

### Assessor's Review Form

Appeal # PA 25-70

Property ID # 2-213-200

1) Assessor's Decision Land Improvements Total

From	\$ <u>44,600-</u>	\$ <u>3,300-</u>	\$ <u>47,900-</u>
To	\$ <u>44,600-</u>	\$ <u>3,300-</u>	\$ <u>47,900-</u>

Assessor's reason for decision:

RECOMMEND APPEAL BE DENIED. LAND VALUATION IS  
CONSISTENT WITH THE AREA AND ESTABLISHED ASSESSMENT  
GUIDELINES.  
THERE WAS NO APPEAL OF IMPROVEMENT VALUE.

17 APRIL 2025

Date received

20 MAY 2025

Decision made by

Date

Approved by

Date

Date mailed

2) Appellant Notified by

EMAIL

Mail

Telephone

In person

Date notified

\_\_\_\_\_ I ACCEPT the Assessor's decision in Block 1 above and hereby withdraw my appeal.

\_\_\_\_\_ I DO NOT ACCEPT the Assessor's decision and desire to have my appeal presented to the Board  
of Equalization.

by: A. Erickson - for appellant  
Signature of owner or authorized agent

Date signed

A. ERICKSON - CONTRACT

Print name  
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE

3) Board of Equalization Decision

Land \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Improvements \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Total \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Date received

Date heard

Certified (Chairman or Clerk of Board)

Date

Date Mailed

## 2025 real property appeal - dillingham, alaska: parcel 2-212-200.

From: Arne Erickson (arneerickson@yahoo.com)

To: megschroeder50@gmail.com

Date: Tuesday, May 20, 2025 at 12:38 PM AKDT

good afternoon mrs. schroeder:

i have received and reviewed your appeal.

a couple comments regarding your understanding that "personal property was raised by 13% which i was told was illegal;"

\* real, rather than personal property, was raised by 5% for land and 15% - neither of which is illegal. there is some confusion on this matter - and some erroneous information has been circulated. alaska statute 20.45.110 (full and true) value. requires we assess at "market value". there is no limitation on how much an assessed value may be raised. alaska statute 29.45.090 (taxation limitation) requires that ad valorem taxes - that which is calculated by multiplying assessed value by the current mill rate; can not exceed 3%. as an example: a property is assessed at \$500,000-. the mill rate is 13%. multiplying one by the other, we see the taxes are \$6,500- dividing the taxes, \$6500- by the assessed value, 500,000 show a percentage of 1%. well below the statutory limit.

\* land was increased 5% this year - which was true throughout the community and is consistent. i recommend no change to the land value. there was no change to improvement value - therefore, i recommend no change in the current valuation. after your review, i would appreciate your advisement as to whether you agree/disagree with my recommendations. should you agree, i will consider the appeal resolved and no further action need be taken. should you disagree, i will forward the appeal to the board of equalization for their consideration and final determination. should you wish to pursue your appeal, please check with the city clerk's office for meeting particulars. thank you. i look forward to hearing from you soon,

sincerely,

arne erickson,  
contract assessor's office - dillingham, alaska.