

Good Dark Skies criteria

Reviewers suggest application be reviewed by either biologists or someone with technical writing experience before being submitted

suggest diversifying the scope of activities; reviewers felt communities used the same activity to get credit in multiple places

There were specific suggestions given in the pilot year that did not appear to be instituted in this application. Reviewers encourage community to review these if they plan to reapply.

Should community reapply, reviewers suggest collaborative body take time to plan a review of application before submitting through the portal.

mmitment	
2021	2022
2	2
1	1
2	2
2	2
2	2
3	3
2	2
2	2
2	2

2021	2022
1	1
0	0
2	2
1	1
2	2
1	1
1	1

Future plans are vague and don't mention the use of eBird and iNaturalist. The proposed actions are not appropriate for meeting this requirement, but should be used to enhance 1j.

We need further information to demonstrate that the blinds are being actively managed in future years.

mmitment	
2021	2022
1	1
0	0
1	1
1	1
1	1
1	1
2	2
1	1

Need more information about the type of parkland- how many acres are natural habitat vs recreational? Also need additional information about plans to acquire additional properties.

Bird blinds provide viewing opportunities for people, but do not improve bird habitat.

No mention of implementing a snag retention policy.

More research should be done regarding the benefits of prescribed fire.

More details on the master plan need to be provided. How long ago was it done? How detailed is it? How often are parks inventoried?

mmitment	
2021	2022
1	1
0	0
3	3
1	1
no	no

Does not appear to target the building/architecture community. The actions described are more appropriate for 3e. However, if 3e is successfully implemented it can be used to create an education campaign for 3d.

For previo

0
1
2
3

For future

0
1
2
3

us accomplishments

~~Community has not completed work relevant to this criteria, or the work described does not meet the work done is relevant to the criteria and contributes towards the goal of the criteria, but not with the appropriate intensity/impact to meet the criteria, or at the expected intensity given the expected resources of the community. The application may be missing important elements/information about the project, or the community has conducted the work described. Work done meets the requirements and intent of the criteria. Accomplishment intensity is appropriate given the expected resources and size of the community. Accomplishments contribute meaningfully to conservation and significantly and positively impacts wild/native bird populations or community engagement. Documentation provided thoroughly explains the amount of work done and the impacts that were achieved. Community measured impacts work done is exceptional and will have significant, meaningful impact on community engagement or native bird populations/habitat. Documentation was sufficient to explain the work done and the impacts achieved. Impact of the work is obvious and long term. Metrics were collected and compared to project goals to determine project success/impact.~~

accomplishments

~~Proposed work is not relevant to the criteria or is not expected to result in a meaningful conservation Proposed work is relevant to the criteria and contributes towards the goal of the criteria, but not with the appropriate intensity/impact to meet the criteria, or at the expected intensity given the expected resources of the community. Application materials may have incomplete or missing evaluative metrics or other required elements. Applicant may be missing commitments, resources, or partners needed to satisfy the criteria, or the proposed scope of the work is too large to accomplish in the timeframe proposed. Application may not provide enough detail to communicate to the review committee that the community will be able to meet the future commitment Proposed work meets the requirements and intent of the criteria. Accomplishments are likely to have a conservation-based impact. Accomplishments are appropriate given the expected resources and size of the community. Accomplishments contribute meaningfully to conservation and significantly and positively impacts wild/native bird populations or community engagement. Proposal includes all application elements required by the BCT Program, including meaningful evaluative metrics that would allow the community to measure the success and impact of the activity Proposed work exceeds expectations and will have significant, meaningful impact on community engagement or native bird populations/habitat. The community has garnered appropriate resources and/or partners to ensure the success of the project. The proposed work goes "above and beyond" the minimum needed to satisfy the criteria. Proposal includes meaningful evaluative metrics that focus on producing highly beneficial conservation outcomes. Community may have employed adaptive management strategies to systematically review accomplishments and compare them to project goals~~