Folsom City Council
Staff Report

MEETING DATE:	1/11/2022
AGENDA SECTION:	Public Hearing
SUBJECT:	Public Hearing No. 3 Under the California Voting Rights Act Regarding the Composition of the City's Voting Districts Pursuant to Elections Code Section 10010
FROM:	City Attorney's Office

RECOMMENDATION / CITY COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends that the City Council hold the **third** of five public hearings to: (1) receive community input on draft district map boundaries submitted by the public and the City's demographic consultant, (2) select two to four "focus" maps for consideration and refinement, (3) direct staff to make any desired map revisions, and (4) provide direction for the next public hearing scheduled for February 8, 2022.

BACKGROUND / ISSUE

On July 27, 2021, the City Council adopted a Resolution of Intention to transition from at-large to by-district elections. This is the third of five required public hearings to start the transition process.

POLICY / RULE

California Government Code Section 34886 authorizes the legislative body of a city to adopt an ordinance that requires the members of the legislative body to be elected "by district". The change must be made in furtherance of the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act.

ANALYSIS

The process to transition to by-district election requires five public hearings where the community is invited to provide input regarding the composition of future City Council

districts. Pursuant to California Elections Code Section 10010, the first two public hearings to inform the public about the districting process (held on September 14 and October 12, 2021) are required before any map of district boundaries for the proposed voting districts can be drawn. At this third public hearing, the City Council is requested to review draft district maps, receive public input and comment on the draft maps, and to identify two to four "focus" maps for further discussion, refinement, and public review in subsequent public hearings. The City Council will identify a preferred map at the fourth public hearing.

At the fifth public hearing, the City Council will adopt a district map and introduce an Ordinance to complete the transition process. The City Council is the final decision-making body on adopting district boundaries.

The City has scheduled the required public hearings as follows:

Date	Meeting Type	Public Hearing	Item Topic at Meeting
9/14/2021	Public Hearing	1	**Completed**. Public input on composition of districts (before maps are drawn, hold 2 public hearings on composition of districts over period of no more than 30 days)
10/12/2021	Public Hearing	2	**Completed**. Continue to receive public input on composition of districts (must be held within 30 days of Public Hearing No. 1)
1/11/2022	Public Hearing	3	Discussion of proposed district maps. First draft of map must be published 7 days before Public Hearing No. 3
2/8/2022	Public Hearing	4	Public input and identify preferred District Map (hold 2 public hearings within 45 days of Public Hearing No. 3). Discuss sequence of elections
2/22/2022	Public Hearing	5	Adoption of District Map, transition to district elections Ordinance introduced
3/8/2022	Regular Meeting		Second reading of Ordinance (effective 30 days after)

Cities must comply with the following legally required criteria under federal and state law:

1. Each district must have substantially equal population as determined by the census.

2. Race cannot be the predominant factor or criteria when drawing districts.

3. Council districts shall not be adopted for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

4. Incarcerated persons may not be counted toward a city's population, except if their last known place of residence is assigned to a census block in the city.

5. The districting plan must comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act, which prohibits districts from diluting minority voting rights and encourages a majority-minority district if the minority group is sufficiently large and such a district can be drawn without race being the predominant factor.

6. The City Council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

    A. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

    B. To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation (e.g., school district boundaries, neighborhood boundaries, homeowners' associations, retail/commercial districts, etc.). Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

    C. Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.

    D. To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

To increase public awareness of the transition to district elections, the City has activated a variety of public communication channels to engage the Folsom community. Districting information is featured on the City's website and City newsletters. Numerous frequently

asked questions have been posted on the dedicated districting webpages on the City's website.

Members of the public were encouraged to submit draft maps by January 3, 2022 by either drawing on a paper map or through an electronic mapping software that automatically calculates district population numbers based on entries into the software. The submitted maps are included in the attachment for Council consideration.

