.	
71-115	3A.18-1 (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Submit Proof of Surface Water Supply Availability. a. Prior to approval of any small-lot tentative subdivision map subject to Government Code Section 66473.7 (SB 221), the City shall comply with that statute. Prior to approval of any small-lot tentative subdivision map for a proposed residential project not subject to that statute, the City need not comply with Section 66473.7, or formally consult with any public water system that would provide water to the affected area; nevertheless, the City shall make a factual showing or impose conditions similar to those required by Section 66473.7 to ensure an adequate water supply for development authorized by the map. b. Prior to recordation of each final subdivision map, or prior to City approval of any similar project-specific discretionary approval or entitlement required for nonresidential uses, the project applicant(s) of that project phase or activity shall demonstrate the availability of a reliable and sufficient water supply from a public water system for the amount of development that would be authorized by the final subdivision map or project-specific discretionary nonresidential approval or entitlement. Such a demonstration shall consist of information showing that both existing sources are available or needed supplies and improvements will be in place prior to occupancy.	Before approval of final maps and issuance of building permits for any project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department and City of Folsom Public Works Department	The owner/applicant has constructed the necessary infrastructure to provide potable water to the subdivision. The potable Phase 1 water infrastructure for the Folsom Plan Area has been reviewed, approved and accepted by the City and is currently in operation.	Yes
71- 116	3A.18-2a (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Submit Proof of Adequate Off-Site Water Conveyance Facilities and Implement Off-Site Infrastructure Service System or Ensure That Adequate Financing Is Secured. Before the approval of the final subdivision map and issuance of building permits for all project phases, the project applicant(s) of any particular discretionary development application shall submit proof to the City of Folsom that an adequate off-site water conveyance system either has been constructed or is ensured or other sureties to the City's satisfaction. The off-site water conveyance infrastructure sufficient to provide adequate service to the project shall be in	Before approval of final maps and issuance of building permits for any project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department and City of Folsom Public Works Department	The off-site potable water infrastructure to serve the subdivision has been reviewed, approved and accepted by the City and is currently in operation. In addition, the City has verified that the off-site potable water infrastructure is adequate to serve the subdivision.	Yes

		place for the amount of development identified in the tentative map before approval of the final subdivision map and issuance of building permits for all project phases, or their financing shall be ensured to the satisfaction of the City. A certificate of occupancy shall not be issued for any building within the SPA until the water conveyance infrastructure sufficient to serve such building has been constructed and is in place.				
71- 117	3A.18-2b (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Demonstrate Adequate Off-Site Water Treatment Capacity (if the Off-Site Water Treatment Plant Option is Selected). If an off-site water treatment plant (WTP) alternative is selected (as opposed to the on-site WTP alternative), the project applicant(s) for any particular discretionary development application shall demonstrate adequate capacity at the off-site WTP. This shall involve preparing a tentative map—level study and paying connection and capacity fees as determined by the City. Approval of the final project map shall not be granted until the City verifies adequate water treatment capacity either is available or is certain to be available when needed for the amount of development identified in the tentative map before approval of the final map and issuance of building permits for all project phases. A certificate of occupancy shall not be issued for any building within the SPA until the water treatment capacity sufficient to serve such building has been constructed and is in place.	Before approval of final maps and issuance of building permits for any project phases.	City of Folsom Community Development Department and City of Folsom Public Works Department	This condition is not applicable to this subdivision. The water supply for this subdivision is provided by the City of Folsom Water Treatment Plant rather than an Off-Site Water Treatment Plant	Yes
Additi	onal Measures					
71- 118	Cumulative Mitigation Measure AIR-1- Land (FPASP EIR/EIS)	Implement East Sacramento Regional Aggregate Mining Truck Management Plan or Other Measures to Reduce Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Operational Emissions of Toxic Air Contaminants from Quarry Truck Traffic. The City of Folsom is a participant in the development of an East Sacramento Regional Aggregate Mining Truck Management Plan (TMP), a cooperative effort led by the County of Sacramento, with the input of the City of Folsom, the City of Rancho Cordova and other interested parties, including representatives of quarry project applicants. When the County Board of Supervisors approved entitlements for the Teichert quarry project in November 2010, it also adopted conditions of approval and a development agreement that requires Teichert's	Prior to approval of first tentative map or discretionary approval within SPA that would place sensitive receptors along roadways that quarry trucks would reasonably use to access U.S. Highway 50.	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The Community Development Department and the Public Works Department will work closely to implement the future Truck Management Plan (TMP) and coordinate responses to any future entitlement and CEQA compliance need for the TMP and make sure the	Condition will be satisfied prior to approval of a future TMP

