

HISTORIC PRESERVATION MANUAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS REVIEW

Date:	June 20, 2023	
Project:	301 Old Fitzhugh Rd, Dripping Springs, TX 78620	
Applicant:	Rebeca Sager / Three Zero One Old Fitzhugh LLC (315) 395-1729	
Historic Distric	t: Old Fitzhugh Road Historic District	
Base Zoning: Proposed Use:	SF-1 N/A- Shed Demo & Removal Only	
Submittals:	Current Photograph Concept Site Aerial Exterior Elevations (N/A) Color & Materials Samples N/A-	
with the City of I REGULATIONS	view has been conducted for the City of Dripping Springs to determine compliance and consistency Dripping Springs CODE OF ORDINANCES, Title 2 BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT 5, Chapter 24, BUILDING REGULATIONS, Article 24.07: HISTORIC PRESERVATION, Section FERIA FOR ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS."	
Project Type &		
Demolition and Removal of a Non-Contributing Existing Storage Shed at the rear of property at 301 Old Fitzhugh Rd. in the Old Fitzhugh Rd. Historic District .		
Review Summary, General Findings: "Approval w/Conditions"		
Genera	al Compliance Determination - Compliant	
Staff Recommendations / Conditions of Approval:		
	rmits Required: Necessary Permits shall be obtained from the City of Dripping Springs, luding Demolition Permit (if required). Confirm all Permit requirements with City Staff.	

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS- Staff Review Summary:

Historic Resource Background / Survey Information:

#301 Old Fitzhugh Rd.: (c.a. 1955) Roark-Foster Survey: Site #19 // Hays County TP #17918.

Historic District Contribution Status:

- a) Existing Residence Primary Dwelling (Front): "Contributing"
- b) Existing Storage Shed (Rear): "Non-Contributing"

Historic Resource "Priority Rating:"

- a) Existing Residence Primary Dwelling (Front): "Medium"
- b) Existing Storage Shed (Rear): "Low"

Project Overview: "301 Old Fitzhugh Road – Shed Demolition and Removal:"

See COA application and Existing Photographs.

Although this case proposes the demolition and removal of an existing structure, the proposed project under consideration is straightforward from a historic preservation standpoint.

A small storage shed, at the rear of the property is proposed for removal. Although in the guidance of applicable Historic Resource Surveys outbuildings associated with the historic farmsteads of Old Fitzhugh Road Historic District are normally regarded as "contributing" resources to the District, this particular shed is not. It is a more recent auxiliary building, built of contemporary building materials, and does not date to the period of significance of the primary ("Contributing") dwelling. For these reasons, it is not considered a "Contributing" resource in the OFR Historic District and is assigned a "Low" Preservation Priority.

The Applicant's intention is to remove the shed, which is currently unused, unsecured and in poor condition, to improve the aesthetics, safety, and security of the property.

Staff Recommendation: "Approval w/Conditions"

Because the shed is a non-contributing resource and has a low preservation priority, no exceptions are taken to its proposed removal. The proposal does not cause substantive harm to the Historic District.

* * *

Design S	tandards Consistency: "Old Fitzhugh Road Design and Development Standards"
The propo	osed project is consistent with applicable Standards (see review checklist below).
Characte	er/Vision: Consistent: "Historic Small Farmsteads" (Historic Resource to be Preserved)
Design P remain)	rinciples: Consistent: "Protect Historic Farmstead Scale & Character." (Primary Dwelling to
Preferre	d Uses: Consistent: Shed removal allowed by SF-1 Zoning (Planning Dept. verify).
Site Plan	ning & Building Placement: N/A.
Parking .	Arrangement: N/A: No change to existing Parking configuration.
Building	Footprint / Massing / Scale: N/A.
Street Fr	ontage / Articulation: N/A: No change in Street Frontage / Facade.
Porches:	N/A.
Roofs: N	I/A.
Material	s: N/A.
Color Pa	lette: N/A.
Tree Pre	servation: Consistent: All existing trees on site are being preserved.
Landsca	pe Features: N/A.
CRITER (SECTIO	IA FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS DN 24.07.014)
(a)	STANDARDS & DESIGN GUIDELINES OBSERVED: Project is guided by applicable Historic Preservation Standards and Design Guidelines.
	See detailed summary above. ☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable
(b)	MINIMAL ALTERATION: Reasonable efforts made to adapt property requiring minimal alteration of building, structure, object site & environment.
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable
	City of Drinning Springs

(c)	ORIGINAL QUALITIES PRESERVED: Distinguishing original qualities or characteristics not destroyed. Removal or alteration of historic material or distinguishing architectural features avoided.	
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Complia	ant
(d)	PERIOD APPROPRIATENESS: Buildings, structures, objects, sites recognized as products of the without historic basis or creating an earlier appearance discourage	
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Complia	ant Not Applicable
(e)	CUMULATIVE & ACQUIRED SIGNIFICANCE: Cumulative changes with acquired and contributing significance respected.	_
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Complia	ant Not Applicable
(f)	DISTINCTIVE STYLISTIC FEATURES & CRAFTSMANS Distinctive stylistic and characteristic features and examples of sertained where possible.	
	Compliant Non-Complia	ant Not Applicable
(g)	DETERIORATED ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES: Deteriorated architectural features repaired rather than replaced. Necessary replacements reflect replaced materials. Repair or replacement based on historical evidence not conjecture or material availability.	
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Complia	ant Not Applicable
(h)	NON-DAMAGING SURFACE CLEANING METHODS: Surface Cleaning Methods prescribed are as gentle as possible.	No sandblasting or other
	damaging cleaning methods. Compliant Non-Compliant	ant Not Applicable
(i)	ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES PRESERVED: Reasonable efforts made to protect and preserve archeological readingent to project	esources affected by, or
	adjacent to project. Compliant Non-Complia	ant Not Applicable
(j)	CONTEMPORARY DESIGN- CONTEXT SENSITIVE & C Contemporary alterations & additions do not destroy significant or cultural material and are compatible with the size, scale, color of the property, neighborhood or environment.	historical, architectural,

	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable			
(k)	RETROVERSION- ESSENTIAL FORM & INTEGRITY UNIMPAIRED: Future removal of new additions & alterations will leave the essential form & integrity of building, structure, object or site unimpaired.			
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable			
(l)	PAINT COLORS- HISTORICAL BASIS: Paint colors based on duplications or sustained by historical, physical or pictorial			
	evidence, not conjecture. Compliant Non-Compliant Not Applicable			
(m)	HISTORIC DISTRICT CONTEXT- OVERALL COMPATIBILITY: Construction plans are compatible with surrounding buildings and environment vis. height, gross volume and proportion.			
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable			
<u>APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS</u> (SECTION 24.07.015)				
(g) EXPE	DITED PROCESS FOR SMALL PROJECTS: ELIGIBILITY = "Not Eligible" Expedited process for small projects (cumulative costs < \$10,000); must be "No" to all:			
	Building Footprint Expansion/Reduction?			
* * * * Please contact (512) 659-5062 if you have any questions regarding this review.				
Trease contact ((312) 033 3002 If you have any questions regarding this review.			
By: Keena	n E. Smith, AIA			



#301 Old Fitzhugh Rd. – Existing Shed:

Archive Photos- 12/17/21 (KES)



#301 Old Fitzhugh Rd. – Existing Shed:

Archive Photos- 12/17/21 (KES)