

RZN-24-36

Rezoning Application

Status: Active

Submitted On: 6/4/2024

Primary Location

1369 Ebenezer Road Rincon, GA 31326

Owner

THE CARSON COMPANY **UNLIMITED LLC** 6636 GA HWY 21 S RINCON.

GA 31326

Applicant

Malorie Boyd

) 912-657-2788

mboyd@cci-sav.com

1480 Chatham Parkway

Suite 100

Savannah, GA 31405

Staff Review

07/09/2024

08/06/2024

■ Notification Letter Description *

mixed use development.

460

Parcel #*

48

Staff Description

9

5th

Public Notification Letters Mailed

06/17/2024

07/17/2024

06/19/2024

_

No

Applicant Information

Who is applying for the rezoning request?*

Agent

Applicant / Agent Name*

Neil McKenzie

Applicant Email Address*

nmckenzie@cci-sav.com

Applicant Phone Number*

9122003041

Applicant Mailing Address*

1480 Chatham Parkway

Applicant City*

Savannah

Applicant State & Zip Code*

GA 31405

Property Owner Information

Owner's Name*

Carson Company Unlimited, LLC

Owner's Email Address*

nmckenzie@cci-sav.com

Owner's Phone Number*

Owner's Mailing Address*

9122003041

1480 Chatham Parkway

Owner's City*

Owner's State & Zip Code*

Savannah

GA 31405

Rezoning Information

How many parcels are you rezoning?*

Present Zoning of Property*

3

AR-1 (Agricultural Residential 5 or More

Acres)

Proposed Zoning of Property*

Map & Parcel *

PD (Planned Development)

04600048

Road Name*

Proposed Road Access* ②

Ebenezer Road

Ebenezer & Longbridge Roads

Total Acres *

Acres to be Rezoned*

25.77

25.77

Lot Characteristics *

3 Parcels, same PIN, NW, NE, and SE of Roundabout

Water Connection *

Name of Supplier*

Public Water System

City of Springfield

Sewer Connection	Name of Supplier*			
Public Sewer System	City of Springfield			
Justification for Rezoning Amendment *				
To allow for mixed, increase services and housing options.				
List the zoning of the other property in the vicinity of the property you wish to rezone:				
North*	South*			
AR-1	AR-1			
East*	West*			
AR-1	R-6			
Describe the current use of the property you wish to rezone.*				
Single Family Residential				
Does the property you wish to rezone have a reasonable economic use as it is currently zoned?*				

Describe the use that you propose to make of the land after rezoning.*

General commercial, gas station/convenience, and multi-family residential

No, not with the current development and residential density in teh area

Describe the uses of the other property in the vicinity of the property you wish to rezone?*

Single Family residential, business, and institutional (schools)

Describe how your rezoning proposal will allow a use that is suitable in view of the uses and development of adjacent and nearby property?*


Commercial components to serve residents, multi-family component provides affordable housing option

Will the proposed zoning change result in a use of the property, which could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools?*

No, this intersection has been identified by the County for future development

Digital Signature*

Neil P. McKenzie
Jun 4, 2024



LOCATED IN EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GA INAEZLICALION

CHANGE UPON FINAL SURVEY

NATURE AND SUBJECT TO


SITE PLAN IS CONCEPTUAL IN CP1.0 COLEMAN COMPANY
ENGINEERS • SURVEYORS

A COMPANY
ENGINEERS • SURVEYORS

A COMPANY
ENGINEERS • SURVEYORS

A COMPANY

A COM EBENEZER PLANNED DEVELOPMENT иот год соизтристіои СОИСЕРТИАL РГАИ (4) PARCELL 2 10.44 AC 454,802 SF



Prepared For:

Developer

Submitted To: Effingham County



June 2024

REVISED 6/26/24 - Removed apartments from Multi-Family Pod

Prepared By: Coleman Company, Inc.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Article 5.15 – PD Planned Development District					
Article 5.15 – Purpose					
Article 5.15.1 – Eligibility Requirements					
Article 5.15.2 – Procedure for Creating and Maintaining a PD Distr	ict 6				
Article 5.15.4 – Permitted Uses					
Article 5.15.6 – Design Criteria and Development Standards					
 A. Minimum Lot Size and Zoning Requirements B. Modification of Minimum Requirements C. Common Open Space D. Connectivity E. Architectural Standards 	9 9 10 12 13				
Exhibits					
Exhibit A – PD-R Boundary Exhibit B – Property Deed Exhibit C – PD-MU Conceptual Plan					

Article 5.15 PD Planned Development District

This text and these tables and figures are included to meet the filing requirements of Article 5.15, Effingham County Zoning Ordinance.

