

**RZN-24-21**

Rezoning Application

Status: Active

Submitted On: 3/6/2024

Primary Location

0

Owner

Applicant

 Travis Burke 912-200-3041 tburke@cci-sav.com 1480 Chatham Parkway

Suite 100

Savannah, GA 31405

## Staff Review

 Planning Board Meeting Date\*

04/09/2024

 Board of Commissioner Meeting Date\*

05/07/2024

 Notification Letter Description \*

to develop Single Family Detached and Attached (Townhomes).

 Map #\*

396

 Parcel #\*

62, 62A

 Staff Description Georgia Militia District\*

9

 Commissioner District\*

2nd

 Public Notification Letters Mailed

03/18/2024

 Board of Commissioner Ads

04/17/2024

🔒 Planning Board Ads

03/20/2024

🔒 Request Approved or Denied

—

🔒 Letter & ZMA Mailed

—

---

## Applicant Information

Who is applying for the rezoning request?\*

Agent

Applicant / Agent Name\*

Bryant Ligon

Applicant Email Address\*

bligon@coastalcdev.com

Applicant Phone Number\*

919-801-0618

Applicant Mailing Address\*

234 Kinsley Park Drive, Suite 110

Applicant City\*

Fort Mill

Applicant State & Zip Code\*

South Carolina, 29715

---

## Property Owner Information

Owner's Name\*

T and T 9G, LLC

Owner's Email Address\*

wmcdonald@fwforestry.com

Owner's Phone Number\*

229-407-0224

Owner's Mailing Address\*

P.O. Box 295

Owner's City\*

Springfield

Owner's State & Zip Code\*

Georgia, 31329

---

## Rezoning Information

Present Zoning of Property\*

AR-1 (Agricultural Residential 5 or More Acres)

IT-1

Proposed Zoning of Property\*

PD (Planned Development)

Map & Parcel \*

03960062 & 03960062A00

Road Name\*

Midland Road

Proposed Road Access\* 

Midland Road

Total Acres \*

288.36

Acres to be Rezoned\*

288.36

Lot Characteristics \*

Single Family Detached and Attached (Townhomes)

Water Connection \*

Public Water System

Name of Supplier\*

Effingham County

Sewer Connection

Public Sewer System

Name of Supplier\*

Effingham County

**Justification for Rezoning Amendment \***

To support the housing needs of south Effingham County and the surrounding area.

***List the zoning of the other property in the vicinity of the property you wish to rezone:***

North\*

AR-1

South\*

AR-1, AR-2, R-1

East\*

AR-1

West\*

AR-1

**Describe the current use of the property you wish to rezone.\***

Vacant

**Does the property you wish to rezone have a reasonable economic use as it is currently zoned?\***

No. The proposed development will have a positive impact to the county's economy

**Describe the use that you propose to make of the land after rezoning.\***

The property will be developed Single Family Detached and Townhome Neighborhood with amenities, parks, open space, and off street parking areas along with conservation areas.

**Describe the uses of the other property in the vicinity of the property you wish to rezone?\***

To the north of the subject property the area is generally vacant undeveloped property. To the south there are several single family residential developments

**Describe how your rezoning proposal will allow a use that is suitable in view of the uses and development of adjacent and nearby property?\***

The proposed use fits the development pattern in the area along Hwy 30 and South Effingham County and will be compatible with the existing neighborhoods and development in the area.

**Will the proposed zoning change result in a use of the property, which could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools?\***

No

**Digital Signature\***


 Travis G. Burke

Mar 6, 2024



**SURVEYORS CERTIFICATION**

I, J. B. TOLSON, A LICENSED SURVEYOR IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, HAVE SURVEYED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED PARCELS OF LAND AND HAVE FOUND THAT THE SAME ARE ACCURATELY LOCATED AND THE DIMENSIONS THEREOF ARE CORRECT. I HAVE ALSO FOUND THAT THE SAME ARE NOT SUBJECT TO ANY EASEMENTS, ENCUMBRANCES, OR OTHER INTERESTS WHICH COULD AFFECT THE TITLE THEREOF. I HAVE ALSO FOUND THAT THE SAME ARE NOT SUBJECT TO ANY EASEMENTS, ENCUMBRANCES, OR OTHER INTERESTS WHICH COULD AFFECT THE TITLE THEREOF. I HAVE ALSO FOUND THAT THE SAME ARE NOT SUBJECT TO ANY EASEMENTS, ENCUMBRANCES, OR OTHER INTERESTS WHICH COULD AFFECT THE TITLE THEREOF.



**NOTES:**  
1. PROPERTY ADDRESS, CURVE, ROAD, AND DISTANCE INFORMATION IS BASED ON THE RECORD PLATS AND DEEDS. THIS INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSES.  
2. THIS SURVEY IS BASED ON THE RECORD PLATS AND DEEDS. THIS INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSES.  
3. THIS SURVEY IS BASED ON THE RECORD PLATS AND DEEDS. THIS INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSES.



**LEGEND:**  
BF IRON ROD FOUND  
CPC CONCRETE MONUMENT FOUND  
CP CONCRETE PILE FOUND  
PP POINT OF BEGINNING  
PDC POINT OF COMMENCEMENT

LINE #	LENGTH	DIRECTION
L1	63.59'	S35°45'28"E
L2	138.87'	S77°04'34"W
L3	141.39'	S20°02'47"E
L4	128.15'	S45°24'12"E

CURVE #	LENGTH	RADIUS	CHORD BEARING	CHORD LENGTH
C1	128.15'	128.15'	S45°24'12"E	128.15'

- 1. PLAT BOOK 10, PAGE 61  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 2. PLAT BOOK 12, PAGE 8  
N/P CAROL RITA B. BORN CHRYNE
- 3. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P WILLIAM & ANGELA TORRE
- 4. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P WALTER CROFT A
- 5. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P ELIZABETH B. BORN
- 6. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 7. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 8. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 9. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 10. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 11. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 12. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 13. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 14. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 15. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 16. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 17. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 18. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 19. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 20. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 21. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 22. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 23. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 24. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER
- 25. PLAT BELLEVILLE, PAGE 8  
N/P JOHN G. GARDNER

**REFERENCE:**  
1. PLAT BOOK 2, PAGE 222  
2. PLAT BOOK 2, PAGE 86

**NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

SITE PLANS IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE UPON FINAL SURVEY AND JURISDICTIONAL INVESTIGATION

REVISIONS:


CONCEPTUAL PLAN

MIDLAND SANDS

PREPARED FOR: BRD LAND AND INVESTMENT, GP

LOCATED IN EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GEORGIA

JOB NUMBER: 22-321  
 DATE: 01/12/2023  
 CHECKED BY: [Blank]  
 SCALE: AS NOTED

CONCEPTUAL PLAN

SHEET: **CP1.0**



**DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS**

**SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED**

MINIMUM LOTS: 15 MINIMUM

FRONT YARD SETBACK: 5' 1/2 CORNER LOT

REAR YARD SETBACK: 20'

