

Mayor Donaldson Report

Below is a summary of board meetings I attended:

Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC)

February 26, 2025



Transportation Agency Hosts Unmet Transit Needs Public Hearing

The Transportation Agency Board of Directors held a public hearing to receive comments to identify unmet transit needs in Monterey County.

In its role as the Transportation Development Act fund administrator, the Agency annually seeks public input to identify unmet transit needs in Monterey County. This unmet needs process is associated with the Local Transportation Fund, which is one of two designated funding sources for public transit created by the California Transportation Development Act.

The Agency solicits public input on unmet transit needs and places comments into the following categories:

1. Transit service improvement requests that would improve an existing service.
2. Transit service expansion requests that extend a transit route beyond its current limits and fill a gap in service.
3. Capital improvement projects that would enhance existing public transit facilities.

In addition to public testimony provided at the hearing, the Transportation Agency accepts comments throughout the year in writing, by email, through a questionnaire posted on the Agency website and through the Monterey-Salinas Transit Mobility Advisory Committee.

The Transportation Agency's deadline for accepting public comments and questionnaires for consideration as part of the annual unmet transit needs process is April 17, 2025. Comments can be submitted at: <https://www.tamcmonterey.org/unmet-transit-needs>.

Staff will present the final list of comments to the TAMC Board, prior to allocating Local Transportation Funds in June.

TAMC Board Receives Update on Interim Safety Improvements on US 101 South of Salinas

Caltrans District 5, presented an update on the interim safety improvements that are being considered for the US Highway 101 South of Salinas Corridor Improvement Project to the Transportation Agency Board of Directors.

The presentation by Meg Henry, Project Manager, Caltrans District 5, included the following interim improvements to address specific issues in advance of the permanent solution for the corridor project:

Potential short-term safety improvements:

- Close all 11 median openings between Chualar and Salinas;
- Leave two openings for emergency vehicle access; and
- Stop control changes at the Chualar southbound ramp.

Potential mid-term safety improvements:

- Installation of roundabouts at key locations to assist with turning movements;
- Alternatives to improve traffic circulation at existing interchange intersections;
- Chualar bridge rail improvements; and
- Other complete streets improvements.

The short-term safety improvements are projects that are planned to be implemented while the team continues to develop plans, secure funding, and construct interim and long-term solutions. Caltrans anticipates awarding a contract for the short-term safety improvement in April 2026, with construction starting in the summer of 2026.

The US 101 South of Salinas corridor is the primary artery along the Central Coast and is vital to statewide commerce. It provides access from California's Central Coast agricultural operations to markets around the country. This segment of the highway south of Salinas includes several uncontrolled intersections (i.e., no traffic signals or stop signs controlling traffic along the highway) that have been hot spots with high crash rates. Eliminating turning movements at these intersections is the main objective of the projects under development.

The long-term safety improvements include a full freeway with new county roads and two relocated interchanges. The anticipated start of phased construction for the long-term project corridor improvement project is summer 2031.

Monterey One Water (M1W)

February 24, 2025



New Board Officers:

- Director Tyller Williamson has been appointed Chair (Monterey)
- Director Kent Hibino has been appointed Vice Chair (Boronda)

Board Actions:

- Approved a MOA with the City of Monterey for inspection services for Sewer Pipe Blockage Control Program and Stormwater Management Program.
- Adopted Reso. 2025-03 for Executive Officer/GM to act as authorized agent for M1W to obtain Federal Financial Assistance from the Dept. of Homeland Security and State of CA, for the FY22/23 State and Local Cybersecurity Grant Program.

- Approved a professional service agreement with GHD Inc. for SCADA Cybersecurity Program and SCADA Upgrade Management Services for \$398,179.
- Approved increasing the Brown & Caldwell contract for Outfall Improvement Projects for the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project from \$693,859 to now \$1,235,731,00.
- Adopted Reso. 2025-04 approving a local cost share grant of \$581,979 match for PWM construction costs for the City of Salinas Round 2 Stormwater Grant Project
- Approved amendments to the February 25, 2021 Short-Term Outfall Capacity Lease Agreement with Cal-Am for the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project Test Well.
- Approved the Customer Assistance Program for FY25/26 in the amount of \$200,000. The board discussed billing options and directed staff not to revisit moving the wastewater billing to the tax roll this year.