Figure 1. Ebenezer Mixed Use Planned Development District (PD-MU)



The Ebenezer Mixed Use Planned Development (PD-MU) is located at 1369 Ebenezer Road in Effingham County, Georgia, consisting of (3) separate parcels with single Parcel Identification Number 00460048. The current usage of the property is single family residential. The parcels consist of approximately 25.77 acres and is currently zoned Effingham County AR-1 (Agricultural). A boundary survey of the property was completed in September 2023 and is shown below. A larger copy, along with the deed, is also attached.

The purpose of this PD-MU is to provide additional housing options in this area of the County, as well as provide services to local residents. The Development is defined as:

Parcel 1 - Multi-Family Pod: 15.18 acres

Parcel 2 - Commercial Pod: 10.44 acres

Parcel 3 - Accessory Pod: 0.15 acres




Figure 2. Ebenezer PD-MU Boundary Exhibit

Article 5.15 - Purpose

A re-zoning to PD-MU, as allowed under Article 5.15, of the Effingham County Zoning Ordinance, is necessary to allow the uses, mixture of uses, and development standards which will govern the development of the property. Development, as proposed herein, goes "hand in hand" with the purpose statement of Article 5.15.7.2 Mixed Use (PD-MU), which states:

Characteristics and intent: It is the intent of the PD-MU district to encourage the large scale planned development of mixed uses or groups of uses not otherwise provided for in this section but considered by the planning board and the board of commissioners to be compatible and worthy for inclusion in a PD district, and further, to encourage the development of such uses or groups of uses according to the requirements and standards of this section.

Article 5.15.1 – Eligibility Requirements

1. Size

The PD-MU classification does not have a minimum acreage limit. The total acreage is 25.77 acres.

- 2. The site must have a minimum width, between any two opposite boundary lines of 300 linear feet and must adjoin or have direct, adequate access (as defined by the Highway Capacity Manual, most current edition), to at least one improved public road as shown on the county road classification map. The PD-MU minimum distance between two opposite property lines is 1100 liner feet and has direct access to Ebenezer Road (GA 275) and Longbridge Road (County Road).
- 3. There is hereby established the requirement that development projects as determined by the planning board staff, will submit a developments of regional impact report for review by staff.

A DRI is not anticipated for this development. If County staff deems a DRI is warranted, then the development team will pursue it.

4. Ownership and Control

The tract of land for the PUD application is in single ownership.

Article 5.15.2 Procedure for creating and maintaining a PD district.

- 5.15.2.1 Any request pertaining to the establishment of a PD district shall be considered a proposal for amendment to the zoning ordinance and shall be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in article IX of appendix C of the county code (hereafter appendix C), with regards to application requirements, county planning board review (hereafter planning board), and public hearings. All data set forth in appendix C, shall be submitted to the planning board, and subsequently forwarded to county board of commissioners (hereafter board of commissioners) with the recommendations of the planning board. If approved by the board of commissioners, the master plan shall be officially delineated on the zoning districts map and such plan and all information submitted in conjunction with the proposal, as amended, shall be adopted as planned development district. All further development shall conform to the standards adopted for the district, regardless of any changes in ownership. The violation of any provision of the master plan, as submitted and approved, shall constitute a violation of this ordinance. In any event, where it is determined by the board of commissioners that development of the PD district is not in accordance with the standards adopted for that district, the board of commissioners shall be empowered to amend the ordinance to place parts or all of the property in its prior zoning classification.
- 5.15.2.2 Any substantial changes in the development of the district shall be treated as proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance and must be considered in accordance with the procedures set forth in article IX. For purposes of this subsection, substantial change shall be defined as an overall change in land use, change in acreage, a change in project intent, or a change in buffers along the project's external boundary. Minor changes will not be treated as a proposed amendment to the zoning ordinance and may be approved with authorization of the county manager and/or development services official. Minor changes for the purpose of this subsection shall be defined as changes in street access or alignment, changes in public or common areas, changes in building setbacks, or changes to buffers between internal components of the project. The development services official shall be responsible for determining whether a proposed change is substantial or minor. Substantial changes must be approved by planning board and county commission. Appeals based on hardship or an alleged misinterpretation of the ordinance by the development services official shall be processed in accordance with the procedures set forth in article IX of this ordinance.
- **5.15.2.3** Only after the PD zoning has been approved by the board of commissioners, may the applicant submit a site plan for development, however, no building permit shall be issued for a PD district until a site plan conforming to the requirements set forth in appendix B, entitled subdivision regulations, found within the Effingham County Code, has been submitted to and approved by the board of commissioners.