MAX BUILDING HEIGHT: 30'

BUILDING COVERAGE: 40%

MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 6,000 SF

MINIMUM LOT WIDTH: 40'

**REGULATORY COMMENTS:**

1. General notes and conditions shall be included.

2. All proposed structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.

3. All structures shall have a maximum height of 30 feet.

4. All structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.

5. All structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.

6. All structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.

7. All structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.


8. All structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.

9. All structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.

10. All structures shall be shown with setbacks and other required setbacks.

**SPACE REQUIREMENTS**

PROVIDED OPEN SPACE:	51,000 SQ. FT.
PROVIDED TOTAL SPACE:	100,000 SQ. FT.
MINIMUM:	100,000 SQ. FT.
MAXIMUM:	100,000 SQ. FT.
TOTAL OPEN SPACE:	51,000 SQ. FT.
TOTAL SPACE CALCULATION:	51,000 SQ. FT. / 100,000 SQ. FT. = 51%




**NOTES:**

- SEE SHEET CP1.1 FOR GENERAL NOTES.
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.
- THE DATE OF THIS SURVEY IS 01/12/2023.
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.
- THIS SURVEY IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEORGIA SURVEYING ACT OF 1977 (O.C.G.A. § 47-1-1).
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.
- THIS SURVEY IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEORGIA SURVEYING ACT OF 1977 (O.C.G.A. § 47-1-1).
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.
- THIS SURVEY IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEORGIA SURVEYING ACT OF 1977 (O.C.G.A. § 47-1-1).
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.
- THIS SURVEY IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEORGIA SURVEYING ACT OF 1977 (O.C.G.A. § 47-1-1).
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.
- THIS SURVEY IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEORGIA SURVEYING ACT OF 1977 (O.C.G.A. § 47-1-1).
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.
- THIS SURVEY IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEORGIA SURVEYING ACT OF 1977 (O.C.G.A. § 47-1-1).
- ALL DISTANCES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE IN FEET.

**DEVELOPMENT DATA**


TOTAL AREA:	100,000 SQ. FT.
TOTAL SETBACKS:	100,000 SQ. FT.
TOTAL OPEN SPACE:	51,000 SQ. FT.
PROPOSED LOT COUNT:	400
PROPOSED LOT AREA:	2,500 SQ. FT.
PROPOSED LOT WIDTH:	40 FT.
PROPOSED LOT DEPTH:	62.5 FT.
PROPOSED LOT ASPECT RATIO:	1.57
PROPOSED LOT DENSITY:	40 UNITS PER ACRE
PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE:	40%
PROPOSED LOT YIELD:	40 UNITS
PROPOSED LOT VALUE:	\$100,000
PROPOSED LOT TOTAL VALUE:	\$40,000,000



(NO SCALE)

X-008'11

X-004'11



TRACTS "A" & "B"  
Scale 1 inch = 20 Chains  
Boundary Map

**HELMSEY TRACT**

Effingham County, Georgia  
Estate of Mrs. Leila M. Helmsley, Deceased; Estate of K. I. Helmsley, Deceased, et al., Owners  
660.87 Acres

THE ABOVE PLAT SHOWN WITHIN HEAVY LINES REPRESENTS A TRANSIT SURVEY OF 660.87 ACRES OF LAND, TRACT "A" CONTAINING 386.47 ACRES OF LAND, OWNED BY THE ESTATE OF MRS. LEILA M. HELMSEY, DECEASED, AND THE ESTATE OF K. I. HELMSEY, DECEASED; TRACT "B" CONTAINING 274.40 ACRES OF LAND OWNED BY RANDALL B. HELMSEY AND THE ESTATE OF MRS. LEILA M. HELMSEY, DECEASED, AND BEING IN THE 1559TH C. M. DISTRICT OF EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GEORGIA, WITH SUCH METES, COURSES AND CONTROLS AS FIXED AND DETERMINED BY THE PLANE COORDINATES OF THE GEORGIA COORDINATE SYSTEM, EAST ZONE, AS SHOWN ON PLAT.

Survey by G. V. Brock  
Under Direction of J. Dean Cowan  
CERTIFIED & APPROVED

*[Signature]*

J. Dean Cowan  
Savannah, Georgia  
Registered Surv. No. 6, Georgia  
June 10, 1960

X-008'11

X-004'11



**MOCK SURVEYING, INC.**  
 9134 OLD RIVER ROAD  
 BROOKLET, GA 30415  
 PH:(912) 657-4010  
 JEFF@MOCKSURVEYING.COM

**A  
 RECOMBINATION  
 PLAT**  
**14.008  
 ACRES**  
 9TH G.M.D.  
 EFFINGHAM COUNTY,  
 GEORGIA


**PREPARED  
 FOR  
 T&TG, LLC**

**LEGEND**  
 AXLE FOUND ○ AVF  
 IRON REBAR SET 5/8" ○ IRS  
 "PK" NAIL SET ○ PKS  
 IRON ROD FOUND ○ IRF  
 IRON PIPE FOUND ○ IFF  
 RAILROAD IRON FOUND ○ RRF  
 CONCRETE MONUMENT FOUND □ CMF

150' 75' 0' 150'  
 GRAPHIC SCALE: 1"=150'

PROJECT NO.: 17-130  
 DRAWN BY: JWM  
 SURVEYED BY: JWM  
 SURVEY DATE: 11-28-17  
 CHECKED BY: JWM  
 SCALE: 1" = 150'  
 DATE: 12-1-17

**SHEET 1 OF 1**



E.O.C. FIELD: 190,298  
 MIN. E.O.C. PLAT: 179,12,627  
 ANGULAR ERROR: 1.70" PER ANGLE  
 ADJUSTED BY: COMPASS RULE  
 EQUIPMENT USED: TOPCON GPT SERIES


BK:28 PG:22-222  
 P2017000221  
 FILED IN OFFICE  
 CLERK OF COURT  
 12/11/2017 09:53 AM  
 ELIZABETH Z. HURSEY, CLERK  
 SUPERIOR COURT  
 EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GA  
*Elizabeth Z. Hursey*  
 THIS BLOCK RESERVED FOR THE CLERK  
 OF SUPERIOR COURT.