The next public hearing to receive and consider further input from the public is scheduled for February 8, 2022. At that meeting, the public will have an opportunity to comment on focus maps selected by the City Council, and the City Council will have an opportunity to identify a preferred map. The public is encouraged to provide input via emails to attydept@folsom.ca.us. Input may also be dropped off at City Hall.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The services of a demographer are required to assist the City transition to a by-district election system under specific aggressive timelines as required by the California Elections Code. Staff anticipates the cost to be approximately $40,000.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) does not apply to activities that will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment (CEQA Guidelines §15061(c)(3)), or is otherwise not considered a project as defined by Public Resources Code §21065 and CEQA Guidelines §15060(c)(3) and §15378. The Council's decision regarding by-district elections meets the above criteria and is not subject to CEQA. No environmental review is required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Draft district maps submitted for Council review and consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Wang, City Attorney

ATTACHMENTS

Sums by District Assigned   **Ideal population:**   **15,008**

Folsom 2021 Districting Public Participation Kit

Robert Bulaga

Group	Category	Counts					Unassigned	Total	Percentages					Unassigned	Total
		1	2	3	4	5			1	2	3	4	5		
Total Est. 2020 Population	Tot. Pop.	15,014	14,881	15,206	14,965	14,972	0	75,008							
	Deviation from Ideal	6	-127	198	-43	-36		325	0.04%	-0.84%	1.32%	-0.28%	-0.24%		2.17%
Citizen Voting Age Population	Total CVAP	11,701	10,623	9,789	9,502	7,670	0	49,286							
	Hisp	1,090	1,072	1,112	867	638	0	4,779	9%	10%	11%	9%	8%		10%
	NH Wht	9,421	8,012	6,692	7,040	5,015	0	36,179	81%	75%	68%	74%	65%		73%
	NH Blk	125	98	265	120	70	0	677	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%		1%
	NH Asn	934	1,256	1,589	1,315	1,890	0	6,984	8%	12%	16%	14%	25%		14%
Nov 2020 Registration	Total Reg	10,695	9,443	8,950	9,541	9,660	0	48,289							
	Latino	752	855	779	732	777	0	3,895	7%	9%	9%	8%	8%		8%
	Asian-American	416	587	809	709	1,292	0	3,813	4%	6%	9%	7%	13%		8%
	Other	9,527	8,001	7,362	8,100	7,591	0	40,581	89%	85%	82%	85%	79%		84%
Nov 2020 Voters	Total Voters	9,488	8,255	7,927	8,614	8,698	0	42,982							
	Latino	659	715	674	648	699	0	3,395	7%	9%	9%	8%	8%		8%
	Asian-American	362	509	674	623	1,120	0	3,288	4%	6%	9%	7%	13%		8%
	Other	8,467	7,031	6,579	7,343	6,879	0	36,299	89%	85%	83%	85%	79%		84%

Submitter's Comments about the plan:

12/13/21 A. Harrison

This is a perfect, indiscriminate map of randomized districs.
Old folsom area (not including "Historic") was taken as a start
point. It's five districs, or "population units". (1936, 1321, 790, 1389,
2209.) Each assigned a different district. The rest of the city
was randomly assigned. Each representative therefore.
has responsability for an equal area of newer folsom and
older folsom.

Equally
shared
responsibility.

#1 Egoal
#1 responsible
#1 folsom
     Together

<u>Side Note:</u>

Population unit 1695 only has Intel.
How are 1695 people living at Intel
92 people live at the Prison?
50 of which are children.
Anyways...

12/28/21 C. Kempenaar

12/28/21 B. Cline

District Configuration in Folsom

Guiding principles – By Bruce Cline

Continuity of Communities of Interest

- Minimize Splits of Natural Communities where possible
- Example - - Historic District, North of the River, Central Business

Maintain North of the River, Historic District, Central Business District as a whole if possible, but can combine with other areas

District lines based on Main Streets or Significant Community Features where possible

- Create easy to follow District Line where possible
- Example River, Corridors, Main Thoroughfares

Communities Together

- North of the River
- Historic District
- Central Business and Central Residential
- Briggs Ranch
- Lexington Hills
- Prairie Oaks
- Empire Ranch
- Folsom South of 50

Allocate Lowest Population numbers South of 50 allowable to reflect population increases since Census and Expected Growth in Near Term (while respecting community and natural boundaries)

- 1723 Residential Building Permits Issued which results in Population of **4996 (2.9/DU) in Next 6 months** (Presently roughly 980 units are occupied (2.9/DU) = 2842 residents)
- 2700 mapped lots – Represents another 7830 residents likely by 2025
- Dave's Districting Map allocates 905 to South of 50
- South of 50 population in Very Short Term = **4900 NOT Reflected on maps in very near term**
- Examine Diverse Population and Political Parties for each District

NOTE- The one change I would like to make is to include Porter Street all in the District that encompasses South of 50. The program would not let me include it as its own Block.