roadway capacity and safety improvements required to improve the compatibility of truck traffic from the quarries with the future urban development in the Folsom Specific Plan area and other jurisdictions that will be affected by quarry truck traffic. The development agreement adopted by the County for the Teichert project imposes limits on the amounts of annual aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City, In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared and adopted by the County, (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air q					
the compatibility of truck traffic from the quarries with the future urban development in the Folsom Specific Plan area and other jurisdictions that will be affected by quarry truck traffic. The development agreement adopted by the County for the Teichert project imposes limits on the amounts of annual aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walthown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation in the impacts that could arise from the implementation of the precise means of mighting and the protential cumulative air quality impacts				requirements in this	
the City. The development in the Folsom Specific Plan area and other jurisdictions that will be affected by quarry truck traffic. The development agreement adopted by the County for the Teichert project imposes limits on the amounts of annual aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	roadway capacity and safety improvements required to improve				
other jurisdictions that will be affected by quarry truck traffic. The development agreement adopted by the County for the Teichert project imposes limits on the amounts of annual aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry truck includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry truck includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry truck includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, form			-		
The development agreement adopted by the County for the Teichert project imposes limits on the amounts of annual aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CFQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency "cle, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts		¥		the City.	
Teichert project imposes limits on the amounts of annual aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Waltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	The development agreement adopted by the County for the				
The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts		The state of the s			
Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County, Tac County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
as these projects are located within the unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
of the County. The County, as the agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project applicants			l l	
authority over the quarries, has indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
prepare an environmental analysis in accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulative air quality impacts means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts		12			
actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
road improvements to accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	activities of the quarry trucks includes restrictions or other				
would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	actions, such as the approval and implementation of specialized				
For the foregoing reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
"responsible agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	would be applicable within the City's jurisdictional boundaries.				
Guidelines, CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
discretionary power over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
such TMP calls for improvements or other activities on roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
roadways within the jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
agency role, the City would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts		-			
CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
such documentation is prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	CEQA Guidelines for consideration and approval of the				
(State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.) Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	environmental analysis prepared by the County for a TMP after				
Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	(State CEQA Guidelines, CCR Section 15096.)				
developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	Because no final project description for a TMP has been				
would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts					
might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that				
uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or		99		
uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	the impacts that could arise from the implementation of as-yet				
means of mitigating the potential cumulative air quality impacts	uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise				
pursuant to the TMP is not currently feasible or practical.	pursuant to the TMP is not currently feasible or practical.				

However, as the preferred, feasible, and intended mitigation strategy to address the cumulative impacts of quarry truck traffic through the SPA, the City shall implement, or cause to be implemented those portions of the TMP (as described above) that are within its authority to control. In implementing the TMP, the City shall ensure that the TMP or traffic measures imposed by the City within the SPA reduce the risk of cancer to sensitive receptors along routes within the SPA from toxic air contaminant emissions to no more than 296 in one million (SMAOMD 2009, March, Recommended Protocol for Evaluating the Location of Sensitive Land Uses Adjacent to Major Roadways, Version 2.2:7), or such different threshold of significance mandated by SMAQMD or ARB at the time, if any. With this mitigation, the cumulative air quality impacts from truck toxic air contaminants would be less than significant. As an alternative (or in addition) to implementing the TMP within the SPA, the following measures could (and should) be

As an alternative (or in addition) to implementing the TMP within the SPA, the following measures could (and should) be voluntarily implemented by the quarry project applicant(s) (Teichert, DeSilva Gates, and Granite [Walltown]) to help ensure exposure of sensitive receptors to TACs generated by quarry truck traffic to the 296-in-one-million threshold of significance identified above. The City encourages implementation of the following measures:

