## 6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

## 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

#### SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Hobert of Heven & Geoplanning

EVALUATION FORM	Λ	W 5	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL	
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	25	
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	44	
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	110	
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	106	
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	90	
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	50	
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	25	
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	450	

Evaluator:

# 6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.
- 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

## SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: GeoPlanning Solution

EVALUATION FOR	V		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL	
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5	
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10	
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25	
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	23	No
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20	ŕ
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10	
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	5	
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	98	

Evaluator: Fracy Mercer

## 6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

## 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

#### SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: GED Planning Solutions

EVALUATION FORM				
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL		
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5		
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10		
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25		
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	25		
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20		
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10		
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	5		
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	180		

	- 1	-			12		
		1	inal	10		DayIT	
Evaluator:		u	m	NU	1	1000	

## 6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA: The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.
- 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

#### SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Stoplanning Solution

EVALUATION FORM				
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL		
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5		
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	7		
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	20		
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	18		
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	15		
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10		
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	5		
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	71		

Evaluator: Duraine P

## 6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.
- 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

## **SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING**

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Geo Planning Solutions

EVALUATION FORM				
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL		
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5		
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10		
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	20		
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	20		
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20		
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10		
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	5		
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	90		

Evaluator: Johnathan Vice

## 6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.
- 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

#### SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: beoPlanning Solutions

EVALUATION FORM				
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL		
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5		
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	7		
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	20		
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	20		
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	15		
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10		
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	5		
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	82		

Evaluator: BRUCE L	y OV
--------------------	------