01/03/22 L. Holderness

01/02/22 J. Alexander

01/02/22 B. Leary

My notes: Previously submitted map which I've deleted - new map created 01/01/2022. I was unable to get the Blocks part of the app to work to refine the numbers. I believe the district which includes S-50 should be left as low in voters as possible within the guidelines as it is the one which will outgrow the other districts.

I tried to establish districts with similar ages of homes. District 1 consists of large lot homes, less walkable services - the areas within them are well connected by the Folsom Dam Crossing.

The Historic District should be aligned with the areas also with older homes as shown on the map. Natoma Station and Prairie Oaks have similar demograhpics and better access to services and public transit. Finally area 4 includes most of the areas developed after the 90, also very limited to walkable services.

12/31/21 T. Nordheim

NDC **Population Unit Data**

Pop Unit	Total Exc Pop	Citizen Voting Age Population					Nov. 2020 Registration				Nov. 2020 Voters			
		Tot	Hisp	NH Wht	NH Blk	NH Asn	Tot	Latino	Asn	Other	Tot	Latino	Asn	Other
1	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
2	878	0	0	0	0	0	490	43	77	370	431	38	63	330
3	2,576	1,232	52	717	10	422	1,479	146	222	1,111	1,302	127	183	992
4	1,390	873	33	673	0	188	1,050	108	177	765	917	92	150	675
5	1,641	817	45	509	0	263	1,040	63	137	840	963	59	125	779
6	1,880	978	75	631	0	272	1,239	84	173	982	1,131	82	156	893
7	1,942	933	10	730	60	115	1,214	97	138	979	1,111	89	115	907
8	1,896	1,101	79	630	0	368	1,151	84	131	936	1,022	75	118	831
9	363	183	13	93	0	75	232	19	36	177	210	18	32	160
10	761	416	23	281	0	106	333	40	14	279	296	35	14	249
11	2,258	1,535	200	1,240	0	95	1,757	119	52	1,586	1,601	106	47	1,448
12	1,782	1,420	150	1,200	15	55	1,270	120	37	1,113	1,136	108	33	995
13	3,482	2,257	175	1,442	6	632	2,099	144	366	1,589	1,912	129	323	1,460
14	777	487	45	372	0	66	592	54	43	495	536	50	38	448
15	762	492	37	387	3	46	598	27	51	520	546	18	46	482
16	1,929	1,191	234	790	0	164	1,504	104	192	1,208	1,389	97	177	1,115
17	579	386	82	289	0	98	324	27	42	255	279	23	30	226
18	2,298	1,479	65	1,249	11	135	1,679	115	78	1,486	1,530	101	68	1,361
19	234	136	29	106	0	21	168	20	3	145	152	18	3	131
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	92	32	3	24	1	4	44	5	1	38	39	5	1	33
22	1,437	1,172	220	889	0	64	1,097	73	37	987	1,017	68	33	916
23	704	618	104	463	0	42	533	42	17	496	486	36	13	437
24	663	608	110	450	0	20	466	17	16	433	422	15	16	391
25	2,447	1,953	180	1,657	0	97	1,900	122	99	1,679	1,672	105	86	1,481
26	2,370	1,971	67	1,766	52	75	1,877	155	57	1,705	1,682	118	33	1,531
27	1,856	1,445	119	1,155	23	130	1,289	106	41	1,142	1,147	93	36	1,018
28	2,018	1,785	259	1,395	24	165	1,465	114	41	1,310	1,306	98	36	1,172
29	265	239	35	204	0	2	216	20	1	197	195	19	0	176
30	11	4	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2
31	1,695	1,146	124	883	105	24	923	86	44	793	802	72	28	702
32	3,071	2,040	323	1,385	35	280	2,125	171	183	1,771	1,876	151	151	1,574
33	601	215	24	160	20	11	272	26	31	215	256	24	31	201
34	1,646	1,202	68	1,021	4	73	1,048	52	11	985	914	43	9	862
35	1,936	1,446	106	1,032	0	301	1,327	94	85	1,146	1,157	85	69	1,003
36	1,321	1,018	188	747	21	40	697	90	28	579	559	66	22	469
37	1,389	931	120	730	0	25	813	79	20	714	665	54	14	597
38	2,209	1,818	122	1,552	41	84	1,546	183	28	1,335	1,334	147	25	1,162
39	2,845	1,416	172	868	85	180	1,213	113	68	1,032	1,055	101	54	900
40	790	653	121	450	4	41	449	43	31	375	381	34	29	318
41	702	378	21	332	12	11	405	56	21	348	359	36	20	309

