







#### Effingham County Stormwater Master Plan Presentation

# Agenda

- Introductions
- Project Understanding & Approach
- Initial Data Gathering
- Project Study Area
- Field Data Collection
- Rehabilitation Plan Results
- Model Development
- Expansion Plans Results
- Cash Flow Analysis
- Future Recommendations
- Questions



![](_page_1_Picture_13.jpeg)

# Project Understanding

- Establish a high-level assessment of the County's infrastructure for potential flooding.
- Provide recommendations to better control impacts of stormwater.
- Establish an existing conditions H&H model that could be used as a baseline to:
  - Flooding Conditions & Future
     Improvements
  - Assess future development impacts.

![](_page_2_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Project Study Area

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Effingham County - Watersheds

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### HUC-12 Watersheds

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Project Approach

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Initial Data Gathering

- Project Kick-off meeting with County Personnel
- Data needs assessment & coordination
- Public Stakeholder
   Coordination
- Independent data collection

![](_page_6_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_9.jpeg)

FFINGHA

![](_page_6_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Public Stakeholder Engagement

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Field Data Collection

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Field Data Collection – Dashboard

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Model Development - Watershed

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 12-digit HUCS

Watershed Name	Watershed Area Inside County (Acres)	% of Total Area
Dasher Creek-Savannah River	45,587	14.7%
Ebenezer Creek	39,595	12.8%
Ferry Branch-Savannah River	3,005	1.0%
Hardin Canal-Little Ogeechee River	17,347	5.6%
Hog Branch-Savannah River	18,231	5.9%
Lanes Creek-Ogeechee River	14,808	4.8%
Lower Ogeechee Creek	1,414	0.5%
Lower Runs Branch	55,917	18.1%
Miles Branch-Ogeechee River	15,935	5.2%
Morgans Bridge-Ogeechee River	1,948	0.6%
Outlet Savannah River	25,251	8.2%
Shrimp Creek-Ogeechee River	23,496	7.6%
Saint Peters Bluff-Ogeechee River	10,582	3.4%
Upper Runs Branch	22,936	7.4%
Walden Branch-Ogeechee River	13,022	4.2%

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Model Development – Subcatchments

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Each HUC-12
   Watershed was
  - broken down into Subcatchments
- 1,327 County-wide ranging in size from 0.3 to 1,571 acres

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Model Development – Land-use

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Watershed	Developed	% of Total	Undeveloped	% of
watersneu	Area (Acres)		Area (Acres)	Total
Dasher Creek-Savannah River	6,694	14.7%	38,854	85.3%
Ebenezer Creek	4,507	11.4%	35,088	88.6%
Ferry Branch-Savannah River	18	0.6%	2,980	99.4%
Hardin Canal-Little Ogeechee River	1,620	9.3%	15,728	90.7%
Hog Branch-Savannah River	713	3.9%	17,488	96.1%
Lanes Creek-Ogeechee River	456	3.1%	14,352	96.9%
Lower Ogeechee Creek	46	3.2%	1,368	96.8%
Lower Runs Branch	2,503	4.5%	53,414	95.5%
Miles Branch-Ogeechee River	1,839	11.5%	14,096	88.5%
Morgans Bridge-Ogeechee River	256	13.1%	1,693	86.9%
Outlet Savannah River	4,430	17.5%	20,820	82.5%
Saint Peters Bluff-Ogeechee River	321	3.0%	10,260	97.0%
Shrimp Creek-Ogeechee River	1,946	8.3%	21,550	91.7%
Upper Runs Branch	868	3.8%	22,068	96.2%
Walden Branch-Ogeechee River	327	2.5%	12,696	97.5%

NLCD (2019) data obtained from USGS

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Model Development – Land-use

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Code	Class Description	Area (Acres)	Area (%)
Ebeneze	r Creek		
90	Woody Wetlands	14,203.5	35.9
42	Evergreen Forest	12,028.9	30.4
71	Grassland/Herbaceous	2,859.7	7.2
21	Developed, Open Space	2,389.5	6.0
52	Shrub/Scrub	1,900.2	4.8
82	Cultivated Crops	1,516.3	3.8
22	Developed, Low Intensity	1,435.6	3.6
81	Pasture/Hay	1,103.3	2.8
95	Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	710.0	1.8
23	Developed, Medium Intensity	557.5	1.4
43	Mixed Forest	475.6	1.2
11	Open Water	159.9	0.4
24	Developed High Intensity	124.3	0.3
31	Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	72.8	0.2
41	Deciduous Forest	58.1	0.1