- ► The quarry project applicant(s) should meet with the City of Folsom to discuss mitigation strategies, implementation, and cost.
- ▶ A site-specific, project-level screening analysis and/or Health Risk Assessment (HRA) should be conducted by the City of Folsom and funded by the truck applicant(s) for all proposed sensitive receptors (e.g., residences, schools) in the SPA that would be located along the sides of roadway segments that are identified in Table 4-4 as being potentially significant under any of the analyzed scenarios. Each project-level analysis shall be performed according to the standards set forth by SMAQMD for the purpose of disclosure to the public and decision makers. The project-

		level analysis shall account for the location of the receptors relative to the roadway, their distance from the roadway, the projected future traffic volume for the year 2030 (including the proportion of diesel trucks), and emission rates representative of the vehicle fleet for the year when the sensitive land uses would first become operational and/or occupied. If the incremental increase in cancer risk determined by in the HRA exceeds 296 in one million (or a different threshold of significance recommended by SMAQMD or ARB at the time, if any), then project design mitigation should be employed, which may include the following:		
×		Increase the setback distance between the roadway and affected receptor. If this mitigation measure is determined by the City of Folsom to be necessary, based on the results of the HRA, the quarry truck applicant(s) should pay the Folsom South of U.S. 50 Specific Plan project applicant(s) and the City of Folsom a fee that shall serve as compensation for lost development profit and lost City tax revenues, all as determined by the parties. Said mitigation fee shall be determined in consultation with the quarry project applicant(s), the Folsom South of U.S. 50 Specific Plan project applicant(s), and the City of Folsom. No quarry trucks shall be allowed to pass on any roadway segment immediately adjacent to or within the SPA until said mitigation fees are paid.		
	**	Implement tiered tree planting of fine-needle species, such as redwood, along the near side of the roadway segments and, if feasible, along the roadway 500 feet in both directions of the initial planting (e.g., 500 feet north and south of a roadway that runs east-west) to enhance the dispersion and filtration of mobile-source TACs associated with the adjacent roadway. These trees should be planted at a density such that a solid visual buffer is achieved after the trees reach maturity, which breaks the line of sight between U.S. 50 and the proposed homes.	ų	

		These trees should be planted before occupation of any affected sensitive land uses. This measure encourages the planting of these trees in advance of the construction of potentially affected receptors to allow the trees to become established and progress toward maturity. The life of these trees should be maintained through the duration of the quarry projects. The planting, cost, and ongoing maintenance of these trees should be funded by the quarry project applicant(s). To improve the indoor air quality at affected receptors, implement the following measures before the occupancy of the affected residences and schools: equip all affected residences and school buildings developed in the SPA with High Efficiency Particle Arresting (HEPA) filter systems at all mechanical air intake points to the interior rooms; use the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems to maintain all residential units under positive pressure at all times; locate air intake systems for HVAC as far away from roadway air pollution sources as possible; and develop and implement an ongoing education and maintenance plan about the filtration systems associated with HVAC for residences and schools. To the extent this indoor air quality mitigation would not already be implemented as part of the Folsom South of U.S. 50 Specific Plan project development, this mitigation should be paid for by the quarry project applicant(s) before any quarry				
71- 119	Cumulative Mitigation Measure NOISE-1- Land	Implement East Sacramento Regional Aggregate Mining Truck Management Plan or Other Measures to Reduce Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Operational Noise from Quarry Truck Traffic. The City of Folsom is a participant in the development of an East Sacramento Regional Aggregate	Prior to approval of first tentative map or discretionary approval within SPA that would place sensitive	City of Folsom Community Development Department	The Community Development Department and the Public Works Department will work	Condition will be satisfied prior to approval of a future TMP.