NDC Population Unit Data

Unit	Est. Pop.	Inst	Hosp	NH Wht	NH Blk	NH Am	Tot.	Latino	Ass.	Other	Tot.	Latino	Ass.	Other
42	1,266	868	35	505	0	258	670	56	42	552	673	58	58	520
43	744	528	26	349	0	146	585	32	52	455	492	51	49	401
44	1,247	666	25	580	10	318	449	46	53	499	533	52	33	386
45	1,686	1,117	47	697	0	294	1,097	83	159	804	980	70	63	765
46	1,102	781	46	438	0	271	482	50	52	708	72	26	121	587
47	1,166	873	68	250	10	244	475	52	58	323	423	45	42	314
48	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	5	5	0	0	3
49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	3,354	3,354	92	785	44	273	879	96	66	829	668	69	52	544
51	820	563	47	429	29	51	567	41	46	420	374	36	43	374
52	1,242	842	105	425	9	87	733	67	60	488	455	58	51	390
53	517	375	44	332	3	22	325	39	9	277	287	32	8	247
54	1,500	857	42	746	4	141	1,664	74	106	886	980	70	93	817
55	831	225	30	249	3	64	329	22	43	264	299	18	40	241
56	1,460	759	91	470	29	140	843	81	98	636	734	75	80	579
57	554	315	47	226	2	41	359	26	49	314	349	22	38	288
58	1,386	1,055	66	758	0	208	1,134	71	158	665	654	63	136	654
59	640	455	32	358	6	42	454	42	22	260	419	39	18	362

Sums by District Assigned Ideal population: 15,008
Folsom 2021 Districting Public Participation Kit

Nordheim, T.

Group	Category	Counts							Percentages				
		1	2	3	4	5	Unassigned	Total	1	2	3	4	5
Total Est. 2020 Population	Tot. Pop.	14,285	15,749	15,347	15,028	14,629	0	75,038					
	Deviation from Ideal	-723	741	339	20	-379		1,464	-4.81%	4.94%	2.26%	0.14%	-2.52%
Citizen Voting Age Population	Total CVAP	9,134	10,882	8,047	9,704	11,519	0	49,286					
	Hisp	940	1,063	623	1,075	1,077	0	4,779	10%	10%	8%	11%	9%
	NH Wht	6,644	8,087	5,634	6,487	9,328	0	36,179	73%	74%	70%	67%	81%
	NH Blk	176	66	81	230	125	0	677	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	NH Asn	1,109	1,592	1,656	1,769	861	0	6,986	12%	15%	21%	18%	7%
Nov. 2020 Registration	Total Reg.	8,137	10,675	10,187	8,827	10,463	0	48,289					
	Latino	735	863	807	757	733	0	3,895	9%	8%	8%	9%	7%
	Asian-American	544	795	1,239	855	380	0	3,813	7%	7%	12%	10%	4%
	Other	6,858	9,017	8,141	7,215	9,350	0	40,581	84%	84%	80%	82%	89%
Nov. 2020 Voters	Total Voters	7,075	9,612	9,205	7,812	9,278	0	42,982					
	Latino	615	752	726	661	641	0	3,395	9%	8%	8%	8%	7%
	Asian-American	463	709	1,070	716	330	0	3,288	7%	7%	12%	9%	4%
	Other	5,997	8,151	7,409	6,435	8,307	0	36,299	85%	85%	80%	82%	90%