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Model Development – Soils

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hydrologic Soil Group	Area (Acres)	Area (%)
A	9836.86	24.84
A/D	8895.97	22.47
В	1576.72	3.98
B/D	9084.34	22.94
С	-	-
C/D	9989.86	25.23
D	-	-
Not Rated	209.83	0.53
(Udorthents/Water)		

Soils data obtained from NRCS

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Model Development – Components

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Nodes

- Stage Area
- Time Stage
- Headwall/Endwall
- Links
  - Natural Channel
  - Pipes
  - Weirs

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Model Development – Complete Model

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Developed using PCSWMM software.
- Model consist of 1,325
   subcatchments, 5,082
   nodes, and 5,282 links.
- 10-, 25-, 50-, & 100-year
   24-hours SCS Type II
   storms were modeled.

## Rehabilitation Plan – Conditions Assessment

#### Structures Summary

A total of 126 structures were identified for inspection. The structures were initially classified as follows:

- Structures not able to be inspected because they were not accessible for inspection: 32 structures (25%).
- Structures requiring no maintenance currently: 59 structures (47%).
- Structures requiring some type of maintenance: 35 structures (28%).

#### Conveyances Summary

A total of 264 conveyances were located and inspected. The conveyances were initially classified as follows:

- Pipes not able to be inspected because they were not accessible for inspection: 11 pipes (4%).
- Pipes requiring no maintenance currently: 111 pipes (42%).
- Pipes requiring some type of maintenance: 142 pipes (54%).

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Rehabilitation Plan – Conditions Assessment

#### Categories of Repair and Maintenance Work

As the inventoried structure and pipe conditions were assessed, the maintenance needs were divided into the following general repair and maintenance categories:

- Inaccessible infrastructure not yet inspected.
- Stormwater structures requiring sediment and/or debris removal.
- Stormwater conveyances requiring sediment and/or debris removal.
- Stormwater structures requiring repair or replacement.
- Stormwater conveyances requiring repair or replacement.

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Rehabilitation Plan – Conditions Assessment

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Rehabilitation Plan – Inaccessible Structures

Item	Number of Occurrences	Cost Per Occurrence	Total Estimate (Rounded)
Inaccessible Research & Field Inspection Required	10	\$750	\$7,500
Inaccessible Because of Landscaping/Wildlife Control Required	16	\$1,000	\$16,000
Inaccessible Because of Traffic Control Required	1	\$1,000	\$1,000
Inaccessible Because of Stuck/Damaged of Structure Lid – Minor Construction	2	\$1,000	\$2,000
Inaccessible Because of Miscellaneous Reasons	3	\$1,000	\$3,000
		TOTAL	\$29,500

\*Mobilization estimates were reduced on the assumption that many pipe segments or structures would be packaged into a single project.

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Rehabilitation Plan – Sediment/Debris Removal

Itom	Number of	Cost Per	Total Estimate
item	Occurrences	Occurrence	(Rounded)
Structure Sediment/Debris	31	\$8,300	\$257,300
Removal Required			
Conveyance Sediment/Debris	99	\$5,000	\$495,000
Removal Required		(average)	
TOTAL			\$752,300

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Rehabilitation Plan – Sediment/Debris Removal

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Rehabilitation Plan – Sediment/Debris Removal

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Rehabilitation Plan – Maintenance/Repair

Item	Number of Occurrences	Cost Per Occurrence	Total Estimate (Rounded)
Structure Requiring	4	\$18,000	\$72,000
Repair			
Conveyance	34	\$22,000 (average)	\$748,000
Replacement			
Erosion Repair	9	\$4,000 (average)	\$36,000
	\$856,000		

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Rehabilitation Plan – Capital Improvement Plan