1. NO ABSTRACT OF TITLE, NOR TITLE COMMITMENT, OR RESULTS OF A TITLE SEARCH WERE FURNISHED TO THE SURVEYOR. ALL DOCUMENTS OF RECORD REVIEWED ARE NOTED HEREON. THERE MAY EXIST OTHER DOCUMENTS OF RECORD THAT MAY AFFECT THIS SURVEYED PARCEL.
2. THIS PROPERTY LIES IN ZONE "A" ACCORDING TO FEMA MAP NUMBER CMF 13100C0360E REVISED MARCH 16, 2015
3. THIS SURVEY COMPLIES WITH BOTH THE RULES OF THE GEORGIA BOARD OF ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS AND THE OFFICIAL CODE OF GEORGIA ANNOTATED (O.C.G.A.) 15-6-67 AS AMENDED BY HB 76 (2017), IN THAT WHERE A CONFLICT EXISTS BETWEEN THOSE TWO SETS OF SPECIFICATIONS, THE REQUIREMENTS OF LAW PREVAIL.

*R.C.B.*  
 COUNTY ZONING ADMINISTRATOR  
 12/06/2017  
 DATE



AS REQUIRED BY SUBSECTION (c) OF O.C.G.A. SECTION 15-6-67, THIS PLAT HAS BEEN PREPARED BY A LAND SURVEYOR AND APPROVED BY ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS FOR RECORDING AS EVIDENCED BY APPROVAL CERTIFICATES, SIGNATURES, STAMPS, OR STATEMENTS HEREON. SUCH APPROVALS OR AFFIRMATIONS SHOULD BE CONFIRMED WITH THE APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES BY ANY PURCHASER OR USER OF THIS PLAT AS TO INTENDED USE OF ANY PARCEL. FURTHERMORE, THE UNDERSIGNED LAND SURVEYOR CERTIFIES THAT THIS PLAT COMPLIES WITH THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR THE PROPERTY SURVEYS IN GEORGIA AS SET FORTH IN THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE GEORGIA BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS AS SET FORTH IN O.C.G.A. SECTION 15-6-67



(NO SCALE)

11,800-X

11,700-X

12,200-Y

12,200-Y

12,100-Y

12,100-Y

FB 1401

A-1542

TRACTS "A" & "B"  
 Scale 1 Inch = 20 Chains  
 Boundary Map

# HELMY TRACT

Effingham County, Georgia  
 Estate of Mrs. Lella M. Helme, Deceased; Estate of K. I. Helme, Deceased, et al., Owners  
 660.87 Acres

THE ABOVE PLAT SHOWN WITHIN HEAVY LINES REPRESENTS A TRANSIT SURVEY OF 660.87 ACRES OF LAND, TRACT "A" CONTAINING 386.47 ACRES OF LAND, OWNED BY THE ESTATE OF MRS. LELLA M. HELME, DECEASED, AND THE ESTATE OF K. I. HELME, DECEASED; TRACT "B" CONTAINING 274.40 ACRES OF LAND OWNED BY RANDALL B. HELME AND THE ESTATE OF MRS. LELLA M. HELME, DECEASED, AND BEING IN THE 1559TH C. M. DISTRICT OF EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GEORGIA, WITH SUCH METES, COURSES AND CONTROLS AS FIXED AND DETERMINED BY THE PLANE COORDINATES OF THE GEORGIA COORDINATE SYSTEM, EAST ZONE, AS SHOWN ON PLAT.

Survey by G. W. Brock  
 Under Direction of J. Dean Gowen  
 CERTIFIED & APPROVED

J. Dean Gowen  
 Savannah, Georgia  
 Registered Surv. No. 6, Georgia  
 June 10, 1960

11,800-X

11,700-X

**MOCK SURVEYING, INC.**  
 9134 OLD RIVER ROAD  
 BROOKLET, GA 30415  
 PH (912) 857-4010  
 JEFF@MOCKSURVEYING.COM



**A**  
**RECOMBINATION**  
**PLAT**  
**14.008**  
**ACRES**  
 9TH G.M.D.  
 EFFINGHAM COUNTY,  
 GEORGIA  
 PREPARED  
 FOR  
 T&T9G, LLC

**LEGEND**  
 AXLE FOUND  AXF  
 IRON REBAR SET 5/8"  IRS  
 "PK" NAIL SET  PKG  
 IRON ROD FOUND  IRF  
 IRON PIPE FOUND  IRP  
 RAILROAD IRON FOUND  IRF  
 CONCRETE MONUMENT FOUND  CMF

150' 75' 0' 150'  
 GRAPHIC SCALE: 1"=150'

PROJECT NO.: 17-130  
 DRAWN BY: JWM  
 SURVEYED BY: JWM  
 SURVEY DATE: 11-29-17  
 CHECKED BY: JWM  
 SCALE: 1" = 150'  
 DATE: 12-1-17

**SHEET 1 OF 1**



E.O.C. FIELD: 190.298  
 MIN. E.O.C. PLAT: 1.912.627  
 ANGULAR ERROR: 1.70" PER ANGLE  
 ADJUSTED BY: COMPASS RULE  
 EQUIPMENT USED: TOPCON GPT SERIES




**BK:28 PG:222-222**  
**P2017000221**  
 FILED IN OFFICE  
 CLERK OF COURT  
 12/11/2017 09:53 AM  
 ELIZABETH Z. HURSEY, CLERK  
 SUPERIOR COURT  
 EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GA  
*Elizabeth Z. Hursey*  
 THIS BLOCK RESERVED FOR THE CLERK  
 OF SUPERIOR COURT.


1. NO ABSTRACT OF TITLE, NOR TITLE COMMITMENT OR RESULTS OF A TITLE SEARCH WERE FURNISHED TO THE SURVEYOR. ALL DOCUMENTS OF RECORD REVIEWED ARE NOTED HEREON. THERE MAY EXIST OTHER DOCUMENTS OF RECORD THAT MAY AFFECT THIS SURVEYED PARCEL.  
 2. THIS PROPERTY LIES IN ZONE "A" ACCORDING TO FEMA MAP NUMBER CMF 13103C0606E REVISED MARCH 16, 2015  
 3. THIS SURVEY COMPLIES WITH BOTH THE RULES OF THE GEORGIA BOARD OF SURVEYORS AND PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND THE OFFICIAL CODE OF GEORGIA ANNOTATED (O.C.G.A.) 15-6-67 AS AMENDED BY HB 78 (2017), IN THAT WHERE A CONFLICT EXISTS BETWEEN THOSE TWO SETS OF SPECIFICATIONS, THE REQUIREMENTS OF LAW PREVAIL.  
 R.C.B. COUNTY ZONING ADMINISTRATOR  
 DATE 12/08/2017

AS REQUIRED BY SUBSECTION (d) OF O.C.G.A. SECTION 15-6-67, THIS PLAT HAS BEEN PREPARED BY A LAND SURVEYOR AND APPROVED BY ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS FOR RECORDING AS EVIDENCED BY APPROVAL CERTIFICATES, SIGNATURES, STAMPS, OR STATEMENTS HEREON. SUCH APPROVALS OR AFFIRMATIONS SHOULD BE CONFIRMED WITH THE APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES BY ANY PURCHASER OR USER OF THIS PLAT AS TO INTENDED USE OF ANY PARCEL. FURTHERMORE, THE UNDERSIGNED LAND SURVEYOR CERTIFIES THAT THIS PLAT COMPLIES WITH THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR THE PROPERTY SURVEYED HEREON AND WITH THE GEORGIA RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS AS SET FORTH IN O.C.G.A. SECTION 15-6-67.

