



McWright, LLC.

Date: May 17, 2024

Job: Effingham County Administration Complex Renovation & Addition

Address: 802 S Laurel St Springfield, GA 31329

Owner: Effingham County Board of Commissioners

Change Order Request #2- VE Bath Accessories

Reference: Architect/engineer response to Submittal #102800-1.1- Bath Accessories

Scope of Work:

- Change combo mirrors to standard mirror, soap, paper towel dispenser at all locations

Total Price- Credit \$12,076.87

Total Add day(s)- 0

X

Matthew McMillian
McWright, LLC

X



Tim Callahan
County Manager
Effingham County Board of Commissioners

Effingham County Admin

Meeting #: OAC 2

Date: 6/20/2024

Time: 2 PM

Location: 802 S Laurel Street Springfield, GA

Review of Previous Meeting Minutes

- Mobilization on 4/15
- HVAC And electrical panel lead time
 - HVAC- August (DOAS)
 - Electrical- October (Main Panel)
- Data coordination meeting with owner's IT
- Reviewed work in Place
- Reviewed lookahead
- Reviewed submittal log
- Discussed change orders

Delays/Expected lead Times

Issue

Work Progress

- Foundations and CMU stem walls
- Underground plumbing at new addition
- Renovation side framing and in wall rough in
- South parking lot paving

3 week Lookahead

- Pour SOG
- Addition Framing
- Truss and framing package delivery
- Window delivery
- Water lateral installation
- Finish site demo

Submittal Review

- Access control approved by Architect and County
- Bath Acc VE approval?
- Paver samples approved/selection?
- Open items- GC needs to submit
 - Benches
 - Signage

- DT drop box and transaction drawer

RFI Review

- RFI Log is Attached
- 9 total- 0 open

Testing/Inspections

- Whitaker Labs Ongoing
- City of Springfield is AHJ

Proposed Changes

- COR#1- \$2,857.00 for added sink carriers- Still open
- COR #2- (\$12,067.87) VE option bath acc credit
- Upcoming- Owner request to adjust striping and HC parking

Other Business

- Payment turnaround and status

Next Meeting Information

7/18/24 @ 2 PM on site

NOTES:





RFI LOG

#	Subject	Status	Responsible Contractor	Received From	Assignee	Date Initiated	RFI Manager	Due Date	Closed Date	Ball In Court	Location	Schedule Impact	Cost Code	Cost Impact
9	Discrepancy in Addition Width	Closed	McWright LLC	McMillian, Mike (McWright LLC)	Causton, Jason (G...)	06/03/2024	Mike McMillian	06/06/2024	06/04/24			TBD		TBD
<p>Mike McMillian Sent Mon Jun 3, 2024 at 10:22 am EDT We have caught a discrepancy in the plans vs field conditions and just want direction before we proceed.</p> <p>Q: S1.1 shows the new addition width at 88' -11 1/4" outside to outside of brick. We have field measured the existing building and it is 3 3/4" less than that outside to outside of brick. Please advise if we should adjust the addition dimensions to match the existing or remain per plan?</p> <p>I have attached the final truss shop drawings for markup as necessary by A/E. Floor Trusses- Final Clean Set.pdf Roof Trusses- Final Clean Set.pdf</p> <p>Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Tue Jun 4, 2024 at 04:04 pm EDT Adjust east and west walls to align with existing. Maintain interior layout. Maintain all interior bearing and underground plumbing locations. Maintain roof slope to match existing 9/12 slope.</p> <p>A: There are a couple of floor joists that need to be changed. Most of the roof joists need changing. See attached markups. Floor-Trusses--Final-Clean-Set - GLA.pdf Roof-Trusses--Final-Clean-Set - GLA.pdf</p>														
8	138 Lobby- Existing door at 1st floor	Closed	McWright LLC	McMillian, Mike (McWright LLC)	Causton, Jason (G...)	05/20/2024	Mike McMillian	05/23/2024	05/21/24		138- WAITING LOBBY	No		No
<p>Mike McMillian Sent Mon May 20, 2024 at 10:55 am EDT See the red circle on the markup of sheet D1.1 attached. We just wanted to make sure the intent was to remove this door and wall?</p> <p>Q: Floor Plan A1.6 and the RCP A1.10 appear to show this wall and door being demo'd entirely but it's not called out on the demo plan to do so. Door Question Markup for RFI #8.pdf</p> <p>A: Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Tue May 21, 2024 at 08:50 am EDT That can be removed.</p>														
7	Floor Plan/Door Schedule Conflicts	Closed	McWright LLC	McMillian, Mike (McWright LLC)	Causton, Jason (G...)	05/07/2024	Mike McMillian	05/14/2024	05/08/24			TBD		TBD
<p>Mike McMillian Sent Tue May 7, 2024 at 05:19 pm EDT Door 132A and door 221A are not listed on the door schedule, but shown on the floor plan. Please provide a call out for these? Wood frame, metal frame, etc?</p> <p>Q: Door 222A was listed on the door schedule as existing but doesn't show existing on the floor plan. The door to this room was already demo'd.</p> <p>Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Wed May 8, 2024 at 10:44 am EDT 132A - SEE DETAIL 2 ON SK3. CHANGE DOOR 132A TO CASED OPENING AND MOVE THE ACCESS CONTROL FROM 132A TO DOOR 129A. 221A - MATCH 204A. TYPE 3A. INSULATED HM, IN HM FRAME. 222A - THE EXISTING DOOR IS SUPPOSED TO BE REMOVED. 22A IS A NEW DOOR, THAT SHOULD MATCH THE OTHER EXISTING DOORS IN HALL 2A. SIMILAR TO TYPE 4B (OTHER OFFICE DOORS, 206A FOR EXAMPLE)</p> <p>A: 23009 - SK-3 - RFI-7 - DOORS.pdf</p>														