Upcoming Meetings:

- Budget Personnel Committee March 14, 2025
- Recycled Water Committee March 20, 2025
- Board of Directors March 31, 2025

View agenda packets and meetings details at
<https://www.montereyonewater.org/192/Public-Meetings>

or to view past meetings go to our channel at

[Monterey One Water - YouTube](#)

Kim Shirley's Council Report for Tuesday, March 25, 2025

Friday, February 21st- ReGen Monterey Board Meeting- Since we'd just had a large workshop at the beginning of the month, this month's agenda was a little light. We presented a resolution of appreciation to former Carmel City Council member Karen Ferlito. I loved her passion for sustainable practices and educating the public on proper disposal or recycling of items. I'll miss her contributions on the board. We also recognized a ReGen employee for 25 years of service.

Our main agenda topic was deciding our next step for hiring a new law firm to represent ReGen since our current lawyer of 53 years(!) will be retiring. It was decided that Marina Mayor Bruce Delgado, Carmel Mayor Dale Byrne, and I, along with our General Manager would interview our candidates who responded to our request and then we'll bring back our recommendation for the March board meeting.

The last thing to note for this meeting was that in the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) update, we learned that our waste hauler franchise agreements will expire in 2030. Even though it seems far away, the TAC is getting together a subcommittee with representatives from all of the jurisdictions to begin the process of investigating whether we'll put out an RFP (request for proposals) or ask for an extension with the contracts. This is clearly a big process and I'm grateful we have the TAC to cover this issue.

Tuesday, February 25th- City Council Meeting- Typically, council/board meetings have a place on the agenda where council/board members can make comments. Our agendas do not have that opportunity, so I used the general "public comments" section to speak about three requests. The first was a request for people (residents, council members, everyone) to let me know their thoughts on raising rates at the landfill in order to have a longer life for the landfill (and vice versa). The second was to ask everyone to read my council report on my disappointment with Mayor Donaldson's vote for LAFCO at the Mayor's City Selection Committee. The third was a request to help me push for a full council meeting or workshop where we could develop goals/priorities with resident input for the next fiscal year. Those goals would then drive our budget and indicate our overall values for the city. I was also advocating for strategic planning with our council since we haven't done any strategic planning in almost three years and I believe it's important to establish goals through that collaborative process.

After public comments, where there were a couple of comments and half a dozen letters read expressing disappointment with Mayor Donaldson's LAFCO vote, we had two proclamations for Black History Month (Feb) and National Women's History Month (March). Next was a presentation about the new "Daylighting Law" which prevents cars from parking within 20 feet of a crosswalk or intersection. This provides greater visibility of

pedestrians and is intended to reduce pedestrian/car accidents. There are no requirements for painting curbs with this law, so it's something that we'll all have to become aware of and integrate into our parking habits. In terms of enforcement, our police will initially be giving warnings until it's more widely known.

Our new business section of the council meeting included two items. The first was an adoption of our new user and regulatory fees which were based on our fee study that was just completed (see my description of this during February's finance meeting). These new fees are anticipated to bring in roughly \$60,000 in new revenue (remember, we're just trying to break even, so it shows how significantly low our current fees were prior to this change). The second item was approving a 6% increase in contingencies for the Rosita rd repair project. As one might expect, there were things in this project that came up which increased the cost. In the end, we are all very happy this project is being completed, so this was an easy vote to approve.

Lastly, during the City Manager report we talked about how Del Rey Oaks went from a grade "F" to an "A" this year based on the American Lung Associations grading of no smoking policies. Our work last year to eliminate smoking in multiunit housing and in public spaces, gave us enough points where we beat out all other county cities! I'm just so happy we were able to accomplish this and make our residents and visitors healthier now and into the future.

Wednesday, March 5th- ReGen Monterey Finance Committee Meeting- The majority of today's meeting was centered around two documents that were revised 20 years ago. The first is the "Guiding Principles" document that was revised in 2004 which provides general guidance on the overall operation of the Waste Management District. Items included in this document include governance structure, labor policy, reserve policy, debt service ratios, landfill capacity, and rate policies. Our finance committee was able to provide some direction for initial edits which the staff will make so it can be brought before the full board later this month. The second document was the Guiding Principles for Acceptance of Regional (Out of District) Waste which was revised in 2007. The topics in this document were also discussed under "landfill capacity" in the first document, so much of our conversation overlapped. The general sense was that we will look towards becoming financially independent from our largest regional contract which expires in 11 years. That means we need to make up roughly \$9 million in revenue over that timespan. I don't think the board will be against gaining additional outside contracts, but I do think we'll make sure they're paying their fair share and we won't necessarily go out and market ourselves with the intention of getting additional regional contracts to help preserve our landfill life. Ultimately, these documents will be combined into one and will be presented for further discussion.

