

- TO: EUSTIS CITY COMMISSION
- FROM: Tom Carrino, City Manager
- DATE: November 7, 2024

RE: **FIRST READING**

Ordinance Number 24-37: Assignment of Suburban Corridor Design District for Parcel located at 2505 E. Orange Avenue with Alternate Key Number 1443270

Introduction:

Ordinance Number 24-35 provides for the voluntary annexation of approximately .34 acres located at 2505 E. Orange Avenue. Provided the City Commission approves annexation of the subject property, Ordinance Number 24-36 would change the future land use designation from Urban Medium in Lake County to Residential/Office Transitional (RT) in the City of Eustis, and Ordinance Number 24-37 would assign the subject property a design district designation of Suburban Corridor. If the City Commission denies Ordinance Number 24-35, then there can be no consideration of Ordinance Numbers 24-36 and 24-37.

Background:

- 1. The site contains approximately .34 acres located at 2505 E. Orange Avenue and is within the Eustis Joint Planning Area. The Lake County Property Appraiser has classified the property as 1 story commercial office.
- 2. The site is contiguous to the City on all boundaries.
- 3. The site has a Lake County land use designation of Urban Medium, but approval of Ordinance Number 24-36 would change the land use designation to Residential/Office Transitional in the City of Eustis.
- 4. The property has a currently vacant commercial office structure and associated parking on site.
- 5. The commercial office building and parking area are accessed directly from E Orange Avenue.
- 6. The County Zoning for the property is Residential Professional. Lake County Residential Professional Zoning allows for office and professional services. The last office and professional services businesses occupying the building appear to have been between May 2019 and August 2022.

Applicant's Request

The applicant, George Trenfield, submitted an application for annexation and assignment of a Eustis future land use designation and design district.

The current Lake County the land use designation is Urban Medium and the zoning designation is Residential Professional. The Lake County zoning and land use designations allow for multi-family up to 7 du/acre, professional services and limited

commercial. The property owner has requested the Residential Office Transitional (RT) land use designation within the City of Eustis. The RT land use provides for multi-family up to 12 du/acre and professional offices and services; most commercial uses require a conditional use approval by City Commission. The requested RT designation is consistent with the land use designation of adjacent properties. The applicant has requested that the parcel receive the same Design District Designation as the adjacent parcels already within the City of Eustis. The adjacent City of Eustis Design District is Suburban Corridor.

Analysis of Annexation Request (Ord. Number 24-35)

The City Commission has voted for approval of the Annexation of the parcel under Ordinance Number 24-35 at the first reading held on October 17, 2024

Analysis of Comprehensive Plan/Future Land Use Request (Ord. Number 24-36)

The City Commission has voted for approval of the assignment of the Residential/Office Transitional Future Land Use for the parcel under Ordinance Number 24-36 at the first reading held on October 17, 2024.

Analysis of Design District Request (Ord. Number 24-37):

Form-Based Code:

The City's Land Development Regulations are a form-based code. Design districts are unique to form-based codes. Lake County still uses traditional Euclidean zoning, so there are no design districts for parcels in unincorporated Lake County. When a parcel annexes into the City of Eustis, the City must assign a consistent design district that follows the urban, suburban and rural transect

1. Standard for Review:

The Land Development Regulations includes the following standards for review of an amendment to the Design District Map. In approving a change in the designation, the City Commission shall consider: Whether the amendment is in conflict with any applicable provisions of the Code.

a. Section 102-17(a) "... Section 109-3 Design Districts:

identifies the definition, structure and form of each design district. The assignment of design district must follow the district pattern and intent."

The requested amendment assigns a newly annexed parcel a designation that meets the district pattern and intent (Suburban Corridor). The Suburban development pattern and intent, and the Suburban Corridor definition, structure and form description are stated below. The assignment of a Suburban Corridor design district designation is appropriate due to the established and proposed development patterns in the area. The City of Eustis Design District Map shows the properties along E Orange Avenue as Suburban Corridor. All of properties within the City limits fronting on Orange Avenue between Sunrise Lane and Diedrich Street are Suburban Corridor. The annexation property, and subject of the request for Suburban Corridor assignment, is between these terminuses of the corridor.

b. Sec. 109-3.4. Suburban development pattern intent statements:

Intent. Suburban development pattern...relies primarily on a pattern of residential development that provides the majority of property owners with substantial yards on their own property. The street layout, comprised of streets with fewer vehicular connections, helps to reduce cut-through traffic and establishes distinct boundaries for residential communities/subdivisions. **Nonresidential uses are**

primarily located on corridors, districts and a mix of uses is prominent in centers. Each land use provides for pedestrian and bicycle connections. Design districts

(4) Suburban Corridor:

<u>Definition.</u> Linear concentrations of typically commercial uses, predominately auto-oriented uses. The parcel size ranges from large areas of depth to shallow in nature, compatible with the adjacent neighborhoods.

