

Exhibit A
Summary of Proposed UDC Categories

Category	Trees
UDC Section(s):	8.8
<p>What is the problem: As written, tree preservation requirements apply to <i>redevelopments of any residential property</i>. For example, a home being rebuilt as substantial reconstruction resulting in an increase of the footprint would need to comply with tree preservation requirements. This conflicts with the current City Council direction provided at the February 2023 and October 2024 workshops.</p> <p>Council direction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Review and ensure no conflict exists with Council's direction of addressing trees on private property.B. Provide a recommendation on current tree mitigation requirements relative to circumference and number of trees without creating new regulations. <p>Staff changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Staff removed the section of the UDC that requires tree preservation plans for redevelopments on residential properties.B. Staff reviewed all current regulations regarding tree circumference regulations and concluded that the current regulations are adequate.C. Staff provided clarification on requirements for tree plans, simplified the process to alleviate confusion, consolidated tree and heritage plans criteria, and added requirements preventing the re-planting of red oaks for mitigation requirements.	

Exhibit A
Summary of Proposed UDC Categories

Category	Signs
UDC Section(s):	Chapter 10
<p>What is the problem:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. The previous statutory UDC amendments did not incorporate new statutes from the 2021 Texas Legislative session relative to signs (ETJ, content, etc.). A comprehensive review of existing regulations is needed.B. Enhanced regulations on size, type and location to ensure signs do not create traffic hazards, impairment of motorists, sight of vision and distraction, or conflict with the desired appearance of the City is warranted. For example, the number and location of election signs at the poll site (City Hall complex). <p>Council direction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Review applicable statutes and amend accordingly.B. Provide a recommendation of the size, type and location of signs that ensures the safety of pedestrians and vehicle drivers, while maintaining the desirable appearance of the City. <p>Staff changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Language was added to require signs erected within the ETJ to conform to UDC requirements.B. Section 10.2 Calculation of Sign Size - clarified calculations and added examples for wall signs and monument/freestanding signs per City Attorney recommendations.C. Section 10.3 regarding signs in the ETJ - changed IH-10 to FM 3351 and clarified applicability per City Attorney recommendation.D. Section 10.4 Exempt Signs- revised to reflect “scholastic signs are regulated through the deed restrictions and not through this Code. A flagpole with a scholastic flag is not considered a “Scholastic sign” and is regulated through this code as a flagpole” per City Attorney recommendations.E. Section 10.6 - changed the title to “Political Signs,” and included revisions regarding regulation by State law, sign removal timeline, and a limit on candidate signs on public property.	