Date: September 05, 2024

Case No. 240154

Address: 346 Williams St.

### **Staff Report**

The applicant has submitted an application for Project Approval for work at 346 Williams St., a contributing structure located in the Forest Hill Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: Jim Henman Owner: ULURU LLC0 Constructed: 1935

## CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying the Project Approval:

#### **General Factors:**

# 1. Historic significance of the resource:

This building is a contributing resource in the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District. It is significant for its historic association with the growth and economic activity which took place in Deadwood and the northern Black Hills from the late 1920s until World War II. Spurred by resurgence in local mining activity, Deadwood experienced a period of expansion and new construction during these decades that it had not seen since the nineteenth century. This house is vernacular in style.

## 2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:

The applicant is requesting permission to replace the deck on the front of the structure. It will be wood construction with Trex Decking on the deck floor and the deck facia. The applicant wants to put vinyl casing on the railing posts and the railing will be metal.

Attachments: Yes

Plans: Yes Photos: Yes

### **Staff Opinion:**

Staff is recommending the railing posts remain wood with no covering giving it the same look as the railing next door as shown in the attached neighbor railing and posts picture. If the applicant agrees, or as a condition of approval, the proposed work and changes do not encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource or have an adverse effect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Historic Districts or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.



### Motions available for commission action:

A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project **DOES NOT** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

### **B**: First Motion:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project **DOES** encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

# C: Second Motion:

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq,* I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.