We also got an update on the timeline for our 2025/26 budget planning. The idea is to have a finalized budget ready for approval by May. Based on our discussions and the

desire to become less reliant on regional contracts, we're anticipating a gate rate increase for this next year. Having the budget done a bit early, will also help the trash haulers as they finalize their rates for this next year.

The last item was hearing a presentation on our fiscal year 2024 audit. Overall, everything looked good although they did have one internal control finding which could produce a misstatement on our financial statements. Staff was notified of this error and they now understand what they need to do moving forward to correct the way they're recording certain account balances.

Wednesday, March 5th- Seaside Groundwater Basin Watermaster Meeting- Our meeting this month mainly focused on two items. The first was approval for the contract which will update the Watermaster's Seawater Intrusion Response Plan. This is a project we had talked about previously at the Watermaster and Technical Advisory Committees and therefore the cost was built into our budget for this year. This update will be done in the next few months and I do think it will stimulate some interesting discussions about how far we need to go to reduce pumping if we see triggers indicating seawater intrusion. Stay tuned for those discussions!

The second item was approval for the geophysical subsurface imaging that will be done around sentinel well #4 (this is the well that has been showing an increase in conductivity, which may indicate seawater intrusion). They'll be creating magnetic fields to penetrate the ground and then once they turn them off eddy currents will form. The signals from these eddy currents will give an indication of the resistivity of the layer. The resistivity will provide a picture of what is below, helping us to understand if those areas are intruded with seawater. This will be new data for the Watermaster and I think we're all anxious to see what comes from this work.

Monday, March 10th- Monterey Salinas Transit Administrative Performance Committee- We received several updates in this meeting. The first was looking at our bus data from January which showed over 9% increase in ridership when compared to January 2024. We've had almost two years of a continued increase in riders since the better bus network was established.

We also received an update from our State representatives. Bills were reviewed that address extending CEQA exemption for transit projects as well as one that will expand safety measures for transit employees who are working in the public. There was a budget request at the state level for a \$2 Billion dollar increase for transit and they're looking to reauthorize the Cap and Trade program which expires in 2030 (15% of revenues from that program go to transit).

Looking at MST's Measure Q which expires in 2029, a bill was introduced (AB761) which is specifically aimed at allowing MST to request an additional tax measure that would put

some jurisdictions over the 10% cap. MST may take this tax measure out for a vote in 2026. The extra percentage is only $\frac{1}{8}$ of 1% (in addition to the base tax that would be requested).

The Federal update was all about the continuing resolution (CR) to keep the government running. That CR doesn't include any earmarks which eliminates money that we were hoping to use for a project in King City. I asked our General Manager, Carl Sedoryk about the percentage of our budget that relies on federal money. He replied that about 20% of our budget (\$12 million) comes from federal money. Thankfully, MST has built up a good reserve to withstand times as we're seeing now.

Monday, March 10th- Monterey Salinas Transit Board Meeting- Please see the MST board highlights in our agenda packet.

Council Report from Councilmember John Uy March 25, 2025 Meeting

I. Council Report: Regular Meeting of the DRO City Council on February 25, 2024



I am pleased to share highlights from our February 25, 2025, City Council meeting, a session filled with meaningful discussions and forward-moving actions for our beloved city.

Honoring Our Past, Celebrating Progress

We began the evening with two significant proclamations. First, we recognized **Black History Month**, celebrating the invaluable contributions of African Americans to our city and nation. It was a moment to reflect on our shared history and reaffirm our commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion. Second, we declared March as **National Women's History Month**, honoring the achievements of women who have shaped our community and championed progress in all sectors of society. These proclamations remind us of the importance of unity and representation in Del Rey Oaks.

