

HISTORIC PRESERVATION MANUAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS REVIEW

Date:	***November 1, 2021: Revised per amended Application- Standing Seam Metal Roofing Type:				
Project:	"Roof Replacement" at the Dr. Pound Historical Farmstead Museum #418-B Founders Park Road, Dripping Springs, TX 78620				
Applicant:	Friends of the Pound House Foundation / Jenny Pack- (512) 858-2030 / 682-7909 m				
Historic District Base Zoning:	et: Individual Historic Landmark / Hays CAD Parcel: #N/A GUI Proposed Use: N/A- Roofing: Removal & Replacement only				
Submittals:	Current Photograph ☐ Concept Site Plan-N ☐ Exterior Elevations See Photos ☐ Color & Materials Samples See Color Chart- "Corrugated Galvalume" Proposed" ☐ Sign Permit Application (if applicable) N/A ☐ Building Permit Application				
with the City of REGULATION	view has been conducted for the City of Dripping Springs to determine compliance and consistency Dripping Springs CODE OF ORDINANCES, Title 2 BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT S, Chapter 24, BUILDING REGULATIONS, Article 24.07: HISTORIC PRESERVATION, Section TERIA FOR ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS."				
Project Type	& Description:				
"Roof	Replacement" Proposed roof replacement at "Dr. Pound Historical Farmstead Museum."				
"Dr. P the Cit	ic Resource Citations: City of Dripping Springs Individual Historical Landmark. ound Homestead" (ca. 1852-54) Hardy Heck Moore Site #79 "Historic Resources- With y Limits, not within a Historic District." HHM- Resources Survey Report (1988 / 2005). t.: "National Registry of Historic Places; Texas State Historical Landmark (1965).				
Review Summ	ary, General Findings: "Approval as Submitted with Conditions Recommended"				
Gener	al Compliance Determination - Compliant				
	City of Drinning Springs				

City of Dripping Springs
P.O. Box 384
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
512-858-4725

<u>Staff Recommendations</u> / **<u>Conditions of Approval:</u>**

- 1. **Permits:** Obtain any necessary Permits from the City of Dripping Springs.
- **2. Material Specification:** Manufacturer's Specification Sheet showing proposed roof panel, profile and finish shall be submitted for approval prior to issuance of Building Permit.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS- Staff Review Summary:

Historic Resource Background /Survey Information:

Dr. Pound Historical Farmstead – Roof Replacement:

The roof replacement of the "Dr. Pound Historical Farmstead Museum" is needed to preserve this resource by promoting a program of necessary repairs caused by recent water intrusion and damage due to deterioration of the existing cedar shake roof.

The new roof replacement proposes to revert from cedar shakes to a Standing Seam metal roof, consistent with prevalent metal roof types dating from the 20th C. period of significance. The proposed metal roof will ensure preservation goals, and provide a more durable, weather-tight, and maintainable building envelope, while maintaining an appropriate historical look and feel in keeping with the historic record of this landmark. Scope of work includes new Standing Seam metal roofing panels, restoration of cedar lathes in the exposed "Dog Run & Porch" areas, and all necessary flashing, trim, moisture barriers and details matching the "In Kind" existing roof conditions.

Staff Review Summary & Findings:

Because the new roof is essentially a "Replacement In Kind" to the existing roof, albeit referencing a known, documented historic condition, there will be no new impact to the Historic Resource. Therefore, Staff finds the new roof a necessary repair and an appropriate preservation improvement.

Approval is recommended, with conditions as stated above.

* * *

"Historic District Design and Development Standards"

The proposed project is not located in a City of Dripping Springs Historic District. As a Designated City Historical Landmark, the "Criteria for Certificate of Appropriateness" (City of Dripping Springs-Historic Preservation Program: "Implementation Manual" only applies here. Detailed evaluation of the project per those criteria is as follows:

* * *

<u>CRITERIA FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS</u> (SECTION 24.07.014)

(a)	STANDARDS & DESIGN GUIDELINES OBSERVED: Project is guided by applicable Historic Preservation Standards and Design Guidel					
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable					
(b)	MINIMAL ALTERATION: Reasonable efforts made to adapt property requiring minimal alteration of building, structure, object site & environment.					
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable					
(c)	ORIGINAL QUALITIES PRESERVED: Distinguishing original qualities or characteristics not destroyed. Removal or alteration of historic material or distinguishing architectural features avoided.					
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable					
(d)	PERIOD APPROPRIATENESS: Buildings, structures, objects, sites recognized as products of their own time. Alterations without historic basis or creating an earlier appearance discouraged.					
	☐ Compliant ☐ Non-Compliant ☐ Not Applicable					
(e)	CUMULATIVE & ACQUIRED SIGNIFICANCE: Cumulative changes with acquired and contributing significance are recognized and respected. Compliant Non-Compliant Not Applicable					
(f)	DISTINCTIVE STYLISTIC FEATURES & CRAFTSMANSHIP: Distinctive stylistic and characteristic features and examples of skilled craftsmanship are retained where possible. Compliant □ Non-Compliant □ Not Applicable					
(g)	DETERIORATED ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES : Deteriorated architectural features repaired rather than replaced. Necessary replacements reflect replaced materials. Repair or replacement based on historical evidence not conjecture or material availability.					

		☐ Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	Not Applicable			
(h)	NON-DAMAGING SURFACE CLEANING METHODS: Surface Cleaning Methods prescribed are as gentle as possible. No sandblasting or other						
	damaging cleaning methods.	☐ Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	Not Applicable			
(i)	ARCHEOLOGICAL RESO Reasonable efforts made to pr adjacent to project.			rces affected by, or			
	adjacent to project.	☐ Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	Not Applicable			
(j)	CONTEMPORARY DESIGN- CONTEXT SENSITIVE & COMPATIBLE: Contemporary alterations & additions do not destroy significant historical, architectural, or cultural material and are compatible with the size, scale, color, material and character of the property, neighborhood or environment.						
		Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable			
(k)	RETROVERSION- ESSENTIAL FORM & INTEGRITY UNIMPAIRED: Future removal of new additions & alterations will leave the essential form & in building, structure, object or site unimpaired.						
		Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable			
(1)	PAINT COLORS- HISTORICAL BASIS: Paint colors based on duplications or sustained by historical, physical or pictorial evidence, not conjecture.						
	evidence, not conjecture.	☐ Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	Not Applicable			
(m)	(m) HISTORIC DISTRICT CONTEXT- OVERALL COMPATIBILITY Construction plans are compatible with surrounding buildings and environheight, gross volume and proportion.						
		Compliant	☐ Non-Compliant	☐ Not Applicable			
<u>APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS</u> (SECTION 24.07.015)							
(g) EXPEDITED PROCESS FOR SMALL PROJECTS: ELIGIBILITY = "Not Eligible" Expedited process for small projects (cumulative costs < \$10,000); must be "No" to all:							
	Building Footprint Expansion Façade Alterations facing Pu Color Scheme Modifications	ıblic Street or F	ROW? Yes Yes Yes	No □ No □ No			

City of Dripping Springs
P.O. Box 384
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
512-858-4725

Substantive/Harmful Revisions to Historic District? ☐ Yes No

* * *

Please contact (512) 659-5062 if you have any questions regarding this review.

By: Keenan E. Smith, AIA

* * *