#	Subject	Status	Responsible Contractor	Received From	Assignee	Date Initiated	RFI Manager	Due Date	Closed Date	Ball In Court	Location	Schedule Impact	Cost Code	Cost Impact
6	Existing water cooler first floor	Closed	McWright LLC	McMillian, Mike (McWright LLC)	Causton, Jason (G...)	04/15/2024	Mike McMillian	04/18/2024	04/17/24		139- LOBBY	No		TBD
	<p>Q: Mike McMillian Sent Mon Apr 15, 2024 at 01:35 pm EDT There is an existing water cooler not referenced in the demo or new floor plan. This water cooler is right outside room 129 tax assessor storage. Please clarify if it is to be demo, remain, or be replaced? E495FA96-BEFF-4CC8-834A-87F209FE6B62.jpg</p> <p>A: Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Wed Apr 17, 2024 at 02:32 pm EDT This can be removed.</p>													
5	Waiting Lobby 138	Closed	McWright LLC	McMillian, Mike (McWright LLC)	Causton, Jason (G...)	04/15/2024	Mike McMillian	04/18/2024	04/17/24		138- WAITING LOBBY	No		TBD
	<p>Q: Mike McMillian Sent Mon Apr 15, 2024 at 01:27 pm EDT RCP plan A1.10 appears to show new lighting in the waiting lobby 138. However, lighting plan E1.2 does not show any new lighting in this room. Please clarify. Pics of existing is attached for quick reference. B91D9856-5423-465C-8971-D3EF361C475F.jpg</p> <p>A: Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Wed Apr 17, 2024 at 02:37 pm EDT The recessed lighting in raised ceiling should remain. The chandelier will be removed. Its junction box will remain. We will specify a new chandelier.</p>													
4	Door Hardware Allowance	Closed	BHE Openings	McNaughton, Ken (BHE Openings)	Causton, Jason (G...)	04/10/2024	Mike McMillian	04/17/2024	04/10/24			TBD		TBD
	<p>Q: Mike McMillian Sent Wed Apr 10, 2024 at 09:35 am EDT Is the owner/architect providing a hardware schedule for us or should our vendor begin building one? The approved hardware schedule would dictate when doors and frames can be ordered. We plan on salvaging one the hardware sets from one of the doors scheduled for demo and giving to the door vendor since the intent was to match the existing.</p> <p>A: Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Wed Apr 10, 2024 at 11:12 am EDT Have the vendor build one, for review. 562949954607451-Effingham_County_Administration_Building-4-Door_Hardware-Allowance-2024-04-10 - GLA.pdf</p>													
3	Above Ground Sanitary Piping Material Change	Closed	M&M Facilitators LLC	Middleton, Dan (M&M Facilitators LLC)	Causton, Jason (G...)	04/09/2024	Mike McMillian	04/16/2024	04/10/24			No		\$4,100.00
	<p>Q: Mike McMillian Sent Tue Apr 9, 2024 at 08:11 am EDT Would it be acceptable to use PVC in lieu of the cast iron with no hub joints for the above ground sanitary piping? If yes, we can issue a \$4,100.00 credit back to the owner.</p> <p>A: Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Wed Apr 10, 2024 at 11:06 am EDT No. Cast iron piping was installed to prevent noise issues in the first floor. 562949954607451-Effingham_County_Administration_Building-3-Above_Ground_Sanitary_Piping_Material_Change-2024-04-10 (Response).pdf</p>													
2	Matching existing brick	Closed	McWright LLC	McMillian, Mike (McWright LLC)	Causton, Jason (G...)	04/01/2024	Mike McMillian	04/08/2024	04/02/24			TBD		TBD
	<p>Q: Mike McMillian Sent Mon Apr 1, 2024 at 04:56 pm EDT I've talked with several brick vendors and the existing brick is "Boral" brick that is no longer being made. Boral was closed and purchase by General Shale. An exact match is impossible for color and size. The existing brick measures roughly 8 3/4" W x 2 1/2" H which all we can get close is a Queen size.</p> <p>Q: We are asking that county/architect make a selection for the brick. Our 2 recommendations are: Kimberly by General Shale (this does have several darker bricks in the range) Natchez by Cherokee</p>													