New Statewide Daylighting Law Presentation

We were honored to receive a presentation on **Assembly Bill 413**, California's new "Daylighting Law." This law, which took effect on January 1, 2025, is a critical step toward enhancing pedestrian safety by restricting parking within 20 feet of crosswalks. As a community that prioritizes safety, we are committed to implementing these measures to ensure safer streets for all.

Ensuring Financial Transparency and Stability

Our **Financial Reports for December 2024 and January 2025** reflected a fiscally responsible city with strong reserves. The numbers showed that our revenues are ahead of projections, and our budget remains on track, ensuring that we can continue delivering quality services while planning for long-term sustainability. I extend my gratitude to our city staff for their diligence in maintaining sound financial management.

Investing in Infrastructure & Emergency Repairs

A key decision made during the meeting was the approval of a **6% contingency increase for the Rosita Road Emergency Repair Project**. This project is crucial for maintaining safe and accessible roadways for our residents. The council also authorized the City Manager to sign change orders, ensuring efficiency in addressing unexpected challenges that may arise.

Infrastructure investments remain a top priority as we enhance the livability and safety of Del Rey Oaks.

Updated User and Regulatory Fees

To ensure that city services remain equitable and financially sustainable, we adopted **Resolution 2025-01**, updating and establishing user and regulatory fees. These updates reflect necessary adjustments that align with operational costs while maintaining affordability for our residents.

Looking Ahead

The next **City Council meeting will be held on March 25, 2025, at 6:00 PM**. I encourage all residents to stay engaged, attend meetings, and share their perspectives. Our collective participation is what makes Del Rey Oaks a thriving and connected community.

As always, it is an honor to serve as your Councilmember. Let's continue working together to build a safer, stronger, and more vibrant Del Rey Oaks.



II. Council Report: Message of Councilmember John Uy for International Women's Day on March 8, 2025

 Happy International Women's Day! 

Today, we honor the strength, resilience, and brilliance of all women—the trailblazers who paved the way, the leaders who inspire change, the mothers who nurture, the daughters who dream, and the countless women whose contributions shape our world every day.

To the women of Del Rey Oaks, the Monterey Peninsula, and beyond—your voices matter, your dreams are valid, and your impact is immeasurable. You are the backbone of our communities, the heart of our families, and the architects of a more inclusive future.

Let's celebrate equality, empowerment, and progress while recognizing the work still needed to achieve true gender equity. Today, and every day, we commit to lifting each other up, amplifying women's voices, and ensuring that no dream is out of reach.

 To all the incredible women out there—thank you.  Your courage, passion, and perseverance make the world a better place.

HAPPY
- INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S DAY -
8th March

YOUR VOICES MATTER,
YOUR DREAMS ARE VALID, AND
YOUR IMPACT IS IMMEASURABLE.

-Councilmember John Uy



III. Council Report: Regular Meeting of the Seaside County Sanitation District (SCSD) Board of Directors – March 11, 2025



A week has passed since the **March 11, 2025, Seaside County Sanitation District (SCSD) meeting**, and I have taken the time to reflect on what transpired and what it means for **our community moving forward**.

I want to be **completely transparent** with you about what happened because the decisions made at SCSD do **not just impact our sanitation services. They impact Del Rey Oaks' representation and voice in regional governance.**

What Happened at the SCSD Meeting on March 11, 2025?

At the heart of this meeting was **Agenda Item 6A**, a proposal initiated by the City of Seaside to **change SCSD's voting structure in a way that could significantly alter the balance of power on the Board**. In simple terms, Seaside is seeking **more control**, and if their proposal moves forward, it could mean **less influence for Del Rey Oaks and Sand City in the decisions that shape our shared services**.

1. Two Letters, Two Perspectives

During the **Review of the Agenda**, I presented two letters that had been submitted to the Board regarding **Agenda Item 6A**:

- A request from **Seaside to defer the discussion to April**, citing their inability to be present at the meeting even though this Agenda item was entered by them.
- A formal letter from **our City Attorney, Alex Lorca**, which raised **serious concerns** about Seaside's lack of transparency and failure to demonstrate that its City Council had formally authorized this request in a publicly noticed meeting.

As the Chair of the Board, I read both letters **aloud into the record**, ensuring that no part of this discussion happened behind closed doors.

Regarding the item from the City of Seaside requesting that Agenda Item 6A be deferred to the April meeting due to their inability to attend during that meeting, here are my views on whether to grant it or not.