(FPASP	Mining Truck Management Plan (TMP), a cooperative effort	receptors along	closely to implement the
EIR/EIS)	led by the County of Sacramento, with the input of the City of	roadways that quarry	future Truck
	Folsom, the City of Rancho Cordova and other interested	trucks would	Management Plan
	parties, including representatives of quarry project applicants.	reasonably use to	(TMP) and coordinate
	When the County Board of Supervisors approved entitlements	access U.S. 50.	responses to any future
	for the Teichert quarry project in November 2010, it also		entitlement and CEQA
	adopted conditions of approval and a development agreement		compliance need for the
	that requires Teichert's participation in, and fair share funding		TMP and make sure the
	of, a TMP to implement roadway capacity and safety		requirements in this
	improvements required to improve the compatibility of truck		condition of approval are
	traffic from the quarries with the future urban development in		met to the satisfaction of
	the SPA and other jurisdictions that will be affected by quarry		the City.
	truck traffic. The development agreement adopted by the		
	County for the Teichert project imposes limits on the amounts		
	of annual aggregate sales from Teichert's facility until a TMP		
	is adopted. The City of Folsom does not have direct jurisdiction		
	over the Teichert, DeSilva Gates, or Walltown quarry project		
	applicants as these projects are located within the		
	unincorporated portion of the County. The County, as the		
	agency with the primary authority over the quarries, has		
	indicated that it intends to prepare an environmental analysis in		
	accordance with CEQA prior to adoption of a TMP. The City's		
	authority to control the activities of the quarry trucks includes		
	restrictions or other actions, such as the approval and		
	implementation of specialized road improvements to		
	accommodate quarry truck traffic, that would be applicable		
	within the City's jurisdictional boundaries. For the foregoing		
	reasons, the City of Folsom considers itself a "responsible		
	agency" (as that term is defined at State CEQA Guidelines,		
	CCR Section 15381), in that it has some discretionary power		
	over some elements of a future TMP, if such TMP calls for		
	improvements or other activities on roadways within the		
	jurisdiction of the City. In a responsible agency role, the City		
	would follow the process specified in the CEQA Guidelines for		
	consideration and approval of the environmental analysis		
	prepared by the County for a TMP after such documentation is		
	prepared and adopted by the County. (State CEQA Guidelines,		
	CCR Section 15096.)		

Because no final project description for a TMP has been developed as of the completion of this FEIR/FEIS, the City would have to speculate as to those portions of a TMP that might be proposed for implementation within its jurisdiction, or the impacts that could arise from the of as yet uncertain components. Accordingly, formulation of the precise means of mitigating the potential cumulative noise impacts pursuant to the TMP is not currently feasible or practical. However, as the preferred, feasible, and intended mitigation strategy to address the cumulative impacts of quarry truck traffic through the SPA, the City shall implement, or cause to be implemented those portions of the TMP (as described above) that are within its authority to control. In implementing the TMP, the City shall ensure that the TMP or traffic measures imposed by the City within the SPA reduce the traffic noise exposure to sensitive receptors along routes within the SPA so as to ensure that sensitive receptors are not exposed to interior noise levels in excess of 45 dBA, or increases in interior noise levels of 3 dBA or more, whichever is more restrictive. With this mitigation, the cumulative noise impacts from truck traffic would be less than significant. As an alternative (or in addition) to implementing the TMP within the SPA, the following measures could (and should) be voluntarily implemented by the quarry project applicant(s) (Teichert, DeSilva Gates, and Granite [Walltown]) to help ensure interior noise levels for sensitive receptors to noise generated by quarry truck traffic would not exceed 45 dBA or increase of 3 dBA over existing conditions, as identified above. The City encourages implementation of the following measures: The quarry project applicant(s) should meet with the City of Folsom to discuss mitigation strategies, implementation, and cost. A site-specific, project-level screening analysis should be conducted by the City of Folsom and funded by the quarry

truck applicant(s) for all proposed sensitive receptors (e.g., residences, schools) in the SPA that would be located

