

**Planning & Zoning** 

**Commission meeting:** June 28, 2022

**Project No:** ZA2022-0001

**Project Planner:** Tory Carpenter, AICP, Senior Planner

**Item Details** 


**Project Name:** Hardy North

**Property Location:** Bunker Ranch Boulevard

Legal Description:38.680 acres out of the Benjamin F. Hannah SurveyApplicant:Brian Estes Civil and Environmental Consultants, Inc.

**Property Owner:** P & H Family Limited Partnership No. 1 **Request:** Zoning amendment from Agriculture "AG" to

Single-Family Residential — Moderate Density "SF-2"



## Background

While the property is currently in the ETJ, the default zoning district if it is annexed is Agriculture "AG"

Per Ch. 30 Exhibit A, §3.5-3.6

• AG – Agriculture: The AG, agriculture district is designed to permit the use of land for the ranching, propagation and cultivation of crops, small-scale horticultural enterprises, and similar uses. Single-family uses on large lots are also appropriate for this district. Territory that has been newly annexed into the city is initially zoned agriculture. It is anticipated that some portion of agriculturally zoned land may eventually be rezoned to another zoning classification in the future.

The applicant is requesting a zoning amendment to Single-Family Residential Moderate Density "SF-2"

• SF-2 – Single-Family Residential Moderate Density: The SF-2, single-family residential district is intended to provide for development of primarily moderate-density detached, single-family residences on lots of at least ½ acre in size.

This request is being heard concurrently with an annexation request for the same property. At their meeting on April 19, 2022, the City Council gave direction to staff to proceed with the annexation request for the property. This zoning amendment and the annexation are scheduled to be voted on by the City Council on July 5, 2022

### **Analysis**


Based on the adjacent zoning category and land uses, staff finds that the proposed zoning is generally compatible within the area.

Since the property is currently in the ETJ, staff finds it appropriate to compare ETJ standards with the requested zoning district.

	ETJ	SF-2	Differences between ETJ & SF-2
Max Height	Not regulated	1.5 stories / 40 feet	Restricted 1.5 stories / 40 feet
Min. Lot Size	.75 acres*	1/2 acre*	0.25 acres less
Min. Lot Width	30 feet	30 feet	None
Min. Lot Depth	unregulated	150 feet	50 feet
Min. Front/Side/Rear Yard Setbacks	10 feet / 5 feet / 5 feet	25 feet / 15 feet / 25 feet*	15 feet / 10feet / 20 feet more
Impervious Cover	35%	40%	5% more

<sup>\*</sup>In either instance, these lots will be limited to a 0.75-acre minimum assuming they are served by private septic systems.

# **Surrounding Properties**



The current zoning and existing uses of the adjacent properties to the north, south, east, and west are outlined in the table below:

Direction	<b>Zoning District</b>	<b>Existing Use</b>	<b>Future Land Use</b>
North	ЕТЈ	Proposed residential	
East	SF-2	Bunker Ranch Subdivision	Not Shown on the Future Land Use
South	SF-2	Bunker Ranch Subdivision	Map
West	ЕТЈ	Homestead / Agricultural	

# Approval Criteria for Zoning Amendment (Chapter 30 Zoning, Exhibit A, Sec 2.28.1 and 2.28.2)

2.28.2 The Planning & Zoning Commission and the City Council shall consider the following factors:

Factors		Staff Comments	
1.	whether the proposed change will be	This zoning change is consistent with recent	
	appropriate in the immediate area concerned;	development in the area.	
2.	their relationship to the general area and	This zoning change would allow for	
	the City as a whole;	additional single-family residences.	
3.	whether the proposed change is in accord	The property is not within any existing or	
	with any existing or proposed plans for	proposed City Plans.	
	providing public schools, streets, water		
	supply, sanitary sewers, and other utilities		
	to the area;		
4.	the amount of undeveloped land currently	This request would not make other land	
	classified for similar development in the	unavailable for development.	
	vicinity and elsewhere in the City, and any		
	special circumstances which may make a		
	substantial part of such undeveloped land		
	unavailable for development;	Y 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
5.	the recent rate at which land is being	Land with the same zoning classification has	
	developed in the same zoning	been developing rapidly.	
	classification, particularly in the vicinity		
6	of the proposed change;	No areas decimented for similar development	
6.	how other areas designated for similar development will be, or are unlikely to be,	No areas designated for similar development	
	affected if the proposed amendment is	will be affected by this proposed amendment.	
	approved;		
7.	whether the proposed change treats the	Approval of this zoning amendment would	
/.	subject parcel of land in a manner which is	not be significantly different from decisions	
	significantly different from decisions	made involving other similar parcels.	
	made involving other, similarly situated	made involving other similar parecis.	
	parcels; and		
8.	any other factors which will substantially	The rezoning does not negatively affect the	
3.	affect the public health, safety, morals, or	public health, safety, morals, or general	
	general welfare.	welfare.	

#### **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends **approval** of the zoning amendment as presented.

Planning and Zoning action:

- 2.34.1 The P&Z shall hold a public hearing on a zoning an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance. After all public input has been received and the public hearing closed, the P&Z shall make its recommendations on the proposed zoning request and concept plan, if submitted, stating its findings, its overall evaluation of the request, and its assessment regarding how the request relates to the City's Comprehensive Plan. The P&Z may, on its own motion or at the applicant's request, defer its decision recommendations until it has had an opportunity to consider other information or proposed modifications to the request which may have a direct bearing thereon. If the P&Z elects to postpone or defer its hearing on the request, such action shall specifically state the time period of the postponement by citing the meeting date whereon the request will reappear on the P&Z's agenda.
- 2.34.2 When the P&Z is ready to act upon the zoning request, it may recommend:
  - (a) approval of the request as it was submitted by the applicant;
  - (b) approval of the request subject to certain conditions as in the case of a Planned Development District (PDD) or a Conditional Use Permit (CUP); or
  - (c) disapproval of the request.
- 2.34.3 The P&Z's recommendation will be automatically forwarded to the City Council for a second public hearing thereon.

### **Public Notification**

A legal notice advertising the public hearing was placed in the Dripping Springs Century-News, signs were posted on the-site, notice was placed on the City Website, and all property owners within a 300-foot radius of the site were notified of the zoning map amendment. To date, no letters for or against the request have been received.

### **Attachments**

Exhibit 1 – Zoning Amendment Application

Exhibit 2 – Proposed Ordinance & Survey

Recommended Action:	Recommend approval of the requested Zoning Amendment	
Alternatives/Options:	Recommend denial of the zoning map amendment.	
Budget/Financial Impact:	All fees have been paid.	
Public Comments:	None Received at this time.	
Enforcement Issues:	N/A	