1. I want to ensure Full Representation in a Major Decision

- *The **City of Seaside** initiated this proposal, and their representative, **First Vice Chair Ian Oglesby**, is **absent**. Since this proposal directly impacts their representation and voting power, some might argue that it is **reasonable to wait** until all cities have their full leadership present for the discussion.*
- *If the **roles were reversed**, Del Rey Oaks or Sand City might also want the courtesy of postponement if they were proposing a major change affecting governance.*

2. I want to Avoid an Imbalanced or Rushed Decision

- ***Agenda Item 6A is a contentious issue**, potentially altering **how decisions are made for years to come**.*
- *If one city's key representative is missing, there could be an **appearance of imbalance** or lack of inclusivity in the decision-making process.*

3. I also want to Preserve Good Working Relationships Among Cities

- *While **procedurally**, Seaside should have planned better. If an item was **important enough for Seaside to propose**, then it should be **important enough for them to ensure representation at the meeting**. Others might also think that postponing the discussion might be a strategic move by Seaside to **avoid deliberation when they do not have full control or influence over the meeting**. However, a deferral could be seen as a **good-faith gesture** to maintain a **cooperative relationship** among all three SCSD cities.*
- *The **board functions best when all cities feel their voices are heard**, and deferring the item could **reduce future tensions and claims of unfairness**.*

So, with these thoughts, **supporting deferral** is the best course of action in my opinion because:

- 1.) The Seaside representative is absent, making it difficult to have a balanced discussion.
- 2.) This issue is of high importance and should be discussed with all present board members having equal say.
- 3.) The legitimacy of Seaside's request is in question, and we should not rush a decision without verifying authorization.
- 4.) Deferring allows Seaside to clarify their position and properly engage in the discussion at the April meeting.

However, in addition to the City of Seaside's request to defer Agenda Item 6A, I want to note that the materials submitted for this item remain largely unchanged from previous discussions.

The justification for weighted voting is very limited to a short statement without supporting data, analysis, or context.

If this item is deferred to April, I strongly urge Seaside to submit a more **substantive and detailed justification** of their submitted agenda item.

I believe it is in the best interest of all parties to ensure that this discussion is **well-informed, data-driven, and transparent** before it returns to the Board.

2. A Hard-Fought Win: Deferral With Strict Conditions

Following public discussion, the Board deliberated on how to proceed.

I knew we could not allow this item to move forward **without accountability**. Too often, proposals like this return **again and again**, slightly reworded but without real substance—hoping that, eventually, they’ll pass simply because no one is paying close enough attention.

That is why I **successfully moved to defer Agenda Item 6A**, but not without ensuring that the burden of proof was placed squarely on Seaside’s shoulders. **My motion was approved by a majority of the Board, and it set forth strict conditions that Seaside must meet before they can bring this item back for discussion.**

The Conditions Seaside Must Meet Before April 8, 2025

Before this item can be discussed again, Seaside **must provide a complete written justification** that includes:

- **A full rationale** explaining why weighted voting is necessary,
- **Supporting data or case studies** demonstrating the need for this change,
- **A clear analysis** of how this would impact SCSD’s governance structure and decision-making process,
- **A response** to concerns raised by Del Rey Oaks and Sand City, and
- **Documentation proving** that the City Council of Seaside **formally authorized this request in a publicly noticed meeting before submitting it to SCSD.**

The Accountability Clause:

If Seaside fails to meet these requirements by the deadline for the April agenda packet, the Board will decline further consideration of this request, and Agenda Item 6A will be removed from future discussion unless and until all requested information is properly submitted and reviewed.

This ensures that Seaside cannot **continue recycling the same weak proposal** without proper justification.

Where Do We Go From Here?