Submitter's Comments about the plan:

Just under the 10% deviation from ideal, this plan tried to group Folsom in to what are naturally occuring neighborhoods (trying to group draw areas by Elementary Scho... young professionals create families and meet others and form relationships with one another - and hopefully remain in Folsom with those established relationships to bett... which side of the aisle they are on.

12/31/21 T. Nordheim

Unassigned	Total
	9.76%
	10%
	7.3%
	1%
	14%
	80%
	80%
	84%
	80%
	80%
	84%

adj. This is often where
in the town - no matter

01/03/22 R. Campbell

My notes: Previously submitted map which I've deleted - new map created 01/01/2022. I was unable to get the Blocks part of the app to work to refine the numbers. I believe the district which includes S-50 should be left as low in voters as possible within the guidelines as it is the one which will outgrow the other districts.

I tried to establish districts with similar ages of homes. District 1 consists of large lot homes, less walkable services - the areas within them are well connected by the Folsom Dam Crossing.

The Historic District should be aligned with the areas also with older homes as shown on the map. Natoma Station and Prairie Oaks have similar demograhpics and better access to services and public transit. Finally area 4 includes most of the areas developed after the 90, also very limited to walkable services.

01/03/22 NDC MAP 1 TABLE

NDC 101						
District	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Total Pop	14,704	14,747	15,366	15,273	14,946	75,036
Deviation from ideal	-304	-261	360	265	-62	664
% Deviation	-2.03%	-1.74%	2.40%	1.77%	-0.41%	4.42%
Total Pop — % Hisp	9.0%	11%	19%	10%	11%	13%
% NH White	50%	72%	61%	51%	60%	59%
% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
% Asian-American	36%	10%	15%	34%	22%	23%
Citizen Voting Age Pop — Total	7,460	11,575	10,930	9,808	9,493	49,288
% Hisp	8%	11%	10%	10%	9%	10%
% NH White	64%	80%	77%	67%	75%	73%
% NH Black	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%
% Asian/Pac Isl	26%	7%	10%	20%	13%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020) — Total	9,291	10,847	9,500	9,220	9,431	48,289
% Latino est.	8%	7%	9%	9%	8%	8%
% Spanish-Surnamed	7%	7%	9%	8%	8%	8%
% Asian-Surnamed	13%	4%	4%	11%	8%	8%
% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
% NH White est.	73%	87%	83%	77%	82%	81%
% NH Black	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020) — Total	8,403	9,730	8,206	8,177	8,446	42,962
% Latino est.	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	8%
% Spanish-Surnamed	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%
% Asian-Surnamed	13%	3%	4%	11%	7%	8%
% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
% NH White est.	73%	88%	84%	78%	82%	81%
% NH Black	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018) — Total	5,200	7,757	5,806	5,807	6,349	30,905
% Latino est.	8%	6%	8%	8%	7%	7%
% Spanish-Surnamed	7%	6%	8%	8%	7%	7%
% Asian-Surnamed	11%	3%	4%	9%	6%	6%
% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
% NH White est.	79%	80%	80%	80%	84%	84%
% NH Black est.	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est. — Total	12,524	15,073	16,162	14,820	14,760	73,339
Age — aged 0-19	34%	21%	28%	29%	27%	28%
aged 20-60	53%	48%	56%	57%	53%	53%
aged 60 plus	13%	31%	17%	15%	20%	19%
Immigration — immigrants	22%	13%	12%	18%	23%	17%
naturalized	65%	67%	40%	56%	50%	56%
Language spoken at home — english	69%	86%	82%	73%	75%	77%
spanish	3%	5%	8%	4%	5%	5%
asian lang	15%	4%	5%	11%	10%	9%
other lang	13%	5%	5%	12%	11%	9%
Language Fluency — Speaks Eng "Less than Very Well"	5%	3%	5%	6%	6%	5%
Education (among those age 25+) — hs grad	20%	36%	42%	26%	28%	31%
bachelor	41%	32%	27%	33%	35%	37%
graduate degree	28%	18%	13%	20%	27%	23%
Child in Household — child under 18	54%	37%	37%	41%	36%	38%
Pct of Pop. Age 18+ — employed	70%	50%	66%	71%	67%	67%
Household Income — income 0-25k	4%	10%	15%	8%	9%	9%
income 25-50k	5%	12%	15%	4%	14%	11%
income 50-75k	9%	13%	13%	15%	12%	12%
income 75-200k	51%	45%	46%	52%	48%	48%
income 200k plus	31%	21%	12%	20%	17%	19%
Housing Stock — single family	83%	85%	80%	78%	65%	79%
multi-family	7%	15%	20%	22%	35%	21%
rented	14%	23%	39%	30%	42%	30%
owned	86%	77%	61%	70%	58%	70%

