

reserves the right to make investigations related to and/or arising out of the SOQs and/or qualifications of proposers as it deems necessary and appropriate.

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

6.2 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Safewill/Calvin, Giordano & Assoc

EVALUATION FORM		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	25
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	48
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	125
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	115
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	88
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	46
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	13
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	460

Evaluator: 

The Town Clerk, or designee, will initiate any necessary communication with a proposer in order to obtain information or clarification to allow the Evaluation Committee to rate the submissions properly and accurately.

reserves the right to make investigations related to and/or arising out of the SOQs and/or qualifications of proposers as it deems necessary and appropriate.

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

6.2 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Calvin, Giordano + Associates inc

EVALUATION FORM		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	25
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	10
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	8
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	3
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	86

Evaluator: Johnathon Vice

The Town Clerk, or designee, will initiate any necessary communication with a proposer in order to obtain information or clarification to allow the Evaluation Committee to rate the submissions properly and accurately.

reserves the right to make investigations related to and/or arising out of the SOQs and/or qualifications of proposers as it deems necessary and appropriate.

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

6.2 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Calvin, Giordano & Assoc.

EVALUATION FORM		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	20
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	3
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	93

Evaluator: Bruce Lyon

The Town Clerk, or designee, will initiate any necessary communication with a proposer in order to obtain information or clarification to allow the Evaluation Committee to rate the submissions properly and accurately.

reserves the right to make investigations related to and/or arising out of the SOQs and/or qualifications of proposers as it deems necessary and appropriate.

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

6.2 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Calvin, Giordano + Associates, Inc.

EVALUATION FORM		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	8
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	20
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	8
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	3
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	89

Evaluator: Lorraine Peterson

The Town Clerk, or designee, will initiate any necessary communication with a proposer in order to obtain information or clarification to allow the Evaluation Committee to rate the submissions properly and accurately.

reserves the right to make investigations related to and/or arising out of the SOQs and/or qualifications of proposers as it deems necessary and appropriate.

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

6.2 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Carvin Giordano & Asso.

EVALUATION FORM		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	25
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	18
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	4
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	97

Yrs. Exp.

Evaluator:

Tracy Mercer

The Town Clerk, or designee, will initiate any necessary communication with a proposer in order to obtain information or clarification to allow the Evaluation Committee to rate the submissions properly and accurately.

reserves the right to make investigations related to and/or arising out of the SOQs and/or qualifications of proposers as it deems necessary and appropriate.

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

6.2 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Calvin, Giordano & Associates

EVALUATION FORM		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	25
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	0
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	95

Evaluator: Tandra Davis

The Town Clerk, or designee, will initiate any necessary communication with a proposer in order to obtain information or clarification to allow the Evaluation Committee to rate the submissions properly and accurately.