-	Project Area 1: Dasher Creek-Savannah River	
	<ul> <li>CIP 1 – Midland Road and Blue Jay Road*</li> </ul>	
>	Project Area 2: Ebenezer Creek	
	<ul> <li>CIP 2 – Jacks Branch*</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>CIP 3 – Race Path Road</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>CIP 4 – McCall Road</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>CIP 5 – Mock Road</li> </ul>	
>	Project Area 3: Hog Branch-Savannah River	
	<ul> <li>CIP 6 – Mount Pleasant Road</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>CIP 7 – Keiffer Road</li> </ul>	
>	Project Area 4: Lower Runs Branch	
	<ul> <li>CIP 8 – Arnsdorff Loop*</li> </ul>	Project with * are highest prid
	<ul> <li>CIP 9 – Clyo Shawnee Road*</li> </ul>	projects requiring major
	CIP 10 – Eugene Gnann Road*	construction
	<ul> <li>CIP 11 – Springfield Egypt Road*</li> </ul>	construction
	CIP 12 – Lower Ferry Road	
>	Project Area 5: Outlet Savannah River	
	CIP 13 – Midland Road*	
	<ul> <li>CIP 14 – Black Creek*</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>CIP 15 – Hodgeville Road</li> </ul>	
	CIP 16 – St Augustine Creek	
>	Project Area 6: Shrimp Creek-Ogeechee River	
	CIP 17 – Central Avenue	
۶	Project Area 7: Upper Runs Branch	
	CIP 18 – Runs Branch*	
×	Sediment/Debris Removal	
	<ul> <li>CIP 19 – North Sediment Removal</li> </ul>	

CIP 20 – South Sediment Removal

ority

Capital Improvement Projects			
CIP	Description	Project Cost	
CIP 1	Midland Road/Blue Jay Road	\$ 66,885.00	
CIP 2	Jacks Branch	\$ 48,232.50	
CIP 3	Race Path Road	\$ 114,997.50	
CIP 4	McCall Road	\$ 63,960.00	
CIP 5	Mock Road	\$ 66,900.00	
CIP 6	Mount Pleasant Road	\$ 30,375.00	
CIP 7	Keiffer Road	\$ 30,787.50	
CIP 8	Arnsdorff Loop	\$ 50,055.00	
CIP 9	Clyo Shawnee Road	\$ 33,294.00	
CIP 10	Eugene Gnann Road	\$ 25,860.00	
CIP 11	Springfield Egypt Road	\$ 95,220.00	
CIP 12	Lower Ferry Road	\$ 125,220.00	
CIP 13	Midland Road	\$ 81,750.00	
CIP 14	Black Creek	\$ 20,000.00	
CIP 15	Hodgeville Road	\$ 32,760.00	
CIP 16	St. Augustine Creek	\$ 22,575.00	
CIP 17	Central Avenue	\$ 13,710.00	
CIP 18	Runs Branch	\$ 247,987.50	
CIP 19	North Sediment Removal	\$ 42,795.00	
CIP 20	South Sediment Removal	\$ 57,210.00	
	TOTAL	\$ 1,270,574.00	

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Rehabilitation Plan – Capital Improvement Plan

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Rehabilitation Plan – Capital Improvement Plan

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Project Area 2 - CIP 2						
Item No.	Description	Est. Quantity	Measured Units	Unit Price	Tot	tal Amount
1	Mobilization	1	LS	\$ 6,431.00	\$	6,431.00
2	Traffic Control	1	LS	\$ 3,000.00	\$	3,000.00
3	Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$	2,000.00
4	30" RCP	53	LF	\$ 135.00	\$	7,155.00
5	30" Headwall	4	EA	\$ 5,000.00	\$	20,000.00
25% Contingency \$ 9			9,646.50			
				Sub-Total	\$	48,232.50

\*Note that mobilization and traffic control costs were provided for each CIP as lump sum items which are independent from the traffic control and mobilization fees estimated for each inventory asset in the previous sections of this document

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Expansion Plan – Level of Service Assessment

Effingham County's 2015 Stormwater Management Local Design Manual (LDM) establishes LOS standards.