Total population data from the 2020 Census.

Surname based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop, Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Folsom 2022 Districting

NDC 101A

Map layers
NDC 101A
Landmark Area
Water Area
Pipeline/Power Line
Railroad
River
Streets
Landmark Point

©2021 CALIPER

01/03/22 NDC MAP 2 TABLE

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
	NDC 101A						
	Total Pop	14,556	14,668	15,388	15,125	15,301	75,038
	Deviation from ideal	-452	-340	380	117	293	832
	% Deviation	-3.01%	-2.27%	2.53%	0.78%	1.95%	5.54%
Total Pop	% Hisp	8.8%	10%	19%	11%	11%	11%
	% NH White	67%	54%	61%	60%	71%	59%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	% Asian-American	30%	30%	14%	24%	11%	23%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	7,839	9,402	10,762	9,402	11,881	49,286
	% Hisp	11%	10%	9%	7%	11%	10%
	% NH White	64%	70%	78%	73%	80%	73%
	% NH Black	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	% Asian-Pac/el	22%	17%	11%	18%	7%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	8,885	9,160	9,134	10,161	11,179	48,289
	% Latino est.	8%	8%	9%	7%	7%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	8%	9%	7%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	12%	11%	4%	10%	4%	8%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	72%	78%	85%	80%	87%	81%
	% NH Black	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	7,718	8,225	7,802	9,238	10,021	42,962
	% Latino est.	8%	8%	9%	7%	7%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	11%	10%	4%	10%	4%	8%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	72%	79%	85%	81%	87%	81%
	% NH Black	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	4,843	5,956	5,547	6,824	7,945	30,969
	% Latino est.	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	8%	3%	7%	3%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	78%	81%	87%	82%	89%	84%
	% NH Black est.	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	12,629	14,317	16,390	14,498	15,577	73,330
Age	age0-19	31%	29%	27%	31%	22%	28%
	age20-60	55%	56%	54%	53%	48%	53%
	age60plus	14%	15%	19%	16%	31%	19%
Immigration	immigrants	21%	21%	16%	17%	13%	17%
	naturalized	57%	57%	33%	47%	67%	55%
Language spoken at home	english	73%	72%	79%	76%	86%	77%
	spanish	4%	4%	9%	3%	5%	5%
	asian-lang	11%	12%	7%	10%	5%	9%
	other lang	12%	12%	5%	11%	5%	9%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	6%	9%	7%	4%	3%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	25%	25%	42%	25%	36%	31%
	bachelor	38%	33%	29%	41%	32%	37%
	graduatedegree	28%	29%	16%	24%	18%	22%
Child in Household	child-under18	45%	38%	33%	50%	38%	38%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	72%	70%	65%	70%	60%	67%
Household Income	income 0-25k	6%	8%	17%	3%	9%	8%
	income 25-50k	9%	8%	18%	6%	12%	11%
	income 50-75k	11%	13%	13%	11%	13%	12%
	income 75-200k	50%	52%	42%	54%	45%	48%
	income 200k-plus	25%	19%	10%	27%	21%	12%
Housing Status	single-family	80%	72%	42%	88%	86%	79%
	multi-family	14%	28%	38%	2%	14%	21%
	rented	25%	37%	50%	11%	23%	30%
	owned	75%	63%	50%	89%	77%	70%

Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Projections. Spanish and other surnamed voters are NH White and NH Black registration and turnout source adjusted by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop, Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

District	NDC 101B	1	2	3	4	5	Total
	Total Pop	14,776	15,087	15,361	15,124	14,720	75,058
	Deviation from ideal	-232	49	353	116	-288	641
	% Deviation	-1.55%	0.33%	2.35%	0.77%	-1.92%	4.27%
Total Pop	% Hisp	8.7%	11%	15%	10%	11%	11%
	% NH White	47%	71%	61%	54%	60%	59%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	% Asian American	30%	11%	15%	30%	22%	23%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	7,480	11,737	10,730	9,961	9,371	49,280
	% Hisp	5%	11%	9%	11%	11%	10%
	% NH White	63%	80%	76%	70%	74%	73%
	% NH Black	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%
	% Asian/Pac. Isl.	30%	7%	11%	15%	12%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	8,198	11,011	9,308	9,295	9,879	48,289
	% Latino est.	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	15%	4%	5%	10%	8%	8%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	87%	84%	78%	82%	81%
	% NH Black	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	7,908	9,871	8,005	8,233	8,965	42,982
	% Latino est.	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	14%	4%	5%	9%	8%	8%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	71%	87%	84%	79%	83%	81%
	% NH Black	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	4,885	7,846	5,660	5,817	6,777	30,985
	% Latino est.	9%	8%	8%	8%	7%	7%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	11%	3%	4%	8%	6%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	77%	86%	86%	81%	84%	84%
	% NH Black est.	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	12,791	15,355	16,197	14,683	14,332	73,330
Age	age0-19	34%	21%	28%	28%	27%	28%
	age20-60	53%	48%	54%	57%	54%	53%
	age60plus	13%	31%	17%	14%	19%	19%
Immigration	immigrants	23%	13%	14%	18%	21%	17%
	naturalized	65%	67%	38%	54%	53%	55%
Language spoken at home	english	69%	86%	80%	76%	75%	77%
	spanish	2%	5%	2%	4%	4%	5%
	asian lang	15%	4%	6%	10%	10%	9%
	other lang	14%	5%	5%	10%	12%	9%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	6%	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	21%	36%	42%	27%	28%	31%
	bachelor	47%	32%	27%	33%	36%	33%
	graduatedegree	28%	18%	13%	27%	28%	22%
Child in Household	child-under18	53%	28%	36%	40%	38%	38%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	70%	59%	67%	73%	68%	67%
Household Income	income 0-25k	4%	9%	16%	7%	8%	9%
	income 25-50k	5%	12%	17%	9%	10%	11%
	income 50-75k	10%	13%	13%	13%	10%	12%
	income 75-200k	51%	45%	43%	52%	51%	48%
	income 200k-plus	29%	21%	11%	18%	21%	19%
Housing Stats	single-family	93%	85%	70%	77%	75%	79%
	multi-family	7%	15%	30%	23%	25%	21%
	rented	14%	23%	44%	34%	33%	30%
	owned	86%	77%	56%	66%	67%	70%

Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

01/03/22 J. Normington

CITY OF **FOLSOM**
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

By-District Elections
Paper Map Drawing Kit
Population Based Census Areas

Use this map to outline the five districts you feel would best represent each area's population. The number in each outlined area indicates the total population of that "population unit." Each district must be as close as possible to the same population, with no more than a 1,500-person difference between the largest and smallest districts. If perfectly divided, each district in Folsom would have 15,008 people.

Your Name *Joan Normington* Your Email and/or Phone Number: *jnatlake@ymail.com*

An online version of this map with more detail is available at www.folsom.ca.us/districtelections.

Use a thick, dark-colored pen to draw your map.

Drop off or mail your completed map to the Folsom City Attorney's Office, Folsom City Hall, 50 Natoma Street or email to attydept@folsom.ca.us.

