Date: April 21, 2021

Case No. 210049

Address: 10 Sampson St

### **Staff Report**

The applicant has submitted an application for Project Approval for work at 10 Sampson St, a non-contributing structure located in the Larges Flat Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: Correen Dammen

Owner: DAMMEN, CORREEN C TRUSTEE ETAL

Constructed: 1979

## CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying the Project Approval:

### **General Factors:**

1. Historic significance of the resource:

This house is a modern modular home with large additions. Because it is less than 50 years old, it cannot contribute to the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.

2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:

The applicant is requesting permission to construct an 8'x14' deck on the side of the house using pressure treated lumber and Trex. On the front porch add 6x6 posts made of the same material as existing posts.

**Attachments: Yes** 

Plans: Yes

**Photos: Yes** 

# **Staff Opinion:**

The proposed work and changes do not encroach upon, damage or destroy a historic resource nor does it have an adverse affect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Historic Register Districts or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.



### Motions available for commission action:

A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project **DOES NOT** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

#### **B**: First Motion:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project **DOES** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

## C: Second Motion:

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.