<u>Structure.</u> The street system is designed to accommodate the density, intensity, and form of suburban development and provides functional connections that link neighborhoods to shopping areas.

<u>Form.</u> Predominately single-use areas that may include a mix of uses, retail, and residential.

The Suburban development patterns statement above indicates that nonresidential uses are primarily located on corridors. A Suburban Corridor designation follows the district pattern and intent outlined in the Land Development Regulations, and is consistent with the existing transect along Orange Avenue.

c. Section 102-17(a)

The following guidelines must be followed when proposing the reassignment of design district:

Compatible intensities should face across streets. Changes in design districts should occur along rear alleys or lanes or along conservation edges.

The property south of the site, across Orange Avenue, lies in unincorporated Lake County and Lake County does not have design districts. Creating a condition where like designations face across streets is not applicable in this case because much of the adjacent land is not in the City limits. The Design District Map, however, designates this portion of Orange Avenue as Suburban Corridor. Where new development will abut an existing or approved neighborhood, the new development should establish similar or compatible transect conditions. (Transect per LDRs Section 100, "The rural-urban transect describes the physical form and character of a place according to the density of its land use).

The requested Suburban Corridor designation would establish similar and compatible transect conditions. The majority of properties within the City limits fronting on Orange Avenue are Suburban Corridor and "suburban" is the appropriate transect condition between urban and rural.

d. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan: Whether the proposed amendment is consistent with all elements of the comprehensive plan.

The requested amendment is consistent with the Future Land Use element (including Policy FLU 1.2.4, Development Patterns and FLU 1.3.2. Maintain Residential Compatibility), as well as all other elements of the Comprehensive Plan.

e. Consistent with Surrounding Uses: Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed design district is consistent with existing and proposed land uses. The Suburban Corridor definition, structure and form are compatible with the existing uses and any proposed uses permitted under the Residential Office Transitional future land use designation.

f. Changed Conditions:

Whether there have been changed conditions that justify amending the design district.

The subject property is proposed for annexation and a design district assignment is necessary. The conditions have changed from land located in unincorporated Lake County without central services to a site within the City of Eustis with full municipal services.

g. Public Facilities.

Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed redistricting would result in demands on public facilities, and whether, or to the extent to which, the proposed change would exceed the capacity of such public facilities, including, but not limited to police, roads, sewage facilities, water supply, drainage, solid waste, parks and recreation, schools, and fire and emergency medical facilities.

This amendment will not change the demand on public facilities. The Future Land Use designation controls the density and intensity permitted on the site, so the Design District map amendment would not result in impacts beyond that already anticipated. Also see analysis of public facilities in above sections of this report.

h. Impact to Environment:

Whether, and the extent to which, the redistricting would result in significant impacts on the natural environment.

The proposed Design District designation for this property does not change the development potential of the parcel. Design Districts control the form and function of any development that does occur. The Future Land Use designation controls the density, intensity and minimum open space permitted on the site, so the Design District amendment would not result in additional impacts on the natural environment. Site plan review for any future development will include environmental review to assess impacts to flora, fauna and wetlands, and provide for protection of sensitive areas.

i. Property Values:

Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed redistricting would affect the property values in the area.

This request should not adversely affect property values, because the proposed Design District designation is consistent with the surrounding development patterns and design districts.

j. Orderly Development Pattern: Whether, and the extent to which, the proposed redistricting would result in an orderly and logical development pattern.
The proposed Design District designation is consistent with the

The proposed Design District designation is consistent with the suburban development pattern identified in Section 109-5.5. Assignment of the requested designation will result in a more orderly and logical

development pattern; making the designation consistent with the surrounding area designations and established development patterns.

- *k.* Public Interest and Intent of Regulations: Whether the proposed redistricting would be in conflict with the public interest, and in harmony with the purpose and intent of these regulations. The proposed Design District is not in conflict with the public interest and reflects the purpose and intent of the regulations.
- I. Other Matters:

Any other matters that may be deemed appropriate by the city commission, in review and consideration of the proposed redistricting.

The City's Land Development Regulations are a form-based code. The Design District designations define the development form, but not the types of land uses, densities, intensities or required open space. The districts, therefore, must be consistent and follow the urban, suburban and rural transect. This request assigns a designation to an annexation parcel.

Recommended Action:

Development Services recommends that the City Commission Approve Ordinance Number 24-37.

Policy Implications:

None

Alternatives:

- 1. Vote to Approve Ordinance Number 24-37.
- 2. Vote to Deny Ordinance Number 24-37.

Budget/Staff Impact:

None

Prepared By:

Jeff Richardson, AICP, Deputy Director, Development Services