				*
		along the sides of roadway segments that are identified in		
		Table 4-8 as being potentially significant under any of the		
		analyzed scenarios. The analysis should be conducted		
		using an approved three dimensional traffic noise modeling		
		program (i.e., TNM or SoundPlan). Each project-level		
		analysis should be performed according to the standards	T T	
		set forth by the City of Folsom for the purpose of		
		disclosure to the public and decision makers. The project-	1	
		level analysis should account for the location of the		
		receptors relative to the roadway, their distance from the		
		roadway, and the projected future traffic volume for the		
		year 2030 (including the percentage of heavy trucks). If the		
		incremental increase in traffic noise levels are determined		
		to exceed the threshold of significance recommended by		
		the City of Folsom, then design mitigation should be		
		employed, which may include the following:		
		Model the benefits of soundwalls (berm/wall combination)		
		along the quarry truck hauling roadways and affected		
		receptors not to exceed a total height of eight feet (two-		
		foot berm and six-foot concrete mason wall). If this		
		mitigation measure is determined by the City of Folsom to		
		be inadequate, additional three dimensional traffic noise		
		modeling should be conducted with the inclusion of		
		rubberized asphalt at the expense of the quarry truck		
		applicant(s). No quarry trucks should be allowed to pass on		
		any roadway segment immed ately adjacent to or within		
		the SPA until said mitigation has been agreed upon by the		
		City of Folsom and fees for construction of said mitigation		
		are paid by the quarry truck applicant(s).		
	\blacktriangleright	Implement the installation of rubberized asphalt (quiet		
		pavement) on roadway segments adjacent to sensitive		
		receptors that carry quarry trucks if soundwalls do not		
		provide adequate reduction of traffic noise levels. The		
		inclusion of rubberized asphalt would provide an		
		additional 3 to 5 dB of traffic noise reduction. The cost of		
		construction using rubberized asphalt should be borne by		

	N/A	 To improve the indoor noise levels at affected receptors, implement the following measures before the occupancy of the affected residences and schools: Conduct an interior noise analysis once detailed construction plans of residences adjacent to affected roadways are available to determine the required window package at second and third floor receptors to achieve the interior noise level standard of 45 dB Ldn without quarry trucks. Determine the interior quarry truck traffic noise level increases at second and third floor receptors adjacent to affected roadways compared to no quarry truck conditions. Window package upgrades are expected to be necessary due to the traffic noise level increases caused by quarry trucks along affected roadways. Quarry truck applicant(s) should pay for the cost of window package upgrades (increased sound transmission class rated windows) required to achieve the interior noise level standard of 45 dB Ldn with the inclusion of quarry truck traffic. To the extent this noise mitigation would not already be implemented as part of the Folsom South of U.W. 50 Specific Plan project development, this mitigation should be paid for by the quarry project applicant(s) before any quarry trucks are allowed to pass on any roadway that is within 400 feet of any residence or school within the SPA. Coordinate and Fund the Backbone Infrastructure and Off-Site Water Facility Alternative. The project applicant shall 	Before approval of final maps and	City of Folsom	The FPA landowners have satisfied all of the	Yes
120		Site Water Facility Alternative. The project applicant shall	rinal maps and	roisom	nave satisfied all of the	

participate in the FPASP owners' group and shall fund and contribute their fair share to the backbone infrastructure and off-site water facility alternative improvements. The project applicant shall coordinate with owners' group to implement the following measures detailed in the Folsom South of U.S. Highway 50 Backbone Infrastructure Mitigated Negative Declaration (December 2014):

- ▶ Backbone MND Mitigation Measure I-1: Design above ground pump station and storage tank facilities to reduce visual impacts.
- ▶ Backbone MND Mitigation Measure I-2: Develop and implement a landscaping plan for pump station and storage tank facilities to reduce visual impacts.
- ► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure III-1: Prepare and Implement NOX Reduction Plan
- Backbone MND Mitigation Measure III-2: Pay Off-site Mitigation Fee to SMAQMD to off-set NOX Emissions Generated by Construction.
- Backbone MND Mitigation Measure III-4: Implement A Site Investigation to Determine the Presence of NOA and, if necessary, Prepare and Implement an Asbestos Dust Control Plan.
- ► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-1: Conduct Special-Status Plant Surveys; Implement Avoidance and Mitigation Measures or Compensatory Mitigation
- Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-2: Implement Conditions of the Biological Opinion (BO) for Federally Listed Vernal Pool Invertebrates.
- ▶ Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-3: Implement Conditions of the Biological Opinion for Impacts on Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.
- ► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-4: Western Spadefoot Toad

issuance of building permits for any project phase, the project applicant shall demonstrate to the City's satisfaction the fair share contribution towards implementation of Backbone Infrastructure and Off-Site Water Facility improvements and associated required mitigation as identified in the Folsom South of U.S. Highway 50 Backbone Infrastructure Mitigated Negative Declaration (December 2014) or the Revised Proposed Off-Site Water Facility Alternative Addendum to the FPASP EIR/EIS (approved December 2012); as applicable.

