6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Libert of Stevens

EVALUATION FORM				
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL		
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	24		
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	34		
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	110		
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	112		
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	90		
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	40		
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	6		
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	(11)		

Evaluator:	\bigcirc		

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Robert A. STEVENS + ASSOC

EVALUATION FORM				
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL		
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5		
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	6		
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	20		
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	20		
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	15		
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10		
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	0		
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	76		

Evaluator: _	BRVCE	Lyon	
		/	

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.
- 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Kobert Stevens & Associates, Inc.

EVALUATION FORM					
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL			
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	4			
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	8			
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25			
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	25			
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20			
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10			
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	0			
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	92			

Evaluator:	landra	Davis	
		0000	

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA: The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Robert A. Stevens & Asso.

EVALUATION FORM					
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL			
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5			
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	10			
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	25			
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	25			
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20			
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	10			
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	0			
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	95			

Evaluator:

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.

62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Robert A. Stevens Associates inc.

EVALUATION FORM					
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL			
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5			
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	5			
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	20			
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	25			
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	20			
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	5			
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5	5			
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	85			

Evaluator:	Johnathon	Vice			
------------	-----------	------	--	--	--

6.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 6.1 **RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA:** The SOQs submitted by the proposing firm must include information documenting how the firm meets the evaluation criteria described below and will be evaluated based on the criteria and weighting identified below. Submittals will not be returned to the firms submitting their SOQ. The Town reserves the right to request additional information from Proposers subsequent to the receipt of proposals.
- 62 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORMS:

SUBMITTAL EVALUATION & SCORING

Each section to be evaluated is identified and weighted independently. The score for each section should be marked clearly in the subtotal box. The final score will be the sum of each of the subtotal scores.

Firm Name: Notest A. Stevens + associates

EVALUATION FORM					
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SUBTOTAL			
Meeting the Minimum Requirements of the RFQ	5 points 0-5	5			
Adequacy of Personnel & Organizational Resources	10 points 0-10	5			
Work Experience & Past Public-Sector Performance	25 points 0-25	20			
Work Approach	25 points 0-25	17			
Team Member Qualifications	20 points 0-20	15			
Adherence to Time Budget Requirements	10 points 0-10	5			
MOB/WOB/Disabled Veteran & Veteran-Owned Business Utilization	5 points 0-5				
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:	100	68			

Evaluator: Tusine Peterser