# 396-62 & 62A




# 396-62 & 62A



3/7/2024

- Roads
- Tax Parcels
- Tax Parcel Labels
- Effingham County Zoning
  - R-1
  - AR-1
  - AR-2
  - B-1
  - B-2
  - B-3
  - I-1
  - PD
  - PD-R
- Efn\_fin\_cache
  - Red: Band\_1
  - Green: Band\_2
  - Blue: Band\_3

1:26,181



Effingham County BOC, Savannah Area GIS, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, METI/NASA, EPA, USDA

# Midland Sands Residential Planned Development (PD-R)

Prepared For:  
BRD Land and Investment, GP

Submitted To:  
Effingham County



**March 2024**

Prepared By:  
Coleman Company, Inc.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Article 5.15 – PD Planned Development District</b>	<b>1-2</b>
<b>Article 5.15 – Purpose</b>	<b>2-3</b>
<b>Article 5.15.1 – Eligibility Requirements</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Article 5.15.2 – Procedure for Creating and Maintaining a PD District</b>	<b>4-5</b>
<b>Article 5.15.4 – Permitted Uses</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Article 5.15.6 – Design Criteria and Development Standards</b>	
<b>A. Minimum Lot Size and Zoning Requirements</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>B. Modification of Minimum Requirements</b>	<b>7-8</b>
<b>C. Common Open Space</b>	<b>8-9</b>
<b>D. Connectivity</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Exhibits</b>	
<b>Exhibit A – Minimum Architectural Requirements</b>	
<b>Exhibit B – PD-R Boundary</b>	
<b>Exhibit C – Property Legal Description</b>	
<b>Exhibit D – PD-R Conceptual Plan</b>	

DRAFT



***Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)***

**Article 5.15  
PD Planned Development District**

This text and these tables and figures are included to meet the filing requirements of Article 5.15, Effingham County Zoning Ordinance.

**Figure 1. Midland Sands Residential Planned Development District (PD-R)**


IMAGE HERE



# Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)

The Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R) is located on Midland Road in Effingham county, Georgia, consisting of Parcel Identification Numbers 03960062 and 03960062A00. The current usage of the property is vacant/undeveloped. The parcel consists of approximately 288.50 acres and is currently zoned Effingham County AR-1 (Agricultural) and I-1 (Surface Mine). A boundary and ALTA survey of the property was completed in August 2022 and is shown below. A larger copy, along with a legal description, is also attached.

Figure 2. T&T PD-R Boundary Exhibit



## ***Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)***

### **Article 5.15 - Purpose**

A zoning to PDR, as allowed under Article 5.15, of the Effingham County Zoning Ordinance, is necessary to allow the uses, mixture of uses, and development standards which will govern the development of the property. Development, as proposed herein, goes “hand in hand” with the purpose statement of Article 5.15.7.5 Residential (PD-R), which states:

*Characteristics and intent: It is the intent of this ordinance that the PD-R district may be applied to any residential area where the developer wishes to apply use regulations or controls more restrictive than those required by other residential districts in this ordinance.*

*Special requirements: In addition to other information required elsewhere in this section for submission of a PD district, applications for PD-R districts shall be accompanied by any additional restrictions, limitations, conditions, plans, easements, rights, or privileges beyond those normally required in a residential district in this ordinance, which the developer proposes for application to this proposed PD district.*

### **Article 5.15.1 – Eligibility Requirements**

#### **1. Size**

The PD-R meets the minimum required site size of 20 acres for an all residential type. The total acreage is 288.50 acres.

- 2. The site must have a minimum width, between any two opposite boundary lines of 300 linear feet and must adjoin or have direct, adequate access (as defined by the Highway Capacity Manual, most current edition), to at least one improved public road as shown on the county road classification map. The PD-R minimum distance between two opposite property lines is 743 linear feet and has direct access to Midland Road.**

- 3. There is hereby established the requirement that development projects as determined by the planning board staff, will submit a developments of regional impact report for review by staff.**

A DRI (DRI #3904) was previously submitted and completed for this property and will be updated accordingly to coincide with the PD-R.

#### **4. Ownership and Control**

The tract of land for the PUD application is in single ownership.

**Article 5.15.2 Procedure for creating and maintaining a PD district.**

**5.15.2.1** Any request pertaining to the establishment of a PD district shall be considered a proposal for amendment to the zoning ordinance and shall be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in article IX of appendix C of the county code (hereafter appendix C), with regards to application requirements, county planning board review (hereafter planning board), and public hearings. All data set forth in appendix C, shall be submitted to the planning board, and subsequently forwarded to county board of commissioners (hereafter board of commissioners) with the recommendations of the planning board. If approved by the board of commissioners, the master plan shall be officially delineated on the zoning districts map and such plan and all information submitted in conjunction with the proposal, as amended, shall be adopted as planned development district. All further development shall conform to the standards adopted for the district, regardless of any changes in ownership. The violation of any provision of the master plan, as submitted and approved, shall constitute a violation of this ordinance. In any event, where it is determined by the board of commissioners that development of the PD district is not in accordance with the standards adopted for that district, the board of commissioners shall be empowered to amend the ordinance to place parts or all of the property in its prior zoning classification.

**5.15.2.2** Any substantial changes in the development of the district shall be treated as proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance and must be considered in accordance with the procedures set forth in article IX. For purposes of this subsection, substantial change shall be defined as an overall change in land use, change in acreage, a change in project intent, or a change in buffers along the project's external boundary. Minor changes will not be treated as a proposed amendment to the zoning ordinance and may be approved with authorization of the county manager and/or development services official. Minor changes for the purpose of this subsection shall be defined as changes in street access or alignment, changes in public or common areas, changes in building setbacks, or changes to buffers between internal components of the project. The development services official shall be responsible for determining whether a proposed change is substantial or minor. Substantial changes must be approved by planning board and county commission. Appeals based on hardship or an alleged misinterpretation of the ordinance by the development services official shall be processed in accordance with the procedures set forth in article IX of this ordinance.

**5.15.2.3** Only after the PD zoning has been approved by the board of commissioners, may the applicant submit a site plan for development, however, no building permit shall be issued for a PD district until a site plan conforming to the requirements set forth in appendix B, entitled subdivision regulations, found within the Effingham County Code, has been submitted to and approved by the board of commissioners.

***Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)***

**5.15.2.4** No site plan approved by the board of commissioners shall be valid for a period longer than 12 months, unless within such period a preliminary plat is submitted pursuant to Appendix B of the Effingham County Code. The planning board may recommend to the board of commissioners to grant extensions not exceeding 12 months each upon written request of the original applicant if the application submitted is substantially the same as the initial application. However, the planning board, with approval of the board of commissioners, has the power in such cases to attach new conditions to its reapproval or disapproval of the reapplication. Where the application for reapproval contains changes which the zoning administrator concludes materially alter the initial application, he shall initiate a new site plan review procedure as stated herein.

# Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)

## Article 5.14.4 – Permitted Uses

The T&T PD-R has two (2) allowable land uses as indicated on the “Conceptual Plan – T&T PUD” included below and attached. These land uses are:

- Detached Single Family Dwelling
- Attached Single Family Dwelling

Figure 3. Conceptuel Plan – Midland Sands PD-R



**Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)**

**Article 5.15.6 – Design Criteria and Development Standards**

In all PD districts, the general provisions set forth in appendix B shall govern unless relief is granted by the planning board and the board of commissioners.

Overall site design should be harmonious in terms of landscaping, enclosure of principal and accessory uses, parcel sizes, street patterns, and land use relationships. Variety in building types, heights, facades, setbacks, and size of open spaces shall be encouraged. Common open space shall be at least 20 percent of the overall site. In a PD-R, no more than 50 percent of required common open space shall be unbuildable land.

**Minimum Lot Size and Zoning Requirements**

<b>Land Use Type</b>	<b>Max. Density</b>	<b>Min. Lot Area (SF)</b>	<b>Min. Lot Width (FT)</b>	<b>Min. Setbacks (FT)</b>	<b>Max. Building Height (FT)</b>	<b>Max. Building Coverage (%)</b>
----------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

**Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)**

Detached Single Family R-5	5 units per net acre	6,600	50	Front: 15 Rear: 25 Side (interior): 7.5 Side (street): 15	35	45
Attached Single Family R-3	9 units per net acre	N/A	150	Front: 10 Rear: 15 Side (interior): 15 Side (street): 35 Public Street: 35	35	40

**A. Modification of Minimum Requirements**

For this PD-R the overall allowed density shall not exceed 3.0 units per net acre which is less dense than the maximum allowed in current residential zoning. In the Detached Single-Family requirements, a reduction in the lot width, area, and side setback is being requested and in the Attached Single Family requirements a more restrictive standard for unit width, number of units per building, and front and rear setbacks are being requested. Modifications to the minimum standards for Detached and Attached Single Family units are as follows:

**Detached Single Family**


1. Lot Area = 6,000 SF
2. Lot Width = 45 FT min.
3. Setbacks:
  - Side: 5 FT (*Interior*)
  - 10 FT (*Street*)

**Attached Single Family**

1. Unit Width = 24' Min.
  2. Maximum units per building = 5
  3. Minimum 3' depth variation in between individual units along front facade
  4. Setbacks:
    - Front: 35 FT (*as measured from easement line or right-of-way*)
    - Rear: 20 FT
    - Side: 20 FT (*Interior*)
- Maximum of five (5) attached single family units per building.
  - Minimum separation between ends and rears of contiguous buildings shall be

**Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)**

40 feet.



**TYPICAL LOT LAYOUT**  
**SINGLE FAMILY ATTACHED - TOWNHOME**  
 NOT TO SCALE

**B. Common Open Space**

For purposes of the PD-R requirements, "common open space" is defined as an area of land, wetlands or water, or a combination of land, wetlands, and water, designed and intended for the perpetual use and enjoyment of the users of the development and/or the public. Common open space may contain accessory structures and improvements necessary or desirable for educational, noncommercial, recreational, or cultural uses. A variety of open space and recreational areas is encouraged such as: children's informal play areas in close proximity to neighborhoods or dwelling unit clusters; formal parks, picnic - areas and playgrounds; pathways and trails; scenic open areas and communal, noncommercial recreation facilities; and natural conservation areas.

MINIMUM REQUIRED COMMON OPEN SPACE = 57.67 Acres (20.0% Total Land Area)

PROPOSED COMMON OPEN SPACE = 105.37 Acres (36.53% Total Land Area)

Amenity Areas:	4.56 AC
Park Area:	13.30 AC
HOA Common Area:	13.38 AC
Pond Area (50%):	16.39 AC



## ***Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)***

Wetland Area (50%): 57.70 AC

At a minimum, the following regulations shall apply to all common open space within a PD-R:

1. The area of common open space shall not be less than 20 percent of the overall site, no more than 50 percent of the required common open space shall be unbuildable land
2. All common open space shown on the final development plan must be reserved or dedicated by conveyance of title to a corporation, association, or other legal entity, by means of a restrictive covenant, easement or through other legal instrument. The terms of such legal instrument must include provisions guaranteeing the continued use in perpetuity of such open space for the purposes intended and for continuity of proper maintenance of those portions of the open space requiring maintenance.
3. The open space shall meet the following minimum dimensions, contiguity, and connectivity requirements:
  - a. The required open space shall be centrally located, along the street frontage of the development to protect or enhance views, located to preserve significant natural features, adjacent to dwellings, and/or located to interconnect other open spaces throughout the development or on contiguous properties.
  - b. Required open space areas shall be of sufficient size and dimension and located, configured, or designed in such a way as to achieve the applicable purposes of these regulations and enhance the quality of the development. The open space shall neither be perceived nor function simply as an extension of the rear yard of those lots abutting it.
  - c. If the site contains a lake, stream or other body of water, the county may require that a portion of the required open space shall abut the body of water.
  - d. All required open space areas shall be configured so the open space is reasonably accessible to and usable by residents, visitors and other users of the development. The minimum size of a required open space area shall be 15,000 square feet; provided, however, that the required open space abutting a public street may be less than 15,000 square feet; and, further provided, that the city council, upon recommendation of the planning commission, may approve other open space areas of less than 15,000 square feet if these areas are designed and established as pedestrian or bicycle paths or are otherwise determined to be open space reasonably usable by residents, visitors and other users of the development. The minimum average dimension of a required open space area shall be 100 feet.
  - e. Open space areas are encouraged to be linked with any adjacent open spaces, public parks, bicycle paths or pedestrian paths.
  - f. Grading in the open space shall be minimal, with the intent to preserve

## ***Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)***

existing topography, trees and other natural features, where practical.


- g. A sign, structure, or building may be erected within the required open space if it is determined to be accessory to a recreation or conservation use or an entryway. These accessory structure(s) or building(s) shall not exceed, in the aggregate, one (1) percent of the open space area. Accessory structures or uses of a significantly different scale or character than present in abutting residential districts shall not be located near the boundary of the development if they may negatively impact the residential use of adjacent lands as determined by the planning commission. Pathways or sidewalks shall be exempt from this limitation.
- h. The following areas shall not qualify as required common open space for the purposes of this section.
  - i. The area within any public streetright-of-way.
  - ii. The area within private roadeasements.
  - iii. The area within a subdivision lot.
  - iv. Land within any required yard or setback area.
  - v. Parking and loading areas.
  - vi. Fifty percent of any easement for overhead utility lines.
  - vii. Fifty percent of any steep slopes (12 percent or over).
  - viii. Fifty percent of any lakes, streams, detention ponds, wetlands or floodplains that are not generally accessible within the development. Accessible shall mean that the feature is bordered by a substantial open space area, park, playground, pathway or reasonable means of access for enjoyment of all owners, visitors or others, in which case the total area may qualify as required common open space. Timber Trails shall qualify as affording connectivity of PUD to the common open space.

