

Date: March 14, 2025

Case No. 250029
Address: 46 Wabash

Staff Report

The applicant has submitted an application for Project Approval for work at 46 Wabash, a Noncontributing structure located in the Cleveland Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: Roger & Sharon Styer
Owner: STYER, ROGER A & SHARON K
Constructed: 1985

CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying the Project Approval:

General Factors:

1. Historic significance of the resource:

This is a roughly constructed, modern building. It was used as an outbuilding when a future house was being constructed and neither structure contributes to the historic integrity of the National Historic Landmark District.

2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:

The applicant is requesting permission to construct a 16x28 carport, 9ft. side walls (posts) 6x6 posts, 5 on each (2) side walls, roof metal/steel, gable roof pitch 4 12 on concrete slab with thickened edge, truss rafters on roof OSB under roofing, 13 rafters, 2 gable end rafters

Attachments: Yes

Plans: Yes

Photos:

Staff Opinion:

Staff prefers the roof to be a 5 12 pitch or greater with asphalt shingles to match the house. The proposed work and changes do not encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource or have an adverse effect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Historic Districts or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.



Motions available for commission action:

A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project **DOES NOT** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

B: First Motion:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project **DOES** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

C: Second Motion:

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.