

HISTORIC PRESERVATION MANUAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS REVIEW

Date:	April 9, 2021
Project:	264 South College Street, Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Applicant:	Jon Thompson (512) 568-2184 / DS Exchange LLC (512) 785-7850
Historic Distric	t: Hays Street Historic District
Base Zoning: Proposed Use:	MF Residential (Continue Existing Use)
Submittals:	Current Photographs Concept Plan N/A PowerPoint & Narratives Color & Materials Samples Paint & Trim Color to match existing- see .pptx
with the City of l REGULATIONS	view has been conducted for the City of Dripping Springs to determine compliance and consistency Dripping Springs CODE OF ORDINANCES, Title 2 BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT S, Chapter 24, BUILDING REGULATIONS, Article 24.07: HISTORIC PRESERVATION, Section TERIA FOR ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS."
Project Type & Rehab Contri 1940) a Distric	& Description: ilitation and Exterior Alterations to an Existing Dwelling. Demolition of a Non- buting Vestibule. Demolition and Reconstruction of a Garage at "264 S. College" (ca. a Contributing Resource & Medium Preservation Priority in the Hays St. Historic
Genera	al Compliance Determination - Compliant

Findings of Fact:

- 1. Exterior Work conducted without a Certificate of Appropriateness:
 - a. Aluminum Siding Removed; Exterior House Wrap Applied
 - b. Original Windows Removed & Replaced
 - c. Sitework Cleanup Conducted and Road Base Installed

Possible HPC Actions (Alternatives):

- 1. "Approval in Concept with Conditions" (Staff Recommendation).
- 2. "Postponement w/ Date Certain" (i.e. to Review Supplemental Information).
- **3. "Denial"** (For Specific Reasons Stated).
- 4. "Other Discretionary Action" (TBD by Commission).

Staff Recommendations / Conditions of Approval:

- 1. **Permits Required:** All necessary Permits shall be obtained from the City of Dripping Springs prior to commencing work, including Demolition Permit (Vestibule + Garage); Building Permit (required) and Site Development Permit (if required). Confirm all Permit requirements with City Building Official & applicable Staff.
- 2. Approval in Concept:
 - a. **Permit Submittal Review.** All permit documents shall be reviewed by City Staff to verify consistency with this COA prior to issuance of Permits.
 - b. **Staff Monitoring & Assistance.** Exterior Rehabilitation & Garage Reconstruction: City Staff shall monitor the work in progress and provide assistance to Applicant re: historically appropriate implementation details & methods, ensuring consistency with the City of Dripping Springs Historic Preservation Program Implementation Manual.
 - c. **Final Inspection Required.** The work shall be inspected by City Staff to verify conformance with this COA prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS- Staff Review Summary:

Historic Resource Background / Survey Information:

#264 South College St.: (c.a. 1940) Roark-Foster Survey dated 10/31/14. Hays County TP #R18503.

Historic District Contribution Status: "Contributing."

Historic Resource Preservation Priority Rating: "Medium."

* * *

<u>Project Overview</u>: "264 S. College St. – Rehabilitation and Exterior Alterations to an Existing Dwelling. Demolition of a Non-Contributing Vestibule. Demolition and Reconstruction of a Garage:"

See COA application, PowerPoint Presentation and Existing Photographs. This COA application and request is, in part, a "case after the fact" as portions of the proposed rehabilitation work under consideration have been partially initiated. See Findings of Fact above & photo documentation attached.

The Applicant's goals, explanations, stated design intentions and construction approach(es) for the proposed scope of work are given as broad outline descriptions in the COA Application, as supported with indicative photos and information in the PowerPoint presentation submittal. From the information given, and in principle, Staff finds that they largely support and agree with the City Historic Preservation goals, guidelines and applicable regulations. However, monitoring and assistance is recommended to verify the completed work & details are consistent with the Historic Preservation Implementation Manual.