5.15.2.4 No site plan approved by the board of commissioners shall be valid for a period longer than 12 months, unless within such period a preliminary plat is submitted pursuant to Appendix B of the Effingham County Code. The planning board may recommend to the board of commissioners to grant extensions not exceeding 12 months each upon written request of the original applicant if the application submitted is substantially the same as the initial application. However, the planning board, with approval of the board of commissioners, has the power in such cases to attach new conditions to its reapproval or disapproval of the reapplication. Where the application for reapproval contains changes which the zoning administrator concludes materially alter the initial application, he shall initiate a new site plan review procedure as stated herein.

Article 5.14.4 - Permitted Uses

The Ebenezer PD-MU has three distinct development pods, with uses characterized as follows:

Multi-Family Pod (Parcel #1)

- · Single family detached dwellings
- Single family attached (townhomes) dwellings
- Duplexes and two-family dwellings
- · Mobile homes are prohibited
- Apartment complexes are prohibited


Commercial Pod (Parcel #2)

- All permitted uses listed in the County's B-2 General Commercial District
- Gas Stations
- Self-Storage Facilities; Mini-storage

Accessory Pod (Parcel #3)

- Recreational
- Open Space

Figure 3. Conceptual Plan – Ebenezer Mixed Use PD-MU



Article 5.15.6 - Design Criteria and Development Standards

In all PD districts, the general provisions set forth in Appendix C shall govern unless relief is granted by the planning board and the board of commissioners.

Overall site design should be harmonious in terms of landscaping, enclosure of principal and accessory uses, parcel sizes, street patterns, and land use relationships. Variety in building types, heights, facades, setbacks, and size of open spaces shall be encouraged. Common open space shall be at least 20 percent of the overall site. In residential portions of PD-MU, no more than 50 percent of required common open space shall be unbuildable land. The open space requirement can be satisfied across the entire PD. For example, if Parcel 1 has a shortfall (less than 20% open space), the other parcels may be used to offset the shortfall. At buildout, the entire PD must maintain the 20% open space required.

A. Minimum Lot Size and Zoning Requirements

Land Use Type	Max. Density	Min. Lot Area (SF)	Min. Lot Width (FT)	Min. Setbacks (FT)	Max. Building Height (FT)	Max. Building Coverage (%)
Multi-Family Pod (Parcel 1)	9 units per gross acre	N/A	150 (at BSL)	Public Street: 25 Front: 10 Rear: 15 Building Separation: 20	35	40
Commercial Pod (Parcel 2)	12 units per gross area	N/A	N/A	Perimeter: 50	60	40

B. Modification of Minimum Requirements

For this PD-MU, the County's base zoning districts of R-3 (Multi-Family Pod/Parcel 1) and B-2 (Commercial Pod/Parcel 2) were used as a basis for design. Modifications to the minimum standards from the base zoning districts are as follows:

Multi-Family Pod (Parcel 1):

- Decrease front yard setback from 35 feet to 25 feet
- Increase in single-family to multi-family buffer from 35 feet to 75 feet

