

Date: September 04, 2025

Case No. 250169
Address: 49 Terrace

Staff Report

The applicant has submitted an application for Project Approval for work at 49 Terrace, a noncontributing structure located in the Cleveland Planning Unit in the City of Deadwood.

Applicant: Tyler Peterson
Owner: STOLTENBURG, JAY H & ANGEL M0
Constructed: circa 1895

CRITERIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PROJECT APPROVAL

The Historic Preservation Commission shall use the following criteria in granting or denying the Project Approval:

General Factors:

1. Historic significance of the resource:

This house has been re-sided with stucco and altered with casement windows. Because of these alterations, the house has lost integrity and cannot contribute to the Deadwood National Landmark District at this time.

2. Architectural design of the resource and proposed alterations:

The applicant is requesting permission to remove stucco siding and wood siding. Replace with 6" smooth lap siding. Remove vinyl and wood slide windows and bring back 32" x 60" double hung windows. Lastly, take back original wood framing by removing sheetrock.

Attachments: No

Plans: No

Photos: Yes

Staff Opinion:

The replacement of the inappropriate siding will move this towards returning to contributing status. Staff have reviewed the proposed work and changes do not encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource or have an adverse effect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Historic Districts or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District.

Motions available for commission action:

A: If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project DOES NOT Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I find that this project DOES NOT encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places, and therefore move to grant a project approval.

If you, as a commissioner, have determined the Project will Encroach Upon, Damage or Destroy a historic property then:

B: First Motion:

Based upon all the evidence presented, I move to make a finding that this project DOES encroach upon, damage, or destroy any historic property included in the national register of historic places or the state register of historic places. [If this, move on to 2nd Motion and choose an option.]

C: Second Motion:

Option 1: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **NOT ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.

OR

Option 2: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is **ADVERSE** to Deadwood and move to **DENY** the project as presented.

OR

Option 3: Based upon the guidance in the U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic preservation, restoration, and rehabilitation projects adopted by rules promulgated pursuant to SDCL 1-19A & 1-19B, *et seq*, I find that the project is ADVERSE to Deadwood, but the applicant has explored **ALL REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES**, and so I move to **APPROVE** the project as presented.