#	Subject	Status	Responsible Contractor	Received From	Assignee	Date Initiated	RFI Manager	Due Date	Closed Date	Ball In Court	Location	Schedule Impact	Cost Code	Cost Impact
	<p>Pictures of the existing brick and our 2 recommendations are attached.</p> <p> Kimberly.jpg Natchez-classic-4.jpg Natchez-classic-11.jpg existing brick 1.jpeg existing brick 2.jpeg existing brick height.jpeg existing brick width.jpeg </p> <hr/> <p>Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Tue Apr 2, 2024 at 09:45 am EDT Provide sample boards of proposed brick that shows the color variation, along with the proposed grout. We can review and approve on site. See spec section 042113 for more notes on samples and mockup requirements.</p> <p>A: Its tough to tell from just photos, but the Kimberly would be the closest, but is probably too brown and would not be approved without a sample. The Natchez 4 is too red and doesn't appear to have any variation. The Natchez 11 is too orange.</p>													
1	Base under asphalt	Closed	McWright LLC	McMillian, Mike (McWright LLC)	Saussy, Hunter (S... Metzger, Alec (EM... Glass, Dillan (EM... Causton, Jason (G...	03/19/2024	Mike McMillian	03/27/2024	03/26/24			No		No
	<p>Q: Mike McMillian Sent Tue Mar 19, 2024 at 05:11 pm EDT Because of availability of GAB in the region, would 8" of crushed concrete be an acceptable product to use under the asphalt paving? This was allowed on other Effingham County projects we did with Parker Engineering as the Civil Engineer.</p> <hr/> <p>Jason Causton (Greenline Architecture) Responded Tue Mar 26, 2024 at 04:23 pm EDT We are fine with the rec concrete base as long as it is from a certified source with testing to show it meets GDOT spec. Also needs to be allowed by County since it is their project.</p> <p>A: Alec B. Metzger, PE 3-26-24 562949954607451-Effingham_County_Administration_Building-1-Base_under_asphalt-2024-03-26.pdf</p>													
(None)	Tax Comm Vault Lighting	Draft		None	Unassigned		Mike McMillian					McMillian, Mike (...)		
	<p>Q: Mike McMillian Sent Mon Mar 11, 2024 at 04:31 pm EDT There is a discrepancy between the RCP and the lighting layout (E1.2) in the tax comm vault.</p>													