### **C. Connectivity**

Pathways for bicycles and pedestrians shall be incorporated throughout the PD-R and along all perimeter streets to ensure connectivity between uses and with adjacent properties. Pathways and sidewalks shall be constructed in accordance with the City Design Guidelines.


**Exhibit A – Minimum Architectural Requirements**

1. Variety of housing types:
  - a. Single family front loaded – 1 and 2 story
  - b. Townhomes (Max of 6 units in a single building)
  
2. Minimum 12" roof overhang




## Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)

### 3. Architectural shingles



4. Front porches, when used, shall have a minimum depth of 6'
5. Side elevations of homes that are abutting street intersections shall not be blank walls, but shall include windows and trim consistent with front elevation or a landscape screening and/or buffer.




SIDE ELEVATION

***Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)***

6. Minimum first floor ceiling height of 9' on one story homes.
7. Identical elevations or colors of homes shall not be allowed within three homes of one another.
8. Side yards require buffering of condenser and other mechanical equipment

**Exhibit B – PD-R Boundary**

**Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)**



**COLEMAN COMPANY**  
ENGINEERS - SURVEYORS  
1000 West Street, Savannah, Georgia 31401  
Telephone: (912) 233-6600

**ZONING EXHIBIT SURVEY OF  
TRACT B, HELMET TRACT,  
TOGETHER WITH A 14.008 ACRE TRACT,  
8TH DISTRICT, EFFINGHAM COUNTY, GEORGIA  
PREPARED FOR: COLEMAN COMPANY, COASTAL DEVELOPMENT**

DATE:	2/24/08
BY:	SURV. J. H. COLEMAN
CHECKED BY:	J. H. COLEMAN
SCALE:	1" = 200'

**ZONING EXHIBIT**

1/1

**Exhibit C – Property Legal Description**

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

**Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)**

ALL THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND KNOWN AS TRACT B, HELMEY TRACT, 9TH G.M. DISTRICT, EFFINGHAM COUNTY, STATE OF GEORGIA RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPEIOR COURT OF EFFINGHAM IN PLAT BOOK 2, PAGE 96 AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS

COMMENCING FROM A CONCRETE MONUMENT HAVING A GRID NORTH, GEORGIA STATE PLANE, EAST ZONE, NAD 83 COORDINATE OF NORTH: 803572.68, EAST: 924690.64 LOCATED ON THE NORTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF MIDLAND ROAD THENCE ALONG THE EASTERN PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL B, MARVIN ZEIGLER ESTATE, N54°01'23"E A DISTANCE OF 795.81' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT BEING THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE PROPERTY LINES OF PARCEL B, MARVIN ZEIGLER ESTATE THE FOLLOWING COURSES AND DISTANCES; N42°23'15"W A DISTANCE OF 740.87' TO A POINT, N54°30'04"E A DISTANCE OF 724.02' TO AN IRON ROD, N45°07'03"W A DISTANCE OF 2193.17' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT, THENCE ALONG THE EASTERN PROPERTY LINE OF NOW OR FORMERLY JUSTIN AND CRYSTAL ZIPPERER N41°51'21"E A DISTANCE OF 3750.34' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT, THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERN PROPERTY LINE OF PARCELS B, C, D, AND E, NOW OR FORMERLY E. WADE BARNETT S36°27'30"E A DISTANCE OF 3615.57' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT, THENCE ALONG THE NORTHERN PROPERTY LINES OF THE RANDALL ESTATES PHASE 2, UNIQUE ACRES SUBDIVISION, THE TRENT SELLERS, HOWARD TOLE, AND HENRY DEAL SUBDIVISION, AND HUNTERS MILL SUBDIVISION THE FOLLOWING COURSES AND DISTANCES; S54°16'42"W A DISTANCE OF 619.13' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT, S35°45'28"E A DISTANCE OF 83.39' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT, S54°16'38"W A DISTANCE OF 2586.54' TO A POINT, S53°55'38"W A DISTANCE OF 657.24' TO AN IRON ROD, THENCE ALONG THE WESTERN PROPERTY LINE OF 14.008 ACRES, NOW OR FORMERLY T AND T9G LLC. S53°54'33"W A DISTANCE OF 126.15' TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; AND CONTAINING 274.478 ACRES OR 11,956,270 SQUARE FEET.

TOGETHER WITH:

ALL THAT CERTAIN TRACT OF LAND KNOWN AS 14.008 ACRES, 9TH G.M. DISTRICT, EFFINGHAM COUNTY, STATE OF GEORGIA RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPEIOR COURT OF EFFINGHAM IN PLAT BOOK 28, PAGE 222 AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS

BEGINNING AT AN IRON ROD HAVING A GRID NORTH, GEORGIA STATE PLANE, EAST ZONE, NAD 83 COORDINATE OF NORTH: 802940.45, EAST: 925321.75 LOCATED ON THE NORTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF MIDLAND ROAD THENCE ALONG THE NORTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF MIDLAND ROAD N44°56'58"W A DISTANCE OF 893.32' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT, THENCE ALONG THE EASTERN PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL B, MARVIN ZEIGLER ESTATE, N54°01'23"E A DISTANCE OF 795.81' TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT, THENCE ALONG THE EASTERN PROPERTY LINE OF TRACT B, THE HELEMAY TRACT, NOW OR FORMERLY T AND T9G LLC. N53°54'33"E A DISTANCE OF 126.15' TO AN IRON ROD, THENCE ALONG NOW OR FORMERLY WILLIAM AND ANGELA HORNE S21°21'22"E A DISTANCE OF 743.18' TO AN IRON ROD, THENCE ALONG LOT 28, NOW OR FORMERLY AARON PITTS AND ERIN SCHWENKE THE FOLLOWING COURSES AND DISTANCES; S70°26'43"W A DISTANCE OF 138.82' TO A POINT, S20°00'24"E A DISTANCE OF 141.39' TO A POINT, THENCE ALONG THE WESTERN PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 4, NOW OR FORMERLY BARRY AND AMRY CATES S45°02'38"W A DISTANCE OF 428.23' TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; AND CONTAINING 14.008 ACRES OR 610,177 SQUARE FEET.