Now, we wait. **Seaside has until the week before the next SCSD meeting on April 8, 2025, to submit everything the Board has required.**

At this moment, we **do not know if Seaside will comply**. They may return with all the required documentation—or they may not. **What I do know is that if we, as a city, do not stay engaged, we risk letting this issue slip through without the scrutiny it deserves.**

If Seaside **meets the requirements**, the Board will **have to consider their case on April 8**. If they **fail to comply**, Agenda Item 6A will **not be considered**, and it will **not return unless and until Seaside does the work they should have done in the first place.**

Why This Matters for Del Rey Oaks

I cannot stress this enough: **this is about more than sanitation governance—it is about fair representation.**

- If Seaside gets more voting power, **Del Rey Oaks will have less.**
- If decisions are weighted in Seaside's favor, **Del Rey Oaks could be forced to accept policies and funding structures that do not align with our best interests.**
- If we do not **stay engaged**, we could find ourselves in a situation where Seaside **sets the rules, and we are left with no choice but to follow.**

This is why I fought to make sure that **Seaside cannot advance their proposal without proving why it is necessary.**

What Can We Do? How You Can Help

Since we will not know until early April whether **Seaside has met these conditions**, I urge my fellow **council members, community leaders, and residents** to be **ready to act.**

If Seaside **submits the required materials**, we **must show up in full force at the April 8, 2025, SCSD meeting** to make our voices heard. I cannot do this alone. **Your participation is critical.**

Final Thoughts

The March 11 meeting was a **small but significant victory** in protecting Del Rey Oaks' voice in SCSD. **But this is not over.**

Can we allow **governance changes that weaken our representation** to happen quietly, behind closed doors, or without due diligence? We must **demand transparency, fairness, and proper justification** for any proposal that affects the balance of power in SCSD.

I will continue **monitoring this issue closely and will provide an update as soon as we know whether Seaside has complied.**

Until then, I ask all of you to **stay engaged, stay informed, and be ready to stand up for Del Rey Oaks.**

IV. Council Report: AMBAG Board of Directors Meeting – March 12, 2025

As your representative on the **Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) Board**, I am pleased to provide an update on the key discussions and decisions made during our March 12, 2025, meeting. This meeting showcased AMBAG's commitment to sustainable regional planning, infrastructure resilience, and economic development across Monterey, San Benito, and Santa Cruz counties.



Advancing Regional Planning and Budgeting

A key focus of the meeting was the presentation of the **Draft FY 2025-26 Monterey Bay Region Overall Work Program (OWP) and Budget**. This document serves as AMBAG's guiding framework for regional planning initiatives, ensuring that transportation, housing, and sustainability projects align with federal and state priorities. The Board reviewed and provided initial feedback on the draft, emphasizing the importance of securing adequate funding to support critical infrastructure and community development programs. As your representative, I will continue advocating for projects that enhance the quality of life for Del Rey Oaks and the broader Monterey Bay region.

Shaping the Future of Transportation

Another significant discussion revolved around the **2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS)**. This long-term transportation blueprint is designed to improve mobility, reduce emissions, and enhance the resilience of our regional transit systems. Staff presented the **Draft Revenue-Constrained Scenario**, outlining projected funding levels and priority transportation investments through 2050. Community engagement will be a vital part of this planning process, and I strongly encourage residents to participate in upcoming workshops and provide input to ensure our transportation infrastructure meets the needs of future generations.

Looking Ahead

The **next AMBAG Board meeting will be held on April 9, 2025**, and I will continue advocating for the interests of Del Rey Oaks and our neighboring communities. Your feedback and engagement are invaluable as we shape policies that impact our region's growth, infrastructure, and environmental sustainability.

As always, it is an honor to represent Del Rey Oaks in these regional discussions. If you have any questions or concerns about AMBAG initiatives or upcoming projects, please do not hesitate to reach out.

MONTHLY REPORT MARCH 2025

Jeremy A. Hallock

Vice Mayor – City of Del Rey Oaks

3/10/25- Attended regular monthly meeting Del Rey Oaks Citizen's Action Group (DROCAG) meetings 2nd Tuesday of each month.

3/17/25- Attended regular monthly meeting Monterey Peninsula Water Management District. MPWMD regular meetings 3rd Monday of each month.

3/19/25- Attended Del Rey Oaks Public Safety Committee meeting.

3/20/25- Attended regular monthly board meeting Community Human Services. (CHS) regular meetings 3rd Thursday of each month.

All boards and committee meetings are publicly noticed, and open to the public for public comment in-person, via Zoom (when available), and/or by written letter form submission.

City Council Members can also be addressed via form submission through the city website; delreyoaks.org

Each board or committee represented by City Council Members can be accessed by their respective websites to view publicly noticed agendas, minutes recorded, and links to contacts and further information.

END OF REPORT