Graphics 2021 CALIPER Corporation,
National Times October 29, 2021

37

01/03/22 J. Normington

CITY OF
FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

By-District Elections
Paper Map Drawing Kit
Population Based Census Areas

Use this map to outline the five districts you feel would best represent each area's population. The number in each outlined area indicates the total population of that "population unit." Each district must be as close as possible to the same population, with no more than a 1,500-person difference between the largest and smallest districts. If perfectly divided, each district in Folsom would have 15,000 people.

Your Name: *Joan Normington* Your Email and/or Phone Number: *jnatlake@gmail.com*

An online version of this map with more detail is available at **www.folsom.ca.us/districtelections.**

Use a thick, dark-colored pen to draw your map.

Drop off or mail your completed map to the Folsom City Attorney's Office, Folsom City Hall, 50 Natoma Street or email to attydept@folsom.ca.us.

Graphics 2021 CAEURT Corporation, National Demo October 20, 2021

01/03/22 S. Hudson

Thank you for including our voice.

Shelley
Have a safe day.

2

01/03/22 D. Reid

By-District Elections
Paper Map Drawing Kit
Unit ID Based Census Areas

Use this map to outline the five districts you feel would best represent each area's population. The number in each outlined area indicates Unit ID of each area. Use this map along with the "Participation Kit" Microsoft Excel spreadsheet that can be found at *www.folsom.ca.us/districtelections*.

Your Name: _____   Your Email and/or Phone Number: _____

An online version of this map with more detail is available at *www.folsom.ca.us/districtelections*.

Use a thick, dark-colored pen to draw your map.

Drop off or mail your completed map to the Folsom City Attorney's Office, Folsom City Hall, 50 Natoma Street or email to *attydept@folsom.ca.us*.

Graphics 2021 CALIPER Corporation.
National Demo October 29, 2021

Members of the Folsom City Council:

As the City of Folsom develops its By-District Council Map, I would like to advocate for the neighborhoods that comprise South of East Bidwell Street and North of Highway 50, an area which is largely-comprised of the Natoma Station, Prairie Oaks, and Broadstone neighborhoods.

This area of the City of Folsom was developed in the 1990s. These neighborhoods have for the better part of three decades acted as a single community of interest. These adjoining neighborhoods are a working middle class community, many of whom rely on dual incomes. The children in this community often define these combined neighborhoods since they share the same schools, scouting organizations, and sports teams. These neighborhoods also share the same traffic concerns which impact their neighborhoods (i.e. Highway 50, Iron Point, Blue Ravine, Riley Street, Oak Avenue Parkway). They also share in the historic lack of representation on the Folsom City Council. To the best of my knowledge, no member of the Folsom City Council has ever resided in these neighborhoods. The elected officials from north of East Bidwell Street have driven the agenda for the neighborhoods. To be clear, I am not criticizing their leadership as I believe they have helped to create a very special city.

All I would respectfully ask is for the City Council to attempt to keep these neighborhoods together rather than divide them up.

Sincerely yours,

01/03/22 N. Ferrrari

By-District Elections
# Paper Map Drawing Kit
Population Based Census Areas

Use this map to outline the five districts you feel would best represent each area's population. The number in each outlined area indicates the total population of that "population unit." Each district must be as close as possible to the same population, with no more than a 1,500-person difference between the largest and smallest districts. If perfectly divided, each district in Folsom would have 15,008 people.

Your Name: Nick Ferrari   Your Email and/or Phone Number: nichf313@gmail.com

① America River Canyon / Old Folsom = 13,903

② Central Folsom = 14,961

③ Prairie Oaks / Natoma Sta = 15,486

④ Broadstorp = 15,466

⑤ Empire Ranch = 15,222

An online version of this map with more detail is available at www.folsom.ca.us/districtelections.

Use a thick, dark-colored pen to draw your map.

Drop off or mail your completed map to the Folsom City Attorney's Office, Folsom City Hall, 50 Natoma Street or email to attydept@folsom.ca.us.

Graphics 2021 CALIPER Corporation.

46

01/03/22 L. Ferrari

48