**Exhibit D –Port Royal PUD Conceptual Plan**



# Midland Sands Planned Development (PD-R)

1. THE DEVELOPER HAS BEEN ADVISED THAT THIS PLAN IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE UPON FINAL SURVEY AND JURISDICTIONAL INVESTIGATION.

2. THIS PLAN IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE UPON FINAL SURVEY AND JURISDICTIONAL INVESTIGATION.

3. THE DEVELOPER HAS BEEN ADVISED THAT THIS PLAN IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE UPON FINAL SURVEY AND JURISDICTIONAL INVESTIGATION.


SITE DATA	
TOTAL AREA	100.00 AC
TOTAL LOT AREA	175,000 SQ FT
TOTAL IMPROVEMENTS	175,000 SQ FT
TOTAL IMPROVEMENTS	175,000 SQ FT
TOTAL IMPROVEMENTS	175,000 SQ FT
TOTAL IMPROVEMENTS	175,000 SQ FT
TOTAL IMPROVEMENTS	175,000 SQ FT



DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS	
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET

GENERAL NOTES	
1.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
2.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
3.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
4.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
5.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
6.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
7.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
8.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
9.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN
10.	CONCEPTUAL PLAN

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET
MINIMUM ROAD WIDTH	20 FEET



**NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**  
SITE PLAN IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE UPON FINAL SURVEY AND JURISDICTIONAL INVESTIGATION.

REVISIONS:

**CONCEPTUAL PLAN**  
**MIDLAND SANDS**  
PREPARED FOR: BRD LAND AND INVESTMENT, GP  
LOCATED IN: EFRINGHAM COUNTY, GEORGIA

JOB NUMBER: 22-021  
DATE: 01/12/2023  
DRAWN BY: [Name]  
CHECKED BY: AS NOB

**CONCEPTUAL PLAN**

SHEET:  
**CP1.0**



DOC# 011337  
FILED IN OFFICE  
12/22/2017 09:05 AM  
BK:2443 PG:507-511  
ELIZABETH Z. HURSEY  
CLERK OF SUPERIOR COUR  
T  
EFFINGHAM COUNTY

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY: kt:RE-RECORD  
Weyerhaeuser Company  
5 Concourse Parkway, Suite 1650  
Atlanta, Georgia 30328  
Attention: Kerri M. Lockwood  
File No. T2017-879

DOC# 011197  
FILED IN OFFICE  
12/18/2017 08:54 AM  
BK:2442 PG:895-898  
ELIZABETH Z. HURSEY  
CLERK OF SUPERIOR COUR  
T  
EFFINGHAM COUNTY

*Elizabeth Hursey*  
REAL ESTATE TRANSFER T  
AX  
PAID: \$537.20  
PT-61 051-2017-003434

AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO:  
The Ratchford Firm  
1575 Hwy 21 South  
Springfield, Georgia 31329  
Attention: Warren Ratchford

\*\*Please re-record to include Exhibit B.

STATE OF GEORGIA

COUNTY OF EFFINGHAM

**LIMITED WARRANTY DEED**

THIS INDENTURE, made this 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2017, between Weyerhaeuser Company, a Washington corporation, whose address is 220 Occidental Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98104, as Grantor, and T&T9G, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company, whose address is 797 Old Louisville Road, Guyton, Georgia 31312, as Grantee;

**WITNESSETH:**

That Grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ten and No/100 Dollars (\$10.00), and other valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold and conveyed, and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell and convey unto the said Grantee, its successors and assigns, that certain property described on **Exhibit "A"** attached hereto and made a part hereof by this reference (the "Real Property").

**GRANTOR HEREBY EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS AND NEGATES ANY REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, RELATING TO THE CONDITION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF THE REAL PROPERTY, IT BEING THE INTENTION OF GRANTOR AND GRANTEE THAT THE REAL PROPERTY BE CONVEYED "AS IS", IN ITS PRESENT CONDITION AND STATE OF REPAIR AND THAT GRANTEE HAS MADE OR CAUSED TO BE MADE SUCH INSPECTION AS IT DEEM APPROPRIATE. GRANTEE, FOR ITSELF AND ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, HEREBY WAIVES AND RELEASES GRANTOR FROM ANY AND ALL CONTRACTUAL, STATUTORY, COMMON LAW, AND/OR OTHER LIABILITIES, OBLIGATIONS, CLAIMS OR CAUSES OF ACTION, KNOWN OR UNKNOWN, THAT GRANTEE OR ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS MAY BE ENTITLED TO ASSERT AGAINST GRANTOR ARISING IN WHOLE OR IN PART OF, OR RELATING OR CONNECTED IN ANY**

6

---

**WAY TO, THE CONDITION OF THE REAL PROPERTY INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY SUCH LIABILITIES, OBLIGATIONS, CLAIMS OR CAUSES OF ACTION BASED IN WHOLE OR IN PART UPON ANY APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAW, RULE OR REGULATION OR THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF THE REAL PROPERTY.**

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the Real Property, together with all and singular the rights, members and appurtenances in any manner appertaining, subject to the matters set forth in **Exhibit "B"** attached hereto and made a part hereof, unto Grantee, its successors and assigns, forever, in Fee Simple.

And Grantor shall warrant and forever defend the right and title to the Real Property unto Grantee, its successors and assigns, against the lawful claims of all persons claiming by, through or under Grantor, but against none other; provided, however, that this conveyance is made subject to and there are hereby excepted from the covenants and warranties hereinabove set forth, the matters set forth in **Exhibit "B"** attached hereto and made a part hereof.


[SIGNATURES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.]


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor has signed and sealed this deed, the date and year stated below.


Signed, sealed and delivered this 11<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2017 in the presence of:


WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Unofficial Witness

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William T. Carlton  
Vice President

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
(NOTARIAL SEAL)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kerri M. Lockwood  
Assistant Secretary



