




City Council Planning Department Staff Report

City Council: December 7, 2021
Project No: ZA2021-0007 & ANEX2021-0004
Project Planner: Tory Carpenter, AICP, Senior Planner

Item Details

Project Name: Hardy South
Property Location: 2901 W US 290
Legal Description: 39.341 acres out of the Benjamin F. Hannah Survey
Applicant: Brian Estes Civil and Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Property Owner: P & H Family Limited Partnership No. 1
Request: Annexation and Zoning amendment from Agriculture “AG” to Single-Family Residential — Moderate Density “SF-2”



Planning Department Staff Report
ZA2021-0007 & ANEX2021-0004

Background

While the property is currently in the ETJ, the default zoning district if it is annexed is Agriculture “AG”

Per Ch. 30 Exhibit A, §3.5-3.6

- **AG – Agriculture:** *The AG, agriculture district is designed to permit the use of land for the ranching, propagation and cultivation of crops, small-scale horticultural enterprises, and similar uses. Single-family uses on large lots are also appropriate for this district. Territory that has been newly annexed into the city is initially zoned agriculture. It is anticipated that some portion of agriculturally zoned land may eventually be rezoned to another zoning classification in the future.*

The applicant is requesting a zoning amendment to Single-Family Residential Moderate Density “SF-2”

- **SF-2 – Single-Family Residential Moderate Density:** *The SF-2, single-family residential district is intended to provide for development of primarily moderate-density detached, single-family residences on lots of at least ½ acre in size.*

This request is being heard concurrently with an annexation request for the same property. At their meeting on October 5, 2021, the City Council gave direction to staff to proceed with the annexation request for the property, directing the applicant to provide information associated with the piecemealed approach of development in this area.

At their meeting on November 23, 2021 the Planning & Zoning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of the zoning amendment.

Analysis


Based on the adjacent zoning category and land uses, staff finds that the proposed zoning is generally compatible within the area.

Since the property is currently in the ETJ, staff finds it appropriate to compare ETJ standards with the requested zoning district.

	ETJ	SF-2	Differences between ETJ & SF-2
Max Height	Not regulated	1.5 stories / 40 feet	Restricted 1.5 stories / 40 feet
Min. Lot Size	.75 acres*	1/2 acre*	0.25 acres less
Min. Lot Width	30 feet	30 feet	None
Min. Lot Depth	unregulated	150 feet	50 feet
Min. Front/Side/Rear Yard Setbacks	10 feet / 5 feet / 5 feet	25 feet / 15 feet / 25 feet*	15 feet / 10feet / 20 feet more
Impervious Cover	35%	40%	5% more

*In either instance, these lots will be limited to a 0.75-acre minimum assuming they are served by private septic systems.

Surrounding Properties



Zoning Map


ZC2021-0007
 Hardy South
 Zoning Amendment

Zoning

- SF-2
- Roads
- Parcel Lines

City Limits

- Full Purpose
- Subject Property



The current zoning and existing uses of the adjacent properties to the north, south, east, and west are outlined in the table below:

Direction	Zoning District	Existing Use	Future Land Use
North	ETJ	Proposed residential	Not Shown on the Future Land Use Map
East	SF-2	Bunker Ranch Subdivision	
South	ETJ	Vacant / Agricultural	
West	ETJ	Homestead / Agricultural	

Planning Department Staff Report
ZA2021-0007 & ANEX2021-0004

Approval Criteria for Zoning Amendment (Chapter 30 Zoning, Exhibit A, Sec 2.28.1 and 2.28.2)

2.28.2 The Planning & Zoning Commission and the City Council shall consider the following factors:

Factors	Staff Comments
1. whether the proposed change will be appropriate in the immediate area concerned;	While this property has access limitations and limited services nearby, this zoning change is consistent with recent development in the area.
2. their relationship to the general area and the City as a whole;	This zoning change would allow for additional single-family residences.
3. whether the proposed change is in accord with any existing or proposed plans for providing public schools, streets, water supply, sanitary sewers, and other utilities to the area;	The property is not within any existing or proposed City Plans.
4. the amount of undeveloped land currently classified for similar development in the vicinity and elsewhere in the City, and any special circumstances which may make a substantial part of such undeveloped land unavailable for development;	This request would not make other land unavailable for development.
5. the recent rate at which land is being developed in the same zoning classification, particularly in the vicinity of the proposed change;	Land with the same zoning classification has been developing rapidly.
6. how other areas designated for similar development will be, or are unlikely to be, affected if the proposed amendment is approved;	No areas designated for similar development will be affected by this proposed amendment.
7. whether the proposed change treats the subject parcel of land in a manner which is significantly different from decisions made involving other, similarly situated parcels; and	Approval of this zoning amendment would not be significantly different from decisions made involving other similar parcels.
8. any other factors which will substantially affect the public health, safety, morals, or general welfare.	The rezoning does not negatively affect the public health, safety, morals, or general welfare.

Planning Department Staff Report
ZA2021-0007 & ANEX2021-0004

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends **approval** of the zoning amendment as presented.

Planning and Zoning action:

2.34.1 *The P&Z shall hold a public hearing on a zoning an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance. After all public input has been received and the public hearing closed, the P&Z shall make its recommendations on the proposed zoning request and concept plan, if submitted, stating its findings, its overall evaluation of the request, and its assessment regarding how the request relates to the City's Comprehensive Plan. The P&Z may, on its own motion or at the applicant's request, defer its decision recommendations until it has had an opportunity to consider other information or proposed modifications to the request which may have a direct bearing thereon. If the P&Z elects to postpone or defer its hearing on the request, such action shall specifically state the time period of the postponement by citing the meeting date whereon the request will reappear on the P&Z's agenda.*

2.34.2 *When the P&Z is ready to act upon the zoning request, it may recommend:*

- (a) approval of the request as it was submitted by the applicant;*
- (b) approval of the request subject to certain conditions as in the case of a Planned Development District (PDD) or a Conditional Use Permit (CUP); or*
- (c) disapproval of the request.*

2.34.3 *The P&Z's recommendation will be automatically forwarded to the City Council for a second public hearing thereon.*

Public Notification

A legal notice advertising the public hearing was placed in the Dripping Springs Century-News, signs were posted on the-site, notice was placed on the City Website, and all property owners within a 300-foot radius of the site were notified of the zoning map amendment. To date, no letters for or against the request have been received.

Attachments

Exhibit 1 – Zoning Amendment & Annexation Applications

Exhibit 2 – Annexation & Zoning Amendment Ordinances

Exhibit 3 – Service Agreement

Recommended Action:	Recommend approval of the requested Zoning Amendment
Alternatives/Options:	Recommend denial of the zoning map amendment.
Budget/Financial Impact:	All fees have been paid.
Public Comments:	None Received at this time.
Enforcement Issues:	N/A