Community
Development
Department
and City of
Folsom
Public Works
Department

mitigation measures for the design and construction of the Phase 1 Water and Sewer Backbone Infrastructure to serve the first of development in the FPA. The Phase 1 backbone Infrastructure was completed and accepted by the City in 2018 and is currently in use in the FPA and being owned and operated by the City. The off-site water facility alternative improvements were not implemented. The water for the FPA was provided through the conservation of existing water in the City. The new water supply alternative was validated in 2014.

	► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-5: Western Pond Turtle
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-6(a): Swainson's Hawk Nesting Habitat
	► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-6(b): Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat
	► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-7: Tricolored Blackbird
	► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-8: Nesting Raptors
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-9: Nesting Special Status Birds and Migratory Birds
	► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-10: Special-Status Bats
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-12: Implement Section 1602 Master Streambed Alteration Agreement
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-13: Conduct Surveys to Identify and Map Valley Needlegrass Grassland; Implement Avoidance and Minimization Measures or Compensatory Mitigation, if necessary
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-14: Secure Amended Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit and Section 401 Permit and Implement All Permit Conditions; Ensure No Net Loss of Functions of Wetlands, Other Waters of the U.S., and Waters of the State
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-15: Conduct Tree Survey, Prepare and Implement an Oak Woodland Mitigation Plan, Replace Native Oak Trees Removed, and Implement Measures to Avoid and Minimize Indirect Impacts on Oak Trees and Oak Woodland Habitat Retained On-Site.
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IV-11: American Badger

	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure V-1: Comply with			
		the applicable procedures in the FAPA and implementation			
		of applicable historic property treatment plans			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure V-2: Conduct			
		Construction Personnel Education, Conduct On-Site			
		Monitoring if Required, Stop Work if Cultural Resources			
		are Discovered, Assess the Significance of the Find, and		-	
		Perform Treatment or Avoidance as Required.			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure V-3: Suspend			
		Ground-Disturbing Activities if Human Remains are			
		Encountered and Comply with California Health and			
		Safety Code Procedures.			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure VI-1: Prepare Site-			
		Specific Geotechnical Report per CBC Requirements and			
		Implement Appropriate Recommendations.			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure VI-3: Monitor			
		Earthwork during Earthmoving Activities.			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure VI-5(a): Prepare and			
		Implement the Appropriate Grading and Erosion Control			
		Plan.			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure VI-5(b): Prepare and			
		Implement the appropriate Grading and Erosion Control			
		Plan for the detention basin West of Prairie City Road.			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure IX-1: Acquire			
		Appropriate Regulatory Permits and Prepare and Implement SWPPP and BMPs.			
		•			
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure VII-1: Greenhouse Gas Emissions	2/		
		Backbone MND Mitigation Measure XVI-1: Prepare and			
		Implement a Construction Traffic Control Plan.			
	▶	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure III-3: North of U.S.			
		Highway 50 Water Improvements		Đ	
	•	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure V-4 North of U.S.			
		Highway 50 Water Improvements			

	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure VI-2 North of U.S. Highway 50 Water Improvements
E4	► Backbone MND Mitigation Measure V1-4 North of U.S. Highway 50 Water Improvements
	Backbone MND Mitigation Measure XII-1 North of U.S. Highway 50 Water Improvements
	In addition, the project applicant shall coordinate with owners' group to implement the following measures detailed in the Revised Proposed Off-Site Water Facility Alternative Addendum to the FPASP EIR/EIS (approved December 11, 2012):
	► 3B.1-2a: Enhance Exterior Appearance of Structural Facilities.
	▶ 3B.1-2b: Prepare Landscaping Plan.
	▶ 3B.1-3a: Conformance to Construction Lighting Standards.
	▶ 3B.1-3b: Prepare and Submit a Lighting Master Plan.
	► 3B.2-1a: Develop and Implement a Construction NOX Reduction Plan.
	▶ 3B.2-1c: Implement Fugitive Dust Control Measures and a Particulate Matter Monitoring Program during Construction.
	➤ 3B.2-3a: Cite Pump Siting Buffers Away from Sensitive Receptors.
	3B.2-3b: Conduct Project-Level DPM Screening and Implement Measures to Reduce Annual DPM to Acceptable Concentrations.
	➤ 3B.4-1a: Implement GHG Reduction Measures during Construction.
	➤ 3B.4-1b Prepare and Implement an Off-site Water Facilities Climate Action Plan.
	▶ 3A.5-1a: Comply with the Programmatic Agreement.
	3A.5-1b: Perform an Inventory and Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the California Register of Historic Places,