---

**EXHIBIT A**

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION**

All those certain tracts or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the 1559th G. M. District of Effingham County, Georgia, now lying in one body but heretofore described as being three separate tracts of land of 99 acres, more or less, 97 acres, more or less and 13 acres, more or less, aggregating 209 acres, more or less, but by the Gowen resurvey hereinafter referred to shown to contain 274.40 acres and being more particularly described as follows: Beginning at a concrete monument (shown as Station 2 on the Gowen survey hereinafter referred to) located South 36° 27' East 1.40 chains from a point on the center line of a public road, at the intersection of other lands of Randall B. Helmey and the tract herein conveyed, which concrete monument is located by reference to the grid coordinates in chains of the Georgia Coordinates System, East Zone, at Y-(Lat.) 12,217.29 and X-(Dep.) 11,701.75, and from said point of beginning running thence South 54° 18' West a distance of 9.38 chains to a concrete monument; thence South 35° 42' East to distance of 1.25 chains to a concrete monument; thence South 54° 18' West a distance of 39.19 chains to a point; thence South 53° 57' West a distance 11.86 chains to a concrete monument; thence North 42° 21' West a distance of 11.22 chains to a concrete monument; thence North 54° 34' East a distance of 10.97 chains to a concrete monument; thence North 45° 06' West a distance of 33.24 chains to a concrete monument; thence North 41° 53' East a distance of 56.82 chains to a concrete monument; thence South 36° 27' East a distance of 53.38 chains to a point on the center line of a public road; thence South 36° 27' East a distance of 1.40 chains to a concrete monument, and marking the point of beginning. Said tract is the same tract of land conveyed by deed of the Trustees of the Evangelical Lutheran Congregation to Randall B. Helmey, dated March 3, 1931, as recorded in Deed Book 77, Page 557 of the Deed Records of Effingham County, Georgia, and the same lands to which the said Randall B. Helmey conveyed an one-half undivided interest to Mrs. Leila M. Helmey, by deed dated December 16, 1936, as recorded in Deed Book 85, Page 138, of said Deed Records; reference to which deeds and the records thereof is hereby expressly made for all purposes hereof. The said tract of land, is more fully shown as Tract B on and by plat and supplement of said lands made and prepared under the direction of and certified by J. Dean Gowen, Georgia, Registered Surveyor No. 6, June 10, 1960, with the boundaries, metes, courses, distances and controls as shown thereon having been fixed and determined in accordance with the grid coordinates of the Georgia Coordinate System, East Zone, as established by the U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey, a copy of which plat and supplement is recorded in Map Book 2, Page 96, in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Effingham County, Georgia, and reference to which is hereby expressly made for a more full and complete description of said land.

**EXHIBIT B**

**PERMITTED EXCEPTIONS**

1. Liens for taxes, assessments and other governmental charges which are not yet due and payable as of the date hereof.
2. All land use (including environmental and wetlands), building and zoning laws, regulations, codes and ordinances affecting the Real Property.
3. Any rights of the United States of America, the State of Georgia or others in the use and continuous flow of any brooks, streams or other natural water courses or water bodies within, crossing or abutting the Real Property, including, without limitation, riparian rights and navigational servitudes.
4. Title to that portion of the Real Property, if any, lying below the mean high water mark of abutting tidal waters.
5. All easements, rights-of-way, licenses and other such similar encumbrances of record.
6. All existing public and private roads and streets and all railroad and utility lines, pipelines, service lines and facilities.
7. All encroachments, overlaps, boundary line disputes, shortages in area, parties in possession, cemeteries and burial grounds and other matters not of record which would be disclosed by an accurate survey or inspection of the Real Property.
8. Prior reservations or conveyances of mineral rights or mineral leases of every kind and character.
9. Any loss or claim due to lack of access to any portion of the Real Property.
10. Any loss or claim due to any indefiniteness or uncertainty in the legal description of the Real Property.

EFFINGHAM COUNTY REZONING CHECKLIST

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

CHECK LIST:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends:

APPROVAL \_\_\_\_\_

DISAPPROVAL \_\_\_\_\_ 

Of the rezoning request by **Bryant Ligon as agent for T & T 9G, LLC- (Map # 396 Parcels # 62, 62A)** from **AR-1 & I-1** to **PD** zoning.

Yes  No ? 1. Is this proposal inconsistent with the county’s master plan?

Yes  No ? 2. Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street, utilities or schools?

Yes  No ? 3. Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards?

Yes  No ? 4. Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning?

Yes  No ? 5. Does the proposed change constitute “spot zoning” which would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property?

Yes  No ? 6. Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?

Yes  No ? 7. Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change?

Yes  No ? 8. Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a decision against the proposal?

*D.B.*

EFFINGHAM COUNTY REZONING CHECKLIST

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

CHECK LIST:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends:

APPROVAL \_\_\_\_\_

DISAPPROVAL X

Of the rezoning request by **Bryant Ligon as agent for T & T 9G, LLC**– (Map # 396 Parcels # 62, 62A) from AR-1 & I-1 to PD zoning.

- Yes  No  1. Is this proposal inconsistent with the county’s master plan?
- Yes  No  2. Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street, utilities or schools?
- Yes  No  3. Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards?
- Yes  No  4. Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning?
- Yes  No  5. Does the proposed change constitute “spot zoning” which would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property?
- Yes  No  6. Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?
- Yes  No  7. Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change?
- Yes  No  8. Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a decision against the proposal?

one point of access to be potential issue

May

one point of access

R.T.

EFFINGHAM COUNTY REZONING CHECKLIST

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

CHECK LIST:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends:

APPROVAL \_\_\_\_\_

DISAPPROVAL \_\_\_\_\_

Of the rezoning request by **Bryant Ligon as agent for T & T 9G, LLC- (Map # 396 Parcels # 62, 62A)** from AR-1 & I-1 to PD zoning.

Yes No ? 1. Is this proposal inconsistent with the county's master plan?

Yes No ? 2. Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street, utilities or schools?

Yes No ? 3. Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards?

Yes No ? 4. Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning?

Yes No ? 5. Does the proposed change constitute "spot zoning" which would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property?

Yes No ? 6. Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?

Yes No ? 7. Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change?

Yes No ? 8. Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a decision against the proposal?



EFFINGHAM COUNTY REZONING CHECKLIST

Applicants requesting a Zoning change shall supply to the Planning Board information describing the proposed change plus supporting data relating to the change to assist the Planning Board in making their determination. the supporting documentation shall include a format substantially the same as the checklist/criteria used by the Planning Board in evaluating the requested zoning change.

After receiving all information presented as to each zoning proposal at any public hearing provided for in this Article, and prior to making any recommendation thereon, the Planning Board shall consider each of the eight questions contained in the following checklist in written form and forward a copy of the same to the Board of Commissioners together with any additional material deemed appropriate:

CHECK LIST:

The Effingham County Planning Commission recommends:

APPROVAL \_\_\_\_\_

DISAPPROVAL X

Of the rezoning request by **Bryant Ligon as agent for T & T 9G, LLC- (Map # 396 Parcels # 62, 62A)** from **AR-1 & I-1** to **PD** zoning.

- Yes  No? 1. Is this proposal inconsistent with the county’s master plan?
- No? 2. Could the proposed zoning allow use that overload either existing or proposed public facilities such as street, utilities or schools?
- No? 3. Could traffic created by the proposed use, or other uses permissible under the zoning sought, traverse established single-family neighborhoods on minor streets, leading to congestion, noise, and traffic hazards?
- Yes  4. Does the property which is proposed to be rezoned have a have a reasonable economic use under existing zoning?
- Yes  5. Does the proposed change constitute “spot zoning” which would permit a use which would be unsuitable, considering the existing use and development of adjacent and nearby property?
- Yes  6. Would the proposed change in zoning adversely affect existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property?
- No? 7. Are nearby residents opposed to the proposed zoning change?
- No? 8. Do other conditions affect the property so as to support a decision against the proposal?

B.S.