Commercial Pod (Parcel 2):

- Added gas station use
- Added self-storage use

C. Common Open Space

For purposes of the PD-MU requirements, "common open space" is defined as an area of land, wetlands or water, or a combination of land, wetlands, and water, designed and intended for the perpetual use and enjoyment of the users of the development and/or the public. Common open space may contain accessory structures and improvements necessary or desirable for educational, noncommercial, recreational, or cultural uses. A variety of open space and recreational areas is encouraged such as: children's informal play areas in close proximity to neighborhoods or dwelling unit clusters; formal parks, picnic - areas and playgrounds; pathways and trails; scenic open areas and communal, noncommercial recreation facilities; and natural conservation areas. A Property Owner's Association (POA) will be formed and charged with the responsibility of maintaining the PD-MU's common open spaces.

MINIMUM REQUIRED COMMON OPEN SPACE = 5.15 acres (20.0% Total Land Area)
PROPOSED COMMON OPEN SPACE = 7.00 acres (27.16% Total Land Area)

Amenity Areas: 0.30 acres
Accessory Parcel: 0.15 acres
Buffers: 3.45 acres
POA Common Space 0.90 acres
Pond Area (50%): 0.88 acres
Wetland Area (50%): 1.39 acres

At a minimum, the following regulations shall apply to all common open space within a PD-MU:

- 1. The area of common open space shall not be less than 20 percent of the overall site, no more than 50 percent of the required common open space shall be unbuildable land
- 2. All common open space shown on the final development plan must be reserved or dedicated by conveyance of title to a corporation, association, or other legal entity, by means of a restrictive covenant, easement or through other legal instrument. The terms of such legal instrument must include provisions

- guaranteeing the continued use in perpetuity of such open space for the purposes intended and for continuity of proper maintenance of those portions of the open space requiring maintenance.
- 3. The open space shall meet the following minimum dimensions, contiguity, and connectivity requirements:
 - a. The required open space shall be centrally located, along the street frontage of the development to protect or enhance views, located to preserve significant natural features, adjacent to dwellings, and/or located to interconnect other open spaces throughout the development or on contiguous properties.
 - b. Required open space areas shall be of sufficient size and dimension and located, configured, or designed in such a way as to achieve the applicable purposes of these regulations and enhance the quality of the development. The open space shall neither be perceived nor function simply as an extension of the rear yard of those lots abutting it.
 - c. If the site contains a lake, stream or other body of water, the county may require that a portion of the required open space shall abut the body of water.
 - d. All required open space areas shall be configured so the open space is reasonably accessible to and usable by residents, visitors and other users of the development. The minimum size of a required open space area shall be 15,000 square feet; provided, however, that the required open space abutting a public street may be less than 15,000 square feet; and, further provided, that the city council, upon recommendation of the planning commission, may approve other open space areas of less than 15,000 square feet if these areas are designed and established as pedestrian or bicycle paths or are otherwise determined to be open space reasonably usable by residents, visitors and other users of the development. The minimum average dimension of a required open space area shall be 100 feet.
 - e. Open space areas are encouraged to be linked with any adjacent open spaces, public parks, bicycle paths or pedestrian paths.
 - f. Grading in the open space shall be minimal, with the intent to preserve existing topography, trees and other natural features, where practical.
 - g. A sign, structure, or building may be erected within the required open space if it is determined to be accessory to a recreation or conservation use or an entryway. These accessory structure(s) or building(s) shall not exceed, in the aggregate, one (1) percent of the open space area. Accessory structures or uses of a significantly different scale or character than present in abutting residential districts shall not be located near the boundary of the development if they may negatively impact the residential use of adjacent lands as determined by the planning commission. Pathways or sidewalks shall be exempt from this limitation.
 - h. The following areas shall not qualify as required common open space for the purposes of this section.