Type of Conveyance	Roadway Classification / Use	24-Hour Design Storm Frequency
	Evacuation Route	100-year
Bridges	Non-Evacuation Route	Can be designed to reduced conveyance
Culverts & Pipe Systems: - Inlets (Catch Basins, Yard	Arterial / Emergency Evacuation Route	50-year
Inlets, Drop Inlets,	Collector Roads	25-year
Hooded Grate Inlets and	Neighborhood Roads	10-year
Flumes)	Roads With No Other Outlets	50-year
<ul> <li>Inlets (readwalls, Flared End Sections, etc.)</li> <li>Roadside Ditches</li> <li>Drainage Channels</li> </ul>	Parking Lots / Material Storage Areas / Landscape Areas	10-year

Type of Conveyance	Roadway Classification / Use	Flooding Depth LOS
	Arterial / Emergency Evacuation Route	One Lane Width Open
	Collector Roads	One Lane Width Open
Inlets (Catch Basins,	Neighborhood Roads	8.0 ft Lane Width Open
Yard Inlets, Drop	Roads With No Other Outlets	One Lane Width Open
Inlets, Hooded Grate	Parking Lots /	Maximum 0.5 ft Depth
Inlets and Flumes)	Detention Areas Utilized For Other Purposes With Flood Warning Sign	Maximum 1.5 ft Depth
	Material Storage Areas / Landscape Areas	Maximum 2.0 ft Depth

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Expansion Plan – Level of Service Assessment

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### County-wide Roads LOS Assessment Results

Total number of roads with a 50-year LOS	50
50-year LOS not met	10
Total number of roads with a 25-year LOS	73
25-year LOS not met	27
Total number of roads with a 10-year LOS	122
10-year LOS not met	47

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Cash Flow Analysis**

#### Rehabilitation Plan (10-year Period)

10-Year Cash Flow for Rehabilitationand Maintenance Plan											
Inflation 4.00%											
10- Year Plan plus 4% yearly Inflation		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033
Yearly Cost Adjustment		1.0400	1.0816	1.1249	1.1699	1.2167	1.2653	1.3159	1.3686	1.4233	1.4802
Complete Inspection of inaccesible Structures		\$33,280	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
High Priority Structures Repair and Replacement		\$114,400	\$118,976	\$123,735	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Low Priority		\$62,920	\$65,437	\$68,054	\$70,776	\$73,608	\$76,552	\$79,614	\$82,798	\$86,110	\$89,555
Maintenance -Debris and Sedimentation Removal., Erosion Repair		\$115,679	\$81,369	\$84,624	\$88,008	\$91,529	\$95,190	\$98,998	\$102,957	\$107,076	\$111,359
Yearly Inspection and Basic Maintenance Budget		\$41,600	\$43,264	\$44,995	\$46,794	\$48,666	\$50,613	\$52,637	\$54,743	\$56,932	\$59,210
Total Cost		\$368,000	\$309,000	\$321,000	\$206,000	\$214,000	\$222,000	\$231,000	\$240,000	\$250,000	\$260,000

#### Expansion Plan (20-year Period)

20-Year Cash Flow Expansion Plan (2024-2033)											
Inflation	4.00%										
20- Year Plan plus 4% yearly Inflation		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033
Yearly Cost Adjustment		1.04	1.08	1.12	1.17	1.22	1.27	1.32	1.37	1.42	1.48
Annual Cost Per Expansion Plan***		\$624,000	\$648,960	\$674,918	\$701,915	\$729,992	\$759,191	\$789,559	\$821,141	\$853,987	\$888,147
Total Cost		\$624,000	\$649,000	\$675,000	\$702,000	\$730,000	\$759,000	\$790,000	\$821,000	\$854,000	\$888,000

20-Year Cash Flow Expansion Plan (2034-2043)											
Inflation	4.00%										
20- Year Plan plus 4% yearly Inflation		2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043
Yearly Cost Adjustment		1.54	1.60	1.67	1.73	1.80	1.87	1.95	2.03	2.11	2.19
Annual Cost Per Expansion Plan***		\$923,672	\$960,619	\$999,044	\$1,039,006	\$1,080,566	\$1,123,789	\$1,168,740	\$1,215,490	\$1,264,110	\$1,314,674
Total Cost		\$924,000	\$961,000	\$999,000	\$1,039,000	\$1,081,000	\$1,124,000	\$1,169,000	\$1,215,000	\$1,264,000	\$1,315,000

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Future Recommendations**

- Additional analysis is recommended for the 27 collector roads not meeting the LOS.
  - Additional Model Refinement Could
     Include:
    - Survey Information
    - Calibration Data
      - Flow or water level monitoring
- Complete Future Land-use Analysis so that model can be updated.

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Questions

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)