		Minimize or Avoid Damage or Destruction, and Perform Treatment Where Damage or Destruction Cannot be Avoided.
_		➤ 3A.5-2: Conduct Construction Personnel Education, Conduct On-Site Monitoring if Required, Stop Work if Cultural Resources are Discovered, Assess the Significance of the Find, and Perform Treatment or Avoidance as Required.
		➤ 3A.5-3: Suspend Ground-Disturbing Activities if Human Remains are Encountered and Comply with California Health and Safety Code Procedures.
		➤ 3B.7-1a: Prepare Geotechnical Report(s) for the Revised Proposed Off-site Water Facilities and Implement Required Measures.
		▶ 3B.7-1b: Incorporate Pipeline Failure Contingency Measures Into Final Pipeline Design.
		▶ 3B.7-4: Implement Corrosion Protection Measures.
		➤ 3B.7-5: Conduct Construction Personnel Education, Stop Work if Paleontological Resources are Discovered, Assess the Significance of the Find, and Prepare and Implement a Recovery Plan as Required.
1		➤ 3B.8-1a: Transport, Store, and Handle Construction- Related Hazardous Materials in Compliance with Relevant Regulations and Guidelines.
	,	➤ 3B.8-1b: Prepare and Implement a Hazardous Materials Management Plan.
		➤ 3B.8-5a: Conduct Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment for Selected Alignment.
		▶ 3B.8-5b: Develop and Implement a Remediation Plan.
		➤ 3B.8-7a: Keep Construction Area Clear of Combustible Materials.
		➤ 3B.8-7b: Provide Accessible Fire Suppression Equipment.

3B.9-1a: Acquire Appropriate Regulatory Permits and B. Acquire Appropriate Regulatory Permits and B. Acquire Appropriate Regulatory Permits and
Prepare and Implement SWPPP and BMPs.
▶ 3B.9-1b: Properly Dispose of Hydrostatic Test Water and
Construction Dewatering in Accordance with the Central
Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.
► 3B.9-3a: Prepare and Implement Drainage Plan(s) for Structural Facilities.
➤ 3B.9-3b: Ensure the Provision of Sufficient Outlet Protection and On-site Containment.
▶ 3B.11-1a: Limit Construction Hours.
➤ 3B.11-1b: Minimize Noise from Construction Equipment and Staging.
▶ 3B.11-1c: Maximize the Use of Noise Barriers.
▶ 3B.11-1d: Prohibit Non-Essential Noise Sources During
Construction.
▶ 3B.11-1e: Monitor Construction Noise and Provide a
Mechanism for Filing Noise Complaints.
▶ 3B.11-3: Implement Operational Noise Minimization
Measures.
▶ 3B.12-1: Provide for Continued Recreational Access as
Identified in Mitigation Measure 3.14-1a.
▶ 3B.15-1a: Prepare Traffic Control Plan.
➤ 3B.15-1b: Assess Pre-Off-site Water Facilities Roadway Conditions.
▶ 3B.16-3a: Minimize Utility Conflicts by Implementing an Underground Services Alert.
▶ 3B.16-3b: Coordinate with Utility Providers and Implement Appropriate Installation Methods to Minimize Potential Utility Service Disruptions.
▶ 3B.17-1a: Implement Construction Dewatering Best Management Practices.

▶ 3B.17-1b: Implement a Dewatering Discharge Monitoring
Program.
► 3A.18-1: Submit Proof of Surface Water Supply Availability.
➤ 3A.18-2a: Submit Proof of Adequate Off-Site Water Conveyance Facilities and Implement Off-Site Infrastructure Service System or Ensure That Adequate Financing Is Secured.