Scope of Work Summary: (w/Staff Recommendations)

- 1. Rehabilitation and Exterior Alterations to Existing Dwelling:
- Exterior Walls: Removal of existing Aluminum Siding. Refurbishment of exterior walls (structure, insulation, sheathing, weatherproofing etc.). Installation of new, horizontal 12" lapped Hardi-Plank to match period siding, with painted finish to match the historic color scheme.
 - o **Staff Recommendations: Acceptable-** Maintain Historical Trim and Architectural Features of Historic Significance. Staff shall monitor and assist.
- **Windows:** Replacement with new high energy performance operable units, consistent in design and character with the original wood units.
 - o **Staff Recommendations: Acceptable -** Maintain Pre-Existing Window Trim Details.
- **Roofing:** Replacement "in kind" with Asphalt Shingles.
 - o Staff Recommendations: Acceptable Match Pre-Existing Eaves, Overhangs & Details.
- **Colors:** Proposed Paint Palette (White Body + Green Trim).
 - o **Staff Recommendations: Acceptable-** Maintain Historical Color Scheme.

2. <u>Demolition of a Non-Contributing Vestibule</u>:

• **Proposed Demolition:** Remove water-damaged vestibule connecting the house to garage. Install new entry door with Roof Hood, install horizontal 12" lapped Hardi-Plank to match period siding.

• Staff Assessment & Recommendation: Acceptable-

- Vestibule does not date from the Period of Significance of the Historic Resource (original dwelling) and does not contain features or exhibit unique craftsmanship that contribute to the historic significance in its own right.
- o Its removal will not negatively affect the historic character of the property, which will be retained and preserved by the rehabilitation of the house and reconstruction of the garage.
- Removal will restore the historic "detached Garage" building arrangement and restore
 original "through" pedestrian access and visibility from the front of the property to the
 rear, while promoting open views to its landscape setting and features.

3. Garage Demolition and Reconstruction:

- **Proposed Demolition:** Remove and Salvage historically significant architectural wood trim elements and features @ roof gables (vertical siding w/ chamfer-cut details and profiled battens).
 - Demo existing water-damaged roof structure, retaining photographic record of roof pitch, overhangs and details. Reconstruct roof with new wood frame to code and replicate fundamental existing design features. Install new asphalt shingles to match the dwelling.
 - O Demo existing structure failed & damaged CMU Walls and reconstruct with wood frame to code, with new horizontal 12" lapped Hardi-Plank to match new siding @ dwelling.
 - O Demo existing failed & structurally damaged slab and reconstruct new foundation to code, raising the new finish floor by 8" to protect from water intrusion.
 - Reconstruct new sectional 8'x15' overhead door, with overlay trim features to match look and feel of the existing (original underlay) double wood doors.

• Staff Assessment & Recommendation: Acceptable w/ Conditions-

- o Existing Garage is structurally compromised and suffers from chronic flooding.
- Water damage & widespread component failures (slab, CMU walls, etc) are exhibited.
- o Rehabilitating the existing compromised conditions is infeasible and inadvisable.
- Reconstruction shall maintain the existing Garage's footprint, massing, form, rooflines and significant architectural features. Staff will monitor and assist these efforts.
- New siding shall match the new siding on the dwelling, so as to harmonize with the historic materials, features size, scale and proportion of the property and its environment.
- Reconstruction reverts to the original detached Garage arrangement & use, promoting the adaptive re-use of the property and facilitating the accommodation of modern vehicles.
- Staff shall monitor the work and provide assistance to Applicant on historically appropriate details and methods consistent with the City of Dripping Springs Historic Preservation Program Implementation Manual (Conditions of Approval #2a).

<u>Design Standards Consistency</u>: "Hays Street Design and Development Standards"

Based on the information submitted by the Applicant and, a scoping session on site with Applicant on 4/2/21, the proposed program and design direction appears to be consistent with applicable Standards (see review comments below). "Cautionary Approval with Conditions" (as detailed above) is recommended.

Character/Vision: Consistent: "Neighborhood Preservation; Adaptive Re-Use."

Design Principles: Consistent: "Protect Historic Neighborhood Scale & Character." "Allow Small-scale Rehabilitation @ Existing Historic Dwellings." "New Construction shall be compatible with surroundings."

Preferred Uses: Consistent: "Residential Rehab."

Site Planning & Building Placement: N/A- Existing Building Arrangement and Footprints unchanged.

Parking Arrangement: Consistent: "Residential Garages."

Building Footprint / Massing / Scale: N/A- Existing Building Scale & Massing unchanged.

Street Frontage / Articulation: N/A: No change in Street Frontage / Façade Massing.