- i. The area within any public street right-of-way.
- ii. The area within private road easements.
- iii. The area within a subdivision lot.
- iv. Parking and loading areas.
- v. Fifty percent of any easement for overhead utility lines.
- vi. Fifty percent of any steep slopes (12 percent or over).
- vii. Fifty percent of any lakes, streams, detention ponds, wetlands or floodplains that are not generally accessible within the development. Accessible shall mean that the feature is bordered by a substantial open space area, park, playground, pathway or reasonable means of access for enjoyment of all owners, visitors or others, in which case the total area may qualify as required common open space. Timber Trails shall qualify as affording connectivity of PUD to the common open space.


D. Connectivity

Pathways for bicycles and pedestrians shall be incorporated throughout the PD-MU and along all perimeter streets to ensure connectivity between uses and adjacent properties. Pathways and sidewalks shall be constructed in accordance with the City Design Guidelines. Intentional pedestrian connectivity is planned to the sidewalks constructed with the roundabout, the area schools, and adjacent residential areas.

E. Architectural Standards

In order to protect and perpetuate the historic nature of the Ebenezer Road corridor, architectural standards are of the utmost importance. Elements of brick and wood should be used throughout and designers are encouraged to consider the "look and feel" of the historic buildings in the area for design inspiration. The following are architectural standards:


- 1. Brick and cement board siding are encouraged; vinyl siding is prohibited.
- 2. Minimum 12" roof overhang (Residential only)




3. Architectural shingles



4. Front porches, when used, shall have a minimum depth of 6'



5. Side elevations of structures that are abutting street intersections shall not be blank walls but shall include windows and trim consistent with front elevation or a landscape screening and/or buffer.



SIFE BLOVATION

6. Minimum first floor ceiling height of 9' on one story homes.

- 7. Identical elevations or colors of homes shall not be allowed within three homes of one another.
- 8. All external mechanical equipment must be screened or buffered from view.
- 9. Signage shall be limited to a height of 10 feet from ground level and constructed of materials considerate of the character of the area. Some examples are:

Residential Development Monument Sign



Gas Station/Convenience Store Monument Sign



Exhibit A – PD-MU Boundary




Exhibit B – Property Deed

BK:2882 PG:608-613 D2023009490

FILED IN OFFICE CLERK OF COURT 11/21/2023 10:53 AM JASON E. BRAGG, CLERK SUPERIOR COURT EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GA

Jaron E. Brage

REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX PAID: \$1,100.00

7428341760 7067927936 PARTICIPANT ID

PT-61 051-2023-002983

Prepared by: McManamy Jackson Hollis, LLC 5719 GA-21 Rincon, GA 31326 Phone: (912) 691-0943 Fax: (912) 826-0409

LIMITED WARRANTY DEED

THIS INDENTURE, made and entered into as of 20th day of November, 2023, by and between

Krista Janelle Seckinger, a single woman, and Kenneth P. Duesing and Karla S. Seckinger as Trustees of The Kenneth P. Duesing and Karla S. Seckinger 2011 Trust dated September 19, 2011

(Hereinafter referred to as the "Grantor"), and

The Carson Company Unlimited LLC, a Georgia Limited Liability Company (hereinafter referred to as "Grantee")

WITNESSETH, THAT:

GRANTOR, for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration, in hand paid at and before the sealing and delivery of these presents, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold and conveyed by these presents does grant, bargain, sell, and convey and confirm unto said Grantee:

All those certain lots, tracts or parcels of land situate, lying and being in Effingham County, Georgia, shown as Parcel 1, Parcel 2, and Parcel 3, on a map thereof made for Matt Byrd Homes by Shupe Surveying Company, P.C., dated September 11, 2023, and recorded in Plat Book 29, Page 699, in the Office of the Clerk of Superior Court of Effingham County, Georgia. Said property was conveyed to Kenneth P. Duesing and Karla S. Seckinger, as Trustees of the Kenneth P. Duesing and Karla S. Seckinger 2011 Trust dated September 19, 2011 by Quitclaim Deed dated January 27, 2022, and recorded in Deed Book 2768, Page 762, in the aforesaid clerk's office. Said map and deed are incorporated herein by reference. Subject to all easements, restrictions, and rights of way of record.