Porches: N/A. No change in Existing Porch forms.

Roofs: Consistent: New Roof to match Existing Roof (verify).

Materials: Consistent: Composition Shingles OK.

Color Palette: Consistent: OK Historic Color Palette maintained.

Tree Preservation: Consistent: All existing trees on site are being preserved.

Landscape Features: Consistent: Existing outbuildings and notable site features maintained.

<u>CRITERIA FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS</u> (SECTION 24.07.014)

(a)	STANDARDS & DESIGN G Project is guided by applicable			Design Guidelines.	
	See detailed summary above.	Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable	
(b)	MINIMAL ALTERATION: Reasonable efforts made to ad structure, object site & environ		uiring minimal alterati	on of building,	
	Assist and Verify.	Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable	
(c)	ORIGINAL QUALITIES PRESERVED: Distinguishing original qualities or characteristics not destroyed. Removal or alteration of historic material or distinguishing architectural features avoided.				
	Assist and Verify.	Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable	
(d)	PERIOD APPROPRIATEN Buildings, structures, objects, without historic basis or creati	sites recognized		wn time. Alterations	
	Assist and Verify.	Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable	
(e)	CUMULATIVE & ACQUIR Cumulative changes with acquirespected. Assist and Verify.	ired and contrib		recognized and Not Applicable	
(f)	DISTINCTIVE STYLISTIC	•	•	**	
(f)	Distinctive stylistic and characterized where possible.	eteristic features	and examples of skille	ed craftsmanship are	
	Assist and Verify.	•	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable	
(g)	DETERIORATED ARCHIT Deteriorated architectural featureflect replaced materials. Reponjecture or material availabi	ures repaired rath pair or replaceme	her than replaced. Nec		
	Approach Acceptable; Verify.	☐ Compliant	Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable	

(h)	NON-DAMAGING SURFACE CLEANING METHODS: Surface Cleaning Methods prescribed are as gentle as possible. No sandblasting or other damaging cleaning methods.			
	N/A . \square Compliant \square Non-Compliant \square Not Applicable			
(i)	ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES PRESERVED: Reasonable efforts made to protect and preserve archeological resources affected by, or adjacent to project.			
	Assist and Verify. □ Compliant □ Non-Compliant □ Not Applicable			
(j)	CONTEMPORARY DESIGN- CONTEXT SENSITIVE & COMPATIBLE: Contemporary alterations & additions do not destroy significant historical, architectural, or cultural material and are compatible with the size, scale, color, material and character of the property, neighborhood or environment.			
	Assist and Verify. ☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable			
(k)	RETROVERSION- ESSENTIAL FORM & INTEGRITY UNIMPAIRED: Future removal of new additions & alterations will leave the essential form & integrity of building, structure, object or site unimpaired.			
	Approach Complies; Verify. ☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable			
(1)	PAINT COLORS- HISTORICAL BASIS: Paint colors based on duplications or sustained by historical, physical or pictorial evidence, not conjecture.			
	Complies. Compliant Non-Compliant Not Applicable			
(m)	HISTORIC DISTRICT CONTEXT- OVERALL COMPATIBILITY: Construction plans are compatible with surrounding buildings and environment vis. height, gross volume and proportion.			
	Approach Complies; Verify. ☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable			
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS (SECTION 24.07.015)				
(g)	EXPEDITED PROCESS FOR SMALL PROJECTS: ELIGIBILITY = "Eligible" Expedited process for small projects (cumulative costs < \$10,000); must be "No" to all:			
	Building Footprint Expansion/Reduction? Façade Alterations facing Public Street or ROW? Color Scheme Modifications? Substantive/Harmful Revisions to Historic District? Yes No No No			

City of Dripping Springs
P.O. Box 384
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
512-858-4725

Please contact (512) 659-5062 if you have any questions regarding this review.

- Julia

By: **Keenan E. Smith, AIA**Historic Preservation Consultant

* * *



#264 South College St. – Front of Property w/Exterior Rehabilitation Underway:

Photo- 3/14/21



#264 South College St. –Rear of Dwelling & Garage w/Rehabilitation Underway: Photo 3/14/21



#264 South College St. –Rear View of Property w/Site Work Underway: Photo 3/14/21

City of Dripping Springs
P.O. Box 384
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
512-858-4725