1369 Ebenezer Road, Rincon, Georgia 31326 PIN: 04600-00000-048-000

(hereinafter referred to as the "Property")

Limited Warranty Deed

File No.: 2023-9401-MJHR

Page 1 of 2

SUBJECT, however, to certain restrictions, covenants and easements of record or evidenced by use.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said described Property, with all and singular the rights, members and appurtenances thereof, to the same being, belonging, or in anywise appertaining, only to the proper use, benefit and behoof of the said Grantee forever in FEE SIMPLE.

AND THE SAID GRANTOR will warrant and forever defend the right and title to the above described Property unto the said Grantee against the claims of all persons and entities claiming by, through or under Grantor, but against none

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Grantor has signed and sealed this Deed on the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered before me on the day and year first above written, In the presence of:

See attack (SBAL)
Kenneth P. Duesing as Trustee under The Kenneth P. Duesing and Karla S. Seckinger 2011 Trust dated September 19, 2011

Su atta de d (SEAL)

Karla S, Seckinger as Trustee under The Kenneth P, Duesing and Karla S, Seckinger 2011 Trust dated September 19, 2011

(NOTARIAL SEAL)

File No.: 2023-9401-MJHR

Limited Warranty Deed

Page 2 of 2

Exhibit C – Ebenezer PD-MU Conceptual Plan

COLEMBN COMPRNY
ENGINEERS - SURVEYORS EBENEZER PLANNED DEVELOPMENT иот гок соизтRUCTION CONCEPTUAL PLAN (S) NO NOT TO SECOND

LOCATED IN EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GA

SILE PLAN IS CONCEPTUAL

NATURE AND SUBJECT TO

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATION

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

CHECK LIST:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends:

APPROVAL DISAPPROVAL_ Of the rezoning request by applicant Neil McKenzie as agent for Carson Company Unlimited, LLC- (Map # 460 Parcels # 48) from AR-1 to PD zoning. No? 1. Is this proposal inconsistent with the county's master plan? No? 2. Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street. utilities or schools? No? 3. Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards? 4. Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning? 5. Does the proposed change constitute "spot zoning" which No? would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property? 6. Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property? 7. Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change? No? 8. Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a No? decision against the proposal?

9.5 <u>EFFINGHAM COUNTY REZONING CHECKLIST</u>

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

CHECK LIST:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends:

APPROVAL____

Of the rezoning request by applicant Neil McKenzie as agent for Carson Company Unlimited, LLC- (Map # 460 Parcels # 48) from <u>AR-1</u> to <u>PD</u> zoning.					
No?	1.	Is this proposal inconsistent with the county's master plan?			
No?	2.	Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street, utilities or schools?			
No?	3.	Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards?			
No?	4.	Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning?			
No?	5.	Does the proposed change constitute "spot zoning" which would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property?			
No?	6.	Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?			
No?	7.	Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change?			
No?	8.	Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a decision against the proposal?			
	No? No? No? No?	No? 1. No? 2. No? 3. No? 4. No? 5. No? 6.			

DISAPPROVAL X

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends:

Of the rezoning request by applicant Neil McKenzie as agent for Carson Company Unlimited, LLC- (Map # 460 Parcels # 48) from AR-1 to PD zoning.

DISAPPROVAL

others,

No ? 1. Is this proposal inconsistent with the county's master plan?

No? 2. Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street, utilities or schools?

3. Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards?

4. Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning?

> 5. Does the proposed change constitute "spot zoning" which would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property?

> 6. Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing

7. Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change?

use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?

No? 8. Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a

decision against the proposal?

CHECK LIST:

APPROVAL

Yes

Yes No?

Yes

No?

Planning Board Meeting – July 9, 2024

9.5 EFFINGHAM COUNTY REZONING CHECKLIST

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

CHECK LIST:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends: DISAPPROVAL APPROVAL Of the rezoning request by applicant Neil McKenzie as agent for Carson Company Unlimited, LLC- (Map # 460 Parcels # 48) from AR-1 to PD zoning. No? 1. Is this proposal inconsistent with the county's master plan? No? 2. Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street, utilities or schools? No? 3. Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards? 4. Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning? 5. Does the proposed change constitute "spot zoning" which would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property? 6. Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property? YES No? 7. Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change? Yes No? 8. Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a decision against the proposal?